1	Hydrolysis of Cellulose in Supercritical Water: Reagent	<b>Con formato:</b> Arriba: 3 cm, Abajo: 3 cm, Inicio de sección: Continua, Ancho: 21,59 cm, Alto: 27,94 cm, Distancia del encabezado desde el borde: 1,25 cm,
2	<b>Concentration as Selective Factor</b>	Distancia del pie de pagina desde el borde: 1,25 cm, Numeración: Continua
3		
4	Celia Martínez <sup>a</sup> , Danilo A. Cantero <sup>a,b</sup> , M.D. Bermejo <sup>a</sup> , M.J. Cocero <sup>a</sup>	<b>Comentado [Lola1]:</b> Tienes un Segundo apellido muy fuerte y muy sonoro. Por que no pones los dos con un guión Martínez-Fajardo. Si no te gusta la idea, nada
5		
6	<sup>a</sup> High Pressure Processes Group, Department of Chemical Engineering and Environmental	
7	Technology, University of Valladolid, C/ Dr Mergelina s/n, 47011 Valladolid, SPAIN.	
8		
9	<sup>b</sup> Department of Applied and Industrial Chemistry. Faculty of Exact, Physical and Natural	
10	Sciences, National University of Cordoba, Av. Vélez Sarsfield 1611, 5000, Córdoba,	
11	ARGENTINA. E-mail: da.cantero@gmail.com	
12		
13	* Corresponding author, TEL: +34-983423166, FAX: +34-983423013, e-mail:	
14	mjcocero@iq.uva.es	
15		

### 16 Abstract

17	In this study, the influence of reagent concentration over the hydrolysis reactions of	
18	cellulose in a hydrothermal media was analyzed. The hydrolysis process was carried out in	
19	a continuous facility at 400 °C and 25 MPa with reaction times between 0.07 and 4 s. An	
20	increment in the reaction time was needed for obtaining total cellulose conversion when the	
21	starting biomasses were highly concentrated. High reaction times also favored the	
22	production of derived products instead of sugars. On the other hand, the experimentation	
23	with low concentrations and low reaction times allowed high yields of sugars. The best	
24	result for sugars production (79 % w/w) was obtained working with a cellulose	
25	concentration of 5 % w/w and 0.07 s of reaction time. The best result for glycolaldehyde	
26	production (80 % w/w) was obtained for a biomass concentration of 20 % w/w and 1 s of	
27	reaction time.	

Keywords: Biorefinery · Biofuels · Mass transfer · Solubility

28

29

**Comentado [U(e2]:** Sugiero empezar por una fraze como que se ha probado que la hidrólisis en ASC puede proporcionar azúcares de forma selectiva, pero que para que el proceso resulte rentable será necesario aumentar la concentración del efluente, para eso en este paper se han estudiado dos maneras de aumentar la concentración del elfuente.....

Comentado [U(e3]: No creo que biomasses sea cporrecto

**Comentado [U(e4]:** Menciona los resultados del flash al final, y que concentraciones puedes obtener como máximo combiando ambas técnicas: altas concetraciones iniciales y uso de flash

**Comentado [U(e5]:** Estos son los mejores resultados en tu rango de experimentos, así que igual deberías manecionar antes en que rango has trabajado

## 31 1. Introduction

32 Biomass is an abundant and renewable resource of carbon which has the potential to 33 produce energy, chemicals and fuels for the future sustainable industries (Aida et al., 34 2007a). Also, cellulosic materials including agricultural residues and herbaceous and woody crops are sufficiently abundant to provide a major resource for making commodity 35 36 products (Wyman et al., 2005). Biobased industries, based on the use of renewable materials and energy, are still in development to success in supporting a decentralized 37 38 production that can be an alternative to the centralized petrochemical production plants. To 39 achieve this challenge it is necessary (a) to reduce the number of process steps by searching 40 opportunities among new raw materials and by using clean solvents as water and carbon 41 dioxide; (b) to reduce equipment cost by developing compact apparatus with short 42 operation times: changing the reaction time from minutes to milliseconds allows reactor 43 volume reduction from m<sup>3</sup> to cm<sup>3</sup> (Arai et al., 2009; Cantero et al., 2013b).

44 Biomass is mainly composed by three polymers: cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin 45 (Zhang et al., 2010) being cellulose the major component of plant biomass, representing in 46 general the 50 % in mass (Bobleter, 1994). Cellulose is the most abundant natural polymer 47 on earth and it consists of  $\beta$  1-4 glucose-glucose linkages in linear chains (Kim et al., 48 2006). Theoretically it is possible to obtain all the chemical materials produced by 49 petroleum from biomass. Biomass can be converted to useful products (chemical products, 50 fuels or energy) by two main processes: thermo-chemical processes and bio-chemical 51 processes (Goyal et al., 2008). Generally thermo-chemical conversion processes have Comentado [U(e6]: La introducción la veo larga para un paper: sugiero empezar con que ya es bien sabido que la biomasa puede constituir en el future una Fuente de quñimicos y fuelst etc (muy breve) 4-5 líneas Las reacciuones en ASC proporcionan un medio selectivo para procesar la biomasa (quizá 10-15 líneas) Pero los experimentos hechos hasta ahora se hn obtenido efluentes diluidos/se ha prestado poca atención a la influencia de la concentración (menciona artículos de este tipo donde se usen alimentaciones más concentradas o se estudie el efecto de la concentración. Puedes hablar incluso del tipo de bombas necesarias para vehicularlas, u mencioar reactores tipo extrusores (TE MANDO REFERENCIA) Así que en este estudio se pretente.... Yo no pondría figuras en la SI de esta sección y menos si vienen de otro peaper Cita el review de Danilo

higher efficiencies than bio-chemical processes in terms of the lower reaction time required (few seconds or minutes for thermo-chemical processes instead of days for bio-chemical processes) and the higher ability to decompose most of the organic compounds. Different thermo-chemical conversion processes include combustion, gasification, hydrothermal treatment/liquefaction and pyrolysis. Bio-chemical conversion can be carried out using processes as fermentation or anaerobic digestion (McKendry, 2002).

