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## Preface

## Preface

One of the main goals of research in Chemistry nowadays is to achieve more efficient chemical syntheses. The work in this thesis is intended to contribute to this goal by improving the efficiency of palladium catalyzed processes. With this aim, the research is focused on the use of novel catalysts in combination with mechanistic studies of the steps that constitute the catalytic cycles. Two different types of catalytic processes were chosen: cross-coupling reactions of arenes that involve C - H activation, and cross-coupling processes that use carbene precursors. Both are attractive reactions to achieve molecular complexity in a reduced number of synthetic steps: The first one because no prefunctionalization of the arenes, the available raw materials, is needed; the second one because the formation of more than one C-C or C-X bonds occurs in just one synthetic step. The analysis of catalytic and stoichiometric reactions along with kinetic and computational studies, led us to gain insight into the mechanistic aspects of these reactions that could help to a rational design of better catalytic systems.

This dissertation is organized into two main blocks, each block focusing in one of the reaction types mentioned above and comprising a general introductory chapter, to establish the main context and to define the basic concepts that will be used throughout the block, and several chapters describing the work done.

The first block describes the research on Pd-catalysed C-C cross-coupling reactions with C-H activation steps. After a general introduction (Chapter 1), Chapter 2 describes the aerobic oxidative Heck-type reaction of fluorinated and non-fluorinated arenes catalyzed by well-defined Pd-complexes bearing cooperating bipyridone-type ligands which assist in the C-H cleavage transition state. The optimal set of conditions using oxygen as a sole oxidant and catalytic amounts of additives were investigated, increasing, by doing so, the
sustainability of the global process. Chapter 3 explores the solution speciation of the bipyridone cooperating ligands, employed in the abovementioned reaction and useful in other arene functionalization reactions, with commercial $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ salts. These studies led us to determine the complexes that are most efficient precatalysts in cross-coupling reactions with simple arenes.

The second part is a contribution to palladium-mediated carbene chemistry, focusing on the less studied non-stabilized monosubstituted palladium carbenes with alkyl or phenyl as a substituent (:CHR ${ }^{1}$ ) or palladium-carbenes bearing only one heteroatom substituent (: $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{X}), \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NEt}_{2}$ ). Chapters 5 and 6 describe a thorough study, by experimental and computationally methods, of the migratory insertion processes of elusive palladium carbene intermediates formed by reaction of diazoalkanes and hydrazones. This is the key C-C bond-forming step in Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions involving carbene precursors. Finally, Chapter 7 aims to explore the behaviour of palladium complexes with the unusual :CHNR2 carbene-fragment via transmetallation from a well-defined $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ complex to $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes. This work was carried out in collaboration with the group of Prof. Pedro. J. Pérez (CIQSO, Universidad de Huelva) and with Prof. Agustí Lledós (Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona) who has been responsible for the DFT calculations in this chapter.

The present dissertation is written in English as a part of my doctoral training. Those chapters describing the results of this work (Chapters 2, 3, 5-7) are self-contained and are subdivided into four sections: Introduction, Results and Discussion, Conclusions and Experimental Part. This dissertation contains an Appendix with a list of abbreviations used and an index of the compounds described in each chapter, numbered in order of appearance. The references are presented as footnotes in every chapter and are also collected in the appendix as a list. Since this thesis is written in English, to comply with the current regulations of the UVa a brief summary of the results is presented in Spanish with its own bibliography and the general conclusions.

# Part I 

## Pd-Catalyzed C-C Cross-Coupling Reactions with C-H Activation Steps

## Chapter 1

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Conventional Pd-catalysed C-C cross-coupling reactions

Among the versatile synthetic approaches known to date, transition metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions have been considered as one of the great discoveries of the last century. The 2010 Nobel Prize in chemistry was awarded to Professors Richard F. Heck, Ei-ichi Negishi and Akira Suzuki because of their research contributions to cross-coupling reactions as the most important keystone on palladium catalysis. These C-C bond formation reactions represent a powerful strategy in both academic and industrial fields to access complex molecular scaffolds in synthetic chemistry. ${ }^{1}$

In a simplified way, these reactions involve two main reagents: an organic electrophile $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ - X and an organometallic nucleophile $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{R}^{2}$ that couple in the presence of a metal catalyst (Scheme 1.1). In most cases, cross-coupling reactions use late-transition metals as catalysts, mainly palladium, and in this chapter, the discussion will focus on this metal. In addition to organometallic nucleophiles, with a direct "M-C" bond, there are other nucleophiles as coupling partners capable of carrying out those transformations. Cross-coupling reactions can involve alkenes, commonly referred to as Heck-type

[^0]reactions, ${ }^{2}$ amines (Buchwald-Hartwig amination), ${ }^{3,4}$ organosulfur compounds (sulfenylation reactions) ${ }^{4,5}$ or alcohols (and other $O$-containing surrogates such as vinyl ethers, phenols or epoxides). ${ }^{4}$
a) $\quad R^{1}-X+M-R^{2} \xrightarrow{[P d]} R^{1}-R^{2}+M-X$
b) $R^{1}-X+=R^{R^{2}}+$ base $\xrightarrow{[\mathrm{Pd}]} \mathrm{R}^{1} \mathrm{R}^{R^{1}}+($ baseH $) \mathrm{X}$


Scheme 1.1 General equations of Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions. a) M-R ${ }^{2}$ : $\mathrm{Li}-\mathrm{R}^{2}, \mathrm{MgXR}^{2}$ (Kumada), ${ }^{6} \mathrm{ZnR}^{2} \mathrm{X}$ (Negishi), ${ }^{7} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{AlR}^{2}$, $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnR}^{2}$, (Stille), ${ }^{8} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{BR}^{2}$ (Miyaura-Suzuki), ${ }^{9} \mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{SiR}^{2}$ (Hiyama), ${ }^{10} \mathrm{CuR}^{2}$ (Sonogashira). ${ }^{11} \mathbf{b}$ ) Olefin (Heck). ${ }^{2} \mathrm{R}^{1}-\mathrm{X}: \mathrm{R}^{1}=$ alkyl, aryl, vinyl, heteroaryl; $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{OTf}$. c) General catalytic cycle for reactions in Equation. a).

A general accepted mechanistic interpretation of a cross-coupling catalytic cycle involves three fundamental steps: a) oxidative addition of the organic electrophile

[^1]$\mathrm{R}^{1}-\mathrm{X}$ to palladium(0) to form a $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ complex intermediate, $\left[\mathrm{PdL}_{2} \mathrm{R}^{1} \mathrm{X}\right]$; b) transmetallation of the nucleophile $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{R}^{2}$ to form intermediate $\left[\mathrm{PdL}_{2} \mathrm{R}^{1} \mathrm{R}^{2}\right]$; c) reductive elimination to release the desired coupling product $R^{1}-R^{2}$ and regenerate the $\mathrm{Pd}(0)$ species, thus completing a catalytic cycle (Scheme 1.1, c). Several additional steps including off-cycle precatalyst activation, isomerization processes and ligand substitutions could take place.

### 1.2 Pd-catalysed C-C cross-coupling reactions with C-H activation steps

In the previous conventional cross-coupling reactions, electrophiles and nucleophiles as reactants are obtained by prefunctionalization of their corresponding hydrocarbons as raw materials. In this aspect the poor atom- and step-economy of these prefunctionalizations is not ideal, and it does not fit well with the Green Chemistry concept. ${ }^{12}$ During the last decades, tremendous efforts have been devoted to develop novel synthetic methodologies to achieve $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ or $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ cross-coupling reactions by direct activation and functionalization of C-H bonds. ${ }^{13}$

To clarify some of the terminology used throughout this introduction and the following chapters, Chapter 2 and Chapter 3, with regard to the "C-H activation or C-H functionzalization" concepts it is worth mention that we talk about C-H bond activation to refer to the formation of a metal complex in which the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond has been broken affording a new M-C bond. Conversely, the term C-H bond functionalization refers to the process of transforming a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond to a new $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ bond where X could be carbon or heteroatom. In most cases the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ functionalization is preceded by a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation event. ${ }^{14}$

There is, at least, one C-H activation step involved in the catalytic cycle for those strategies that replace one or two coupling partners of a conventional cross-coupling reaction by a hydrocarbon (cf. a and b-c in Scheme 1.2). In the direct arylation scenario (Scheme 1.2, b), no prefunctionalization to give an organometallic compound is required since the hydrocarbon is directly used, avoiding this way multiple

[^2]
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synthetic steps. The substitution of either the organic electrophile or both coupling partners by hydrocarbons is also possible (Scheme 1.2, c), but usually requires the presence of an oxidant and they are called oxidative C-C couplings (see the following section).

a)


c.1)

c.2) $\mathrm{R}^{1}-\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{R}^{2}-\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Oxd} \xrightarrow{[\mathrm{Pd}]} \mathrm{R}^{1}-\mathrm{R}^{2}+\mathrm{OxdH}_{2}$

Scheme 1.2 C-C bond formation strategies. a) Traditional/conventional C-C coupling, b) Direct arylation reaction, c) Oxidative $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ couplings.

Mechanistically, the transmetallation step is replaced by a C-H activation step for a direct arylation reaction ( $c f$. a) and b) in Scheme 1.3). Overall, this methodology implies a considerable reduction of useless byproducts at the end of the reaction which makes these chemical processes more sustainable.


Scheme 1.3 General catalytic cycles of palladium-catalysed a) traditional cross-coupling reactions. b) cross coupling reactions by direct arylation.

### 1.3 Oxidative C-C cross-coupling reactions

An oxidative coupling is a process that creates a new bond between two nucleophiles, ${ }^{15}$ which could be non-functionalized hydrocarbons as mentioned before, heteroatom centered nucleophiles such as amines, alcohols and also organometallic reagents (Scheme 1.4). These reactions usually need an oxidant that makes the reaction thermodynamically more favoured.

The most environmentally attractive method, in terms of improving step economy and reducing the waste, is the direct cross-coupling of two hydrocarbons by double C-H activation (Scheme 1.4, b) and $\mathbf{c}$ )..$^{16}$ These reactions in which the C-C bond formation has been carried out by the consecutive cleavage of two C - H bonds, are called "cross-dehydrogenative couplings" (CDC). ${ }^{17}$
a) $\left.\mathrm{R}^{1}-\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{R}^{2} \xrightarrow[{\text { [oxidant }}]\right]{[\mathrm{M}]} \mathrm{R}^{1}-\mathrm{R}^{2}+\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OxdH}$
b) $\left.\mathrm{R}^{1}-\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{R}^{2}-\mathrm{H} \xrightarrow[{\text { [oxidant }}]\right]{[\mathrm{M}]} \mathrm{R}^{1}-\mathrm{R}^{2}+\mathrm{OxdH}_{2}$
c) $\mathrm{R}^{1}-\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{R}^{2}-\mathrm{H} \xrightarrow{[M]} \mathrm{R}^{1}-\mathrm{R}^{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$

Scheme 1.4 Different oxidative C-C bond formation.

Among these cross-dehydrogenative couplings, one of the first works was reported by Fujiwara and Moritani describing the coupling between simple arenes and styrene using stoichiometric amounts of a styrene-palladium chloride complex. ${ }^{18}$ When palladium is used in sub-stoichiometric amounts (catalytic conditions), an external oxidant is required. This Pd-catalyzed coupling of arenes with alkenes are called

[^3]
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oxidative Heck, dehydrogenative Heck (DHR) or Fujiwara-Moritani reaction (Scheme 1.5, b). ${ }^{19}$


Scheme 1.5 Examples of cross-dehydrogenative coupling between a) a polyfluorinated arene and a simple arene (ref: 16) and $\mathbf{b}$ ) a polyfluorinated arene an akene (ref: 19).

A simplified catalytic cycle for the oxidative cross-coupling of two hydrocarbons is depicted in Scheme 1.6. Usually, the catalyst initiates the catalytic cycle as a palladium(II) species which has two monoanionic groups ( $\mathrm{X}^{-}$) acting as ligands. In these reactions, one of the hydrocarbons, $\mathrm{R}^{1} \mathrm{H}$, reacts with the palladium catalyst to form an organometallic complex by C-H activation. The second organic fragment, $\mathrm{R}^{2}$, is introduced in the metal coordination sphere via C-H cleavage in a second C-H activation process. For reactions where the second coupling partner is an organometallic derivative MR $^{2}$ (Scheme 1.4, a), the latter step is a transmetallation. Finally, reductive elimination affords the desired coupling product $\mathrm{R}^{1}-\mathrm{R}^{2}$ and releases a palladium( 0 ) species. In order to restart the catalytic cycle, an external oxidant is needed to re-oxidize $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ to $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$. It is important to note that the oxidant only acts as an electron acceptor without going into the coupling products. The mechanism of the oxidative Heck reaction differs with respect to the cross-coupling of two simple arenes since one of the coupling partners is an alkene. After the C - H cleavage step for the $\mathrm{R}^{1}-\mathrm{H}$ reactant, a coordination of the olefin followed by a migratory insertion into the metal-carbon bond is proposed ( $M-R^{1}$ ).

Very recent achievements have been carried out in oxidative cross-coupling reactions, trying to circumvent the use of oxidants. In this way, the ideal coupling process

[^4]is depicted in Scheme 1.4, c) in which no oxidant is required and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ as the only byproduct is generated, leading to a reaction with great atom economy. In this context, thermal transition metal catalysis, photochemistry and electrochemistry have emerged as powerful tools for $\mathrm{R}^{1}-\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{R}^{2}-\mathrm{H}$ cross-coupling reactions with hydrogen evolution. ${ }^{20}$ However, most oxidative coupling processes still require the presence of an oxidant.


Scheme 1.6 General catalytic cycle for palladium-catalyzed oxidative cross-coupling reactions involving two $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation steps with oxygen as an oxidant.

Among all effective oxidants known in oxidative reactions, metal salts such as silver(I), copper(II), Mn(VII) have been widely reported (see examples in Scheme 1.5). Likewise, organic oxidants such as benzoquinone derivatives ( $p$-BQ, DDQ), hypervalent iodine derivatives or various peroxides derivatives have been also used. Many of them are associated with a negative environmental impact due to their toxic properties or byproduct generation, so the choice of oxidant in these reactions is very important to keep them sustainable. Molecular oxygen is readily available, inexpensive and non-toxic, being the ideal green oxidant since hydrogen peroxide or water are the only byproducts.

[^5]
### 1.4 Mechanistic features for aerobic oxidations

When the oxidative step in palladium-catalyzed reactions is performed using molecular oxygen as a terminal oxidant, it generally acts as a two electron/ two proton acceptor in the metal oxidation and it is not involved in oxygen-atom transfer to the substrates. These reactions are called oxidase-type (Scheme 1.7), ${ }^{21 \mathrm{c}}$ in contrast to the oxygenase-type reactions where there is oxygen-atom transfer to the substrate.


Scheme 1.7 Representation of a general Pd-catalyzed oxidase-type process.

Recent developments in the selective transformation of organic molecules by transition-metal catalyzed aerobic oxidation reactions provide a broad scope of value-added products. ${ }^{21}$ However, it is well-known that the use of oxygen is a challenge for several reasons: it is very stable, both thermodynamically and kinetically, and the ground state is a triplet, hindering the activation on a singlet potential energy surface. Thus, this gives rise to higher energetic barriers for electron transfer leading to unfavourable kinetics associated with this process. To circumvent these issues, some electron-transfer mediators (ETMs) have been used in combination with oxygen to successfully achieve the oxidation of $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$, such as a sub-stoichiometric amount of copper salts, hydroquinone (HQ), cobalt Schiff base complex [Co(salophen)], iron phthalocyanines, $\mathrm{NaNO}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}$, among others. ${ }^{22}$

The solubility of oxygen in organic solvents or in binary mixtures is an important property to take into account. For oxidative metal-catalyzed reactions, it has been observed that at elevated catalyst loading, the rate of catalytic turnover is limited

[^6]by the oxygen solubility when a polar solvent is used (DMSO, amides). ${ }^{23}$ Therefore, the mass-transfer of oxygen gas into solution can be rate-limiting and it can govern the kinetics of the overall process albeit the actual oxidation reaction is not the turnover-limiting step of the catalysis. This is important in the oxidation of $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ to $\operatorname{Pd}($ II $)$ by molecular oxygen. The intrinsic instability of homogenous $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ species can lead to decomposition to Pd black if the oxidation by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, in a rate-limiting mass-transfer scenario, is not fast enough. To overcome this gas-liquid mass-transfer limitation, several strategies can be used, like the increase of the concentration of dissolved oxygen by conducting the reaction at elevated oxygen pressures or the use of solvents with higher oxygen solubility (i.e. solvents with low polarity).

Scheme 1.8 represents the accepted mechanisms for the direct oxidation of reduced palladium species $\left[\operatorname{Pd}(0) \mathrm{L}_{n}\right]$ with molecular oxygen. The oxidation route is strongly dependent on the palladium complex and its specific set of ligands. ${ }^{24}$ Isolated complexes that illustrate both types of reactivity have been reported.

Mechanism A): A $\eta^{2}$-peroxo palladium(II) complex is formed as a result of molecular oxygen activation by reduced palladium(0) species. A large array of evidence for this pathway has been reported by different authors such as Stahl and Goldberg, among others. ${ }^{25}$ Computational studies and one experimental observation employing a bulky carbene (IPr), ${ }^{25 b}$ indicate that $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reacts with the $\mathrm{Pd}(0)$ species by initial formation of an end-on superoxo- $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{I})$ complex that rearranges to form a $\eta^{2}$-peroxo palladium(II) complex (Scheme 1.8, A). A double sequential protonation of this complex regenerates the active catalytic species $\left[\mathrm{PdL}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right]$ with the concomitant production of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$.

[^7]

Scheme 1.8 Representation of the proposed mechanisms for $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ reoxidation with molecular oxygen. A) $\operatorname{Pd}(0) / \eta^{2}$-peroxo palladium(II) complex pathway. B) $\operatorname{Pd}(0) / \operatorname{Pd}(I I)$-hydride pathway.

Mechanism B) consists of the initial protonation of $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ species to form a $\left[\mathrm{PdHL}_{n} \mathrm{X}\right]$ intermediate. Oxygen insertion into the Pd-H bond of this species, afford a hydroperoxo complex. The presence of an additional equimolecular amount of Brønsted acid leads to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\left[\mathrm{PdL}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right]$ (Scheme 1.8, B). Typically, hydrogen peroxide undergoes rapid disproportionation under catalytic conditions. A [PdHL $\left.{ }_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}\right]$ intermediate can also be generated by a $\beta$-hydride elimination step in the catalytic reaction. However, the presence of a palladium hydride does not determine the oxidation route since it can undergo oxygen insertion or it can release HX in a reductive elimination step to form
$\left[\operatorname{Pd}(0) \mathrm{L}_{n}\right]$ which can react with oxygen to give a peroxo derivative (mechanism $\left.\mathbf{A}\right) .{ }^{26}$ The elucidation of the mechanism that operates in the oxidation of $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ species is difficult and, in some systems, both pathways are plausible. ${ }^{27}$

Waymouth et al. have reported how peroxopalladium(II) species bearing $N, N^{\prime}$-chelating ligands can react with reduced palladium species to give dinuclear complexes " $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{OH})_{2}{ }^{2+"}$, or with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ species to afford trinuclear complexes with a $\left[\left(\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\right]^{2+}$ core. ${ }^{28}$ Therefore the involvement of polynuclear species in the oxidation process is also possible.

### 1.5 Mechanisms of C-H Activation

C-H bond activation is a necessary step in direct arylation and oxidative cross-coupling reactions of hydrocarbons. However, the C-H activation processes entail significant challenges such as:

Reactivity: C-H bonds possess large bond dissociation energies, in the range of $100-120 \mathrm{Kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} .{ }^{29}$ This along with the low polarity of C-H bonds make them fairly unreactive in comparison to $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ or M-C bonds.

Site-selectivity: performing a good control in site selectivity in molecules that contain multiple C-H bonds with similar electronic environments is troublesome since C-H bonds are ubiquitous in organic compounds. Reactions that afford multiple regioisomers can benefit molecular diversity (compound bank in Medicinal Chemistry, for instance) as long as all the isomers can be separated and obtained as pure compounds. This strategy has been widely employed in late-stage functionalization of C-H bonds (C-HLSF). ${ }^{13 \mathrm{~d}}$ However, the separation of regioisomers is not always easy and to develop regioselective C-H functionalization is an important challenge. In these cases, the use of directing groups (DGs) have become the strategy of choice to allow site-selective

[^8]functionalization (Scheme 1.9, a)). ${ }^{30}$ Since most of these reactions proceed through cyclometallated chelate complexes as intermediates, the term "chelate-assisted" has been introduced to describe these transformations. The general accepted mechanism initiates by DG coordination to the catalyst and this directs the activation to the aromatic C-H bond in the proximity of the metal. This results in high levels of regioselectivity and also in the increase in the reactivity because the coordination of the arene is easier in a chelate ligand. Beyond these advantages, the use of DGs present certain limitations. For example, the C-H functionalization commonly occurs at the C-H bond ortho to the DG (ortho-functionalized products) since the formation of 5- or 6-memebered chelate complexes are energetically favoured. Furthermore, additional synthetic steps are often required to install and remove the DG from the starting material, thus reducing the step economy of the overall process. A more appealing strategy for C-H functionalization would be to avoid the DGs and at the same time to maintain the selectivity control ("non-chelate-assisted" C-H activation) (Scheme 1.9, b)). ${ }^{31}$


Scheme 1.9 Comparison between direct C-H functionalization by non-chelate assisted strategy $v s$. preinstallation of directing group.

[^9]With the collected data previously published, several accepted general mechanistic pathways for the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation step can be proposed. Although the $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)$-H activation is possible, most of the studies focus on the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond cleavage in arenes $\left(\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{2}\right)-\mathrm{H}\right)$ (Scheme 1.10). ${ }^{32}$ The most important mechanisms are:
a) Electrophilic aromatic substitution $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{Ar}\right) .{ }^{33}$
b) C-H oxidative addition. This has been observed for low valent electron-rich metal complexes bearing strong donating ligands. In this mechanism the metal's oxidation state and coordination number increase in two units. ${ }^{34}$
c) $\sigma$-Bond metathesis. It is most common for "early" transition metals with $d^{0}$ electronic configurations where the -H atom from the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond is transferred to an existing $M-C$ bond ( $\mathrm{X}=$ hydrocarbyl $)$. No change in the oxidation state of the metal occurs in this mechanism. ${ }^{35}$
d) Proton abstraction: Inter/intramolecular concerted metalation-deprotonation (CMD).

[^10]

Scheme 1.10 Mechanistic proposal for the $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{2}\right)$-H cleavage in transition metal complexes.

It is worth mentioning that in many cases, the assignment of the reaction mechanism is not trivial. Although none of these four types of mechanism can be discarded for Pd-mediated C-H bond activation, the most commonly operating are the electrophilic aromatic substitution and, specially, the concerted metalation-deprotonation (CMD). The latter has been widely proposed and found to be the most favourable for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds of low $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and less steric hindrance in most cases.

