Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

This article was originally published in *Comprehensive Biotechnology, Second Edition*, published by Elsevier, and the attached copy is provided by Elsevier for the author's benefit and for the benefit of the author's institution, for non-commercial research and educational use including without limitation use in instruction at your institution, sending it to specific colleagues who you know, and providing a copy to your institution's administrator.



All other uses, reproduction and distribution, including without limitation commercial reprints, selling or licensing copies or access, or posting on open internet sites, your personal or institution's website or repository, are prohibited. For exceptions, permission may be sought for such use through Elsevier's permissions site at:

http://www.elsevier.com/locate/permissionusematerial

Guieysse B, Quijano G, and Muñoz R (2011) Bioreactors – Design | Airlift Bioreactors. In: Murray Moo-Young (ed.), *Comprehensive Biotechnology, Second Edition*, volume 2, pp. 199–212. Elsevier.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

2.16 Airlift Bioreactors

B Guieysse, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand G Quijano, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN (Cinvestav), Mexico City, Mexico R Muñoz, Universidad de Valladolid, Valladolid, Spain

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

2 16 1	Introduction	201
2.16.2	Reactor Configurations	201
2.16.3	Power Input	202
2.16.4	Gas-Liguid Hydrodynamics	204
2.16.4.1	GasHoldup	204
2.16.4.2	Liquid Circulation Velocity	204
2.16.4.3	Gas–liquid separators	205
2.16.4.4	Hydrodynamic Shear Stresses	207
2.16.5	Mass Transfer	207
2.16.5.1	Gas/Liquid Mass Transfer	207
2.16.5.2	Liquid–Solid and Liquid–Liquid Mass Transfer	208
2.16.6	Heat Transfer	209
2.16.7	Mixing	209
2.16.8	Applications	211
2.16.9	Conclusions	211
References		212

Glossary

airlift (bio)reactor A configuration of pneumatically agitated (bio)reactor where injection of a gas stream takes place into a special section of the reactor known as the riser, which causes the reactor broth to circulate between the riser section and a section containing a lower (or negligible) volume of gas phase known as the downcomer. downcomer A section of an airlift (bio)reactor that contains a much lower (or negligible) amount of gas phase than the riser section of the reactor. pneumatically agitated (bio)reactor A configuration of agitated (bio)reactor where injection of a gas stream serves for both mixing and exchange of substrates and products. riser A section of an airlift (bio) reactor where the gas stream is injected.

Nomenclature		$A_{\rm de}$	minimum area of the downcomer entrance for efficient gas
Reactor Geometry			disengagement (m²)
Н	reactor height (m)	d_0	diameter of the sparger orifice (m)
d	reactor diameter (m)	$d_{ m r}$	riser diameter (m)
$A_{\rm d}$	cross-sectional area of downcomer (m ²)	x	liquid height in the connecting
$A_{\rm r}$	cross-sectional area of riser (m ²)		section (m)
1	distance between the riser and the		
	downcomer (m)	Liquid Phase	
W	width of the gas-liquid separator duct	$V_{\rm L}$	volume liquid phase (m ³)
	(m)	$\rho_{\rm L}$	density liquid phase (kg m ⁻³)
$h_{ m L}$	height of the gas-free liquid (m)	σ	surface tension of the cultivation
$h_{\rm D}$	gas–liquid dispersion height (m)		medium (N m^{-1})
A_{b}	area available for flow under the draft tube (m^2)	$\mu_{\rm eff}$	effective viscosity of the cultivation medium (Pa s)