58 The main components of biomass (cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin) could be 59 separated and then used as starting materials to produce interesting compounds via 60 hydrolysis (Sasaki et al., 2003a). Glucose would be the main product from cellulose 61 hydrolysis, hemicellulose would release its component sugars (xylose, arabinose, galactose and mannose) and lignin would produce polyphenolic compounds (Zhang et al., 2010). 62 Once obtained these derived compounds, conversion reactions can be carried out to obtain 63 64 bio-fuels and chemical products. Choice of conversion process depends on the type and 65 quantity of biomass feedstock, the desired product, environmental standards, economic 66 conditions, etc. (Goyal et al., 2008). Taking into account the wide range of possibilities for biomass refineries, lignocellulosic biomass is considered a promising source to replace 67 68 fossil fuels as feedstock for the sustainable production of various chemicals, materials and 69 fuels.

The hydrolysis of cellulose to obtain reducing sugars such as glucose is essential for using biomass in chemical processes, since the reducing sugars can be converted then into a wide range of important chemicals (Guo et al., 2012). Therefore, selective hydrolysis of cellulose into glucose is a key process for the effective use of biomass (Onda et al., 2009;
Tollefson, 2008).

75 In the recent years supercritical water has attracted much attention because of its 76 suitability as a non-toxic, environmentally benign and inexpensive media for chemical 77 reactions (Kumar et al., 2010) since water at around the critical point ( $T_c = 374.2$  °C,  $P_c =$ 78 22.1 MPa and  $\rho_c = 0.323$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>) shows properties that are very different from those of 79 ambient liquid water (Sasaki et al., 2003b). The main variations in water properties are: (1) 80 around the critical point the dielectric constant is decreased by increasing temperature, 81 enhancing the solubility of small organic compounds (2) the ionic product (Kw) above the critical point decreases drastically (from 10<sup>-14</sup> to 10<sup>-25</sup>) promoting in that way the free-82 83 radical reaction mechanisms instead of ionic reaction mechanisms (3) moreover, increasing density favors the hydrolysis pathway working as a control factor for the reaction 84 85 selectivity (Akiya & Savage, 2002). These changes in the water properties (density, 86 dielectric constant and Kw) are plotted along temperature at a pressure of 25 MPa in Figure 87 S.1 in the Supporting Information (SI).

Supercritical water technology provides a novel method to quickly convertallows fast conversion of cellulose into sugars-and to conduct tunable reactionbeing a tunable reaction media for the synthesis of specialtyof selected chemicals from biomass (Matsumura et al., 2006). In additionAlso, from the point of view of decentralized chemical processes, supercritical water allows fast reaction rates, high selectivities and high yield conversions of many biomass feedstocks and allows chemical transformations to occur with compact devices (Arai et al., 2009). **Comentado [U(e7]:** No me resulta claro a que te refieres. La densidad de el agua supercrítica es menor que la del agua líquida

**Comentado [U(e8]:** Date cuenta que si la figura ya está publicada tienes que pedir copyright permission y no sé si vale la pena para algo que vas a poner en la supporting information

95 The conversion of cellulose and lignocellulosic biomass to valuable chemical 96 intermediates using supercritical water have been previously reported, using different kind 97 of reactors. The hydrolysis in batch-type<u>reactors</u> are usually carried out at temperatures 98 between 150 °C and 300 °C with long reaction times (in the order of minutes). Therefore, 99 the produced glucose by hydrolysis can be easily decomposed, providing low yields of 100 glucose between 23-33% w/w (Ehara & Saka, 2002; Zhao et al., 2009b) with a selectivity 101 around 60 % w/w to sugars working with concentrations lower than 5 % w/w of cellulose 102 (Zhao et al., 2009a). The flow-type system makes it possible to shorten the heating, treating 103 and cooling times and therefore reduces the degradation of sugar products. In this way, 104 higher glucose yields around 30-40% w/w could be obtained in supercritical water or 105 combining supercritical and subcritical water treatment with initial concentrations between 106 4 and 10% w/w of cellulose (Ehara & Saka, 2005; Sasaki et al., 2003b). Then, the 107 challenging step in the conversion of cellulose is the production of glucose with high 108 selectivity and yield. Recently, our research group could improve the hydrolysis of 109 cellulose suspensions (7.5 % w/w) in supercritical water by using a continuous micro-110 reactor, giving as a result a total conversion of cellulose in 0.02 s of reaction time and vielding a sugar production of 98% w/w (Cantero et al., 2013b). A comparison between the 111 112 yields obtained working with the aforementioned technologies is shown in Table S.1, in the 113 SI.

114 Although the thermo-chemical hydrolysis technologies have been intensively 115 improved in the last years, some issues have to be developed. The concentration of sugars 116 in the product stream is an important factor to take into account. A concentrated product will facilitate <u>itsthe</u> handling and shipping<u>of it</u>. Two methods for reducing the water
content in the product stream are proposed: (a) increasing the biomass concentration before
the reaction or (b) taking out water after the reaction.

120 Then, in this work two ways to increase the concentration of the products obtained 121 after cellulose hydrolysis in supercritical water were studied. Feeding cellulose 122 concentrations of 5, 10, 15 and 20 % w/w in the biomass stream were tested with different 123 reaction times in the continuous micro-reactor aforementioned. Also a modification on the 124 experimental set-up was included to maximize the concentration of the products by using a

125 flash separator.

126 2. Materials and Methods

127 2.1. Materials

128 Micro-crystalline cellulose (99%) used in the experiments was purchased from

129 VWR chemical company. Distilled water was used to carry out the experiments.

130 The standards used in High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) analysis

131 were: cellobiose ( $\geq$  98%), glucose ( $\geq$  99%), fructose ( $\geq$  99%), erythrose ( $\geq$  75%),

glyceraldehyde ( $\geq$  95%), glycolaldehyde dimer ( $\geq$  99%) and 5-hydroxymethylfurfural ( $\geq$ 

133 99%) purchased from Sigma.