The CMD mechanism relies on the basic carboxylate/carbonate ligand coordinated to the metal which serves as an internal base to deprotonate the C-H bond during the interaction of the metal with the $\pi$-system of the arene (Scheme 1.10, d)).

The earliest proposal of a CMD mechanism is probably that reported by Winstein and Traylor in 1955 for the protodemetallation of diphenylmercury by acetic acid. ${ }^{36}$ But, it was in 1985 when, in the course of a detailed mechanistic study on the ortho-palladation of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylbenzylamine, Ryabov and co-workers ${ }^{33 \mathrm{~b}, 37}$ postulated the formation of a "highly ordered and compact transition state", supported by a large negative activation entropy in which the proton is abstracted by an acetate ligand (Figure 1.1).

[^11]

Figure 1.1 Conceptually concerted metalation-deprotonation (CMD) proposals for $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ systems.

In 2005, Davies, Donald and Macgregor performed a computational study based on Ryabov's system and mechanistic work. ${ }^{38}$ They found that the most favourable reaction pathway proceeds through an agostic C-H complex rather than a Wheland intermediate. In the transition state, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond is polarized, making the hydrogen more acidic and an acetate or carboxylate ligand assists the cleavage via a six-membered cyclic transition state. ${ }^{39}$ Some experimental and computational work in the formation of biaryls by C-H activation through a CMD mechanism were performed by the groups of Maseras, Echavarren ${ }^{40}$ and Fagnou. ${ }^{41}$ In these works, they observe that the C-H bond functionalization was not influenced by the electronic nature of the arene whereas in the case of a $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{Ar}$ mechanism it should be highly favoured for electron-rich arenes, which rules out this mechanism. Also, large values for the KIEs (3.5-6.7) were obtained. These results are in line with a CMD mechanism.

When investigating the CMD mechanism two pathways can be considered:
The inner-sphere mechanism (intramolecular) is characterized by the presence of a base coordinated to palladium which is responsible for the proton abstraction. This base could be a halide or carbonate/carboxylate anion. ${ }^{41 \mathrm{~b}}$

In the outer-sphere mechanism (intermolecular) the proton abstraction is performed by an externa base which does not coordinate to the palladium. ${ }^{42}$

[^12]Recently, different authors have considered that there is not only a "standard" concerted metalation-deprotonation (CMD) model for the metal-catalyzed C - H activation reactions and the situation can be more complex. In some cases, the C-H cleavage transition state is not fully synchronized, i.e. the Pd-C bond formation exceeds the C-H bond breaking, which results in a positive charge build-up on the arene. Since this feature (partial or complete $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation prior to the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond cleavage) is characteristic of the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{Ar}$-type mechanism, the term electrophilic CMD ( $e \mathrm{CMD}$ ) has been introduced by Carrow to reflect this situation. ${ }^{43}$ In this C-H activation mechanism the kinetic barrier for activation of electron-rich heteroarenes (such as thiophene or pyrrole derivatives) with electrophilic complexes is lower and also changes the site selectivity of the C -H bond functionalization, favouring more $\pi$-basic sites. These features differ from the standard CMD model which typically operates on C-H bonds with lower $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and less steric hindrance and electron-rich organometallic species (typically bearing a Pd-aryl bond). In this regard, the existence of a mechanistic continuum for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage has been postulated, providing a more accurate description in the C-H bond activation concerted pathways (Scheme 1.11).

[^13]eCMD Transition State



| $d^{10}$ $d^{8}$ <br> (nucleophilic) (organometallic) | $\begin{aligned} & \quad d^{8}, d^{6} \\ & \text { (electrophilic) } \quad \text { (cationic } \end{aligned}$ | $d^{6}$ <br> ectrophilic) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> "standard" CMD electron-rich transition metal catalysts | $\begin{gathered} \text { eCMD } \\ \text { more electrophilic } \\ \text { transition metal catalysts } \end{gathered}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{cc} \delta^{-} & \delta^{+} \\ P_{1} d--C_{1}^{1} \\ \vdots \\ \dot{X}---H \end{array}\right]^{\ddagger}$ |

Scheme 1.11 Mechanistic continuum proposals for C-H cleavage mediated by transition metals.

### 1.6 Cooperating ligands in the C-H cleavage

One of the major challenges in the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation field is the lack of reactivity of the robust C-H bonds. This generally entails the use of high temperatures (kinetic factors) and large amounts of the reactant arene (usually employed as a solvent or co-solvent). To efficiently promote these reactions, great efforts have been made in the design of cooperating ligands which present a suitable structure to actively assist in the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation, accelerating the reactions by lowering the energetic barriers. The concept of metal-ligand cooperation (MLC) has become important in homogeneous catalysis by transition metal complexes in the last 15 years. In this context, the ligands do not play a traditional spectator role in the chemical transformations during catalysis. Milstein and Khusnutdinova discussed this topic in a review on MLC in which they propose that a cooperating ligand has to satisfy the following criteria: ${ }^{44}$

[^14]1) Both the metal and the ligand participate in the bond cleavage or bond formation steps.
2) Both the metal and the ligand are chemically modified during bond activation.
3) The coordination mode of the cooperative ligand undergoes significant changes in the $1^{s t}$ coordination sphere as a result of bond activation.

Some of the most prominent cooperating ligands in the context of C-H activation by palladium complexes and the first to be studied were mono- $N$-protected amino acids (MPAAs) introduced by Yu et al. (Figure 1.2, a). ${ }^{45}$ These cooperating ligands have demonstrated to be efficient in several catalytic transformations that activate $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{2}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ bonds. Recently, the group of Yu and Maiti reported the synergistic behavior of dual ligands systems (electron-deficient 2-pyridone in combination with pyridine-based ligands or mono- $N$-protected amino acids). ${ }^{46}$

The MPAA effect in the catalytic reactions and the mechanism in which the amino acid assists the C-H bond cleavage have been interrogated by computational methods. ${ }^{47}$ Just a few experimental examples have been reported to reveal how MPAAs coordinate to $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ and only by mass spectrometry. In these publications Yu's group demonstrated the formation of monomeric $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{MPAA})(\text { solv })_{2}\right]$ (solv $=\mathrm{NCMe}$ or $\mathrm{MeOH})$ species, which are considered responsible of the reactivity and the selectivity observed in the C-H activation reactions. ${ }^{48}$ With these experimental data along with all computational results collected, it has been proposed that MPAAs coordinate to the palladium center as dianionic ligands ( $\mathrm{X}_{2}$-type) by the deprotonated carboxylate and the deprotonated amidate groups. The oxygen in the amidate group (commonly $N$-acyl group, Figure 1.2, a) is the one that participates in the C-H bond cleavage during the transition state. Also, chelate S,O-ligands, typically thioethercarboxylic acid, have been employed in C-H activation reactions. ${ }^{49}$

[^15]Other important cooperating ligand types are represented by the monodentate pyridone-based ligands derived from 2-hydroxypyridine (or 2-pyridone) (Figure $1.2, \mathbf{b}) .{ }^{50}$ Within this family of cooperating ligands, chelate-bipyridone ligands whose two coordination sites on the metal afford a more robust coordination mode in the C-H activation step have been described. In this context, our group has used the cooperating bipyridone ligands [2,2'-bipyridin]-6(1H)-one (bipy-6-OH) and 1,10-phenanthrolin-2(1H)-one (phen-2-OH) and also well-defined $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-complexes bearing these ligands as catalysts in C-H activation reactions. The key feature of the abovementioned ligands is a basic functional group able to act as a base in the proton abstraction by an intramolecular CMD mechanism (Figure 1.2, c). Also, the chelate sulfoxide-2-hydroxypyridine ligand has demonstrated to afford successful outcomes. ${ }^{51}$


Figure 1.2 Representative examples of transition states with MPAAs (a), pyridone (b) and bipyridone (c) ligands.

The precoordination of an external base (acetate or carbonate) to the metal center is not required because this role is played by the bipyridone ligand coordinated to the palladium. This is an important advantage because no predissociation of a ligand is needed to incorporate the external base and, at the same time, by this strategy we can minimize the ligands that compete with the incoming arene for coordination. The bipy-6-OH ligand has demonstrated an accelerating effect in the direct arylation of simple arenes with arylhalides due to its cooperating behaviour in the catalysis. The

[^16]
## Chapter 1

cooperating role of the bipy-6-OH ligand in C-H activation reactions was demonstrated experimentally for the first time by our group (Figure 1.3). ${ }^{52}$


[2,2'-bipyridin]-6(1H)-one 1,10-phenanthrolin-2(1H)-one
(bipy-6-OH)
(phen-2-OH)




Figure 1.3 Bipy-6-O-assisted cleavage of the meta $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond of pyridine.

Bipy-6-OH and phen-2-OH ligands had been previously used in proton-transfer reactions and also in coordination chemistry for the study of photophysical properties. Different transition metals were studied in combination with these ligands, such as $\operatorname{Ir},{ }^{53}$

[^17]$\mathrm{Ru},{ }^{54}$ or $\mathrm{Mn}^{55}$ among others. ${ }^{56}$ However, the use of bipy-6-OH as a cooperating ligand in Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation steps was unprecedented when we started our work. Only one example of the use of phen-2-OH in the oxidative Heck reaction was reported. The authors hypothesised that the accelerating effect observed in the reaction was a result of the easy dissociation of an acetate in the Pd-complex bearing the monoanionic phen-2-O ligand when compared to that with the neutral 1,10 -phen ligand. In this way, the coordination of the incoming arene is easier. No cooperating role in the C-H cleavage step was attributed to phen-2-OH. ${ }^{57}$
In the last two years, the use of chelating bipyridone ligands (bipy-6-OH and derivatives) in palladium catalyzed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ functionalization has been expanded. ${ }^{58}$

The following chapters included in Part I of this dissertation collect the study and application of bipyridone cooperating ligands that assist in the C-H cleavage by a CMD mechanism. The work described in Chapter 2 deals with the oxidative Heck reaction of simple arenes (fluorinated and non-fluorinated) to obtain alkenylated arenes via $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation employing oxygen as the sole oxidant. A detailed study on the coordination chemistry of ligands bipy-6-OH and phen-2-OH with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ precursors is presented in Chapter 3, with the aim of learning about the nature of the precatalytic palladium species formed and their impact in the direct arylation catalytic reaction.

[^18]Chapter 2

# 2. Oxidative Heck Reaction of Fluorobenzenes and Other Arenes: When Does the C-H Activation Need Help? 

### 2.1 Introduction

The Fujiwara-Moritani or oxidative Heck reaction of arenes has gained great importance in the cross-coupling toolbox of the synthetic chemist (Equation 2.1). ${ }^{18}$


Equation 2.1

Mostly catalyzed by palladium complexes, it has been applied in an intra- and intermolecular fashion for the alkenylation of arenes, often bearing directing groups (chelate-assisted functionalization), and these advances have been collected in several
reviews. ${ }^{59}$ The use of arenes as reactants instead of the aryl halides in the conventional Heck reaction is a clear advantage as far as sustainability is concerned. However, as mentioned in Chapter 1, the reaction needs a stoichiometric amount of an oxidant and, depending on its identity, this may erode the atom economy of the reaction and introduce toxicity issues. This is the case of the common copper derivatives, silver salts, ${ }^{60}$ benzoquinone or peroxides. ${ }^{51}$ Aerobic oxidative Heck reactions lack these problems and oxygen as the sole oxidant is the cleanest and most attractive choice. A compromise approach that uses oxygen and a catalytic amount of the above-mentioned oxidants or other redox mediators such as ferrocenium salts or iron phthalocyanine derivatives has also been applied. ${ }^{61}$

Oxygen as oxidant has been used in chelate-assisted oxidative Heck reactions and also in the alkenylation of arenes with no directing groups (simple arenes). Some examples are shown in Scheme 2.1, a) and b). ${ }^{62,63}$ The latter are more difficult to activate since they lack a coordinating group that provides the approach of the arene to the metal in a chelating fashion which facilitates the subsequent C-H activation. However, a variety of conditions have been developed for fluoroarenes, ${ }^{64}$ heterocycles, ${ }^{65}$ and other simple arenes, ${ }^{57,61,66}$ which are often very specific for the particular reactant combination (Scheme 2.1, b), c) and d)).

[^19]
## Arenes with DGs

a)


yield: 72 \%

Simple arenes


Polyfluoroarenes
c)


## Heterocycles


d)


Scheme 2.1 Examples of aerobic oxidative Heck reactions with different arenes behaviour and reactions condition. a) Non-chelate-assisted arenes (ref: 60). b) Arenes with directing groups (ref: 61). c) Polyfluoroarene with silver salt as co-oxidant (ref: 64a). d) Heterocycles with silver salt as co-oxidant or acidic media (ref: 65 b and 65 e ).

In order to further improve these processes and within the framework of the accepted mechanism for this reaction (Scheme 2.2), the attention is generally focused in the two steps which are considered crucial to reach an efficient catalytic aerobic arene alkenylation reaction: The usual energy demanding C-H activation step, and the palladium( 0 ) oxidation step which can compete with metal aggregation and catalyst deactivation. $\operatorname{The} \operatorname{Pd}(0)$ oxidation by oxygen has been studied, and this step is not usually rate limiting although other factors such as the intrinsic solubility of the gas and mass-transfer problems can play a role in the efficiency of the oxidation and the catalyst performance, as mentioned in Chapter 1. ${ }^{23}$



Scheme 2.2 Palladium catalysed oxidative Heck reaction of arenes and general mechanism.

As can be gathered from the catalytic cycle in Scheme 2.2 the C-H activation step can benefit from the presence of a base (also the palladium hydride decomposition to $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ ), but the oxidation step needs the protonation of the putative $\eta^{2}$-peroxo palladium complex formed by reaction with oxygen. Therefore, the choice of additives in these reactions is not always straightforward and a delicate balance is required. A wide range of reaction conditions can be found in the literature for the aerobic oxidative Heck reactions, and this can be seen in the selected examples shown in Scheme 2.1 which required completely different reaction conditions to work efficiently (highlighted in purple, Scheme 2.1).

The C-H activation step can be favored by the use of cooperating ligands that act as an intramolecular base in the C-H activation transition state by a concerted metalation-deprotonation (CMD) mechanism. In the context of the oxidative Heck reaction of arenes, acyl N -monoprotected amino acids (MPAA), a potential cooperating type of ligands, have been used, ${ }^{47 \mathrm{~b}}$ sometimes in combination with a second ligand, ${ }^{67}$ and they have shown a positive effect in the aerobic olefination of arenes bearing directing groups. ${ }^{50,68}$ Pyridone-type ligands can also assist the C-H cleavage step, and there are a few examples of their use in olefination processes with silver oxidants, ${ }^{69}$ and with stoichiometric $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ salts or a $\mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ salt mixture. ${ }^{51,57}$ Upon lowering the activation energy of the C-H cleavage step in the presence of a cooperating ligand, other steps in the catalytic cycle can become turnover limiting and this has been shown recently in the change in regioselectivity observed in the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}) / \mathrm{O}_{2}$ mediated alkenylation of indole derivatives. ${ }^{51}$

This chapter describes the efficient oxidative Heck reaction with oxygen at atmospheric pressure as the sole oxidant of a number of fluoroarenes and other arenes with different substituents using the cooperating ligand [2,2'-bipyridin]-6(1H)-one (bipy-6-OH). We have previously employed this ligand for the direct arylation of arenes and shown its role in assisting the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation step. ${ }^{52}$ Now, a set of reaction conditions have been developed for the oxidative Heck reaction that use minimum amount of additives (acids or bases) and can be applied to a variety of simple arenes. The nature of the arene influences the step that controls the alkenylation reaction and we have studied when the cooperation of the ligand is crucial and those cases where the C - H cleavage is fast enough, so the assistance of the ligand in the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation is not required.

[^20]
### 2.2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 2.2.1 Oxidative Heck reactions of fluorinated and non-fluorinated arenes

The reaction of pentafluorobenzene with $t$-butyl acrylate was first tested and used to set up suitable reaction conditions (Equation 2.2).


Equation 2.2

The reaction was carried out using a moderate excess of the arene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}\right.$ :olefin $=2.5: 1$ ) in DMA as solvent. Acids and/or bases, sometimes in excess, are commonly used as additives in these reactions, and which one to choose is often difficult to anticipate. They are not reactants in the aerobic Heck reaction, as shown in Scheme 2.2 and Equation 2.2 , although several proton transfer processes occur in the reaction that can be favored by these additives. We found that just a catalytic amount of base ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) is needed to ensure good yields, whereas an excess of base or an acid is detrimental (entries 1-6, Table 2.1). This has also been observed in other aerobic Pd-catalyzed oxidative couplings. ${ }^{70}$ Sodium molybdate $\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{HMoO}_{4}{ }^{-}\right)=3.5-4.5\right)^{71}$ gives excellent results and works better than other bases (entries 4-6, Table 2.1). We have no evidence that $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4}$ is playing a role as redox mediator in the reaction and it may just be acting as a weak base. We run several tests that support that the presence of such a high-valent metal species is not influencing the oxidation process. $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{VI})$ is not acting as a terminal oxidant (entry 10, Table 2.1) and oxygen is necessary (cf. entries 6,8 (air) and 9 (under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ), Table 2.1).

[^21]Table 2.1 Oxidative Heck reaction of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ according to Equation 2.2. ${ }^{a}$

| Entry | [Pd] | Additive (equiv) | 1a, crude yield, $\%, 6 h^{b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | - | 0 |
| 2 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | AcOH (1.5) | 15 |
| 3 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (1.5) | 0 |
| 4 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.1)$ | 76 |
| 5 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | NaOAc (0.1) | 61 |
| 6 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (0.1) | 99 (888 ${ }^{\text {isol }}$ ) |
| 7 | - | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (0.1) | 0 |
| $8^{c}$ | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (0.1) | 67 |
| $9^{d}$ | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (0.1) | 5 |
| $10^{d}$ | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1) | 0 |
| $11^{d}$ | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1)+ \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(1) \end{aligned}$ | 7 |
| $12^{e}$ | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1)$ | 81 |
| $13^{f}$ | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1)$ | $27^{g}$ |
| 14 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]^{h}$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1)$ | 86 |
| 15 | $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{NCMe})_{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (0.1) | 30 |
| 16 | $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{NCMe})_{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4.2} 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (0.3) | 51 |
| 17 | $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{NCMe})_{2}\right]$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1)+ \\ & \mathrm{NaOAc} 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.2) \end{aligned}$ | 68 |
| $18^{i}$ | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]^{g}$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1)$ | $68\left(62^{\text {isol }}\right)$ |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](0.034 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}(0.853 \mathrm{mmol}), t$-butyl acrylate ( 0.341 mmol ), DMA ( 3 mL ), $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{O}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$, unless otherwise noted. ${ }^{b}$ Crude yields were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using dodecane as internal standard. ${ }^{c}$ Air instead of $\mathrm{O}_{2} .{ }^{d}$ Under $\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{e} 90$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{f} 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{g} 88 \%$ yield in $48 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{h} 5 \mathrm{~mol} \% .{ }^{i}$ Styrene as olefin and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}(\mathbf{1 b})$ as product.

It is known that singlet oxygen can be generated by photochemical processes. ${ }^{72}$ Equation 2.3 shows that the catalytic reaction is efficient when carried out protected from light, indicating that the photochemical generation of a more reactive singlet oxygen in the presence of molybdate can be ruled out.


Equation 2.3

[^22]In addition, thioether oxidation to a sulfoxide does not work in the reaction conditions used here. The oxidation of a sulfide to sulfoxide, was carried out to check the possible mediation of sodium molybdate in the generation of singlet oxygen or other oxygen reactive species (Scheme 2.3). ${ }^{73}$



Scheme 2.3 Attempted thioanisole oxidation.

The generation of singlet oxygen by decomposition of hydrogen peroxide in the presence of molybdate has been reported, ${ }^{74}$ and this could occur in the reaction course upon generation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (Equation 2.2). Hydrogen peroxide is the common reduction product of oxygen in Pd-catalyzed reactions, although metal catalyzed decomposition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ can occur leading to water as the final byproduct. The formation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ was detected in the reaction shown in entry 5 , Table 2.1. The reaction in the presence of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4}$, i.e. entry 6, Table 2.1, does not lead to the accumulation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, most probably because of the fast disproportionation catalyzed by molybdate (see Experimental part, Figure 2.4). However, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ in the presence of molybdate is not a suitable oxidant in this reaction (entry 11, Table 2.1). We found that sodium tungstate is as efficient as sodium molybdate (entry 2, Table 2.2) but other dioxomolybdenum complexes that are active in oxygen-transfer reaction or singlet oxygen generator do not work (entries 3-5, Table 2.2).

[^23]Table 2.2 Use of other additives of high-valent elements for the reaction in Equation 2.2. ${ }^{a}$

| Entry | $[\mathrm{Pd}]$ | Additive (equiv) | 1a, Crude yield $(\%), 6 \mathrm{~h}^{b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1)$ | 99 |
| 2 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{WO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1)$ | 82 |
| 3 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{MoO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{DMSO})_{2}(0.1)^{c}$ | 0 |
| 4 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{MoO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{DMSO})_{2}(1)^{c}$ | 0 |
| 5 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{KIO}_{4}(0.1)^{d}$ | 38 |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](0.034 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), t$-butyl acrylate $(0.341 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ ( 0.853 mmol ), DMA ( 3 mL total volume), $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm}) .{ }^{b}$ Crude yields were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using dodecane as internal standard. ${ }^{c}$ These dioxomolybdenum(VI) complexes have been used as mediators in oxygen transfer reactions (see ref: 75). ${ }^{d}$ Besides being a potential oxidant, periodate can generate singlet oxygen under basic conditions (see ref: 76).

Sodium molybdate dihydrate has not been used in this type of reactions and it may seem an unconventional choice, since it is a non-hygroscopic, inexpensive and available salt, which can be used in a very convenient way. It has to be noted that heteropolymolybdates containing redox active vanadium $(\mathrm{V})$ centers have been used as redox mediators in Pd-catalyzed oxidative C-H activation reactions, ${ }^{77}$ and greatly developed by Ishii, Obora and coworkers. ${ }^{66 a, 78}$ They found that the presence of $V(V)$ is necessary for the redox mediation (in the absence of vanadium other phosphomolybdates were inactive) as tested in the oxidation of hydroquinone to benzoquinone with oxygen. ${ }^{79}$ We performed the same reaction that Ishii and coworkers reported using $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4}$ instead of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PMo}_{11} \mathrm{VO}_{40}$ and found that the oxidation is inefficient (Scheme 2.4).

[^24]

Scheme 2.4 Attempted benzoquinone oxidation.

All these experiments show that, as mentioned above, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4}$ is not a redox mediator in the reaction and its role as an additive is just that of a weak base.

The reaction can be carried out under milder conditions and the temperature can be lowered to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or even $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, provided the reaction times are extended to 48 h for the latter (entries 12 and 13, Table 2.1). The amount of catalyst can be reduced to $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ (entry 14, Table 2.1). $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ is the best catalyst precursor ( $(c f$. entries 6 and 15, Table 2.1) and for less basic ligands in the precatalyst coordination sphere ( $\mathrm{Cl} v s . \mathrm{OAc}$ ) the reaction benefits from the presence of additional base and acetate (entries 15-17, Table 2.1). Other precursor complexes such as $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}\right]$ or $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{TFA})_{2}\right]$ in the same conditions as entry 15 were even less efficient (see Experimental part, Table 2.5).