$\mu_{\rm L}$	viscosity of the liquid phase (Pas)	$C_{\rm p}$	substrate concentration in the solid/
κ _Ι	top zone (–)	D	substrate diffusivity in the liquid phase
K _B	form friction loss coefficients for the		$(m^2 s^{-1})$
11,	Dottom zone ($-$) mean liquid circulation Velocity (m s ⁻¹)	8	gravitational acceleration constant $(m s^{-2})$
	liquid velocity in the riser (m s^{-1})	R	$(III G^{-1})$
U _I H	liquid velocity in the connecting duct	We	Weber number (_)
- 111	$(m s^{-1})$	Fr	Froude number (–)
$U_{\rm Ld}$	liquid superficial velocity at the	ω, ν	empirical parameters in eqn 6 (as
	entrance of the downcomer (m s^{-1})	,	appropriate)
<i>U</i> _c	mean liquid circulation velocity (m s ⁻¹)	Ω	empirical parameter in eqn 13 (m ⁻¹)
		Ψ	empirical parameter in eqn 18 (-)
Gas Phase		k , α , β , δ , and ϕ	empirical parameters in eqns 19 and 20
٤ _G	overall gas holdup (–)	Sh	Sherwood number (–)
٤ _{Gr}	gas holdup in riser (–)	Sc	Schmidt number (–)
€ Gd	gas holdup in downcomer (–)	Re	Reynolds number (–)
$V_{\rm G}$	volume of gas phase (m ³)	<, χ, and θ	empirical parameters in eqn 23 (as
$Q_{\rm m}$	molar gas flow rate (mol s ⁻¹)	c	appropriate)
Т	temperature of the gas phase (K)	3	energy dissipation rate from
$U_{ m Gr}$	superficial gas velocity in the		turbulence $(m^2 s^{-3})$
17	riser (m s ⁻¹)	Ou	heat-transfer rate (W)
$U_{ m g}$	superficial gas velocity based on the	h_{T}	overall heat-transfer coefficient
11.	f bubble rise velocity (m s ⁻¹)		$(W m^{-2} °C^{-1})$
Ub	bubble fise velocity (iii s)	$A_{ m H}$	heat-transfer area (m^2)
Solid Phase		$T_{\rm s}$	temperature of the heating/cooling
Va	volume of suspended solid phases (m ³)		surface (°C)
Esr.	solid holdup in riser (–)	$T_{\mathbf{b}}$	temperature of bulk fermentation
€ _{Sd}	solid holdup in downcomer (–)	\$2	medium (°C)
ρ _s	density of the solids (kg m^{-3})	0-	$(m^2 -1)$
dр	particle diameter (m)	_	shear stress (Pa)
	L ()	A	consistency index (–)
Others		п	flow index (–)
$P_{\rm G}$	power input (W)	С	proportionality constant in eqns 30
$P_{\rm h}$	headspacepressure (Pa)		and 32
Ŷ	average shear rate (s^{-1})		$(W \text{ s mol}^{-1})$
N _{G/L}	mass-transfer rate (mol m ° s ⁻)	Q_x	microbial heat production and 32
C	liquid-phase saturation concentration		$(W \text{ s mol}^{-1})$
	of the transferring substrate in	Q_{0_2}	oxygen uptake rate (mol m ^o s ⁻)
	$(mol m^{-3})$	t _m	dimensional assemining time (s)
Cr	concentration of the transferring	Om	mean cycling time (s)
CL	substrate in the bulk liquid phase	l _c F	overall axial dispersion coefficient of
	$(\text{mol }\text{m}^{-3})$	LZ	the liquid phase $(m^2 s^{-1})$
KL	gas-liquid mass-transfer coefficient	Bo	Bodenstein number (–)
	$(m \ s^{-1})$	L	distance between tracer injection and
а	specific gas-liquid interfacial area		detection points (m)
	(m^{-1})	Lc	length of the circulation loop (m)
ap	specific liquid-solid interfacial area	C_t	instantaneous tracer concentration
1	(111)	0	(mol m °)
d_{32}	Sauter mean gas bubble diameter (m)	$C_{t\infty}$	equilibrium tracer concentration
d _{max}	maximum stable hubble size (m)		(Inor m °)
N _{L/p}	mass-transferrate from the liquid to the	Λ 12	dimensionless distance ()
. D.P	dispersed particles (mol $m^{-3} s^{-1}$)	μ t	instantaneous time (s)
K _p	mass-transfer coefficient of the	I.	distance traveled by the fluid at time $t(m)$
	dispersed phase (m s^{-1})		

2.16.1 Introduction

Pneumatically agitated bioreactors take advantage of the injection of a gaseous stream (often air) to provide mixing and mediate transfer of gaseous substances (i.e., O₂ and CO₂) with the liquid phase. However, unlike in classical pneumatically agitated reactors where liquid mixing is random (i.e., bubble column), the specific design of airlift reactors (ALRs) causes the liquid to circulate between two interconnected zones known as the riser and the downcomer [1]. The riser and the downcomer are connected by a specific reactor base allowing for liquid circulation and by a gas–liquid separator at the top. Under typical operation conditions, air is injected below the riser section and the removal of gas in the separator generates a mean density gradient between the riser and downcomer zones that causes the liquid broth to circulate (Figure 1). The function of the gas separator is to support efficient gas–liquid disengagement. The fraction of gas introduced in the downcomer section depends on design and operational variables. This fraction has a significant effect on fluid dynamics and, consequently, reactor performance.

The focalized introduction of energy for mixing in classical bioreactors generates large shear gradients that cause cells to experience mechanical stress in areas of high turbulence and suboptimal solutes concentrations (i.e., O_2 , CO_2 , H^+ , and toxins etc.) and or temperature conditions in areas of low turbulence [2]. By contrast, liquid circulation between the riser and the downcomer (rather than gas injection) is the main contributor to fluid dynamics in ALRs. Because liquid circulation is caused by the gradient between the average fluid densities in the two reactor sections, there is no focal point of energy dissipation and shear forces are very homogeneous within each section, causingless cellular stress. The ALRs also supposedly support higher mass-transfer rates per energy input than classical systems and transfer efficiency (i.e., the amount of O_2 transferred per power input) is much less affected by power input in ALRs than in classical systems. The two main advantages of ALRs described here explain why these systems are often preferred for the cultivation of shear-sensitive mammalian and plant cells or during wastewater treatment applications requiring efficient energy use (aeration costs represent roughly 50% of the energy costs during domestic wastewater treatment).

Research and development on ALRs has hitherto focused in demonstrating the potential of this system in new applications or modeling the complex relationships between design and operational parameters and fluid dynamics and mass transfer. Many experimental and mechanistic models that can describe ALR operation and performance are thus available [3]. However, the validity of these models is too often limited to specific applications or reactor configurations. For this reason, only the most relevant, widely accepted, and generic models are presented here in order to illustrate how design and operational parameters influence fluid dynamics and mass-transfer properties.