134 Sulfuric acid ( $\geq$  96%) and calcium carbonate ( $\geq$  99%) supplied by Sigma were used

135 as reagents in the determination of structural carbohydrates. Milli-Q water was also used in

136 this procedure.

abtract, porque sólo hablas del efecto de la concentración no del uso del flash Con formato: Fuente: Color de fuente: Negro, (Intl) Arial Unicode MS, Sueco (Suecia) Con formato: Sueco (Suecia) Con formato: Fuente: Color de fuente: Negro, (Intl) Arial Unicode MS, Sueco (Suecia) Con formato: Fuente: Color de fuente: Negro, Sueco (Suecia) Con formato: Fuente: Color de fuente: Negro, (Intl) Arial Unicode MS, Sueco (Suecia) Con formato: Fuente: Color de fuente: Negro, Sueco (Suecia) Con formato: Fuente: Color de fuente: Negro, (Intl) Arial Unicode MS, Sueco (Suecia) Con formato: Fuente: Color de fuente: Negro, Sueco (Suecia) Con formato: Fuente: Color de fuente: Negro, (Intl) Arial Unicode MS, Sueco (Suecia) Con formato: Fuente: Color de fuente: Negro, Sueco (Suecia) Con formato: Fuente: Color de fuente: Negro, Sueco (Suecia) Con formato: Fuente: Color de fuente: Negro, (Intl) Arial Unicode MS, Sueco (Suecia)

Comentado [U(e9]: Estas ideas deberían incluirse en el

**Con formato:** Fuente: Color de fuente: Negro, Sueco (Suecia)

**Con formato:** Fuente: Color de fuente: Negro, (Intl) Arial Unicode MS, Sueco (Suecia)

**Con formato:** Fuente: Color de fuente: Negro, Sueco (Suecia)

**Con formato:** Fuente: Color de fuente: Negro, (Intl) Arial Unicode MS, Sueco (Suecia)

Con formato: Sueco (Suecia)

#### 137 2.2. Analysis

The carbon content in the liquid product was determined by total organic carbon (TOC) analysis with Shimadzu TOC-VCSH equipment. The composition of the liquid product was determined by using HPLC analysis. The column used for the separation of the compounds was Shodex SH-1011 at 50 °C, using sulfuric acid (0.01 N) as mobile phase with a flow rate of 0.8 mL/min. A Waters IR detector 2414 was used to identify the sugars and their derivatives and Waters UV-Vis detector was used to determine the 5hydroxymethylfurfural (5-HMF) concentration at a wavelength of 254 nm.

The solid fraction (cellulose when X < 1) in the final product was separated by centrifugation. Then, it was dried at 60 °C for 24 h and finally it was weighted. That solid fraction represented the concentration of cellulose at the outlet of the reactor. Then, the cellulose conversion was determined by Equation 1, where 'X' is the cellulose conversion,  $W_0$ ' is the concentration of cellulose at the inlet of the reactor (g cellulose/g total) and 'W' is the outlet concentration of cellulose also as g cellulose/g total.

1	$X = \frac{W_0 - W}{W_0 - W}$	(1)
	$W_0$	(1)

\_\_\_\_

15

The soluble oligosaccharides concentration in the liquid samples was determined by acid hydrolysis to glucose and HPLC determination following a Laboratory Analytical Procedure (LAP) from NREL (Sluiter et al., 2010) as follows. To 10 mL of filtered liquid aliquots 4 mL of 96 % H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> were added. The sample was maintained in an oven at 30 °C during 60 min. Then 86 mL of Milli-Q water were added and the sample was incubated at **Comentado [U(e10]:** Siguiendo las recomendaciones de Richard Smith lo que esté descrito en otros papers cítalo de allí sin repetir descripción o repitiéndola abreviada. Lo mismo con los cálculos

Código de campo cambiado

**Comentado [Lola11]:** Esta referencia me da la impresi çon de que no está completa



176	<u>i.</u>	<u>The first step is the pressurization of the feed streams1) Pressurization</u> . To do so,
177		positive displacement pumps were used to continuously pump water and the cellulose
178		suspension (5, 10, 15 or 20 $\%$ w/w) up to the operating pressure (25 MPa) at room
179		temperature. It is important to notice note that cellulose is not soluble in water and
180		because of this, particular attention should be paid to biomass or pure cellulose
181		pumping, avoiding clogging problems. Supercritical water was supplied up to a
182		maximum flow rate of 5 kg/h and the cellulose suspension was fed to a maximum flow
183		rate of 3 kg/h.

**Comentado [Lola14]:** Describe la bomba, si es el primer trabajo donde la mencionas si no cita una descripción anterior

184 i. In this set of experiments, cellulose concentration at the inlet of the reactor varied from
 185 1 to 7 % w/w due to the dilution in the mixing point.

The third and the most important stage is the reaction3) Reaction. Once desired 186 187 temperature was reached, the reaction time of biomass at reaction conditions became the 188 critical factors to control the reaction, so, the selectivity of the FASTSUGARS process-189 Supercritical water hydrolysis of cellulose is fast, so high reaction times would promote a 190 product with high rate of degradation products (Cantero et al., 2013a). In this experimental 191 set up the reaction time was changed is achieved by modifying the flows and the reactor 192 volume, by the instantaneous heating and by . As mentioned above, the reaction started 193 when the suspension and supercritical water met in the mixing point. The other key point of 194 reactor is instantaneously the stopping of the reactions. This was achieved the 195 instantaneously by sudden decompression in using a high temperature valve high temperature valve Autoclave Engineers 30VRMM4812 . A scheme of the reaction section 196 197 and its temperature profile is shown in Figure S.3 in the SI.