Pentafluorostilbene (1b) can be prepared by arylation of styrene using this reaction (entry 18, Table 2.1), but the fluoroarylation of olefins with no electron-withdrawing groups such as 1 -hexene or 2,3-dihydrofurane could not be achieved.

The formation of $\mathbf{1 a}$ (Equation 2.2) could be either the result of an oxidative Heck reaction (Scheme 2.2) or the product of a double $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{2}\right)$-H activation (arene and alkene) and reductive elimination. The reaction of pentafluorobenzene with methyl methacrylate rules out the second route, since the product of this reaction, the terminal olefin 1c, can only be formed by insertion of the olefin into the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ bond and subsequent $\beta$-hydride elimination, much more favorable for the methyl hydrogens (Scheme 2.5).


Scheme 2.5 Perfluoroarylation of methyl methacrylate: it rules out the double C-H activation pathway (intermediate b) vs. a Heck route (intermediate a).

The reaction course was monitored at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by NMR and the rate of the reaction showed no dependence on the concentration of olefin, arene and catalyst (see Experimental part, Figure 2.6). This points to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, whose solubility is quite low in DMA $(5.2 \mathrm{mM}),{ }^{23 \mathrm{c}}$ as the factor controlling the reaction rate by keeping the amount of available oxidant as the limiting reagent. This has been observed before for other aerobic reactions in solvents of low oxygen solubility such as DMSO. ${ }^{23}$ An increase of the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ pressure leads to a higher yield ( $68 \%$ yield at $2 \mathrm{~atm} v s .52 \%$ at 1 atm after 20 min ).

These results show that the aerobic alkenylation of pentafluorophenyl is efficient without the addition of a cooperating ligand. Indeed, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ is facile as can be seen in the monitoring of the reaction in Equation 2.4 using $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{D}$ as reagent at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In the first hour almost all the deuterated arene is converted into $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}$. Figure 2.1 shows a faster disappearance of the $t$-butyl acrylate than the formation of the Heck product in the first two hours. This indicates a competitive reaction route for the alkene that also occurs in the absence of arene as tested independently (see Experimental part, Figure 2.5). No new alkene was formed suggesting a possible polymerization pathway. ${ }^{80}$

[^25]

## Equation 2.4



Figure 2.1 Evolution of the reaction of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{D}$ and $t$-butyl acrylate at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ showing the fast $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{D}$ exchange of the arene.

The H/D exchange in pentafluorobenzene is easy in the presence of base and does not require metal catalysis as has been reported before. ${ }^{81}$ In this case just the presence of a catalytic amount of sodium molybdate in the presence of traces of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is enough for the conversion, as we tested independently (Scheme 2.6, Figure 2.2). Nonetheless, the presence of acetate in the reaction plays a beneficial role which points to the occurrence of an acetate-mediated CMD route to generate the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ bond (entry 17, Table 2.1).

[^26]
a)

b)




Scheme 2.6 Conversion of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ to $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{D}$ promoted by sodium molybdate dihydrate in presence of deuterated water. The reactions were followed by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR with $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ as an internal standard ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) (Figure 2.2).


Figure 2.2 Formation of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{D}$ from $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ in presence sodium molybdate and traces of deuterated water (Scheme 2.6, c).

The alkenylation of other fluorinated arenes can also be carried out and the best reaction conditions found show the increasing importance of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage in the reaction upon decreasing the number of fluorine substituents (Scheme 2.7 and Table 2.3).


Scheme 2.7 Aerobic oxidative Heck reaction of fluorinated arenes ( ${ }^{\circ}$ alkenylated positions ).

Table 2.3 Oxidative Heck reaction of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}_{6-\mathrm{n}}$ according to Scheme 2.7. ${ }^{a}$

| Entry | Arene (equiv) | Arene:alkene (mol ratio) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L} \\ \operatorname{mol} \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Crude } \\ \text { yield (\%) } \\ 6 h^{b} \end{gathered}$ | Crude yield (isol.) (\%) $24 \mathrm{~h}^{b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1,2,4,5-C $6_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2.5:1 | - | 2a, 48 |  |
| 2 | 1,2,4,5-C6F4 ${ }^{\text {H }}$ | 5:1 | - | 2a, 50 | 2a, 56 (42) |
| 3 | 1,3,5-C $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 2.5:1 | - | 3a, 52 |  |
| 4 | 1,3,5-C6 $\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 5:1 | - | 3a, 71 | 3a, 71 (60) |
| 5 | $1,3-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 2.5:1 | - | 3a, 46 |  |
| 6 | 1,3-C $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 5:1 | - | 4a, 68 | 4a, $99^{c}$ (85) |
| $7^{\text {d }}$ | 1,3-C $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 2.5:2 | - | 4a, 44 |  |
| 8 | $1,2-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 2.5:1 | - | 5a, 13 |  |
| $9^{e}$ | 1,2-C6 $\mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 44:1 | - | 5a, 20 |  |
| $10^{e}$ | 1,2-C6 $\mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 44:1 | 5 | 5a, 60 | 5a, $86{ }^{f}(75)$ |
| $11^{e}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{FH}_{5}$ | 47:1 | - | 6a, 18 |  |
| $12^{e}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{FH}_{5}$ | 47:1 | 5 | 6a, 75 | 6a, $87^{8}(78)$ |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](0.017 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), t$-butyl acrylate $(0.341 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.034 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, DMA as solvent $(3 \mathrm{~mL}), 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$, unless otherwise noted. ${ }^{b}$ Crude yields were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using dodecane as internal standard. ${ }^{c}$ Isomer ratio 2,6-F2:2,4-F $\mathrm{F}_{2}=2: 1 .{ }^{d} t$-Butyl acrylate (arene:olefin $=2.5: 2 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio). ${ }^{e}$ Arene:DMA $=1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} .{ }^{f}$ Isomer ratio 2,3-F2:3,4-F $\mathrm{F}_{2}=1.8 / 1 .{ }^{g}$ Isomer ratio $o: m: p=2.8: 3.8: 1$.

Tetrafluorobenzene can be alkenylated in moderate yield using a 2.5 -fold excess of the arene in the same way used for pentafluorobenzene. In both cases the use of a higher amount of the arene has no influence in the reaction outcome (entries 1 and 2,

Table 2.3). In contrast, the reactions with trifluorobenzene and 1,3-difluorobenzene clearly benefit from the use of a larger excess of arene ( 5 -fold, $c f$. entries 3,4 and 5, 6 , Table 2.3). This indicates that the arene activation is kinetically relevant for these arenes but the excess of olefin has no effect (entries 5-7, Table 2.3). The alkenylation of 1,2-difluorobenzene or fluorobenzene is inefficient even when using a large excess of arene, and it reaches good yields only in the presence of the ligand bipy-6-OH. The observed reactivity for the fluoroarenes fits the acidity trend of the C-H bond that is cleaved, ${ }^{81}$ which in turn parallels the ease of C-H activation also favored by the stronger Pd-C bond for fluoroaryls with ortho fluorines. ${ }^{82}$ As the F-substitution decreases, the C-H activation becomes turnover limiting and eventually needs the assistance of bipy-6-OH. It has to be noted that, in fact, the presence of bipy-6-OH is detrimental for the most reactive penta- tetra- tri- and 1,3-difluorobenzens, as will be discussed below.

Other non-fluorinated simple arenes were alkenylated using the bipy-6-OH ligand and a catalytic amount of sodium molybdate, in the same way as the less substituted fluoroarenes. Scheme 2.8 shows the products obtained and the best reaction conditions ( $[\mathrm{Pd}] \mathrm{mol} \%$, T and time) in each case. The reaction is useful for arenes bearing electron-withdrawing and electron-donating groups. The alkene stereochemistry in all these products is trans, but the C-H activation is not regioselective for the mono-substituted arenes and mixtures of two or three of the ortho, meta and para isomers were found. The meta isomer is always the major one, specially in the case of pyridine. This regiochemistry in the C-H activation is the same observed in the non-oxidative direct arylation reactions with the same ligand. ${ }^{52}$ The presence of an ester (10a) or keto (11a) substituent in the arene does not provide a directing effect in this reaction that could shift the regioselectivity towards the ortho isomer. On the other hand, the disubstituted arenes lead to just one isomer regioselectively. In the case of the di-methoxy substituted arenes, this has been used for the synthesis of the resveratrol precursor $\mathbf{1 7 d}$ with success.

[^27]


7a, 90 / 79
(5, $\left.120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}\right)$ (0:3.7:1)


8a, 73 / 60


9a, 48 / 39
$\left(5,120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}\right)$ (1:3.8:0)
$\left(5,120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}\right)$


11a, 73 / 52
(5, $\left.120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}\right)$ (1:2.3:1.6)


12a, $85 / 75$
(5, $\left.130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}\right)$ (1:5.3:2.4)


13a, 78 / 70
$\left(5,120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}\right)$


14a, 70 / 48
$\left(5,130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}\right)$ (1:3.6:1.2)


15a, 91 / 74
(10, $\left.130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}\right)$


16a, 89 / 70
(5, $\left.140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}\right)$ (1:25:1.5)


7b, 75 / 68
(5, $\left.120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}\right)$ (0:1.8:1)


15b, $85 / 70$
(10, $\left.130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}\right)$


Scheme 2.8 Aerobic oxidative Heck reaction of non-fluorinated arenes (isomer ratio in the crude mixture).

### 2.2.2 Mechanistic aspects and the role of bipy-6-OH

The role of a ligand in a complex catalytic cycle such as that operating in the aerobic oxidative Heck reaction of arenes can be manifold. However, the experimental data point to a cooperating role of bipy-6-OH in the C-H cleavage step in these reactions. Using toluene as a model arene, the kinetic isotope effect was determined using the conditions shown in Scheme 2.8 ( $\mathrm{L}=$ bipy-6-OH) for separate reactions using toluene in one experiment and toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ in the other (Equation 2.5).


Equation 2.5

A value of $\mathrm{KIE}=2.2 \pm 0.2$ was obtained, showing that the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage is turnover limiting. This value is lower than the one expected for a situation where the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation is the only rate limiting step $(\mathrm{KIE} \approx 3.6)$, indicating that a preceding step, either the coordination of the arene or the actual reoxidation rate with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, may also be influencing the overall reaction rate, with the C-H cleavage TS being nonetheless the highest in energy and therefore the most influential. This has been studied before. ${ }^{52 \mathrm{~b}}$

Several catalytic reactions were carried out with different ligands. As can be seen in Scheme 2.9, only bipy-6-OH and the analogous phen-2-OH have a beneficial effect in the reaction which, along with the KIE found, support the involvement of these ligands in the C-H cleavage via the transition state shown in Scheme 2.9. Neither the unsubstituted ligands in both $N$-chelating series (2,2'-bipy or 1,10-phen) nor bipy-6-OMe are effective. The position of the pyridone moiety is also crucial, and neither bipy-4-OH nor phen-4-OH enable the reaction. Even if the latter ligands can lead to an anionic ligand by deprotonation, the pyridone moiety cannot engage in the C-H cleavage.

Phen-2-OH has been used before by Duan et al. in the oxidative Heck reaction of arenes using $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ salts as oxidants or redox mediators. ${ }^{57 \mathrm{a}}$ The authors attributed the observed effect to the anionic ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{L}$ ) nature the ligand upon deprotonation which, when compared to a neutral L-L chelating ligand, could facilitate the decoordination of an acetate and the opening of a coordination site on the metal. Although this is certainly
plausible, the differences observed between the regioisomers phen-2-OH and phen-4-OH strongly support the direct involvement of the former in the C-H cleavage.


$\mathrm{L}=$ none
47 (47)
$o: m: p=1: 1: 0.8$


0 (9)


0 (9)

$o: m: p=1: 4: 2$

$o: m: p=1: 1.8: 1$

$o: m: p=1: 4.5: 1.5$




TS
Scheme 2.9 Aerobic oxidative Heck reaction of toluene with different ligands.

The use of a preformed palladium complex $\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L})\right]$ (L-L = bipy-6-OH, 18; phen-2-OH, 19) as precatalyst instead of the mixture $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ + ligand is also effective (Scheme 2.9). This shows that the presence of acetate is not needed in these reactions, although the moderate yield obtained in the absence of ligand ( $\mathrm{L}=$ none, Scheme 2.9) indicates that the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage could also occurs via a CMD process with an acetato ligand. In fact, when the mixture $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]+$ bipy- $6-\mathrm{OH}$ is used as precatalyst a putative intermediate complex with both coordinated acetato and bipy-6-O ligands could be involved in the C-H activation step. Which one reacts
preferentially is impossible to ascertain experimentally but DFT calculations on the isolated C-H cleavage step show that the assistance of the bipy-6-OH ligand leads to a lower energy transition state, so the cooperation of this ligand is expected (Figure 2.3).


Figure 2.3 Gibbs energy barriers for the C-H activation step assisted by bipy-6-O or by the acetato ligand. Energies in $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

Interestingly, a higher selectivity in the alkenylation of toluene towards the meta substitution is observed when bipy-6-OH or phen-2-OH are used ( $o: m: p=1: 5.3: 2.4$ ) than when no ligand is added ( $o: m: p=1: 1: 0.8$ ). This may reflect the different steric requirements in the C-H activation TS for different coordination environments. Recently, the work of Jiao et al. showed that in the alkenylation of indoles using a pyridone derivative as ligand, the regioselectivity is determined by the olefin insertion step. ${ }^{51}$ In our case, the lack of deuteration of 12a when the reaction was carried out in the presence of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ supports an irreversible C-H activation. Also, in contrast to Jiao's work, the reaction is not accelerated when an excess of $t$-butyl acrylate is used. Although deeper studies are needed, these results support that regioselectivity might be determined upon C-H cleavage.

Table 2.4 shows the effect of bipy-6-OH in the aerobic oxidative Heck reaction of different arenes. An increase of the final yield of the product is observed upon addition of bipy-6-OH in all cases except for the most acidic polyfluoroarenes, where the effect of the ligand is clearly detrimental (entries 1-4, Table 2.4).

Table 2.4 Effect of the use of the ligand bipy-6-OH for the aerobic alkenylation of different arenes with $t$-butyl acrylate. ${ }^{a}$

| Entry | L | Arene | Cpd. | Crude yield, \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $6 \mathrm{~h}^{\text {b }}$ | $24 \mathrm{~h}^{\text {b }}$ |
| $1^{\text {c }}$ | bipy-6-OH | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ | 1a | 0 | 0 |
| $2^{c}$ | - | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ | 1a | 86 | 90 |
| $3{ }^{\text {c }}$ | bipy-6-OH | $1,3-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 3a | 13 | 18 |
| $4^{c}$ | - | $1,3-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 3a | 46 | 46 |
| 5 | bipy-6-OH | $1,2-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 5a | 60 | 86 |
| 6 | - | 1,2-C $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 5a | 20 | 28 |
| 7 | bipy-6-OH | PhF | 6 | 75 | 87 |
| 8 | - | PhF | 6 | 18 | 18 |
| 9 | bipy-6-OH | $\mathrm{PhCF}_{3}$ | 7a | 72 | 90 |
| 10 | - | $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 7 a | 40 | 40 |
| 11 | bipy-6-OH | PhMe | 12a | 40 | 75 |
| 12 | - | PhMe | 12a | 47 | 47 |
| $13^{d}$ | bipy-6-OH | 1,2-(OMe) $2_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 15a | 73 | 91 |
| $14^{d}$ | - | 1,2-(OMe) $2_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 15a | 26 | 32 |
| $15^{\text {dee }}$ | bipy-6-OH | 1,3-(OMe) $2_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 17d | 56 | 86 |
| $16^{\text {d,e }}$ | - | 1,3-(OMe) $)_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 17d | 0 | 0 |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](0.017 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.034 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), alkene ( 0.341 mmol ), Arene: $\mathrm{DMA}=1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ (total volume, 3 mL ), $\mathrm{O}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm}), 120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, unless otherwise noted. ${ }^{b}$ Crude yields were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using dodecane as internal standard. Yields refer to the mixture of isomers, whose distribution can be found in the Experimental part (Table 2.6). ${ }^{c}$ Arene:olefine $=2.5: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio. ${ }^{d}\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, $\mathrm{L}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), 130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{e} 4$-methoxy styrene instead of $t$-butyl acrylate.

Since the C-H activation in these arenes is more facile, the ligand must be hampering other steps in the catalytic cycle, i.e. the coordination-insertion of the olefin. ${ }^{83}$ To test this, we monitored the reaction of $t$-butyl acrylate with two palladium complexes that mimic plausible reaction intermediates in both scenarios (Scheme 2.10, a) and b)). The trans-dimeric complex 20 (see molecular structure in Figure 2.12, Experimental part) represents the species that could be formed after $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ when only $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ is used as precatalyst. The reaction of $\mathbf{2 0}$ in DMA with the olefin is fast and about $60 \%$ of the perfluorophenyl acrylate is observed after 10 min at room

[^28]temperature (Scheme 2.10, a). A small amount of the cis olefination product was also observed as well as the aryl reorganization of the complex to give $\left[\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMA})_{2}\right]$.
a)

b)


Scheme 2.10 Stoichiometric reactions of model palladium complexes with $t$-butyl acrylate.

In contrast, complexes 21 and 22 bearing the bipy-6-O ligand only react with $t$-butyl acrylate slowly at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Scheme $\left.2.10, \mathbf{b}\right)$ ). Thus, when the C - H activation is not problematic, the presence of a good chelating ligand, which renders a less accessible metal center, disfavors the coordination of the olefin and the reaction is less efficient. The strong Pd-aryl bond for polyfluoroarenes with ortho substituents also disfavors the insertion of the olefin. The conventional Heck reaction of polyfluoroarenes shows a related situation with the coordination-insertion of the olefin as turnover limiting $v s$. the most commonly slow oxidative addition. ${ }^{84}$ For some of the arenes in Table 2.4, the acceleration upon addition of the ligand after 6 h is not very important but, whereas the catalysis does not proceed further without the ligand, it reaches almost completion when bipy-6-OH is added. These results are consistent with the ligand being involved in the stabilization of intermediate species in the cycle. Therefore, the ligand is instrumental to lower the C-H activation energy but its role in the reaction can be more complex affecting other reaction steps, which overall gives a useful olefination reaction.

[^29]
### 2.3 Conclusions

The oxidative Heck reaction of arenes with oxygen as terminal oxidant and no redox mediators can be carried out using a set of reaction conditions that employ a minimum amount of additives, just sodium molybdate in catalytic amounts, and avoids strongly acidic or basic conditions. The presence of the cooperating [2, ''-bipyridin]-6( 1 H )-one (bipy-6-OH) ligand enables the reaction for mono and disubstituted arenes of different electronic properties by assisting the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation step. The ligand is detrimental in the olefination of polyfluorinated arenes $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}_{6-\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{n} \geq 3)$ and $1,3-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ where the easier C - H activation does not need an additional cooperating ligand, but the latter can disfavor the coordination-insertion of the olefin. Therefore a "ligandless" catalytic system is more suitable for the alkenylation of ortho disubstituted fluoroarenes. The C-H activation of any other arene needs the help of an enabling ligand and the cooperating bipy- $6-\mathrm{OH}$ and phen-2-OH are suitable for this transformation.

### 2.4 Experimental part

### 2.4.1 General considerations

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AV-400 or Agilent MR-500 spectrometers at the LTI-UVa. Chemical shifts (in $\delta$ units, ppm) were referenced to $\mathrm{SiMe}_{4}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and $\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}\left({ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)$. Homonuclear ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-COSY) and heteronuclear $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ HSQC and HMBC) experiments were used to help with the signal assignments. Solvents were dried using a solvent purification system SPS PS-MD-5 or distilled from appropriate drying agents under nitrogen prior to use and stored over $3 \AA$ or $4 \AA$ molecular sieves. $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right], N$-acetylglycine, 2,2'-bipyridine, 1,10-phenanthroline, 6 -methyl-2(1H)-pyridinone, the arenes and the alkenes used are commercially available and were used as received unless otherwise indicated. $\left[\operatorname{Pd}(\right.$ bipy $-6-\mathrm{OH}) \operatorname{Br}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathbf{1 8}),{ }^{52 \mathrm{a}}$ $\left(\mathrm{NBu}_{4}\right)\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\right.\right.$ bipy-6-O)Br$\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\right] \quad(21),{ }^{52 \mathrm{a}} \quad\left[\mathrm{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}^{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\right],{ }^{85}$ $\left(\mathrm{NBu}_{4}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}\right],{ }^{86} \quad\left[2,2^{\prime}\right.$-bipyridin]-6(1H)-one (bipy-6-OH), ${ }^{87}$ 1,10-phenanthrolin- $2(1 \mathrm{H})$-one (phen-2-OH), ${ }^{88}$ 1,10-phenanthrolin-4( 1 H )-one (phen-4-OH), ${ }^{89}$ [2, $2^{\prime}$-bipyridin]-4( 1 H )-one (bipy-4-OH), ${ }^{90} 6$-methoxy-2, $2^{\prime}$-bipyridine (bipy-6-OMe), ${ }^{87}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{D},{ }^{81}$ were prepared according to the procedures in the literature.

### 2.4.2 Synthesis of palladium complexes

[ $\left.\mathbf{P d}(\mathbf{p h e n} \mathbf{2 - O H}) \mathbf{B r}\left(\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{5}}\right)\right](\mathbf{1 9})$. 1,10-phen-2( 1 H )-one ( $71 \mathrm{mg}, 0.364 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $\left(\mathrm{NBu}_{4}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}\right](0.245 \mathrm{~g}, 0.181 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 20 mL of acetone. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . During this time the orange solution became lighter and also an abundant precipitate was observed. The solvent was evaporated to ca. 5 mL and cold $\mathrm{EtOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the suspension. The yellow solid obtained was filtered, washed with cold EtOH ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and air-dried. Yield: 0.16 $\mathrm{g}(80 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta,\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right): 11.61(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 8.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{10}\right)$, 8.77 (d, J = $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3}$ ), $8.23\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{6}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 8.13\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 7.86(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.\mathrm{J}=8.3,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{9}\right), 7.46\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right): 166.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right), 151.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 146.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{12}\right), 143.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{11}\right), 142.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 140.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 139.9$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 130.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 125.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 124.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 117.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right)$. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR $(470.17 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\delta,\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right):-119.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ortho }}\right),-162.01\left(\mathrm{t}, J=19.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-164.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}$, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta) }}$. IR (neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): v\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(1507,1462,1063,950,793) ; v(\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{st}) 3031$. Anal. Calcd

[^30]for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{BrOPd}$ : C, $39.34 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 1.47 \%$; N, $5.10 \%$. Found: C, $39.15 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 1.21 \%$; N, 5.53 \%.
*The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signals for the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ group, heavily coupled to ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$, could not be observed due to the low solubility of this complex. The stereochemistry of $\mathbf{1 9}$ could not be determined as the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ HOESY NMR experiments were inconclusive due to the low solubility of the complex; it is tentatively assigned by analogy to complex $\mathbf{1 8}$ bearing the similar bipy-6-OH ligand.
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$\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{2}\right](20)$. A solution of complex $\left[\mathrm{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{2}\right]$ $(145 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of $\mathrm{AgOAc}(55 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.33 mmol ) in 5 mL of dry $-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ under nitrogen atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h protected from light. During this time an abundant precipitate was observed which was filtered. The filtrate was evaporated to dryness and diethyl ether $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The yellow solid obtained was filtered, washed with ether ( $2 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and air-dried. Yield: 0.11 g ( $88 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 2.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 1.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $183.5\left(\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 148.7\left(\mathrm{dm},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=233.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {ortho }}\right)$, $138.3\left(\mathrm{dm},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=245.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {para }}\right), 135.2\left(\mathrm{dm},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=252.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {meta }}\right), 120.3\left(\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right)$, $97.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=42.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ipso}}\right)$, $23.3\left(\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 3.2\left(\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( 470.17 MHz , $\left.\delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):-121.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ortho }}\right),-159.33\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=20 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-164.09\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~F}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}$ : C, $32.15 \%$; $\mathrm{H}, 1.62 \%$; N, $3.75 \%$. Found: C, $32.10 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 1.41 \%$; N, $3.75 \%$. IR (neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): v\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(1463,1063,959,796,689)$; $v(\mathrm{CN}$, st) 2340.


### 2.4.3 Catalytic reactions

General procedure A: Oxidative Heck reaction of pentafluorobenzene. $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ $(7.65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.034 \mathrm{mmol})$, and sodium molybdate dihydrate $(8.25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.034 \mathrm{mmol})$ were introduce in a Schlenk tube with a screw cap in an oxygen atmosphere. Then, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ ( 94 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.853 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $t$-butyl acrylate ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.341 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dodecane ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.176 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as internal standard and $N, N$-dimethylacetamide ( 3 mL ) were added. Oxygen was bubbled through the mixture ( 5 min ) and the vessel was closed. The mixture was heated in a bath at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . The yield of the reaction was checked by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of
the crude mixture. $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylacetamide was then removed under vacuum and $n$-hexane was added to the residue to extract the product. The suspension was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness obtaining an oily residue. Finally, the product was purified by column chromatography using silica gel and EtOAc: hexane $=1: 50$ as eluent. The product was obtained as a colorless oil. Yield 90 mg ( $88 \%$ ). The reaction can also be carried out using $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](0.017 \mathrm{mmol})$.

Compound 1b was obtained following the same procedure. The other tetra-, tri- and 1,3-di-fluorobenzenes can be olefinated in the same way using a flouroarene:alkene $=2.5: 1$ or $5: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio and sometimes longer reaction times (Table 2.3). The products were purified by column chromatography using silica gel and a solvent mixture for each product that are specified below.

## tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorophenyl)-2-propenoate (1a). ${ }^{91}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(399.86 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.53\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 1.52\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100.56 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $165.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 145.4(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=255.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {ortho }}\right), 141.4\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=251.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{para}}\right), 137.6\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=253.47 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\mathrm{C}_{\text {meta }}$ ), $128.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 126.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 110.0\left(\mathrm{td},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=13.6,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ipso}}\right), 81.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 27.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right)$. ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $376.38 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): -139.85 (m, 2F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ ), $-151.94(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=19.5,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}$ ), -161.93 (m, 2F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ ). MS (EI+, 70 eV ): m/z (\%) 294.1 (4) [M+], 220.93 (8), 142.99 (9), 57.07 (100).


1a
trans-2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorostilbene (1b). ${ }^{19}$
This compound was obtained as a white solid. Eluent, $n$-hexane: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=1: 1$. Yield 57 mg (62 \%) .
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $399.86 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $7.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3}\right), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{6}\right), 7.37-7.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}, \mathrm{H}^{2}\right), 6.97\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100.62 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 144.8\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=250 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {ortho }}\right), 139.4\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=254.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{para}}\right)$, $137.2\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=247.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {meta }}\right), 137.2\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 136.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 128.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right)$, $128.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 126.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 112.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 112.3\left(\mathrm{td},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=13.4,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ipso}}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (376,38 $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): -142.79 (m, 2F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ ), $-156.60\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=19.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-163.01(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{meta}}$ ). MS (EI+, 70 eV ): m/z (\%) 270.01 (24) [M $\left.{ }^{+}\right], 250.02$ (31), 219.00 (21), 78.06 (30), 51.05 (100).

[^31]

1b

## Methyl 2,3,4,5,6-pentafluoro- $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$-methylenebenzenepropenoate (1c). ${ }^{19}$

This compound was obtained as a colorless oil. Eluent, $n$-hexane: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=1: 1$. Yield 27 $\mathrm{mg}(30 \%)$ for a reaction conversion of $40 \%$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $399.86 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $6.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{6}\right), 5.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 3.73\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right)$, $3.66\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100.56 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $166.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 145.2(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=250 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {ortho }}\right), 140.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=252 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {para }}\right), 137.5\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=244 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {meta }}\right)$, $135.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 126.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 111.8\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}\right), 52.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right), 24.8\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=22.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{7}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $399.19 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): -142.62 (m, 2F, Fortho), -156.48 (t, J $=19.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}$, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {para }}$ ), $162.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right)$. MS (EI+, 70 eV ): m/z (\%) 266.03 (45) [M+], 235.05 (23), 207.12 (32), 203.04 (24), 187.05 (100), 59.13 (37).

tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenyl)-2-propenoate (2a). ${ }^{91}$
This compound was obtained as a white solid. Eluent, $n$-hexane: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=1: 1$. Yield 40 $\mathrm{mg}(42 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $7.61\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 7.07\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{9}\right), 6.70$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 1.54\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 165.3$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 145.7\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=248.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 144.9\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=253.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 128.6\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=8.5\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 128.0\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 115.2\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 106.4\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=22.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 81.4$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 28.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $-138.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}),-140.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F})$. MS (EI+, 70 eV ): m/z (\%) 276.01 (3) [M+ ${ }^{+}$, 220.97 (44), 202.94 (91), 199.94 (13), 174.99 (33), 154.99 (20), 57.07 (100).

tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(2,4,6-trifluorophenyl)-2-propenoate (3a). ${ }^{91}$
This compound was obtained as a white solid. Eluent, $n$-hexane: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=1: 3$. Yield 52.8 mg (60 \%) .
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.60\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 6.72(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 6.60\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 1.52\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 166.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 162.85\left(\mathrm{dt},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=253.7,15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 161.9\left(\mathrm{ddd},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=256.4\right.$, $\left.14.6,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 128.6\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 125.7\left(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=8.4,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 109.3(\mathrm{td}$, $\left.\mathrm{J}=15.3,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 100.8\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 80.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 28.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F} \operatorname{NMR}(470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): -104.60 (quintet, $\mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}$ ), $-106.8\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ortho }}\right) . \mathrm{MS}$ (EI+, $70 \mathrm{eV}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%) 258.04$ (5) [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 203.02$ (49), 184.99 (100), 157.02 (40), 57.08 (90).


3a
tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(difluorophenyl)-2-propenoate (4a) from $\mathbf{1 , 3}$ difluorobenzene. ${ }^{19}$
White solid, mixture of two isomers in a ratio $2,6-\mathrm{F}_{2}: 2,4-\mathrm{F}_{2}=1.5: 1$. Eluent, $n$-hexane: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=1: 1$. Yield 70 mg ( $85 \%$ ).
tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(2,6-difluorophenyl)-2-propenoate (2,6-4a). ${ }^{19}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.67\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 7.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{9}\right), 6.92$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 6.66\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 1.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(125.78 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 166.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 161.6\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=255 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 130.7\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=11.0\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 129.5\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 126.2\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 112.6\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=14.8\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 111.8\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=21.2,{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 80.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 28.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (470.17 $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): -110.46 (t, J = 7.32 Hz, 2F). MS (EI+, 70 eV ): m/z (\%) 276.01 (3) [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$, 220.97 (44), 202.94 (91), 199.94 (13), 174.99 (33), 154.99 (20), 57.07 (100).


2,6-4a
tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(2,4-difluorophenyl)-2-propenoate (2,4-4a). ${ }^{19}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.64\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 7.50\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{11}\right), 6.91$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{10}\right), 6.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 6.39\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 1.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (125.78 MHz, $\left.\delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 165.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 163.6\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=275.3,12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 161.5$ $\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=278.5,{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 135.0\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 129.9\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=9.8\right.$, $\left.4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{11}\right), 122.5\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=6.5,{ }^{6} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 111.9\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=21.8,{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.6\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 104.5\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=25.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 80.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 28.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (470.17 MHz, $\delta$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):-106.71(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}),-110.22(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~J}=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F})$.


2,4-4a

## General procedure B: Oxidative Heck reaction of arenes using [2,2'-bipyridin]-6(1H)-one.

$\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](3.82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol}),\left[2,2^{\prime}\right.$-bipyridin]-6(1H)-one (bipy-6-OH, 2.93 mg , 0.017 mmol ) and sodium molybdate dihydrate $(8.25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.034 \mathrm{mmol})$ were introduced in a Schlenk tube with a screw cap in an oxygen atmosphere. Then, the arene ( 1.5 mL ), $t$-butyl acrylate ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.341 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dodecane ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.176 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as internal standard, and $N, N$-dimethylacetamide $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. Oxygen was bubbled through the mixture ( 5 min ) and the vessel was closed. The mixture was heated in a bath at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $6-24 \mathrm{~h}$. The yield of the reaction was checked by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude mixture. $N$, $N$-dimethylacetamide was then removed under vacuum and $n$-hexane was added to the residue to extract the product. The suspension was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness obtaining an oily residue. Finally, the product was purified by column chromatography using silica gel and a solvent mixture for each product that are specified below.
Isomeric mixtures could not be separated and the ratio of isomers in the isolated product is given below (ratios of isomers in the crude mixture are given in the main text). For some of the complexes, mixtures enriched in one isomer were obtained by column chromatography and their spectra are shown in the selected spectra section.
tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(difluorophenyl)-2-propenoate (5a) from 1,2-difluorobenzene. ${ }^{92}$ White solid, mixture of two isomers in a ratio of $2,3-\mathrm{F}_{2}: 3,4-\mathrm{F}_{2}=1.2: 1$. Eluent, $n$-hexane: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=1: 1$. Yield $61 \mathrm{mg}(75 \%)$.
tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(2,3-difluorophenyl)-2-propenoate (2,3-5a). ${ }^{92}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.67\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 7.27\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 7.15$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{9}\right), 7.07\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 6.46\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 1.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\left.125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 165.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 150.9\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=248.6,{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{C}{ }^{10}\right)$, $149.1\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=254.8,{ }^{2} \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{11}\right), 134.9\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 134.8\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}\right.$ $\left.=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 124.1\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=6.8,{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 124.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{4}\right)$, $123.5\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.5,{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 118.1\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 80.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 28.1$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): -137.74 (m, 1F), -140.31 (dt, J=20.0, 6.6 Hz, 1F). MS (EI+, 70 eV ): m/z (\%) 240 (4) [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 184.98$ (58), 166.94 (100), 118.93 (43), 57.07 (89).

[^32]

2,3-5a
tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(3,4-difluorophenyl)-2-propenoate (3,4-5a). ${ }^{92}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $7.47\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 7.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 7.21$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 7.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{11}\right), 6.27\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 1.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $165.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 151.2\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=252.5,{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{C}^{10}\right)$, $150.5\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=249.4,{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 141.0\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 131.9\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}\right.$ $\left.=5.7,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 124.5\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=6.5,{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 121.1\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{5} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{4}\right)$, $117.7\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=17.7,{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{11}\right), 116.1\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=17.5,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 80.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right)$, 28.1 ( $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ ). ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): -134.85 (m, 1F), $-136.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{~F})$. MS (EI+, $70 \mathrm{eV}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\%) 240 (4) [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 184.98$ (58), 166.94 (100), 118.93 (43), 57.07 (89).


3,4-5a
tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(fluorophenyl)-2-propenoate (6a).
Colorless oil, mixture of three isomers in a ratio of $\mathrm{o}: \mathrm{m}: \mathrm{p}=2.8: 3.8: 1$. Eluent, $n$-hexane: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=1: 1$. Yield 59 mg (78\%).

## tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(3-fluorophenyl)-2-propenoate (m-6a). ${ }^{93}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.53\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 7.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{9}\right), 7.14\left(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 7.17(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{11}\right), 6.36\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 1.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 165.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 162.9\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=246.7 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 142.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{C}{ }^{5}\right), 136.9$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 124.3\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 123.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{C}{ }^{7}\right), 121.5$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 115.9\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=21.9 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 114.1\left(\mathrm{~d}^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=21.9 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{C}^{11}\right), 80.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 28.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right)$. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): -112.78 (td, J = 9.06, 5.50 Hz, 1F). MS (EI+, 70 eV ): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%) 222.04$ (6) $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 166.01$ (77), 149.00 (100), 101.02 (37), 57.07 (74).
 $m-\mathbf{6 a}$

[^33]tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(2-fluorophenyl)-2-propenoate (o-6a). ${ }^{92}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $7.72\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right)$, $7.51(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.7,1.5$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{9}\right), 7.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 7.13\left(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.7,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 7.07(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=10.6,8.2$, $\left.1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{10}\right)$, $6.46\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 1.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $(125.67$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 166.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 161.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=253.6 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{C}^{11}\right), 136.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{C}^{5}\right)$, $131.29\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 130.3\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 128.9\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{C}^{7}\right)$, $122.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 122.6\left(\mathrm{~d}^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 116.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=22.2 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{C}^{10}\right)$, $80.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 28.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): -114.71 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{J}=11.1,7.8,5.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F})$. MS (EI+, 70 eV ): m/z (\%) 222.04 (6) [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 166.01$ (77), 149.00 (100), 101.02 (37), 57.07 (74).

tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-propenoate ( $\boldsymbol{p}$-6a). ${ }^{94}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $7.52\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right)$, $7.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}^{8}$ ), $7.01\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 6.26\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 1.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $166.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 163.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=250.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 142.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right)$, $130.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 129.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 119.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 116.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=\right.$ $\left.21.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{8}\right)$, $80.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 28.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $-110.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{~F})$. MS (EI+, 70 eV ): m/z (\%) 222.04 (6) [M+], 166.01 (77), 149.00 (100), 101.02 (37), 57.07 (74).

p-6a
tert-Butyl (2E)-3-[(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2-propenoate (7a). ${ }^{62}$
This compound was obtained as a yellow oil, mixture of two isomers in a ratio of $\mathrm{m}: \mathrm{p}=3.7: 1$. Eluent, $n$-hexane: $\mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 1$. Yield $73 \mathrm{mg}(79 \%)$.

## tert-Butyl (2E)-3-[3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2-propenoate (m-7a). ${ }^{62}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $399.86 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 7.73 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{7}$ ), $7.65\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{11}\right.$ ), 7.62 $\left(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{9}\right), 7.59\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 7.49\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{10}\right), 6.42(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.\mathrm{J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H},) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100.56 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 165,6 ( $\left.\mathrm{C}^{3}\right)$, $141,6\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 138.0\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 131.4\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=32.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 130.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{11}\right), 129.3$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 128.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 125.7\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 124.4\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 123.8(\mathrm{q}$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=272.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{12}$ ), $122.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right)$, $80.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 28.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (399.19 MHz, $\delta$,

[^34]$\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): -62,75 (s, 3F, $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ). MS (EI+, 70 eV ): m/z (\%) 272.06 (6) $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 217.03$ (49), 216.04 (39), 215.00 (38), 199.01 ( 91 ), 151.00 (50), 57.07 (100).


## tert-Butyl (2E)-3-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2-propenoate (p-7a). ${ }^{95}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(399.86 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.62-7.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}, \mathrm{H}^{7}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 6.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 1.52\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100.56 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 165.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 141.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right)$, $138.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 131.4\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=32.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 126.3\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 123.9$ $\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=270.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 122.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 80.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 28.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (399.19 MHz, $\delta$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): -62.67 (s, 3F, $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ). MS (EI+, 70 eV ): m/z (\%) 272.06 (6) [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 217.03$ (49), 216.04 (39), 215.00 (38), 199.01 ( 91 ), 151.00 (50), 57.07 (100).


## tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(nitrophenyl)-2-propenoate (8a)

This compound was obtained as a yellow oil, mixture of two isomers in a ratio of $\mathrm{o}: \mathrm{m}=1: 3.8$. Eluent, hexane: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=1: 1$. Yield $51 \mathrm{mg}(60 \%)$.

## tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(2-nitrophenyl)-2-propenoate (o-8a). ${ }^{94}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $8.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{10}\right), 8.00\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right)$, 7.62-7.66 (m, 2H, H $\left.{ }^{9}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 7.49-7.56\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 6.29\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $165.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 148.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{11}\right), 138.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right)$, $130.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 130.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 128.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 127.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 125.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 124.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 81.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 28.1$ ( $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ ). MS (EI+, 70 eV$): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%) 249.06$ (3) [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 194.01$ (32), 176.01 (57), 57.07 (100).


$$
o-8 \mathbf{a}
$$

tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(3-nitrophenyl)-2-propenoate (m-8a). ${ }^{96}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (499.73 MHz, $\delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $8.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{11}\right), 8.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{9}\right), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.7$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 7.63\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 7.57\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 6.48\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right)$,

[^35]$1.54\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $165.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 148.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 140.6$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 136.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 133.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 128.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 124.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 123.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 122.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{11}\right), 81.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right)$, 28.1 (C1). MS (EI+, 70 eV ): m/z (\%) 249.06 (3) [ $\left.{ }^{+}\right]$, 194.01 (32), 176.01 (57), 57.07 (100).


## tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethylphenyl)-2-propenoate (9a).

This compound was obtained as a colorless oil. Eluent, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Yield $45 \mathrm{mg}(40 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $7.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 7.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{9}\right), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.0$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 6.50\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 1.54\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(125.78 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 165.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 139.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 132.3\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=33.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 127.5\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 124.4$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 123.1\left(\mathrm{sept},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 81.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 28.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): -63.16 (s, 6F). MS (EI+, 70 eV ): m/z (\%) 339.96 (2) [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 284.98$ (17), 266.93 (50), 57.06 (100).


## 9a

Ethyl (E)-(3-(tert-butoxy)-3-oxoprop-1-en-1-yl)benzoate (10a).
This compound was obtained as a colorless oil, mixture of two isomers in a ratio of o:m $=1: 7$. Eluent, hexane: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3: 1)$. Yield $65 \mathrm{mg}(69 \%)$.

## Ethyl ( $\boldsymbol{E}$ )-3-(3-(tert-butoxy)-3-oxoprop-1-en-1-yl)benzoate ( $\boldsymbol{m}$-10a).

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $8.18\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{11}\right), 8.02(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{9}$ ), $7.66\left(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=7.7,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 7.60\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 7.44(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 6.44\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 4.39$ (quartet, J = $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{13}$ ), $1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}^{1}$ ), $1.40\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{14}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 166.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right)$, $165.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{12}\right), 142.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 136.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 132.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 130.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 130.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 128.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 128.7$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{11}\right), 121.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 80.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 61.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{13}\right), 28.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right), 14.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{14}\right) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}+, 70 \mathrm{eV}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)$ 276.03 (3) [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 220.01$ (76), 202.99 (25), 192.00 (32), 175.00 (100), 147.02 (25), 57.07 (94).


Ethyl ( $\boldsymbol{E}$ )-2-(3-(tert-butoxy)-3-oxoprop-1-en-1-yl)benzoate (o-10a).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $8.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 7.93(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{10}\right), 7.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 7.50\left(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 7.41(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.5,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{9}\right), 6.22\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 4.39$ (quartet, $\mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{13}$ ), $1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{1}\right), 1.41\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{14}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 166.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right)$, $165.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{12}\right), 142.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 138.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 132.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 130.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 129.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{11}\right), 127.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 127.7$ $\left(C^{9}\right), 122.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 80.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 61.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{13}\right), 28.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right), 14.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{14}\right) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}+, 70 \mathrm{eV}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)$ 276.03 (3) [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 220.01$ (76), 202.99 (25), 192.00 (32), 175.00 (100), 147.02 (25), 57.07 (94).

$o-10 \mathbf{a}$
tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(acetylphenyl)-2-propenoate (11a).
This compound was obtained as a colorless oil, mixture of two isomers in a ratio of o:m:p $=1: 2.8: 2$. Eluent, hexane:EtOAc (2:1). Yield $43 \mathrm{mg}(52 \%)$.

## tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(3-acetylphenyl)-2-propenoate (m-11a).. ${ }^{97}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $8.08\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{11}\right), 7.94\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 7.69$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{9}\right), 7.60\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 7.48\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right)$, $2.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{13}\right), 1.54\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $197.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{12}\right), 165.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 141.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 137.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 135.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 132.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 129.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 129.1$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 127.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{11}\right), 121.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 80.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 28.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right), 26.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{13}\right) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}+, 70 \mathrm{eV}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)$ 246.05 (4) $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 190.01$ (36), 174.99 (100), 155.00 (23), 57.07 (43).

$m-11 \mathbf{a}$
tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(4-acetylphenyl)-2-propenoate (p-11a). ${ }^{97}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $7.95\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 7.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 6.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 2.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{11}\right), 1.54\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $197.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 165.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 142.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 139.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 135.2$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 128.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 128.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 122.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 80.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 28.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right), 26.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{11}\right)$.

[^36]
tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(2-acetylphenyl)-2-propenoate (o-11a). ${ }^{98}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (499.73 MHz, $\delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $8.04\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 7.71(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.7,1.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{10}$ ), $7.58\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 7.50\left(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,1.4,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{9}\right), 7.43(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.5$, $\left.1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 6.21\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 2.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{13}\right), 1.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\left.125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 200.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{12}\right), 165.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 142.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 138.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{11}\right), 134.9$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 129.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 129.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 122.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 80.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 28.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right), 26.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{13}\right) . \mathrm{MS}$ (EI+, 70 eV ): m/z (\%) 246.05 (4) [M $\left.{ }^{+}\right], 190.01$ (36), 174.99 (100), 155.00 (23), 57.07 (43).

$o-11 a$
tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(methylphenyl)-2-propenoate (12a).
This compound was obtained as a colorless oil, mixture of isomers in a ratio of $\mathrm{o}: \mathrm{m}: \mathrm{p}=1: 5 \cdot 3: 2.4$. Eluent, hexane: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=1: 1$. Yield $51 \mathrm{mg}(68 \%)$.
tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(3-methylphenyl)-2-propenoate (m-12a). ${ }^{94}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.56\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 7.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{11}\right), 7.26\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8)}, 7.17\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{9}\right), 6.36(\mathrm{~d}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 2.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{12}\right), 1.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 166.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 143.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 138.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right)$, $134.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 130.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 128.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 127.9$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 125.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{11}\right), 119.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 80.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 28.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right), 21.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{12}\right) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}+, 70 \mathrm{eV}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)$ $218.1(20)\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 162.05(97), 147.03$ (100), 115.02 (50), 57.07 (47).