198 A high temperature valve Autoclave Engineers 30VRMM4812 was used to carry
 199 out the sudden decompression. With this method it was possible to suddenly decrease the
 200 temperature of the product from 400 °C to 150 °C, as it can be seen in the temperature

profile shown in Figure S.3 in the SI. After the valve stage, two heat exchangers were used in the reactor. The volume of the reactor,  $\langle V \rangle$ , was calculated using the dimensions of the reactor ( $\langle D \rangle$ ,  $\langle L \rangle$ ) and the flow,  $\langle F_v \rangle$ , was calculated using the density of the reaction medium at reactor and at room conditions, considering the fluid as pure water. Since the reactor was thermally isolated and the heating and cooling methods are instantaneous it can be considered that the temperature along it was constant. Therefore, the density can be considered constant in the reactor and t<sub>R</sub> (reaction time) can be calculated by Equation 4.

$$t_{R} = \frac{V}{F_{v}} = \frac{\pi D^{2}}{4} L \frac{\rho_{h}}{F_{v,0}\rho_{0}}$$
(4)

-- - 2

208

In Equation 4, ' $\rho_h$ ' and ' $\rho_0$ ' represents the density at the reaction conditions and ambient conditions, respectively. ' $F_{v,0}$ ' is the flow measured at ambient conditions. Using the ratio  $\rho_h / \rho_0$ ,  $F_{v,0}$  is transformed into  $F_v$ .

212 4) Concentration. As the aim in this work was to evaluate the effect of the 213 concentration over the final products, another way to get high concentration of the products 214 was to concentrate the product after the reaction. The cooling method used in this facility is 215 the sudden decompression. This step represents a flash operation after which two phases are 216 produced, vapor and liquid phases. So, a flash chamber separator was installed aAfter the 217 reactor and the depressurization valve, the previous experimental facility was modified to 218 include a flash chamber separator that allows in the experimental set-up, allowing the 219 separation of the products into two phases: a vapor phase mainly composed of water; and a 220 liquid phase with the concentrated product. The liquid product would be a mixture of 221 hydrolysis products with lower content of water compared to the product obtained without 222 using the flash separator. This facility is shown in Figure 1.

Comentado [Lola15]: Unidades de las magnitudes

Código de campo cambiado

**Comentado [Lola16]:** Quizá puedas podes una sección de cálculos con todos los cálculos juntos para así no distraer la atención de la descripción de la planta

Con formato: Cuerpo

223	<del>3</del>	
224	4.1.3.1. Operation without Flash ChamberInfluence fo feed concentration	
225	The influence of cellulose concentration over the product yield and composition	
226	was analyzed at the best experimental conditions obtained in a previous work (400 °C and	
227	25 MPa) (Cantero et al., 2013b). To do so, a set of experiments was carried out at different	
228	feed concentrations and reactions times was carried out. The concentration at the inlet of	
229	the reactor was varied by changing the concentration of cellulose in the starting biomass	
230	suspension. The biomass concentration was varied between 5 % and 20 % w/w obtaining in	
231	this way cellulose concentration at the reactor inlet between 1 and 7 % w/w at the entrance	
232	fo the reactor after mixing the suspension with the supercritical water stream. In this case,	
233	the facility with a bypass to the flash chamber was used. The experimental conditions and	
234	cellulose conversion after hydrolysis for these experiments are presented in Table 1. In	
235	these experiments, the flash chamber was bypassed.	
236	The concentration at the inlet of the reactor was varied by changing the	
237	concentration of cellulose in the starting biomass suspension. The biomass concentration	
238	was varied between 5 % and 20 % w/w obtaining in this way cellulose concentration at the	
239	reactor inlet between 1 and 7 % w/w. The main hydrolysis reaction pathway for cellulose in	
240	supercritical water is shown in Figure 2 (Cantero et al., 2013a). Cellulose is firstly	
241	hydrolyzed into oligosaccharides and then into glucose. Once glucose is produced, it can be	
242	converted into dehydrated (5-HMF) or retro-aldol condensation products (glycolaldehyde	
243	and glyceraldehyde).	

**Comentado [Lola19]:** Best experimental conditions para qué en concreto

**Comentado [Lola20]:** Poner también en la tabla la concentración real a la entrada del reactor que es la que realmente cuenta

**Comentado [Lola21]:** Igual puedes no mencionar esto que para este apartado no es relevante

**Comentado [Lola22]:** Si pones otra figura de otro trabajo tienes que pedir copyright

244	The tendency of the experiments shown in Table 1 is easier to follow by plotting
245	initical concentrations is shown in Figure 3. It can be seen that when the cellulose
246	concentration is higher, the residence time needed for a complete conversion increases from
247	XX at % to XX s at %. the increment in the Higher cellulose concentrations for a constant
248	resulted in lower conversion rates. Also, while increasing the reaction time, the conversion
249	was increased in all cases. These two trends can be explained by assuming that for higher
250	concentrations it was necessary higher reaction time to obtain the same conversion than
251	those with lower concentration. This fact can be also evaluated in terms of kinetics. A first
252	order kinetic was assumed, represented by Equation 5 where $C_0$ is the inlet concentration,
253	'C' is the final concentration calculated by Equation 6 where 'X' is the conversion of
254	cellulose. 'k' is the kinetic constant and ' $t_R$ ' is the reaction time. Then, plotting the
255	logarithm against the reaction time, a linear dependence was found. Only data from
256	experiments with 15 % and 20 % w/w of cellulose were used in this plot. Data for 5 % w/w
257	of cellulose were taken from a previous work (Cantero et al., 2013b). As it can be seen in
258	Figure 4, the slope decreased when increasing the concentration. This confirms that for
259	highly concentrated suspensions, the conversion rate was lower and therefore the kinetic
260	constant (slope) was lower. This can be explained considering that the mass transfer
261	resistance increased when the cellulose concentration was higher. So, as previous studies
262	reported, it can be assumed that the mass transfer rate coefficient was strongly dependent
263	on reagent concentration (Rearden et al., 1998) and mass transfer limited overall conversion
264	yields in systems with high-solids loadings (Griggs et al., 2010).