$m-12 \mathrm{a}$
tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(2-methylphenyl)-2-propenoate (o-12a). ${ }^{94}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 7.24-7.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 7.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{9}, \mathrm{H}^{10}\right), 6.29\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{12}\right), 1.54\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $166.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 141.2$

[^37]$\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 137.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{11}\right), 133.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 130.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 129.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 126.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 126.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 121.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right)$, $80.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 28.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right), 19.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{12}\right)$. MS (EI+, 70 eV$): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%) 218.10(20)\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 162.05(97)$, 147.03 (100), 115.02 (50), 57.07 (47).

tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(4-methylphenyl)-2-propenoate (p-12a). ${ }^{99,94}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) *: 7.56\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 7.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 7.17$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 6.33\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 2.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{10}\right), 1.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $166.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 143.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 140.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 131.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 129.5$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 119.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 80.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 28.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right), 21.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}+, 70 \mathrm{eV}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)$ $218.1(20)\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 162.05$ (97), 147.03 (100), 115.02 (50), 57.07 (47).

* Most signals are overlapped with the meta-isomer. The spectra of the isomeric mixture were compared to a sample of p-12a prepared independently via a conventional Heck reaction of $p$-bromotoluene and $t$-butyl acrylate.



## tert-Butyl (2E)-3-phenyl-2-propenoate (13a). ${ }^{94}$

This compound was obtained as a yellow oil. Eluent, hexane: EtOAc $=4: 1$. Yield 49 mg (70 \%).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.59\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 7.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 7.36$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}, \mathrm{H}^{9}\right), 6.37\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 1.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (125.67 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 166.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 143.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 134.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 129.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 128.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 128.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right)$, $120.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 80.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 28.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}+, 70 \mathrm{eV}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%) 204.07(6)\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 147.01(100)$, 131.01 (59), 103.03 (28), 57.07 (70).


13a
tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(methoxyphenyl)-2-propenoate (14a).
This compound was obtained as a colorless oil, mixture of three isomers in a ratio o:m:p $=1: 12: 4$. Eluent, hexane: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=1: 1$. Yield $55 \mathrm{mg}(69 \%)$.

[^38]tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-propenoate (p-14a). ${ }^{94}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $7.54\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}^{7}$ ), $6.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 6.24\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 3.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{10}\right), 1.51$ (s, 9H, H ${ }^{1}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $166.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 161.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 143.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right)$, $129.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 127.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 117.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 114.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 80.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 55.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 28.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right)$. MS (EI+, $70 \mathrm{eV}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\%) 234.11 (26) [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 178.04$ (77), 161.05 (44), 147.05 (100), 118.04 (39), 57.07 (46).

$p-14 a$
tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-propenoate (m-14a). ${ }^{100}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $7.55\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 7.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{10}\right), 7.10$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{11}\right), 7.01\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 6.91\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{9}\right), 6.35\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{12}\right), 1.54\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\left.125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 166.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 159.8$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 143.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 129.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 123.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 120.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{11}\right), 120.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 115.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 112.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right)$, $80.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 55.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{12}\right), 28.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}+, 70 \mathrm{eV}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%) 234.11(26)\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 178.04(77)$, 161.05 (44), 147.05 (100), 118.04 (39), 57.07 (46).

$m-14 a$

## tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(2-methoxyphenyl)-2-propenoate (o-14a). ${ }^{100}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $7.91\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 7.49(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,1.3$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{11}\right), 7.33-7.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{9}\right), 6.98-6.83\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{10}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 3.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{12}\right), 1.52\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $166.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 158.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 138.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 136.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 129.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 128.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{11}\right), 120.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 120.6$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 111.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 80.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 55.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{12}\right), 28.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right)$. GC-MS: MS (EI+, 70eV): m/z (\%) 234.11 (100) [M+].


[^39]tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-propenoate (15a). ${ }^{93}$
This compound was obtained as a yellow oil. Eluent, hexane: EtOAc $=4: 1$. Yield 67 mg (74 \%).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.52\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.H^{8}\right), 7.03\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{11}\right), 6.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 6.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OMe}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.52\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 166.5$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 150.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 149.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 143.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 127.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 122.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 117.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 110.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{11}\right)$, $109.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 80.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 55.8(\mathrm{OMe}), 28.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}+, 70 \mathrm{eV}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%) 264.12$ (21) [ $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 208.07 (100), 193.02 (24), 191.06 (24), 57.07 (30).

tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(pyridinyl)-2-propenoate (16a).
Colorless oil, mixture of two isomers in a ratio of $\mathrm{o}: \mathrm{m}: \mathrm{p}=1.4: 15.5: 1$. Eluent, AcOEt:hexane $=10: 1$. Yield $50 \mathrm{mg}(70 \%)$.
tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(3-pyridinyl)-2-propenoate (m-16a). ${ }^{65 b}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $8.69\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 8.55(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.8,1.9$, $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 7.78\left(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=8.2,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{10}\right), 7.53\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 7.27(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}$ $\left.=8.2,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{9}\right), 6.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 1.5\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(125.67$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 165.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 150.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 149.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 139.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 134.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 130.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right)$, $123.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 122.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 80.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 28.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}+, 70 \mathrm{eV}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%) 205.00(5)\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$, 160.07 (35), 150.03 (73), 132.03 (93), 105.05 (47), 57.07 (100).

tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(2-pyridinyl)-2-propenoate (o-16a). ${ }^{101}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (499.73 MHz, $\delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $8.63\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{10}\right.$ ), $7.69(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,1.8$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 7.58\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 7.42\left(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 7.24(\mathrm{ddd}$, $\left.\mathrm{J}=7.6,4.7,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{9}\right), 6.82\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 1.5\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 165.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 153.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 150.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 142.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 136.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right)$, $124.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 123.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 123.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 80.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 28.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}+, 70 \mathrm{eV}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%) 205.00$ (5) $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 160.07$ (35), 150.03 (73), 132.03 (93), 105.05 (47), 57.07 (100).

[^40]
tert-Butyl (2E)-3-(4-pyridinyl)-2-propenoate (p-16a).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $8.63\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}^{5}$ ), $7.34\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 6.52\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 1.5\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $165.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 150.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 141.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 140.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 124.8$ ( $\mathrm{C}^{7}$ ), $121.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 81.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 28.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}+, 70 \mathrm{eV}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%) 205.00(5)\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 160.07$ (35), 150.03 (73), 132.03 (93), 105.05 (47), 57.07 (100).

(E)-(Trifluoromethyl)stilbene (7b).

White solid, mixture of two isomers in a ratio m:p = 1.8:1. Eluent, $n$-hexane. Yield 58 mg (68 \%).

## ( $\boldsymbol{E}$ )-3-(Trifluoromethyl)stilbene ( $\boldsymbol{m}$-7b). ${ }^{102}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $7.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{13}\right), 7.68\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.50, \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right)$, $7.56-7.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{10}, \mathrm{H}^{9}, \mathrm{H}^{3}\right), 7.39\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{2}\right), 7.3\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right), 7.19(\mathrm{~m}$, AB system, J = $\left.16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{6}\right), 7.13\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{AB}\right.$ system, $\left.\mathrm{J}=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\left.125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 138.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 136.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 131.2\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=32.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{11}\right)$, $130.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 129.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 128.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 128.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right) 127.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 126.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 125.6\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=4.0\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 124.1\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=272.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{12}\right), 124.0\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 123.0\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=\right.$ $3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{13}$ ). ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): -62.79 (s, 3F). MS (EI+, 70 eV ): m/z (\%) 248.02 (93) $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 179.04$ (100), 178.03 (86).


[^41]
## ( $\boldsymbol{E}$ )-4-(Trifluoromethyl)stilbene ( $\boldsymbol{p}$-7b). ${ }^{103}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (499.73 MHz, $\delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $7.6\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}, \mathrm{H}^{9}\right), 7.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3}\right), 7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{2}\right), 7.3\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right), 7.2\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{AB}\right.$ system, $\left.\mathrm{J}=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{6}\right), 7.12(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{AB}$ system, $\left.\mathrm{J}=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 140.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 140.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right)$, $136.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 132.1\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=32.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 128.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 128.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 128.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right), 127.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right)$, $126.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 125.6\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 124.1\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=272.4 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{C}^{11}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (470.17 $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): -62.48 (s, 3F). MS (EI+, 70 eV ): m/z (\%) 248.02 (93) [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 179.04$ (100), 178.03 (86).

trans-3,4-Dimethoxystilbene (15b).. ${ }^{93}$
This compound was obtained as a white solid. Eluent, AcOEt:hexane $=1: 5$. Yield 53 mg (70 \%).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.51\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3}\right), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{2}\right), 7.24\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right), 7.06\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}, \mathrm{H}^{12}\right), 7.04(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{AB}$ system, $J=16.3$, $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{6}\right), 6.99\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{AB}\right.$ system, $\left.\mathrm{J}=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 6.86\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{11}\right)$, $3.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{14}\right), 3.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{13}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 149.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}\right)$, $148.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 137.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 130.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 128.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{12}\right), 127.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right), 126.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 126.2$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 119.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 111.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{11}\right), 108.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 55.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{14}\right), 55.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{13}\right) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}+, 70 \mathrm{eV}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\%) 240.03 (100) [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 224.95$ (36), 165.04 (44).

trans-Trimethoxyresveratrol (17d). ${ }^{104}$
This compound was obtained as a white solid. Eluent, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ :hexane $=1$ :1. Yield 66 mg (72 \%) .
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3}\right), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.H^{6}\right), 6.91\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 6.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{2}\right), 6.66(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3, \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 6.38\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{10}\right), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 160.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 159.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right), 139.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 129.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 128.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 127.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 126.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right)$, $114.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 104.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 99.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right)$, $55.3(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{OMe})$. MS (EI+, 70 eV$): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%) 270.08$ (100) $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 239.08$ (21).

[^42]

### 2.4.4 Additional catalytic experiments and test reactions



Equation 2.6

Table 2.5 Additional screening experiments for the reaction shown in Equation 2.6. ${ }^{a}$

| Entry | [Pd] | Additive (equiv) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T} \\ \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ :acrylate (mol ratio) | 1a, Crude yield (\%), $6 h^{b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (0.1) | 120 | 2.5:1 | 99 |
| 2 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4}$ anhydrous (0.1) | 120 | 2.5:1 | 80 |
| 3 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1) \\ & +\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1) \end{aligned}$ | 120 | 2.5:1 | 88 |
| 4 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | NaOAc anhydrous (0.1) | 120 | 2.5:1 | 61 |
| 5 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{NaOAc} .3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (0.1) | 120 | 2.5:1 | 51 |
| 6 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | KOAc anhydrous (0.1) | 120 | 2.5:1 | 54 |
| 7 | $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{NCMe})_{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (0.1) | 120 | 2.5:1 | 30 |
| 8 | $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{NCMe})_{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (0.3) | 120 | 2.5:1 | 51 |
| 9 | $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{NCMe})_{2}\right]$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1) \\ & +\mathrm{NaOAc} 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.2) \end{aligned}$ | 120 | 2.5:1 | 68 |
| 10 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1)$ | 120 | 2.5:1 | 23 |
| 11 | [Pd(TFA) $\left.2_{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (0.1) | 120 | 2.5:1 | 11 |
| 12 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (0.1) | 90 | 2.5:1 | 81 |
| 13 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (0.1) | 60 | 2.5:1 | 27 |
| 14 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1)$ | 60 | 5:1 | 26 |
| 15 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1)$ | 60 | 2.5:2 | 16 |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: [Pd] ( $0.034 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $t$-butyl acrylate ( 0.341 mmol ), DMA ( 3 mL total volume), $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm}) .{ }^{b}$ Crude yields were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using dodecane as internal standard.

Table 2.6 Effect of the use of the ligand bipy-6-OH for the aerobic alkenylation of different arenes with $t$-butyl acrylate. ${ }^{a}$

| Entry | Ligand | Arene | Cpd. | Crude yield, \% |  | Isomer distribution ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $6 \mathrm{~h}^{\text {b }}$ | $24 \mathrm{~h}^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| $1{ }^{\text {d }}$ | bipy-6-OH | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ | 1a | 0 | 0 |  |
| $2^{\text {d }}$ | - | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ | 1a | 86 | 90 |  |
| $3{ }^{\text {d }}$ | bipy-6-OH | 1,3-C $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 3a | 13 | 18 | 2,6-F $\mathrm{F}_{2}: 2,4-\mathrm{F}_{2}=1: 1.4$ |
| $4^{d}$ | - | $1,3-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 3a | 46 | 46 | $2,6-\mathrm{F}_{2}: 2,4-\mathrm{F}_{2}=1.6: 1$ |
| 5 | bipy-6-OH | 1,2-C $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 5a | 60 | 86 | $2,3-\mathrm{F}_{2}: 3,4-\mathrm{F}_{2}=1.8: 1$ |
| 6 | - | 1,2-C6 $\mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 5a | 20 | 28 | $2,3-\mathrm{F}_{2}: 3,4-\mathrm{F}_{2}=3.3: 1$ |
| 7 | bipy-6-OH | PhF | 6 a | 75 | 87 | $o: m: p=2.8: 3.8: 1$ |
| 8 | - | PhF | 6 a | 18 | 18 | $o: m: p=5.5: 1: 1$ |
| 9 | bipy-6-OH | $\mathrm{PhCF}_{3}$ | 7a | 72 | 90 | $o: m: p=0: 3.7: 1$ |
| 10 | - | $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 7 a | 40 | 40 | $o: m: p=0: 1.8: 1$ |
| 11 | bipy-6-OH | PhMe | 12a | 40 | 75 | $o: m: p=1: 5.3: 2.4$ |
| 12 | - | PhMe | 12a | 47 | 47 | $o: m: p=1: 1: 0.8$ |
| $13^{e}$ | bipy-6-OH | 1,2-(OMe) $2_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 15a | 73 | 91 |  |
| $14^{e}$ | - | 1,2-(OMe) $2_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 15a | 26 | 32 |  |
| $15^{\text {ef }}$ | bipy-6-OH | 1,3-(OMe) $2_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 17d | 56 | 86 |  |
| $16^{\text {ef } f}$ | - | 1,3-(OMe) $)_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 17d | 0 | 0 |  |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](0.017 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.034 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ $\mathrm{mol} \%)$, alkene ( 0.341 mmol ), Arene $: \mathrm{DMA}=1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ (total volume, 3 mL ), $\mathrm{O}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm}), 120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, unless otherwise noted. ${ }^{b}$ Crude yields were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using dodecane as internal standard. Yields refer to the mixture of isomers. ${ }^{c}$ Molar ratio of isomers; only one isomer was found for blank cells. ${ }^{d}$ Arene:alkene $=2.5: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio. ${ }^{e}\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{L}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), 130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{f}$ 4-methoxy styrene instead of $t$-butyl acrylate.

## Test on the ability of molybdate to behave as oxidation mediator

Conditions for thioanisole oxidation: $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.034 \mathrm{mmol}),\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](0.034$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, thioanisole ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMA $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL}), 1 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, dodecane $(5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol})$ as internal standard. The crude reaction mixture was checked by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.
Conditions for hydroquinone oxidation: $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(48.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), hydroquinone ( $220.2 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acetic acid $(10 \mathrm{~mL}), 1 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$. The crude reaction mixture was checked by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

## Qualitative experiments for the detection of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ in the formation of 1a

$\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](7.65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.034 \mathrm{mmol})$, and a base $(0.034 \mathrm{mmol})$ were introduced in a Schlenk tube with a screw cap in an oxygen atmosphere. Then, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}(94 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.853$ $\mathrm{mmol}), t$-butyl acrylate ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.341 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dodecane ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.176 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as internal standard and $N, N$-dimethylacetamide ( 3 mL ) were added. Oxygen was bubbled through the mixture for 5 min and the vessel was closed. The mixture was heated in a bath at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The formation of hydrogen peroxide was tested by taking aliquots at $30-$ and $60-\mathrm{min}$ reaction times and adding them to 10 mL of a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution containing 0.25 mL of a $0.07 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CoSO}_{4} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (aq) solution. The presence of hydrogen peroxide in the crude mixture was detected by the color change of the initial solution (pinkish) to an intense green. ${ }^{105}$
As shown in Figure 2.4, the experiments show the clear formation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ when the base used is NaOAc . Hydrogen peroxide was not detected when $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4}$ was used but it is known that this salt catalyzes the disproportionation reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and therefore a fast decomposition in the reaction conditions could account for the absence of this oxygen reduction byproduct. ${ }^{74,106}$



Figure 2.4 Qualitative detection of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ in the oxidative Heck reaction of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F} 5 \mathrm{H}$ in the presence of different additive bases. a) reaction time 30 min ; b) reaction time 60 min .

[^43]
### 2.4.5 Mechanistic experiments

## Follow up of the oxidative Heck reaction of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{D}$



Equation 2.7

In an NMR tube equipped with a J. Young-stopcock and filled with oxygen, $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ $(1.02 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0045 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0045 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{D}$ ( $12.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.112 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $t$-butyl acrylate ( $6.7 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.045 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMA ( 0.5 mL ), dodecane as an internal standard ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and a sealed glass capillary filled with $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ as an NMR reference were added. Finally, oxygen was bubbled through the resulting solution for 30 seconds at room temperature. The NMR tube was closed and shaken just before its introduction in the NMR probe, previously thermostated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The change in the concentration of $t$-butyl acrylate, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ and product (1a) (by the integration of the corresponding signals) was used to obtain the kinetic time courses (Figure 2.1). The reaction was followed for 15 h with data collected every 5 minutes. The disappearance of the acrylate is faster than the formation of the Heck product in the first two hours (see Figure 2.1). This indicates a competitive reaction route for the alkene. This was also observed by monitoring the reaction shown in Equation 2.7 without $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{D}$ in the same conditions described above (Figure 2.5). No new alkene was formed suggesting a possible polymerization pathway (see for example: Albéniz, A. C.; Espinet, P.; López-Fernández, R. Organometallics 2003, 22, 4206-4212).


Figure 2.5 Kinetic time course of the evolution of $t$-butyl acrylate in the absence of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{D}$.

## Kinetic experiments

## Kinetic experiments for the oxidative Heck reaction of pentafluorobenzene

In an NMR tube equipped with a J. Young-stopcock and filled with oxygen, $\left[\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ and sodium molybdate dihydrate were added. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}, t$-butyl acrylate, dodecane as an internal standard, $N, N$-dimethylacetamide ( 0.5 mL ) and a capillary filled with deuterated DMSO as an NMR reference were added (see concentration in Table 2.7). Finally, oxygen was bubbled through the resulting solution for 30 seconds at room temperature. The NMR tube was closed and shaken just before its introduction in the NMR probe, previously thermostated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(353 \mathrm{~K})$. The changes in the concentration of $t$-butyl acrylate, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ and product (1a) were determined by the integration of the corresponding signals.

The variable time normalization analysis (VTNA) reported by Burés, ${ }^{107}$ was used to determine the order on the reactants of the catalytic reaction. Four experiments were performed each time varying one of the reagent's initial concentrations (Table 2.7). The resulting plots are represented in Figure 2.6. The oxygen concentration is limited by the solubility of the gas in $N, N$-dimethylacetamide and was calculated from the values in the literature. ${ }^{23}$ Enough oxygen in the closed Young-NMR tube is available for a complete reaction provided the diffusion into the solution is effective.

Table 2.7 Initial concentration values for the kinetic experiments on the oxidative Heck reaction of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}$.

| Experiment | $[$ Olefin] $/ \mathrm{M}$ | $[$ Arene $/ \mathrm{M}$ | $[$ cat $] / \mathrm{M}$ | $\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right] / \mathrm{M}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.090 | 0.224 | 0.009 | 0.0054 |
| 2 | 0.090 | 0.448 | 0.009 | 0.0054 |
| 3 | 0.180 | 0.224 | 0.009 | 0.0054 |
| 4 | 0.090 | 0.224 | 0.027 | 0.0054 |

[^44]| Order in Catalyst $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Order in Arene ( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Order in Olefin <br> ( $t$-butyl acrylate) |  |  |  |  |

Figure 2.6 Plots derived from the variable time normalization analysis (VTNA). Overlay of plots from two different experiments gives the order in the reagent whose initial concentration is changed (power value in abscissa axis).

### 2.4.6 Kinetic experiments for the oxidative Heck reaction of toluene

## General procedure for the determination of the KIE

Two Schlenk flasks equipped with a screw cap and a Teflon stirring bar were charged with $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](3.82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol})$ sodium molybdate dihydrate $(8.25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.034$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and [2, $2^{\prime}$-bipyridin]- $6(1 H)$-one ( $2.93 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in an oxygen atmosphere. $t$-Butyl acrylate ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.341 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMA $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dodecane $(40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.176 \mathrm{mmol})$ as an internal standard were added to each flask. Then, toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to one flask and toluene $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ to the other. The Schlenk flasks were placed in a preheated oil bath at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with constant stirring. The reactions were monitored by taking aliquots at different reaction times and analyzing them by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using a sealed glass capillary filled with $\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{8}$ as NMR reference. The concentration of the product was determined by integration of the distinct olefin proton signals of the product and those of the internal standard.
The KIE ( $\mathrm{k}_{\text {obs(toluene) }} / \mathrm{k}_{\text {obs(toluene-d8) }}$ ) was determined by comparing the initial rates for the reactions of toluene and toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ in independent experiments (Figure 2.7).
The mean value of KIE for two determinations at different initial concentrations $(\mathrm{KIE}=2.36$ and $\mathrm{KIE}=1.97$, Figure 2.7 $)$ is $\mathrm{KIE}=2.2 \pm 0.2$.


Figure 2.7 Concentration time-plots for the oxidative Heck reaction of toluene and toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ carried out in separate flasks. A) Initial concentrations $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]=4.7 \mathrm{mM}$, [acrylate] $=94.7$ mM , [arene] $=3.92 \mathrm{M}$; kobs $($ toluene $)=11.1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{h}^{-1}$, $\mathrm{k}_{\text {obs }}\left(\right.$ toluene- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{8}\right)=4.7 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{h}^{-1}$. B) Initial concentrations $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]=2.3 \mathrm{mM}$, [acrylate $]=47 \mathrm{mM}$, [arene] $=3.92 \mathrm{M} ;$ kobs(toluene $)=5.6$ $\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{h}^{-1}, \mathrm{k}_{\text {obs }}\left(\right.$ toluene- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{8}\right)=2.8 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{h}^{-1}$.

The KIE determination in the absence of cooperating ligand was carried out in the same way as described above but without the addition of bipy-6-OH. The value found was $\mathrm{KIE}=3.6$.

## Additional kinetic experiments

Following the same procedure described above for the determination of the KIE, the initial $\mathrm{k}_{\text {obs }}$ were determined for two reactions at different initial concentrations of $t$-butyl acrylate or palladium acetate showing that the reaction is independent on the concentration of the olefin and first order in the catalyst (Table 2.8).

Table 2.8 Initial rate constants for several kinetic experiments on the oxidative Heck reaction of toluene.

| Entry | $[\text { Olefin }]_{0}$ <br> $(\mathrm{mM})$ | $[\text { Arene }]_{0}$ <br> $(\mathrm{M})$ | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]_{0}$ <br> $(\mathrm{mM})$ | $\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$ <br> $(\mathrm{mM})$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\text {obs }}$ <br> $(\mathrm{mM} / \mathrm{h})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 94.7 | 3.92 | 4.72 | 6.0 | 11.1 |
| 2 | 47.3 | 3.92 | 4.72 | 6.0 | 11.3 |
| 3 | 47.3 | 3.92 | 2.36 | 6.0 | 5.6 |

## H/D exchange experiments

$\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](3.82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol}),\left[2,2^{\prime}\right.$-bipyridin]-6(1H)-one (bipy-6-OH, 2.93 mg , 0.017 mmol ) and sodium molybdate dihydrate $(8.25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.034 \mathrm{mmol})$ were introduced in a Schlenk tube with a screw cap in an oxygen atmosphere. Then, the arene (fluorobenzene) ( 1.5 mL ), $t$-butyl acrylate ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.341 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dodecane ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.176$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ as internal standard, and $N, N$-dimethylacetamide $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.341 mmol ) were added. Oxygen was bubbled through the mixture ( 5 min ) and the vessel was closed. The mixture was heated in a bath at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The crude reaction mixture was checked by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. $N, N$-dimethylacetamide was then removed under vacuum and $n$-hexane was added to the residue to extract the product. The suspension was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness obtaining an oily residue. This residue was analyzed by NMR and GC-MS showing the same product mixture described above for 12a and 6a. The ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra showed no deuterated products.


Figure 2.8 ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $399.19 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of the crude mixture of several reactions of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~F}: \mathbf{A}$ ) reaction carried out in the same way described above in the absence of $t$-butyl acrylate. The presence of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{DF}$ is observed and this deuteration can occur in the absence of Pd (see ref.81). B) Alkenylation reaction with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as additive. C) Alkenylation reaction without $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as additive. (o; ortho), (p; para), (m; meta).

## Stoichiometric reactions of pentafluorophenyl palladium complexes and $\boldsymbol{t}$-butyl acrylate

## Reactions of bipy-6-OH complexes

$\left[\operatorname{Pd}(\right.$ bipy- $\left.6-\mathrm{OH}) \operatorname{Br}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\right](\mathbf{1 8}, 0.0068 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 0.6 mL of $N, N$-dimethylacetamide were added into an NMR tube along with a sealed glass capillary filled with $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ as an NMR reference. The corresponding additive in equimolar amount ( 0.0068 mmol ) was added and the mixture was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The identified complexes are depicted in Scheme 2.11. Then, $t$-butyl acrylate ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.068 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ as internal standard) were added to the NMR tube and the mixture was monitored at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Scheme 2.11).


Scheme 2.11 Species formed in situ from complex $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\right.$ bipy- $\left.6-\mathrm{OH})(\mathrm{Br})\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\right]$ (18) and their reactions with $t$-butyl acrylate to give 1a (see Figure 2.9).

The spectroscopic data of the identified palladium species in DMA with $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary as an NMR reference are given below:

18: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{DMA} /\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2}$ SO capillary): 13.40 (s, broad, OH), 9.30 (d, $\mathrm{J}=5.24 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.55$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.88 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.42 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta, \mathrm{DMA} /\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): -119.30(m, 2F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ ), $-166.50\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=20.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-168.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right)$.
18': ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{DMA} /\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2}$ SO capillary): 10.90 (s, broad, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), $8.66(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.83 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.87 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.2(1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.87 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.68 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta, \mathrm{DMA} /\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): -119.51 (m, 2F, Fortho), -161.70 (t, J $=19.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}$ ), $-164.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right)$. The structure of $\mathbf{1 8}^{\prime}$ in Scheme 2.11 is tentatively assigned.
21: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{DMA} /\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2}$ SO capillary): 9.26 (dd, $\mathrm{J}=5.57,1.18 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32$ (dd, J = 7.92, $3.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.08(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.70 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.38$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta, \mathrm{DMA} /\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): -118.81 (m, 2F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ ), $-167.90\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=20.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-169.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}$, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ ).
The identity of complex 21 was checked independently by dissolving the isolated complex $\left(\mathrm{NBu}_{4}\right)\left[\mathrm{PdBr}\left(\right.\right.$ bipy-6-O) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right]$ in DMA.
$E-1 \mathrm{a}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{DMA} /\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): $7.37(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta, \mathrm{DMA} /\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): -141.81 (m, 2F, Fortho), -154.05 (t, J = $19.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}$ ), 164.06 (m, 2F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ ).


Figure $2.9{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra ( 470.17 MHz ) of: A) the mixture of $\mathbf{1 8}$ and $\mathbf{1 8}{ }^{\prime}$ in DMA at room temperature. B) The mixture in $\mathbf{A}$ ) upon addition of the sodium molybdate at room temperature. C) The mixture in $\mathbf{B}$ ) upon addition of $t$-butyl acrylate. Formation of $\mathbf{1 a}$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$.

22: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (499.73 MHz, $\delta, \mathrm{DMA} /\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2}$ SO capillary): $8.37(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.09 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11$ $(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.90 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.68 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.7,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.63 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR $\left(470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, 2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta, \mathrm{DMA} /\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right.$ capillary): $-118.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ortho }}\right),-162.25\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=19.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-164.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}$, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ ).

23: ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta, \mathrm{DMA} /\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): $-114.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ortho }}\right)$, -114.12 (m, 2F, Fortho $^{\prime}$ ), -162.15 (t, J = $19.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}$ ), -165.56 (m, 2F, F $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ ).
The identity of complex $\mathbf{2 3}$ was checked independently by comparing the spectrum with that of a solution in DMA of an authentic sample prepared as described before. ${ }^{52 \mathrm{~b}}$

Complex 22 was also prepared in situ by dissolving $\left[\mathrm{PdBr}(\right.$ bipy- $6-\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right]$ in DMA in presence of an equimolecular amount of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ and sodium molybdate dihydrate (Scheme 2.12).


Scheme 2.12 Alternative formation in solution of complex 22.


Figure 2.10 ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( 470.17 MHz ) spectrum of complexes 22 and $\mathbf{2 3}$ formed by treatment of the mixture $\mathbf{1 8}$ and $\mathbf{1 8}$ ' with AgOAc in DMA at room temperature (Scheme 2.12).

## Reaction of the acetato complex 20

$\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{NCMe})_{2}\right](\mathbf{2 0}, 0.0034 \mathrm{mmol}), t$-butyl acrylate $(10 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.068 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}(5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ as internal standard) and 0.6 mL of $N, N$-dimethylacetamide were added into an NMR tube along with a sealed glass capillary filled with $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ as an NMR reference. The mixture was monitored at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Equation 2.8 and Figure 2.11).


## Equation 2.8

The spectroscopic data of the identified palladium-species in DMA with $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary as an NMR internal standard are given below:
$\left[\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMA})_{2}\right]: \quad{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F} \quad \mathrm{NMR} \quad\left(470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \quad 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \quad \delta, \quad \mathrm{DMA} /\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right.$ capillary): $-116.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ortho }}\right),-163.55\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=20 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-166.19\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right)$. $Z-1 \mathrm{a}:{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\delta$, DMA/( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2}$ SO capillary): 140.74 (m, 2F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ ), -157.09 (t, J = $21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}$ ), -165.28 (m, 2F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ ).
The identity of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMA})_{2}\right]$ was confirmed independently by dissolving $\left(\mathrm{NBu}_{4}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{Br})_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{4}\right]$ in DMA in presence of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(\mathrm{Pd}: \mathrm{Ag}=1: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio $)$.


Figure 2.11 ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( 470.17 MHz ) of the reaction shown in Equation 2.8 after 10 min at room temperature. The formation of the oxidative Heck product $(E-\mathbf{1 a}$ and $Z-\mathbf{1 a})$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMA})_{2}\right]$ (*) can be observed.

### 2.4.7 Computational details

All calculations were initially performed using the DFT approach with the M06 functional, ${ }^{108,109}$ using Gaussian09 as program package. ${ }^{110}$ The selected basis set was $6-31+G(d)$ for $C, O, N$ and $H^{111,112}$, and LANL2TZ(f) for $\mathrm{Pd}^{113,114}$ (Basis set I). Solvation

[^45]was introduced in all the optimizations, frequency calculations and potential energy refinement through the SMD model, where we applied the solvent $N, N$-dimethylformamide ( $\varepsilon=37.219$ ). All geometry optimizations were carried out in solution with no symmetry restrictions. Free energy corrections were calculated at 393.15 K and $10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa}$ pressure, including zero-point energy corrections (ZPE), and the energies were converted to 1 M standard state in solution (adding/substracting $1.89 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for non-unimolecular processes). Vibrational frequency calculations were performed to establish the stationary points were minima (without imaginary frequencies) or transition states (with one imaginary frequency). Connectivity of the transition state structures were confirmed by relaxing the transition state geometry towards both the reactant and the product. Final potential energies were refined by performing additional single-point energy calculations (also in solution), Pd was still described with LANL2TZ(f) basis set, and the remaining atoms were treated with $6-311++\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set (Basis set II). All reported energies in the manuscript correspond to Gibbs energies in solution, obtained from potential energies (including solvation) with basis set II plus Gibbs energy corrections with basis set I and are given in $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ (see SCF energy and free energy correction values in Supporting information).

### 2.4.8 Data for $X$-Ray structure determinations

The crystal suitable for X-ray analyses was obtained by slow diffusion of $n$-hexane layered onto a solution of the complex in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-28{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crystal was attached to the tip of a glass fiber and transferred to an Agilent Supernova diffractometer with an Atlas CCD area detector. Data collection was performed with Mo K $\alpha$ radiation ( 0.71073 $\AA$ A) at 298 K . Data integration and empirical absorption correction was carried out using the CrysAlisPro program package. ${ }^{115}$ The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least squares against $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ with SHELX, ${ }^{116}$ in OLEX2. ${ }^{117}$ The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and hydrogen atoms were constrained to ideal geometries and refined with fixed isotropic displacement parameters. Refinement proceeded smoothly to give the residuals shown in Table 2.9.
Three independent molecules were found in the asymmetric unit for $\mathbf{2 0}$.

[^46]
## Chapter 2

Table 2.9 Crystal data and structure refinement parameters for complex 20.

| Compound number | 20 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{60} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~F}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{Pd}_{6}$ |
| Formula weight | 2241.35 |
| Temperature/K | 298 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | I2/a |
| a/Å | 19.9447(7) |
| b/Å | 16.0542(5) |
| c/Å | 47.4558(18) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 100.807(4) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | 14925.7(9) |
| Z | 8 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.995 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.550 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 8640.0 |
| Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | $0.518 \times 0.301 \times 0.177$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 6.736 to 59.558 |
| Index ranges | $-27 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 20,-20 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 22,-40 \leq 1 \leq 64$ |
| Reflections collected | 32341 |
| Independent reflections | $17522\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0368, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0623\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 17522/0/1039 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.040 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma$ (I)] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0494, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1148$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0838, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1356$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.73/-0.85 |



Figure 2.12 X-ray molecular structure of $\mathbf{2 0}$ (ORTEP 40\% probability ellipsoids). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Table 2.10 Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for complex 20 (for numbering scheme see Figure 2.12).

| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(2)$ | $3.0941(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $89.82(15)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $2.006(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $90.39(19)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $2.097(4)$ | $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $90.67(15)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.097(4)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{C}(47)$ | $90.64(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $1.984(5)$ |  |  |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $1.998(3)$ |  |  |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2.100(4)$ |  |  |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.977(5)$ |  |  |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{C}(47)$ | $1.980(5)$ |  |  |

## Chapter 3

# 3. Shedding Light on the Precatalytic Mixture <br> <br> of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ and Cooperating 

 <br> <br> of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ and Cooperating}

Pyridone-Type Ligands for the C-H
Activation of Arenes

### 3.1Introduction

As stated in Chapter 1, addressing C-H functionalization reactions of unactivated carbon-hydrogen bonds is extremely important and great advances have been done over the last decades. This enables the direct use of the raw materials with no necessity of prefunctionalization, saving time-consuming synthetic steps and increasing the atom-economy of the whole process.

In this regard, the use of cooperating ligands is often necessary and among them, [2,2'-bipyridin]-6( 1 H )-one (bipy-6-OH) and 1,10 -phenanthrolin- $2(1 \mathrm{H})$-one (phen-2-OH), have been developed by our group. These bipyridone ligands have demonstrated the accelerating effect in the directing-group-free (non-chelate assisted) C-H activation of arenes and heteroarenes (pyridine) with arylhalides. ${ }^{52}$ Furthermore, these cooperating ligands give rise to excellent functional group tolerance in aerobic oxidative conditions as it has been shown in the alkenylation of simple arenes using oxygen as the sole oxidant described in Chapter 2. ${ }^{118}$

[^47]For most Pd-catalyzed reactions which involve cooperating ligands, the use of a mixture of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ or other Pd -accessible salts and the ligand or ligands is widely used. While some of the fundamental steps involved in the catalytic cycles are well established, detailed information about the intermediate species formed from these starting mixtures, almost always in an off-cycle step, is still scarce. This is important because the formation of the actual catalytically active species might be slow and it can result in inconvenient induction periods or even produce inactive metal species. Only a few examples of how several cooperating ligands interact with $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ have been reported by experimental studies. A collaboration among the groups of $\mathrm{Yu}, \mathrm{Musaev}$ and Blackmond revealed, by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR, the interaction between the $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ and the ligand 2,6-F,F-Bz-Leu-OH and they proposed the rapid and quantitative deprotonation of the -NH coordinated to palladium to form the monomeric $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ complex depicted in Equation 3.1. ${ }^{119}$


## Equation 3.1

Additionally, Yu et al. have characterized by X-ray crystallography two $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes bearing a highly electron-deficient pyridone ligand (Scheme 3.1, $\mathrm{L}=3,5$-bis(trifluoromethyl)-pyridin-2(1H)-one). A trimeric $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{OH})(\mathrm{L})_{5}\right]$ and a monomeric $\left[\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{phen}) \mathrm{L}_{2}\right]$ complexes were obtained at room temperature when $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ or $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{phen})(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ reacts with the pyridone ligand $(\mathrm{L})$. The coordination mode of L in these complexes is shown to be analogous to that found with carboxylate ligands, acting as a X-type in a monodentate and bidentate bridging fashion to palladium and also as an internal base to cleavage the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond via concerted metalation-deprotonation mechanism. ${ }^{50}$

[^48]a)


b) $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{phen})(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]+2$

(L)


Scheme 3.1 Synthesis of $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes with the 2-pyridone ligand (3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)-pyridin-2 $1 H$ )-one) and molecular X-ray structures (ref: 50). a) selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ): Pd1-O1, 2.011; Pd1-Pd2, 3.093; Pd1-Pd3, 2.899, Pd2-Pd3, 2.955; Pd3-O1, 2.006; N1-N2. b) selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ): Pd1-N1, 2.042; Pd1-N2, 2.037; Pd1-N3, 2.014; Pd1-N4, 2.020.

The acetate bridge cleavage in the trimeric palladium acetate complex $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{3}(\mathrm{OAc})_{6}\right]$ by both monoprotected amino acids MPAA and 2-pyridone ligands has been studied by computational methods, showing that the formation of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ species bearing the cooperating ligand is thermodynamically more favoured than the monomeric $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ species. ${ }^{48,120}$ The double deprotonation of the MPPA by both acetates is highly favoured, leading to a stable bidentate Pd-MPAA complex with a bidentate ligand and two coordinated acetic acid molecules (Scheme 3.2, A). In this case, the normally unfavorable deprotonation of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bond in the amino acid is promoted by the strong binding of the deprotonated -N to the palladium center. In the 2-pyridone case, the formation of a dimeric $\operatorname{Pd}(I I)$ complex, with acetate acting as a bridge, is preferred and the higher stability of the final complex is due to the $\pi-\pi$ stacking of the two 2 -pyridone ligands (Scheme 3.2, B).

[^49]

Scheme 3.2 Calculated free energies for $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-complexes with MPAA and 2-pyridone ligands using $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{3}(\mathrm{OAc})_{6}\right]$ as a precursor. A) B3LYP and LANL2DZ (Pd), SMD solvation model at 298.15 K. B) Minnesota M06 functional, ECP (Pd), SMD solvation model at 298.15 K. Optimized structures obtained from refs: 48 and 120.

As it can be seen in these examples, the cooperating ligands usually have several donor atoms in their structures that can give different coordination modes and even different complex nuclearity. The acetato ligand can also behave as a mono, a bidentate and a bridging ligand. This makes the study of these species more complicated.

Despite not being cooperating ligands in the C - H cleavage, the behavior of other useful ligands in $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions with palladium acetate has been reported. Yu and co-workers described the olefination of electron-deficient arenes using 2,6-dialkylpyridine ligands with minimal steric hindrance immediately surrounding the nitrogen atom and with steric hindrance placed on the side chain carbon atoms (Scheme 3.3). ${ }^{62}$ In this scenario, the coordination of two pyridyl ligands to $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ generates significant mutual steric repulsion and, as a consequence, the decoordination of one pyridyl ligand is favored, allowing for arene coordination to take place.


Scheme 3.3 Solution behaviour of $\left[\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(\mathrm{~L})_{2}\right]$ complex $(\mathrm{L}=$ bulky pyridyl ligand).

Carrow et al. reported the C-H olefination of heteroarenes enabled by $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ and electron-rich alkyl aryl thioethers as ligands. The authors examined the catalyst speciation since thioether ligands have high tendency to form polynuclear species $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(\text { thioether })\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{n}>1)$. A titration experiment was carried out varying the thioether concentration at constant $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ concentration at room temperature. By ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ESI-MS and Diffusion-Ordered SpectroscopY (DOSY NMR) the authors postulate the formation of different palladium complexes bearing thioether ligand in different ratios $\left(\left[\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right],\left[\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}\right]\right.$ and $\left[\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{3}(\mathrm{OAc})_{6}\right], \mathrm{L}=4$-(ethylthio)$\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylaniline). ${ }^{65 \mathrm{f}}$

Herein, we have analyzed an array of possible scenarios when $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ and the ligands bipy-6-OH and phen-2-OH are mixed, to determine the complexes formed. Depending on how they evolve into the active species, it could strongly influence the efficiency of the catalysis. The reactivity of the analogous bipyridine derivatives (bipy and phen, L,L-type ligands) was also analyzed. Bipy-6-OH and phen-2-OH could act as a L,L-type ligands (pyridine-pyridine) or L,X-type ligand (pyridine-pyridone) depending on the remaining ligands in the coordination sphere of the metal (Figure 3.1). ${ }^{58}$ The identification of the complexes and the analysis of the factors that favor the neutral and anionic coordination modes could also be important.


Figure 3.1 Ligand coordination-modes for bipy-6-OH and phen-2-OH with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$.

### 3.2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.2.1 Reactivity of bipy-6-OH

Equation 3.2 shows the reactivity of the bipy-6-OH ligand with $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ in a polar aprotic solvent $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. An equimolecular amount of the bipy-6-OH ligand reacts completely with $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ in 2 h at room temperature affording a dimeric palladium complex with mixed bridges (23a) as the only species (Equation 3.2). The structure of 23a has been determined by conductivity measurements, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{D}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ DOSY, gHSQC and gHMBC NMR experiments and mass spectrometry.


Equation 3.2

The conductivity value obtained for 23a is very low ( $\Lambda_{M}=3.4 \mathrm{~S} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ) showing a neutral complex in solution. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR unveils two sets of signals for the inequivalent bipy-6-O ligands, along with only one signal for the methyl group of the acetato ligand and a broad singlet at 9.0 ppm (Figure 3.2). This signal has been assigned to a hydroxo bridge $(\mu-\mathrm{OH})$, probably H -bonded to the keto group of one of the bipy- O ligands as indicated by the high chemical shift of the signal in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, and as it has been observed in the related dimer $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\text { bipy- } 6-\mathrm{O})_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right] .{ }^{52 \mathrm{~b}}\right.$ The trimeric $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ complex bearing a pyridone-type cooperating ligand also exhibits a hydroxo bridge $(\mu-\mathrm{OH})$ (see Scheme 3.1, a) in the Introduction section). ${ }^{69 \mathrm{a}}$


Figure 3.2 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ of complex 23a at 298 K . * Signal corresponding to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$.

The molecular weight of 23a was estimated by 2D-DOSY experiments using internal standards for calibration. The results obtained by 2D DOSY (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ as a solvent) along with the mass spectrum (ESI-TOF) corroborate that a dimeric structure is present in solution. The molecular structure of complex 23a could not be determined by X-ray diffraction, but the stereochemistry corresponds to a trans-23a complex because of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR pattern: Two inequivalent bipy-6-O ligands are clearly observed and that fact does not fit with the cis-23a isomer, which shows a symmetry plane assuming a rapid interconversion in solution between the hydrogen bond of the $\mu-\mathrm{OH}$ bridge and the oxygens of the bipyridones.

The addition of acetic acid to 23a generates a new symmetric complex which has been tentatively assigned to $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(\text { bipy-6-O })_{2}\right]$ (23c) (Equation 3.3). This experiment illustrates the basic behaviour of the $\mu$ - OH group.


Equation 3.3

An excess of bidentate or monodentate ligands are sometimes used (ratio ligand: $\mathrm{Pd}>1$ or 2 ) and it has been found to be crucial in several catalytic reactions. ${ }^{63 \mathrm{a}, 68 \mathrm{a}, 121} \mathrm{We}$ decided to test the reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ and the cooperating ligand bipy-6-OH in a Pd:ligand $=1: 2 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio to study the speciation in solution (Scheme 3.4, a)). In this case, the complex obtained was the monomeric 24a with two deprotonated coordinated bipy-6-O ligands. The stereochemistry of 24a was unequivocally determined by X-ray diffraction of a monocrystal.




Scheme 3.4 L,X-type coordination mode of bipy-6-OH with $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$. Molecular structure of 24a (ORTEP 40\% probability ellipsoids). Selected distances ( $\AA$ ): O1-C10, $1.263(3)$; O4-C20, 1.259(4); N2-Pd1-N1, 79.27(8); N3-Pd1-N4, 79.49(9).

The coordination of the second bipy-6-O to the metal generates a very distorted square planar geometry with a tilted bipy-6-O so the trans keto-moieties can alleviate the steric clash with the pyridine ring of the other ligand (Figure 3.3). Three molecules of water are trapped in the crystal lattice which establish hydrogen bonds with the keto-groups. The crystallization of water molecules in complexes with the bipy-6-O

[^50]ligand (deprotonated form) is favored as observed in another similar palladium complex with the same bipy-6-O ligand. ${ }^{122}$


Figure 3.3 Molecular structure of complex 24a (ORTEP plot; $40 \%$ probability ellipsoids). The co-crystallized water molecules and chloroform are shown. Selected angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ): N1-Pd1-N4, 153.14(9); N2-Pd1-N3, 173.39(8).