**Comentado [Lola23]:** Te sugiero modificaciones a la figura 3 en un par de comentarios hechos en la misma figura

**Comentado [Lola24]:** Yo creo que puedes empezar diciendo que en trabajaos nuestros previos y de otros autores se ha considerado que la celulosa reacciona en la superficie obteniéndose una expresión cinética independiente de la concentración, y que estos resultados contradicen esa hipótesis y mostrar las ecuaciones

Comentado [Lola25]: En que expresas la concentración?

Comentado [Lola26]: Pon la concentración a la entrada del reactor que es la que le importa a la cinética Comentado [Lola27]: ?? No entiendo que quieres decir

265	$\ln\left(\frac{C}{C_0}\right) = k \cdot t_R$	(5)	<b>Comentado [Lola28]:</b> No sé si entiendo lo que has hecho con las cinéticas. Estás partiendo de la que usaba Danilo o estás proponiendo una cinética dependiente de la concentración
			Código de campo cambiado
266	$C = C_{in} \cdot (1 - X)$	(6)	Comentado [Lola29]: Cin y C0 son lo mismo?
			Código de campo cambiado
267	Using Equation 3, the TOC values ( $M_{TOC}$ ) were transformed into total	mass (' $M_t$ ')	
268	and HPLC results for each compound were converted into carbon basis con	ncentrations	
269	('C <sub>s</sub> '), multiplying each value by a carbon factor (C-6 sugars: 0.41; Glycolalde	hyde: 0.40;	
270	5-HMF: 0.57; Erythrose and glyceraldehyde: 0.40). Once these transformations	were done,	
271	the yield of each compound was obtained using Equation 2. The yields of each	component	
272	are presented in Table S.2 in the SI.		 Comentado [Lola30]: Igual esto a la sección de cálculos
273	The C-6 sugars and glycolaldehyde yields are shown in Figures	5 and 6,	
274	respectively. The yield of derived products such as 5-HMF, erythrose and glyc	eraldehyde	
275	were plotted in Figures S.4, S.5 and S.6, respectively, in the SI. Bars without	borderline	
276	represent the experiments performed with a bypass to the flash chamber.		 <b>Comentado [Lola31]:</b> Yo quitaría esta frase porque para
277	For C C success (shapes and estable all second order up to sin units of	-l	
211	For C-6 sugars (glucose and soluble oligosaccharides up to six units of	glucose) it	
278	can be seen in Figure 5 that the maximum yield (66 $\%$ w/w) was obtained at	the lowest	
279	concentration (5 % w/w) and lowest reaction time (0.12 s). This dependence	e with the	
280	reaction time was something expected, because as reported in a previous study	(Cantero et	
281	al., 2013b), just 0.02 s were necessary to obtain high yield in sugars recovery (	98 % w/w)	
282	when hydrolyzing cellulose in supercritical water. Then, the increment in the re	action time	
283	favored the degradation reactions by consuming the produced sugars. So C-6 s	ugars yield	
284	decreased while increasing reaction time. This trend was the same for all the con	centrations	

evaluated, except in the case of 20 % w/w. In that case it can be seen that by increasing the reaction time (from 0.12 to 0.64 s), the sugars production was increased. This can be explained if it is considered that for a high concentration of cellulose such as 20%, reaction times lower than 0.7 s were not enough to achieve complete conversion of cellulose (see Figure 3). When the hydrolysis was incomplete (X < 1) it can be assumed that an increase in the reaction time, allows higher hydrolysis rates and therefore more sugars are produced.

291 In the case of glycolaldehyde yield it can be noticed that two trends were observed 292 (see Figure 6). Working with a constant reaction time, when increasing the concentration of cellulose, the yield of glycolaldehyde decreased (that trend was especially important in 293 294 reaction times between 0.12 and 0.32). As mentioned above, for these low reaction times 295 cellulose conversion for high concentrations was were incomplete and therefore the production of glucose was relatively low. Then, taking into account that glycolaldehyde is 296 297 the main product of glucose retro-aldol condensation (Aida et al., 2007a), low production of 298 glucose implied low glycolaldehyde yields. On the other hand, by increasing the reaction 299 time, for the full range of concentrations, the yield increased due to the sugars were derived 300 into other products mainly glycolaldehyde, increasing in that way glycolaldehyde 301 production. The maximum yield for glycolaldehyde in this section (34 % w/w) was achieved at the higher reaction time and 10 % w/w of cellulose. The yield of 302 glycolaldehyde was not so high. It should be taken into account that the maximum yield 303 304 was obtained in a sample with more than 50 % w/w of sugars. This means that 305 glycolaldehyde yield will be enhanced increasing the reaction time.

Comentado [Lola32]: ¿¿A que te refieres?

306 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural is a dehydration product of fructose and it is an undesired 307 compound in the sugars production if a microorganism post-processing of the product is requiered (Rogalinski et al., 2008). The production of 5-HMF was lower than 1 % w/w in 308 309 all the experiments, being the maximum amount (1 % w/w) achieved at the highest 310 concentration and highest reaction time. The behavior observed for 5-HMF yield was 311 almost the same than for glycolaldehyde, since at a constant range of reaction times, while 312 increasing the concentration, the yield decreased (see Figure S.4 in the SI). On the other 313 hand, by increasing the reaction time, the yield of 5-HMF increased. The degradation 314 reactions were favored consuming the produced sugars, increasing in this way the yield of 315 degradation product such as 5-HMF.

316 The behavior of other compounds as erythrose and glyceraldehyde (see Figures S.5 and S.6, respectively in the SI) showed a strong dependence on reaction time. For low 317 318 reaction times (lower than 0.2 s) only erythrose was yielded whereas glyceraldehyde was 319 produced for the rest of experiments. This can be explained by following the reaction 320 pathway shown in Figure 2. It can be seen that glucose could be converted into fructose or 321 erythrose plus glycolaldehyde by isomerization or retro-aldol condensation, respectively 322 (Sasaki et al., 2002). The fructose would also produce glyceraldehyde via retro-aldol 323 condensation (Aida et al., 2007a). As it was demonstrated before, the production of fructose 324 was favored by increasing the reaction time (Aida et al., 2007b). So when working with low 325 reaction times the production of fructose was low and as a consequence, the yield of 326 glyceraldehyde was negligible. Furthermore, when increasing the reaction time, the erythrose produced was decomposed into glycolaldehyde via retro-aldol condensation 327

328 (Sasaki et al., 2002), so the yield of erythrose for high reaction times was also negligible. 329 So, while increasing the reaction time for all the range of concentrations, the yield of erythrose decreased and the production of glyceraldehyde increased. On the other hand, 330 331 working with a constant reaction time, the yield of erythrose decreased when increasing the 332 concentration of cellulose. In the case of glyceraldehyde, no clear tendency was shown for 333 the different concentrations. Maximum yield of erythrose (5 % w/w) was achieved for the 334 lowest concentration and lowest reaction time. For glyceraldehyde the maximum (6 % 335 w/w) was produced at the highest concentration and highest reaction time.

Another experiment was performed for the concentration of 20 % w/w of cellulose, 336 337 with a reaction time of 1 s in order to obtain total conversion. These results were also 338 plotted in Figures 3, 5 and 6, represented by a dashed bar. Following the reaction pathway shown in Figure 2, it can be seen that the first step in cellulose hydrolysis was the 339 340 production of oligosaccharides, cellobiose and glucose (C-6 sugars). Then as a second step, 341 the glucose turned into glycolaldehyde and other products. So Thus, when the hydrolysis of 342 cellulose was incomplete (X < 1), the first sign was the low yield of glucose and as a 343 consequence, the low yield of glycolaldehyde (experiments 4 and 8). Conversion came 344 closer to 1 when the reaction time was increased (experiment 12) and as a result the 345 conversion of cellulose into glucose was enhanced because the hydrolysis rate was higher. When total conversion was achieved (X = 1) all the glucose produced was rapidly degraded 346 347 into other products, providing at the same time low yields for glucose and high yields for 348 degradation products (experiment 13). The results of this last experiment were presented in 349 Table 1 and S.2 in the SI. It can be seen that it was achieved the minimum yield of C-6

Comentado [Lola33]: Yo pondría siempre las concentraciones en el reactor, y no en la bomba

350 sugars (1 % w/w) and also the maximum yields for the rest of the products evaluated (80 %

351 w/w glycolaldehyde, 4.5 % w/w 5-HMF and 10 % w/w of glyceraldehyde).

#### 352 <u>4.2.3.2.</u> Operation with Flash Chamber

353 Once it was evaluated the influence of the inlet cellulose concentration and the 354 reaction time over the product yield, it was found that the maximum yield for C-6 sugars was obtained at the lowest concentration and lowest reaction time (5 % w/w cellulose, 0.13 355 s). To optimize this result another experiment was carried out, using a micro-reactor to 356 357 maximize the amount of sugars that can be obtained with a lower reaction time (0.07 s) and 358 using the lowest concentration of cellulose at the inlet (5 % w/w). The same conditions of 359 pressure and temperature were used (25 MPa, 400 °C) and total conversion was achieved 360 (X = 1).

361 This experiment (numbered as 14 in table XX) was performed using the facility 362 shown in Figure 1, following two steps: (A) first, hydrolysis withusing the bypass to the 363 flash chamber was carried out with the selection valves closed. This part helped to identify 364 the effect of the lowest reaction time over the yield; (B) then, opening the selection valves the product stream from part A went through themaking the the product stream passed 365 through the flash chamber and where it was separated into two streams, allowing to take 366 samples of the liquid and vapor phases. In that way the efficiency of using a flash chamber 367 368 as a way to concentrate the product was evaluated. Results obtained in this experiment were 369 presented in Tables 2 and S.2 in the SI.

**Comentado [Lola34]:** Se podría esto reproducer con el modelo de alguna manera? Quedaría mucho mejor

**Comentado [Lola35]:** Y si sustituyes este párrafo por lo que te pongo a continuación para que sea más corto? Yo creo que a veces es importante no enrollarse con las explicaciones e ir al grano

To study the performance of the process when using a flash chamber for concentrating the products in the final effluent conditions of XXXX has been selected to maximize sugar production

**Comentado [Lola36]:** Poner la P, t de operación del flash? Podría ser interesante hacer experimentos a diferentes T y P del flash

Comentado [Lola37]: Que hay en esta tabla?

371	results in Figures 3, 5 and 6 and also in Figures S.4, S.5 and S.6 in the SI. In Figure 5 it can
372	be seen that the yield of C-6 sugars obtained by using the lowest reaction time was the
373	biggest one. In Section 3.1 it was discussed that lower reaction times, produced lower
374	glucose degradation and thus higher yield of sugars. In this case, experiment 14 was
375	performed with a reaction time of 0.07 s, lower than those used in the previous
376	experiments. So as it was expected when decreasing the reaction time, the yield of sugars
377	increased. Therefore, the reaction time worked as a key factor for the reaction selectivity as
378	it was reported in previous studies (Cantero et al., 2013b). In experiment 14 the highest
379	yield of sugars was achieved, giving as a result a yield of 79 % w/w.
380	With this first part of the experiment (part A) it was possible to maximize the yield
381	of sugars just by changing the reaction time. Once the cellulose was hydrolyzed, the
382	product went through the flash (part B) and it was separated into two phases (liquid, B-L
383	and vapor, B-V), being the. All the results obtained are presented in Table 2, where it can
384	be also seen than the separation ratio (L:V) was approximately 2:1. Indeed, the separation
385	was taking place in the flash chamber in terms of flow distribution. In Figure S.7 in the SI,
386	the results were plotted in ppm in order to compare the effect of the separation over the
387	final concentration of product. As it can be seen in Table 2, tThe flash allowed to increase
388	the concentration of sugars from 10000 ppm to 15000 ppm in the liquid phase only by
389	setting a flash separation after the reaction. In the case of derived products it can be
390	observed that the concentrations of glycolaldehyde and erythrose remained almost the