When an equimolecular amount of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ was added to isolated complex 24a, a rapid reorganization in solution was observed to afford complex 23a at room temperature (Scheme 3.4, b)). This indicates a strong tendency to form the thermodynamically stable dimeric structure when the ratio Pd:Ligand is 1:1.

The reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ with bipy- $6-\mathrm{OH}$ in a ratio $\mathrm{Pd}: l i g a n d=1: 1$ in chloroform was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR looking at the aromatic region of the ligand. Three experiments were carried out using different solvent conditions to test the influence of the water present (Scheme 3.5). A mixture of complexes is formed: The dimeric complexes 23a and 23c in different ratios depending on the water in the chloroform along with complex 24a at the beginning of the reaction (Figure 3.4).


Scheme 3.5 Monitored reaction with Pd:bipy-6-OH $=1: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio in: $\left.\mathbf{a}) \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{b}\right) \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+$ one drop of water and $\mathbf{c}$ ) dry- $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature.

[^51]

Figure 3.4 Plot of conversion $v s$. time for reactions shown in Scheme 3.5. a) $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} ; \mathbf{b}\right) \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+$ drop of water; $\mathbf{c}$ ) dry- $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ under nitrogen atmosphere.

The monitored reactions shown in Scheme 3.5 reveals the formation of complexes 23a and 23c, being the latter favoured by the presence of the acetic acid formed in the reaction media. There is a strong dependence on the formation of complex 23a depending on the amount of water contained in the solvent ( $c f . \mathbf{b}$ ) and $\mathbf{c}$ ) in Figure 3.4). The $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ present in the chloroform stored under air conditions is enough to produce 23a as the major complex at the end of the reaction $c f . \mathbf{a}$ ) and $\mathbf{b}$ ) in Figure 3.4). One of the first products observed in the reaction is the bis-ligand derivative $\mathbf{2 4 a}$ with the concomitant disappearance of the free ligand. Complex 24a reacts promptly with the $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ that remains unreacted to afford complex 23a, proving that there is enough $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ present in the reaction medium (a) and b), Figure 3.4). When the reaction was carried out under dry conditions complex is $\mathbf{2 4 a}$ is barely transformed and remains as the major component. The formation of complex $\mathbf{2 3 c}$ is less affected by the water present. Table 3.1 collects the amount of complexes formed for the three reactions after 60 min . These data clearly show that water strongly increases the reaction rate to give complex 23a.

Table 3.1 Crude yield of the complexes formed after 60 min in the reaction shown in Scheme 3.5. ${ }^{a}$

| Crude yield $(\%)$, <br> 60 min, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathbf{a})$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ | $\mathbf{b})$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ | $\mathbf{c})$ <br> $\left(\right.$ dry- $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bipy-6-OH | 46 | 1.5 | 67.1 |
| 24a | 10.5 | 0 | 22.5 |
| 23c | 10 | 24.5 | 6.1 |
| 23a | 33.5 | 74 | 4.3 |

${ }^{a}$ The percentages of the palladium complexes shown are determined by integration of the signals in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra using an internal standard (1,4-dioxane) and given in $\% \mathrm{H}$ corresponding to the aromatic region of the bipyridines.

Considering that $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ functionalization reactions commonly require high temperatures, most of the solvents used have high boiling points and moderate coordinating ability. We decided to study the reaction of bipy-6-OH with $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ and DMA, and the results are collected in Table 3.2. The almost complete formation of complex 23a takes place in DMSO in the first 10 min at room temperature and it is stable in solution since no decomposition was observed after 24 h . In harsh conditions, $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, complex 23a decomposes in 30 min into 24a and other non-identified species as well as Pd black. The reaction in DMA is slower than in DMSO and only complex $24 \mathbf{a}(26 \%)$ can be observed in the first 10 min at room temperature. After 3 h at room temperature the amount of 24a increases (56 \%) and the reaction of 24a with the remaining $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ also occurs leading to 23a (44 \%). Complex 23a is the only
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species observed in DMA when the reaction time is extended to 24 h , but this complex is not stable when it was heated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min resulting in the decomposition to other non-identified species and Pd black. These experiments tell us that the bipy-6-OH complexation and the subsequent reorganization reaction that leads to a complex with a ratio Pd:bipy-6-O = 1:1 is analogous in different solvents but occurs faster in DMSO. However the amount of water present, which could be different depending on the solvent, can also influence this rate, as observed in Figure 3.4.

Table 3.2 Complexes formed by reaction of bipy-6-OH and $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ (1:1 mol ratio) in different solvents. ${ }^{a}$

| Time, T | $\mathrm{DMSO}^{b}$ | DMA $^{b}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10 \mathrm{~min}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 23a $(91 \%), \mathbf{2 4 a}(9 \%)$. | 24a $(26 \%)$, bipy-6-OH (74 \%) |
| $24 \mathrm{~h}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 23a $(100 \%)$ | 23a $(100 \%)$ |
| $30 \mathrm{~min}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 23a $(11 \%)$, 24a $(23 \%)$, other | 23a $(23 \%)$, other unidentified |
|  | unidentified species (66 \%) | species (77 \%) |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: bipy- $6-\mathrm{OH}(2.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol}),\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](3.26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol})$, solvent $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL}) .{ }^{b}$ Crude yields determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in the reaction mixture. See spectra in the Supporting information.

In the reactions with palladium acetate, the deprotonation of the ligand occurs to generate a monoanionic bipy-6-O ligand (L,X-type coordination) and acetic acid. In contrast, when $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ is used as precursor, the absence of a base affords the monomeric $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ complex 25a with bipy-6-OH (L,L-type coordination) (Scheme 3.6). The molecular structure of this complex shows a hydrogen bond between the OH of the ligand and the closest chloro atom.


Scheme 3.6 L,L-type coordination mode of bipy-6-OH. Molecular structure of 25a (ORTEP 40\% probability ellipsoids). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity except for the bridging fragment.

The reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ with an equimolecular amount of $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridine has been reported before, ${ }^{123}$ and the monomeric $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\right.$ bipy $\left.)(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ complex is the only species isolated. With a 2 -fold excess of bipyridine, the formation of a bisbipyridine cationic complex with acetates as counterions $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N})_{2}\right](\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ could be possible. ${ }^{124}$ However, the reaction shows the formation of the complex $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\right.$ bipy $\left.)(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ and one equivalent of free bipyridine (Equation 3.4).


Equation 3.4

### 3.2.2 Reactivity of phen-2-OH

The same analysis as above was applied to phen-2-OH and a different behavior was observed in comparison to bipy- $6-\mathrm{OH}$. When $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ and phen- $2-\mathrm{OH}$ were mixed in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature only complex 24b was observed starting from a Pd:Ligand ratio both 1:1 and 1:2 (cf. a) and $\mathbf{c})$ in Scheme 3.7). When the ratio is $1: 1$, half of the palladium source, palladium acetate, is available in the reaction media but no reorganization was observed when the reaction mixture was allowed to stand for 24 h at room temperature or it was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Only when the reaction was carried out in DMA as a solvent, we observed the initial formation of complex 24a and the reorganization to a new dimeric complex $\mathbf{2 3 b}$ upon heating at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min (Scheme 3.7, $\mathbf{b}$ )). Thus, the formation of complex 24b is controlled by kinetic factors whereas complex 23b is the thermodynamic product. Nonetheless, the low solubility of complex $\mathbf{2 4 b}$ could be responsible for the lack of reactivity in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

[^52]a)

24b
b)

c) $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]+2$



Scheme 3.7 L,X-type coordination mode of phen-2-OH with $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$.

The reluctant reactivity that phen-2-OH presents in comparison with bipy-6-OH becomes apparent when we analyze the speciation in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ and DMA (Table 3.3). When DMSO is used, the major complex obtained is $\mathbf{2 3 b}$ ( $80 \%$ ) taking only 10 min at room temperature (similar results were obtained for the bipy-6-OH). After 24 h at room temperature, 23b is the only product observed. When heating at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , the decomposition of 23b to generate 24b and Pd black was clearly observed. In DMA the reactions are slower than in DMSO. After 10 min at room temperature no complex formation was observed and it takes 5 h for the complete formation of complex 24b which remains unaltered for 24 h . Heating to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min produces the full conversion to 23b.

Table 3.3 Complexes formation from phen-2-OH $+\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ (1:1 ratio) in different solvents. ${ }^{a}$

| Time, T | $\mathrm{DMSO}^{b}$ | DMA $^{b}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10 \mathrm{~min}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 b}(80 \%), \mathbf{2 4 b}(20 \%)$ | phen-2-OH (100\%) |
| $24 \mathrm{~h}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 b}(100 \%)$ | $\mathbf{2 4 b}(100 \%)$ |
| 30 min, $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 b}+\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ | $\mathbf{2 3 b}(100 \%)$ |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: phen-2-OH ( $\left.2.84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol}\right),\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](3.26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol})$, solvent $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL}) .{ }^{b}$ Crude yields determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in the reaction mixture. See spectra in the Supporting information.

Phen-2-OH acts as a neutral L,L-type ligand when it reacts with $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$, affording complex 25b, the same behavior than the one observed for bipy-6-OH (Equation 3.5).


## Equation 3.5

Phenanthroline reacts with $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ to give complex $\mathbf{2 6 b}$, even if an excess of ligand is used (2-fold molar amount) (Equation 3.6). This complex 26b is analogous to complex 26a.


Equation 3.6

### 3.2.3 Reactivity of phen-2-OH and bipy-6-OH with pyridine and [ $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ]

The use of pyridine ligands in combination with other cooperating ligands (dual ligand systems) has emerged in the last years as a powerful strategy in the development of directing-group-free arenes functionalization reactions. ${ }^{67 a, 67 c, 125}$ It has been suggested

[^53]
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that pyridine could be acting as a trigger ligand in the formation of discrete and well-defined intermediates, both inside and outside of catalytic cycles. These mechanistic proposals pointed to monomeric complexes containing a chelating cooperating ligand and pyridine " $[\operatorname{Pd}(\operatorname{Ligand})(\mathrm{py})(\mathrm{X})]^{+"}$ as key-intermediates in the catalytic cycle or resting states. ${ }^{65 b, 121 d, 126}$ Thus, the study of how pyridine interacts with the initial precatalyst mixture could be important to improve the efficiency of the active catalytic species. Therefore, the solution speciation of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ in the presence of pyridine and bipy-6-OH or phen-2-OH bipyridone ligands has been analyzed.

Starting from an in situ preformed trans- $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(\mathrm{py})_{2}\right]$ and adding an equimolecular amount of bipyridone ligand, the formation of complexes 27a and 27b was observed in solution (Scheme 3.8). In both cases, the internal acetate deprotonates the bipyridone ligand which displaces one pyridine to afford a neutral $[\mathrm{Pd}($ Ligand $)(\mathrm{OAc})(\mathrm{py})]$ complex $\left(\right.$ Ligand $=$ bipy-6-O or phen-2-O). ${ }^{127}$ The identity of complex 27a was further established by the determination of its molecular structure by X-ray diffraction. The acetate ligand coordinates in a monodentate fashion ( $\kappa^{1}-\mathrm{OAc}$ ) in a trans position to the keto group of the bipy-6-O. This discrete well-defined palladium species with a 1:1:1 ratio (Ligand:py:OAc) provide a significant advantage in comparison with other non-well-known species in solution when starting from the trimeric $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]_{3}{ }^{128}$

[^54]

Scheme 3.8 In situ formation of complexes 27(a-b). Molecular structure of 27a (ORTEP 40\% probability ellipsoids). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

The dissociation of pyridine in complexes 27 is a very facile process. Isolated samples of 27a-b lead to a mixture of species in solution, such as 27a-b, 23a-b or 24b and free pyridine. Figure 3.5 , a) shows that complex 27a is the major species in solution only in the presence of an excess of free pyridine, which shifts the dissociation equilibrium. Isolated 27a leads in solution to a mixture of complexes 27a, 23a and 23c as well as free pyridine (see Scheme 3.9 ) and the ratio of complexes is very dependent on the amount of water in the solvent, the latter favoring the formation of the hydroxo dimer 23a ( $c f . \mathbf{d}$ ) and e) Figure 3.5). Therefore, the formation of dimeric species is very much favored, and in the presence of pyridine a mixture of monomeric and dimeric species can be found.


Scheme 3.9 Palladium species observed when 27a was dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

An analogous situation was found for complex 27b with the formation in solution of a mixture of $\mathbf{2 7 b}, \mathbf{2 3 b}, \mathbf{2 4 b}$ and free pyridine (Scheme 3.10 and Figure 3.6).


Scheme 3.10 Palladium species observed when 27a was dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure 3.5 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (499.73 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectra of: (a) in situ formation of complex 27a. (b) Complex 23a. (c) Complex 23c. (d) and (e) Spectra of isolated 27a in solution giving the mixture of compounds shown in Scheme 3.9. Only the aromatic region is shown for clarity. * Signal corresponding to the chloroform.
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Figure 3.6 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 499.73 MHz , dry- $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectrum of isolated $\mathbf{2 7 b}$ to give the mixture of complexes shown in Scheme 3.10 and comparison with the isolated complexes 23b and 24b synthetized by independent routes. Only the aromatic region is shown for clarity. * Signal corresponding to the chloroform.

### 3.2.4 Catalytic reactions

To evaluate the catalytic activity of the synthesized palladium complexes, a direct arylation reaction of toluene with arylhalides, previously reported, was chosen as a model reaction (Equation 3.7). ${ }^{52 \mathrm{~b}}$ Three regioisomers were obtained ( $o: m: p$ ) in different distributions, being the meta-isomer the major one (see Tables 3.5 and 3.6 in Experimental part for details). The reaction was carried out using the arene as reagent and solvent (toluene for this particular case) but, in order to decrease the amount of arene used, DMA can be chosen as co-solvent with toluene in a ratio $1: 1(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$. The co-solvent has a significant accelerating effect in this arylation reaction as has been studied before. ${ }^{52 b}$


Equation 3.7

Table 3.4 collects the combined crude-yield of the three cross-coupling isomeric products and the reaction time for different precatalyst.

The reaction does not work in the absence of palladium or using $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ as precatalyst in neat toluene (less than $1 \%$ of conversion). The same results were found when non-cooperating ligands such as $2,2^{\prime}$-bipy or 1,10 -phen were used along with $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ (entries 1-4, Table 3.4). The precatalytic mixture of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]+$ bipy- $6-\mathrm{OH}$ increases the yield up to $91 \%$ in the same solvent (toluene), in 24 h (entry 5, Table 3.4). Significant differences were observed when the preformed bipy-6-OH complexes described above (23a-25a) were used. Dimeric complex 23a affords an $82 \%$ of the cross-coupling product in just 6 h with toluene as a solvent (entry 6, Table 3.4). The highest catalytic activity observed when complex 23a is used can be attributed to the no necessity to in situ preform it from $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]+$ bipy- $6-\mathrm{OH}$ mixture in toluene. The $\mu-\mathrm{OAc}$ and $\mu-\mathrm{OH}$ bridges may easily break when heating leading to two monomeric complexes with one bipyridone L,X-type ligand per palladium and an available coordination site in the palladium sphere for interaction with the incoming arene. We next explored the activity of complex 24a, which presents two bipy-6-O ligands chelating the palladium center and thus could confer high stability and robustness to this precatalyst. An $18 \%$ of the final product was observed in toluene in 6 h and it is necessary to extend the reaction time to 24 h to obtain good yield (entry 7, Table 3.4). Finally, complex 25a which present L,L-type coordination mode was analysed. The reaction proceeds very efficiently in toluene affording a $65 \%$ in 6 h (entry 8, Table 3.4). These results show that a preformed Pd-precatalyst with one coordinated bipy-6-O ligand per palladium is optimal to accelerate the overall reaction and get shorter reaction times.

A reluctant behavior in the catalysis was observed for phen-2-OH in comparison with the bipy-6-OH, probably due to the lower solubility of the palladium species bearing phen-2-OH ligand. The mixture of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]+$ phen-2-OH only affords an $18 \%$ yield in 24 h using toluene (entry 9, Table 3.4). Complex 23b as precatalyst affords a slight enhancement of the conversion when compared to the mixture of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]+$ phen-2OH (cf. entries 9 and 10). In contrast, complex 24b produces an improvement in the catalytic reaction, but it takes longer times (24 h) (entry 11). Similar conversion to the mixture $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]+$ phen-2-OH was obtained when complex $\mathbf{2 5 b}$ was used (entry 12).

All reactions give excellent yields when DMA as co-solvent was used and the reaction time is significantly reduced to 6 h (entries 13-20, Table 3.4). The formation of the active catalytic species is expected to be fast under the reaction conditions in this solvent as can be seen in Tables 3.2. and 3.3.

Table 3.4 Direct arylation of toluene with $p-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{I}$ using different catalysts according to Equation 3.7. ${ }^{a}$

| Entry | $\begin{gathered} \text { Toluene/ } \\ \text { DMA } \\ (\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | [Pd] (mol \%) | Crude yield, \%, (react. time) ${ }^{b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1/0 | - | - (24h) |
| 2 | 1/0 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](5)$ | - (24h) |
| 3 | 1/0 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](5)+$ bipy (5) | 0 (6h), 1 (24h) |
| 4 | 1/0 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](5)+1,10-\mathrm{phen}(5)$ | 0 (6h), 1 (24h) |
| 5 | 1/0 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](5)+$ bipy-6-OH (5) | 20 (6h), 91 (24h) |
| 6 | 1/0 | 23a (2.5) | 82 (6h) |
| 7 | 1/0 | 24a (5) | 18 (6h), 94 (24 h) |
| 8 | 1/0 | 25a (5) | 65 (6h), 89 (24h) |
| 9 | 1/0 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](5)+$ phen-2-OH (5) | 6 (6h), 18 (24h) |
| 10 | 1/0 | 23b (2.5) | 15 (6h), 29 (24h) |
| 11 | 1/0 | 24b (5) | 3 (6h), 50 (24h) |
| 12 | 1/0 | 25b (5) | 4 (6h), 19 (24h) |
| 13 | 1/1 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](5)+$ bipy-6-OH (5) | 96 (6h) |
| 14 | 1/1 | 23a (2.5) | 93 (6h) |
| 15 | 1/1 | 24a (5) | 95 (6h) |
| 16 | 1/1 | 25a (5) | 88 (6h) |
| 17 | 1/1 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](5)+$ phen $-2-\mathrm{OH}$ (5) | 79 (6h) |
| 18 | 1/1 | 23b (2.5) | 93 (6h) |
| 19 | 1/1 | 24b (5) | 97 (6h) |
| 20 | 1/1 | 25b (5) | 88 (6h) |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: $p-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{I}(51 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$, toluene $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ or toluene/DMA (1.5/1.5 mL ), [ Pd$](5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(222 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}) ; 130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{b}$ Crude yields determined by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR of the reaction mixture. The reduction product of the aryliodide ( ArH ) and the homocoupling derivative ( $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ) are the observed byproducts. Regioisomer distribution for the cross-coupling products and byproduct amount (\%) are specified in Tables 3.5 and 3.6 in the Experimental part).

The proposed general catalytic cycle for the direct arylation of toluene with cooperating bipyridone ligands is shown in Scheme 3.11. This is a $\operatorname{Pd}(0) / \mathrm{Pd}($ II $)$ cycle analogous to the one that operates in the direct arylation of pyridine with the same cooperating ligand. Intermediate $\mathbf{A}$ represents the result of an oxidative addition of the arylhalide $\left(p-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{I}\right)$ on $\mathrm{Pd}(0)$ species. Since all the precatalyst employed in Table 3.4 are $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ species, an off-cycle decomposition of the starting precatalyst to generate $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ species, capable to start the catalytic cycle, needs to occur. ${ }^{129}$ Thus, the decomposition of the precatalysts has been analyzed. When the dimeric complex 23a was heated in toluene at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $2 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{Pd}$ black was formed in the reaction medium. A white solid was obtained from the reaction mixture and its analysis by mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR indicated the presence of six different homocoupling

[^55]regioisomeric biphenyl products from the dimerization of toluene (Equation 3.8). This experiment proves that the double $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation of toluene followed by a reductive elimination to give the homocoupling product is a feasible pathway to decompose complex 23a to $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-species and start the catalytic cycle. In the case that other solvent is used such as DMA (co-solvent mixture), Tables 3.2 and 3.3. shown that under the same temperature conditions the formation of Pd black by other decomposition reactions is also feasible.


Scheme 3.11 Proposed catalytic cycle for the arylation of toluene with Pd (II) precursors bearing bipyridone cooperating ligands.


Equation 3.8

### 3.3 Conclusions

The complexes obtained by reaction of the bipyridone ligands bipy-6-OH and phen-2-OH with $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ exhibit an anionic L,X-type coordination mode to $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$. Despite the Pd:Ligand ratio used the formation of the neutral monomeric $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{X})_{2}\right](\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{X}$ $=$ bipy-6-O, phen-2-O, 24) occurs first. This is the only product observed for a ratio Pd :Ligand $=1: 2$. If the ratio Pd:Ligand is $1: 1$, a subsequent ligand reorganization of complexes 24a-b with the remaining $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ is possible, leading to the formation of dimeric complexes $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{X})_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{OAc})(\mu-\mathrm{OH})\right](\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{X}=$ bipy-6-O, phen-2-O, 23) which have been isolated and characterized. The formation of complexes $\mathbf{2 3}$ is faster in the more coordinating DMSO and, in the case of the ligand bipy-6-OH, water accelerates the reaction and influences the distribution of palladium complexes. Phen-2-OH, usually forming less soluble complexes, shows a more reluctant behaviour, requiring high temperatures for the reorganization to take place.

In contrast to palladium acetate, the reaction with $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ as metal precursor affords a neutral L,L-coordination mode for the bipyridone ligands leading to complexes [ $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\right.$ bipy-6-OH) $\left.\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]$ (25a) $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\right.$ (phen-2-OH)] (25b).

The activity as precatalysts of the isolated complexes has been tested in the direct arylation of toluene and compared to the mixture $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} / \mathrm{bipy}-6-\mathrm{OH}$. When the catalytic reaction is carried out in a solvent such as a toluene/DMA mixture, there is hardly any difference on the final yield regardless the precatalyst used, most probably because of the rapid Pd/Ligand coordination-reorganization in the medium to form the active species. However, when the reaction is run in a non-polar non-coordinating solvent (neat toluene) complexes 23a and 25a show faster reactions by increasing the final yield in the first 6 h by comparison with the precatalyst mixture of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right] /$ bipy-$6-\mathrm{OH}$. This shows that the precoordination of the ligand to the metal in a Pd:ligand $=1: 1$ ratio facilitates the formation of the active species. Therefore, we expect that complexes 23a and 25a, easy to synthesize, can be convenient and effective precatalyst in C-H activation reactions in non-polar solvents. The $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ precatalyst can be transformed into $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ species, active in the direct arylation reaction, via double C-H activation and reductive elimination.