The yields obtained for each component were plotted together with the previous

370

391 same. The vapor phase was mainly composed by water and that was the reason why the

**Comentado [Lola38]:** Yo esto lo pondría en el otro apartado son distinguir unos experimentos de otros, y en este partado me centraría en el aumento de la concentración de salida con el flash

Comentado [Lola39]: Yo quitaría esta frase

**Comentado [Lola40]:** Pero debía de ser bastante más altas y tampoco se ve que hayan pasado a la fase vapor. La explicación que pones después no e dice nada. Lo que quieres decir es que como están muy diluidos los productos el análisis es poco preciso? Igual deberías poner la incertidumbre de los datos

Igual también deberías facilitar datos de voilatilidad de los componentes para explicar por ejemplo si es esperable que el glicoaldehido se encuentre en la fase vapor por evaporación o sólo por arrastre, porque su concentración es relativamente alta en comparación con los otros productos

392	concentration of hydrolysis products was so low, because just a few-little amount of organic
393	compounds went diluted in the vapor phase. So, the flash chamber proved to be an effective
394	way to increase the concentration of sugars in the final product. The use of the flash
395	chamber in this case gave as a result a liquid stream rich in valuable products such as
396	sugars or glycolaldehyde with lower concentration of 5-HMF. On the other hand, the vapor
397	stream was mainly composed by water and 10 times less carbon content regarding the
398	initial sample (5165 ppm of carbon in part A versus 593 ppm in the vapor phase).

**Comentado [Lola41]:** Poner si es por arrastre o por possible evaporación

## 401 <u>5.4.</u> Conclusions

Cellulose hydrolysis in supercritical water was studied experimentally for 402 403 evaluating the effect of biomass concentration over-on the reactions. It was necessary to 404 increase the reaction time to get total cellulose conversion when highly concentrated 405 suspensions were used. This also favors the conversion of glucose into its derived products. 406 So, cellulose (and biomass) can be selectively (80%) hydrolyzed in supercritical water to 407 sugars with low reaction times and using low concentrations of biomass. If the desired 408 products are glucose derivatives, the biomass concentration did not affect the product yield. 409 To increase the concentration of the products it was proposed the addition of a flash 410 separation, which allows а concentration of 50%.

4	1	1	

412	References	
413		
414	Aida, T.M., Sato, Y., Watanabe, M., Tajima, K., Nonaka, T., Hattori, H., Arai, K. 2007a.	
415	Dehydration of d-glucose in high temperature water at pressures up to 80MPa. The	
416	Journal of Supercritical Fluids, <b>40</b> (3), 381-388.	
417	Aida, T.M., Tajima, K., Watanabe, M., Saito, Y., Kuroda, K., Nonaka, T., Hattori, H.,	
418	Smith Jr, R.L., Arai, K. 2007b. Reactions of d-fructose in water at temperatures up	
419	to 400 °C and pressures up to 100 MPa. The Journal of Supercritical Fluids, 42(1),	
420	110-119.	
421	Akiya, N., Savage, P.E. 2002. Roles of Water for Chemical Reactions in High-Temperature	
422	Water. Chemical Reviews, 102(8), 2725-2750.	
423	Arai, K., Smith, R.L., Aida, T.M. 2009. Decentralized chemical processes with supercritical	
424	fluid technology for sustainable society. The Journal of Supercritical Fluids, 47(3),	
425	628-636.	
426	Bobleter, O. 1994. Hydrothermal degradation of polymers derived from plants. Progress in	
427	Polymer Science (Oxford), <b>19</b> (5), 797-841.	
428	Cantero, D.A., Bermejo, M.D., Cocero, M.J. 2013a. Kinetic analysis of cellulose	
429	depolymerization reactions in near critical water. The Journal of Supercritical	
430	Fluids, <b>75</b> , 48-57.	
431	Cantero, D.A., Dolores Bermejo, M., José Cocero, M. 2013b. High glucose selectivity in	
432	pressurized water hydrolysis of cellulose using ultra-fast reactors. Bioresource	
433	Technology, 135, 697-703.	

434	Ehara,	K.,	Saka,	S.	2002.	А	comparative	study	on	chemical	conversion	of	cellulose
-----	--------	-----	-------	----	-------	---	-------------	-------	----	----------	------------	----	-----------

- between the batch-type and flow-type systems in supercritical water. *Cellulose*, 9(3-4), 301-311.
- Ehara, K., Saka, S. 2005. Decomposition behavior of cellulose in supercritical water,
  subcritical water, and their combined treatments. *Journal of Wood Science*, 51(2),
  148-153.
- Goyal, H.B., Seal, D., Saxena, R.C. 2008. Bio-fuels from thermochemical conversion of
  renewable resources: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 12(2),
- 442 504-517.
- Guo, H., Qi, X., Li, L., Smith Jr, R.L. 2012. Hydrolysis of cellulose over functionalized
  glucose-derived carbon catalyst in ionic liquid. *Bioresource Technology*, 116(0),
  355-359.
- Kim, J., Yun, S., Ounaies, Z. 2006. Discovery of Cellulose as a Smart Material. *Macromolecules*, 39(12), 4202-4206.
- 448 Kumar, S., Gupta, R., Lee, Y.Y., Gupta, R.B. 2010. Cellulose pretreatment in subcritical
- water: Effect of temperature on molecular structure and enzymatic reactivity. *Bioresource Technology*, **101**(4), 1337-1347.
- 451 Matsumura, Y., Sasaki, M., Okuda, K., Takami, S., Ohara, S., Umetsu, M., Adschiri, T.
- 452 2006. Supercritical water treatment of biomass for energy and material recovery.
  453 *Combustion Science and Technology*, **178**(1-3), 509-536.
- 454 McKendry, P. 2002. Energy production from biomass (part 2): conversion technologies.
- 455 *Bioresource Technology*, **83**(1), 47-54.

**Con formato:** No revisar la ortografía ni la gramática

Con formato: No revisar la ortografía ni la gramática

456	Onda $\Delta$ (	Ochi T	Vanagisawa	K	2009 H	vdrolve	is of	Cellulose	Selectively	into.	Glucose
450	Ollua, A., C	Jun, 1.,	1 allagisawa,	n.	2009.11	yurorys	15 01	Centulose	Selectively	muo	Olucose

- 457 Over Sulfonated Activated-Carbon Catalyst Under Hydrothermal Conditions.
  458 *Topics in Catalysis*, **52**(6-7), 801-807.
- Rearden, P., Sajonz, P., Guiochon, G. 1998. Detailed study of the mass transfer kinetics of
  Tröger's base on cellulose triacetate. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 813(1), 1-9.
- 461 Rogalinski, T., Ingram, T., Brunner, G. 2008. Hydrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass in
- water under elevated temperatures and pressures. *The Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, 47(1), 54-63.
- 464 Sasaki, M., Adschiri, T., Arai, K. 2003a. Fractionation of sugarcane bagasse by
  465 hydrothermal treatment. *Bioresource Technology*, 86(3), 301-304.
- Sasaki, M., Adschiri, T., Arai, K. 2003b. Production of Cellulose II from Native Cellulose
  by Near- and Supercritical Water Solubilization. *Journal of Agricultural and Food*
- 468 *Chemistry*, **51**(18), 5376-5381.

469 Sasaki, M., Goto, K., Tajima, K., Adschiri, T., Arai, K. 2002. Rapid and selective retro-

- 470 aldol condensation of glucose to glycolaldehyde in supercritical water. *Green*471 *Chemistry*, 4(3), 285-287.
- 472 Sluiter, J.B., Hames, B., Ruiz, R., Scarlata, C., Sluiter, J., Templeton, D., Crocker, D. 2010.
  473 Laboratory Analytical Procedure: Determination of structural carbohydrates and
- 474 lignin in biomass.
- Tollefson, J. 2008. Energy: Not your father's biofuels. *Nature News*, 451(7181), 880-883
  %\* © 2008 Nature Publishing Group.

4/7 wyiliali, C.E., Dale, D.E., Elaliuel, K.I., Holtzapple, W., Lauisch, W.K., Lee, I.I. 2	477	Wyman,	C.E.,	Dale,	B.E.,	Elander,	R.T.,	Holtzapple,	М.,	Ladisch,	M.R.,	Lee.	Y.Y.	200
--	-----	--------	-------	-------	-------	----------	-------	-------------	-----	----------	-------	------	------	-----

- 478 Coordinated development of leading biomass pretreatment technologies.
  479 *Bioresource Technology*, **96**(18), 1959-1966.
- Zhang, L., Xu, C., Champagne, P. 2010. Overview of recent advances in thermo-chemical
  conversion of biomass. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 51(5), 969-982.
- Zhao, Y., Lu, W.-J., Wang, H.-T. 2009a. Supercritical hydrolysis of cellulose for
  oligosaccharide production in combined technology. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, **150**(2–3), 411-417.
- Zhao, Y., Lu, W.-J., Wang, H.-T., Li, D. 2009b. Combined Supercritical and Subcritical
  Process for Cellulose Hydrolysis to Fermentable Hexoses. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*,
  487 43(5), 1565-1570.

490	Tables and Figures Caption
491	Table 1. Experimental conditions (at 400 °C, 25 MPa) and cellulose conversion for
492	experiments without using the flash.
493	Table 2. TOC and HPLC results for liquid products operating with (B) or without
494	(A) flash chamber (Fig. 1).
495	Figure 1. Experimental set up with flash chamber and heat integration.
496	Figure 2. Reaction pathway for cellulose hydrolysis.
497	Figure 3. Conversion depending on reaction time and cellulose concentration.
498	Figure 4. Kinetic study for 5, 15 and 20 % w/w of cellulose using a first order
499	kinetic.
500	Figure 5. C-6 sugars yield depending on reaction time and cellulose concentration.
501	Blue arrow represents concentration trend and orange arrow represents reaction time trend.
502	Figure 6. Glycolaldehyde yield depending on reaction time and cellulose
503	concentration.

# 505 Tables and Figures

## 506 Table 1.

Exp	Concentration (% w/w)	Reaction time, $t_{R}(s)$	Conversion, X (Eq. 1)
1	4.8	0.13	1.00
2	10.0	0.12	1.00
3	15.0	0.13	0.86
4	20.0	0.15	0.47
5	5.0	0.19	1.00
6	10.0	0.31	1.00
7	15.0	0.17	0.82
8	20.0	0.24	0.52
9	5.0	0.64	1.00
10	10.0	0.64	1.00
11	15.0	0.40	1.00
12	20.0	0.64	0.97
13	20.0	1.00	1.00
14A	5.0	0.07	1.00

509 Table 2.

HPLC (ppm)

Exp	t <sub>R</sub> (s)	Flow rate (mL/s)	М <sub>тос</sub> (ppm)	C-6 sugars	Glycolaldehyde	5-HMF	Erythrose	Glyceraldehyde
14A	0.07	0.60	5165	10005	2084	26	588	0
14B-L	0.08	0.39 (66 % A)	9303	14499	2402	29	666	0
14B-V	0.08	0.18 (30 % A)	593	135	637	1	0	0















**Comentado [Lola42]:** Yo pondría la concentración de celulosa a la entrada del reactor, y pondría que esos % son de concentración de celulosa para que la figura pueda ser leída individualmente

Una sugerencia, en este caso en vez de poner los bloque no sería más claro 4 líneas de tendencia con los puntos experimentales(una por concentración) que indiquen como evoluciona la conversión con el tiempo? Yo creo que sería muchísimo más claro





Código de campo cambiado





**Comentado [Lola43]:** Sugiero concentraciones a la entrada del reactor y mencionan en la figura cuales que son estos % Él que está a 1 s por qué tiene otro color? A mi lo de las flechas no me resulta una forma de expresarlo muy clara, yo le daría una vuelta Lo mismo para la figura 6