### 3.4 Experimental part

### 3.4.1 General considerations

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectra were recorded on Agilent MR-500 spectrometer at the Laboratorio de Técnicas Instrumentales (LTI) of the UVa. Chemical shifts (in $\delta$ units, ppm) were referenced to $\mathrm{SiMe}_{4}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The spectral data were recorded at 298 K unless otherwise noted. Homonuclear ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{COSY},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{DOSY}$ ) and heteronuclear $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{-}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ HSQC and HMBC$)$ experiments were used to help with the signal assignments. Elemental analyses were carried out in a Carlo Erba 1108 microanalyser (at Vigo University). Infrared spectra were recorded (in the range $4000-200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) on a Perkin-Elmer FT-IR Spectrum Frontier with an ATR diamond accessory. Conductivity measurements were carried out by a Mettler Toledo MC226 conductivity meter. Acetone was chosen as a solvent for the measurements. The values of the molar conductivities were compared to the reported values for different electrolytes. ${ }^{130}$ Solvents were dried using a solvent purification system SPS PS-MD-5 (ether, hexane, THF and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) or distilled from appropriate drying agents under nitrogen prior to use and stored over $3 \AA$ or $4 \AA$ molecular sieves (pyridine, $N, N$-dimethylacetamide and $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$. $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$, 2,2'-bipyridine, 1,10 -phenanthroline are commercially available and were purchased from Johnson Matthey, Sigma-Aldrich or Alfa Aesar. All commercial reagents and solvents were used as received unless otherwise indicated.
[2,2'-bipyridin]-6(1H)-one (bipy-6-OH), ${ }^{87} \quad 1,10$-phenanthrolin- $2(1 \mathrm{H})$-one (phen-2-OH), ${ }^{88} \quad\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\right.$ bipy-6-OH $) \mathrm{Br}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)^{52 \mathrm{a}}$ and $\left[\mathrm{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\text { phen }-2-\mathrm{OH})\right]^{118}$ were prepared according to the procedures in the literature.

### 3.4.2 Synthesis of Palladium complexes

$\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{OAc})(\mu-\mathrm{OH})(\mathrm{bipy}-6-\mathrm{O})_{2}\right](23 \mathrm{a})$
[2, $2^{\prime}$-Bipyridin]- $6(1 \mathrm{H})$-one (bipy- $6-\mathrm{OH}$ ) $(43.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.253 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](56.7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.253 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . During this time the orange solution became yellow and a precipitate was observed. The solvent was evaporated to $c a .3 \mathrm{~mL}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the suspension. The yellow solid was filtered, washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, acetone (3 x 5 mL ) and air-dried. Yield: $52 \mathrm{mg}(52 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 9.08\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 8.29\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.7,1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{6}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}^{13^{3}}\right), 8.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.7,1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{13}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}^{6}\right), 7.87\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}, \mathrm{H}^{1{ }^{\prime}}\right), 7.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3^{\prime}}\right.$, $\mathrm{H}^{10}$ ), $7.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 7.36-7.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{j}^{\prime}}, \mathrm{H}^{12^{\prime}}, \mathrm{H}^{11}\right), 7.04\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3}\right)$, $6.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 6.73\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{10}\right), 6.49\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{12}\right)$, $2.23\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{15}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\left.125.58 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $178.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{14}\right), 172.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{13}\right) 170.3$

[^56]$\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 159.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 158.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 153\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 149.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{C}^{13^{\prime}}\right), 149.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 147.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{13^{\prime}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{C}^{6}\right)$, $138.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}, \mathrm{C}^{11^{\prime}}\right)$, $137.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 136.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{11}\right)$, $124.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{5^{3}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{C}^{12^{2}}\right)$, $123.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{12^{3}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{C}^{5^{3}}\right)$, 123.4 $\left(\mathrm{C}^{12}\right), 120.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}, \mathrm{C}^{10}\right) 120.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 109.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right) 106.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 23.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{15}\right)$.
IR (neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): v(\mu-\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{st}) 3466$; ( $\mu-\mathrm{OAc}$ ) 1601, 1470. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}\left[\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{OH})(\text { bipy-6-O) })_{2}\right]^{+}\right]^{+}$570.9222. Found 570.9233. $\Lambda_{\mathrm{M}}=3.4$ $\mathrm{Scm}^{2} / \mathrm{mol}$.
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## $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{OAc})(\mu-\mathrm{OH})(\text { phen-2-O) })_{2}\right](23 b)$

1,10-phenanthrolin- $2(1 \mathrm{H}$ )-one (phen-2-OH) ( $53.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](60.9 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMA $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 min . During this time an abundant precipitate was observed $\left(\left[\operatorname{Pd}(\text { phen }-2-\mathrm{O})_{2}\right]\right)$. The mixture was heated at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . After that time the black solution formed was treated with activated charcoal and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated to dryness giving a light brown solid. After being triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ the solid was filtered off and washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and acetone $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and air-dried. Yield: $55 \mathrm{mg}(60 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $8.52\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{27}\right), 8.47\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right.$ or $\mathrm{H}^{22}$ ), $8.46\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right.$ or $\mathrm{H}^{22}$ ), $8.32\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.2,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{10}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}^{24}\right)$, $8.30\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{10}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}^{24}\right), 7.88\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3}\right), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.1$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{17}\right), 7.69\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{6}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}^{20}\right), 7,61\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{6}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}^{20}\right), 7.54$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{9}, \mathrm{H}^{23}\right), 7.53\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}^{19}\right), 7.36\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}^{19}\right)$, $7.21\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{2}\right), 6.78\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{16}\right), 2.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{14}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $179.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{13}\right), 172.05\left(\mathrm{C}^{15}\right), 169.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right), 145.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{C}^{22}\right)$, $149.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{C}^{22}\right), 137.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{C}^{24}\right), 137.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{C}^{24}\right), 137.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 135.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{17}\right), 127.7$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{C}^{20}\right), 127.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{C}^{20}\right), 125.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{16}\right), 123.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}, \mathrm{C}^{23}\right), 122.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 121.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}, \mathrm{C}^{23}\right)$, $120.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right.$ or $\mathrm{C}^{19}$ ), $118.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right.$ or $\mathrm{C}^{19}$ ), 23.6 ( $\mathrm{C}^{14}$ ). HRMS (ESI-TOF) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \quad\left[\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{OH})(\text { phen-2-O})_{2}\right]^{+}\right]^{+}$618.9223. Found 618.9226. $\Lambda_{\mathrm{M}}=1.7$ $\mathrm{Scm}^{2} / \mathrm{mol}$.

* The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals for the quaternary carbons could not be assigned due to the low solubility of the complex.
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## $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(\text { bipy-6-O })_{2}\right]$ (23c)

$\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\text { bipy }-6-\mathrm{O})_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{OAc})(\mu-\mathrm{OH})\right](0.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0003 \mathrm{mmol})$ and acetic acid $(0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.008$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solution placed in an NMR tube. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the mixture showed the formation of $\mathbf{2 3 c}$, which was analysed in situ.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 8.31 (dd, J = 5.7, $1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.98 (td, J = 7.91 .4 Hz , 2H), 7.75 (d, J = $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.4 (m, J = $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{2}\right), 6.52$ (d, J = $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}$ ), $2.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.


## [Pd(bipy-6-O) ${ }_{2}$ ] (24a)

[2,2'-Bipyridin]-6(1H)-one (bipy-6-OH) ( $192.3 \mathrm{mg}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](125.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.557 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . During this time the orange solution became yellow and a precipitate was observed. The solvent was evaporated to c.a. 5 mL and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the suspension. The yellow solid was filtered, washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $3 \times 5$ mL ), acetone ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and air-dried. Yield: $181 \mathrm{mg}(72 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $9.15\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.7,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{6}\right), 7.86$ (td, J = 7.6, $\left.1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 7.70\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3}\right), 7.31\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.5,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 7.21$ (td, J = $6.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}$ ), $6.66\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.8,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 6.51(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $125.58 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $169.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 160.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 153.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right)$, $153.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 138.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right) 137.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right) 123.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 122.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 120.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 105.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right)$.
IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $v(\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{st}) 1616 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.


24a

## $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\text { phen-2-O })_{2}\right](24 b)$

1,10-phen-2 $(1 H)$-one (phen-2-OH) $(237.3 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](135.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . During this time the orange solution became yellow and a precipitate was observed. The solvent was evaporated to c.a. 5 mL and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the suspension. The yellow solid was filtered, washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, acetone ( 3 x $5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and air-dried. Yield: 240.8 mg ( $80 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 9.57\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.5,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{11}\right), 8.31(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.2$, $\left.1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{9}\right), 7.74\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 7.60\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{6}\right), 7.47(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.\mathrm{J}=8.2,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{10}\right), 7.35\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 6.83\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\left.125.58 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 168.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 153.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{11}\right), 148.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{14}\right), 146.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{13}\right)$, $138.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 136.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 129.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 127.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 125.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 122.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 121.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 118.1$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right)$. IR (neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): v(\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{st}) 1626 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd. For: $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}: \mathrm{C}, 58.02 \%$; H, 2.84 \%; N, 11.28 \%. found: C, $58.30 \%$; H, $3.14 \%$ N, $11.12 \%$.
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[Pd(bipy-6-OH) $\left.\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]$ (25a)
[2, 2'-Bipyridin]-6(1H)-one (bipy-6-OH) $(72.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(74.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at reflux for 16 h . During this time the brown suspension became yellow and a precipitate was observed. The yellow solid was filtered, washed with cold $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{x}$ 5 mL ) and air-dried. Yield: 105 mg ( $72 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (499.73 MHz, $\delta, \mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}^{6}$ ): $11.97(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 9.10\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{6}\right)$, $8.47\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3}\right), 8.29\left(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.7,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 8.14(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 8.08\left(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3}\right), 7.72\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 7.07\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (125.58 MHz, $\delta$, DMSO-d $_{6}$ ): $167.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 158.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 153.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 143.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 141.8$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 127.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 124.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 117.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 116.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 105.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right)$. IR (neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): v(\mathrm{OH}$, st) $3078 ; v(\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}), 337,329$.


## $\left[\mathbf{P d}(\right.$ phen $\left.-2-\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right](25 b)$

1,10-phen-2 $(1 \mathrm{H})$-one (phen-2-OH) $(131.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(118.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(90 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at reflux for 24 h . During this time the brown suspension became yellow and a precipitate was observed. The yellow solid was filtered, washed with cold $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{x}$ $5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and air-dried. Yield: $200 \mathrm{mg}(80 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$, CDCl $_{3}, 323 \mathrm{~K}$ ): 12.96 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), 9.67 (d, J = $5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}^{7}$ ), $8.51\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 8.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{2}\right), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 7.82\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3}\right), 7.78\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{6}\right), 7.25\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right)$. ${ }^{*}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (499.73 MHz, $\delta, \mathbf{C D}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{C N}, 323 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $12.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 9.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.73 (d, J = $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. IR (neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): v(\mathrm{OH}$, st) 3054 ; $v$ (Pd-Cl) 333 (br).

* Signal overlapped with chloroform. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signals could not be observed due to the low solubility of this complex.



## [Pd(bipy-6-O)( $\left.\left.\mathbf{\kappa}^{1}-\mathbf{O A c}\right)(\mathbf{p y})\right](27 a)$

Pyridine ( $194 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](54.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24$ mmol ) in 12 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. After 15 min at room temperature, ligand phen-2-OH (41.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . During this time the orange solution became intense yellow. The solvent was evaporated to c.a. 3 mL and hexane ( 10 mL ) was added to the suspension. The yellow solid obtained was filtered, washed with cold $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and air-dried. Yield: $60 \mathrm{mg}(60 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.91\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{6}\right), 7.91\left(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=8.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 7,77\left(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=7.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{10}\right), 7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}^{3}$ ), $7.33\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{9}\right), 7.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 7.21\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.8,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 6.69(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $\left.7.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3}\right), 6.32\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.8,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 2.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{12}\right)$.


## [Pd(phen-2-O)( $\kappa^{1-O A c)(p y)](27 b) ~}$

Pyridine $(200 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](51.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.227$ mmol ) in 20 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. After 10 min at room temperature, ligand phen-2-OH ( 44.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.227 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . During this time the orange solution became intense yellow. The solvent was evaporated to c.a. 5 mL and cold $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ acetone $(1: 0.5 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the suspension. The yellow solid obtained was filtered, washed with cold $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and air-dried. Yield: 73 mg (75 \%).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 9.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{13}\right), 8.36-8.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}, \mathrm{H}^{10}\right), 7.82(\mathrm{tt}$, $\left.\mathrm{J}=7.7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{15}\right), 7.60\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3}\right), 7,58\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{6}\right), 7.53$ (dd, J = 8.2, 5.2 Hz, 1H, H ${ }^{9}$ ), $7.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{14}\right), 7.34\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 6.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.0$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{2}\right), 2.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{17}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 178.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{16}\right), 168.9$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right), 153.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{13}\right), 147.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{12}, \mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 145.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{11}\right), 138.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 138.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{15}\right), 136.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 129.0$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 127.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 125.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 124.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{14}\right), 121.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 121.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 117.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 23.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{17}\right)$.


27b

### 3.4.3 Catalytic reactions

## General Procedure for direct arylation of toluene

## Method A (toluene as solvent):

$\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](3.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol})$, bipy-6-OH $(2.93 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol})$ and cesium carbonate ( $222 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were introduced in a Schlenk flask with a screw cap in a nitrogen atmosphere. Then, toluene ( 3 mL ) and the aryl iodide $\left(p-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{I}\right)(51 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.34 mmol ) were added. The mixture was kept in a preheated-bath at $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $6-24 \mathrm{~h}$. After this time, the conversion was checked by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR of the crude mixture. The crude yields and isomer distribution are collected in Tables 3.5 and 3.6. The number and ratio of isomers was determined by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR. The arene was then removed under vacuum and $n$-hexane ( 3 mL ) and EtOAc $(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to the residue to extract the organic product. The suspension was filtered off. The filtrate was evaporated to dryness obtaining a yellowish residue. Finally, the product was purified by column chromatography using silica gel (see ref: 52). The characterization of the three isomers: 3-methyl-4'-(trifluoromethyl)-1,1'-biphenyl, ${ }^{52 a, 131}$ 4-methyl-4'-(trifluoromethyl)-1,1'-biphenyl, ${ }^{52 a, 132}$ and 2-methyl-4'-(trifluoromethyl)-1, $1^{\prime}$-biphenyl, ${ }^{52 \mathrm{a}, 132}$ has been reported before.

[^57]
## Method B (DMA as co-solvent):

$\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](3.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol})$, bipy-6-OH $(2.93 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol})$ and cesium carbonate ( $222 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were introduced in a Scklenk flask with a screw cap in a nitrogen atmosphere. Then, toluene ( 1.5 mL ), $N, N$-dimethylacetamide $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aryl iodide $\left(p-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{I}\right)(51 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added. The mixture was kept in a preheated-bath at $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6-24 h. After this time, the conversion was checked by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR of the crude mixture.


Equation 3.9

Table 3.5 Direct arylation of toluene with $p-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{I}$ and precatalyst Pd -complexes bearing bipy-6-OH according to Equation 3.9. ${ }^{a}$

| Entry | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Toluene/ } \\ \text { DMA } \\ (\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \%) \end{gathered}$ | [Pd] (5 mol \%) | Crude yield, \%, (reaction time) ${ }^{b}$ | Crude yield ratio (o/m/p) | Byproducts Crude yield, \%, (reaction time) ${ }^{b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1/0 | - | - (24h) | - |  |
| 2 | 1/0 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | - (24h) | - |  |
| 3 | 1/0 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]+$ bipy | $\begin{aligned} & 0(6 \mathrm{~h}), \\ & 1 \text { (24h) } \end{aligned}$ | - | - |
| 4 | 1/0 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]+\mathrm{bipy}-6-\mathrm{OH}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20(6 h), \\ & 91(24 h) \end{aligned}$ | 0.1:1:0.45 | 9 (24h) |
| 5 | 1/0 | 23a | 82 (6h) | 0:1:0.5 | 18 (6h) |
| 6 | 1/0 | 24a | $\begin{aligned} & 18(6 h), \\ & 94(24 h) \end{aligned}$ | 0.17:1:0.6 | 4 (6h), 6 (24 h) |
| 7 | 1/0 | 25a | $\begin{aligned} & 65(6 h), \\ & 89(24 h) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.48 / 1 / 0.0 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 3 (6h), 11 (24h) |
| 8 | 1/0 | [ $\mathrm{Pd}($ bipy $-6 \mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{Br}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)$ ] | $\begin{aligned} & 61(6 h), \\ & 90(24 h) \end{aligned}$ | 0.1:1:0.45 | 10 (24h) |
| 9 | 1/1 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]+$ bipy-6-OH | 96 (6h) | 0.6:1:0.77 | 4 (6h) |
| 10 | 1/1 | 23a | 93 (6h) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.84: 1: 0.7 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 7 (6h) |
| 11 | 1/1 | 24a | 95 (6h) | 0.8:1:0.77 | 5 (6h) |
| 12 | 1/1 | 25a | 88 (6h) | 0.6/1/0.7 | 12 (6h) |
| 13 | 1/1 | [Pd(bipy-6-OH)Br( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)$ ] | 94 (6h) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.25: 1: 0.7 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 6 (24h) |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: $p-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{I}(51 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$, toluene ( 3 mL ) or toluene/DMA (1.5/1.5 $\mathrm{mL}),[\mathrm{Pd}](5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(222 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}) ; 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{b}$ Crude yields determined by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR of the reaction mixture. The reduction product of the aryliodide ( ArH ) and the homocoupling derivative $(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{Ar})$ are the observed byproducts.

Table 3.6 Direct arylation of toluene with $p-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{I}$ and precatalyst Pd -complexes bearing phen-2-OH according to Equation 3.9. ${ }^{a}$

| Entry | Toluene/ DMA (v/v \%) | [Pd]/Ligand (5 mol\%) | Crude yield, \%, (reaction time) ${ }^{b}$ | Crude yield ratio (o/m/p) | Byproducts Crude yield, \%, (reaction time) ${ }^{b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1/0 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]+1,10-\mathrm{phen}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0(6 h), \\ & 1(24 h) \end{aligned}$ | - | 3 (24h) |
| 2 | 1/0 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]+$ phen-2-OH | $\begin{aligned} & 6(6 h), \\ & 18(24 h) \end{aligned}$ | 0.35:1:0.5 | 8 (24h) |
| 3 | 1/0 | 23b | $\begin{aligned} & 15(6 h) \\ & 29(24 h) \end{aligned}$ | 0.37:1:0.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 7(6 h), \\ & 12(24 h) \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | 1/0 | 24b | $\begin{aligned} & 3(6 h), \\ & 50(24 h) \end{aligned}$ | 048:1:0.56 | $\begin{aligned} & 1(6 h), \\ & 7(24 h) \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | 1/0 | 25b | $\begin{aligned} & 4(6 h), \\ & 19(24 h) \end{aligned}$ | 0.46/1/0.12 | $\begin{aligned} & 1(6 h), \\ & 12(24 h) \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | 1/0 | [Pd(phen-2-OH) $\mathrm{Br}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)$ ] | $\begin{aligned} & 17(6 h), \\ & 31(24 h) \end{aligned}$ | 0.26:1:0.56 | 4 (24h) |
| 7 | 1/1 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]+$ phen-2-OH | 79 (6h) | 0.2:1:0.6 | 21 (6h) |
| 8 | 1/1 | 23b | 93 (6h) | 1.3:1:0.8 | 2 (6h) |
| 9 | 1/1 | 24b | 97 (6h) | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7: 1: 0.85 \\ & (1.5: 1: 0.84) \end{aligned}$ | 3 (6h) |
| 10 | 1/1 | 25b | 88 (6h) | 0.64/1/0.8 | 12 (6h) |
| 11 | 1/1 | [Pd(phen-2-OH) $\mathrm{Br}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)$ ] | $\begin{aligned} & 18(6 h), \\ & 30(24 \mathrm{~h}) \end{aligned}$ | 0.2:1:0.5 | 39 (24h) |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: $p-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{I}(51 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$, toluene $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ or toluene/DMA (1.5/1.5 $\mathrm{mL}),[\mathrm{Pd}](5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(222 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}) ; 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{b}$ Crude yields determined by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR of the reaction mixture. The reduction product of the aryliodide ( ArH ) and the homocoupling derivative ( $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ) are the observed byproducts. ${ }^{c}$ Isolated isomer distribution.

### 3.4.4 Decomposition of $23 a$ in toluene at high temperature

Complex 23a ( $8.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.013 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to an NMR tube with 0.6 mL of toluene. The mixture was heated to $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and then the suspension was filtered through Kieselghur and activated charcoal to remove the Pd black formed. The solution was evaporated to dryness and analysed by mass spectrometry (GC_MS).
Isomer 1 (retention time 15.89 min ); Isomer 2 (retention time 15.92 min ); Isomer 3 (retention time 16.04 min ); Isomer 4 (retention time 16.08 min ); Isomer 5 (retention time 16.19 min ); Isomer 6 (retention time 16.22 min ). MS (EI+, 70 eV ): m/z ( $100 \%$ ) 182.12 $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$,


Figure 3.7 Chromatogram and mass spectrum for the extracted residue of reaction depicted in Equation 3.8.

### 3.4.5 Reactivity of bipy-6-OH and phen-2-OH with [Pd(OAc $)_{2}$ ] in different solvents (CDCl ${ }_{3}$, DMA and DMSO). Reorganization studies

Ligand bipy-6-OH ( $2.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) or phen-2-OH ( $2.84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](3.14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 0.6 mL of the corresponding solvent $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, DMA and DMSO) in an NMR tube. The reaction was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, using a sealed glass capillary filled with DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ as NMR lock reference in the case of DMA as a solvent. In the specified cases, the mixture was heated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (see Figure 3.4 and Tables 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3).

Complex $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\text { bipy-6-O })_{2}\right](\mathbf{2 4 a})(5.38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol})$ or $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{phen}-2-\mathrm{O})_{2}\right](\mathbf{2 4 b})(5.96$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](2.70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 0.6 mL of $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ or DMA) in an NMR tube at room temperature. The reaction was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using a sealed glass capillary filled with DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ as NMR lock reference in the case of DMA as a solvent. In the course of the reaction a yellow precipitate appears, which was filtered and washed with diethyl-ether ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The identity of the obtained yellow solid was checked by redissolving a small amount in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature.

### 3.4.6 DOSY experiments

The experiments were recorded with an Agilent MR-500 spectrometer. All spectra were acquired at 298.15 K in 5 mm tubes. All $2 \mathrm{D}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ DOSY experiments were performed using 2D DOSY with convection compensation using a Gradient Compensated Stimulated Echo with Spin Lock pulse sequence (dgcsteSL_cc). In each NMR experiment a series of 16 dgcsteSL_cc spectra on 16 K data points were collected, the value of d (diffusion gradient length) was of 2.0 ms duration (unless otherwise indicated) duration with a diffusion delay $(\Delta)$ of 50 ms and the relaxation time ( t 1 ) between acquisition was set to 1 s .

## Experiments in DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ as a solvent

For the calibration line, different tubes were charged with standard substances with a molecular weight in the range of $88-1030 / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ that are soluble in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$. The quantities of the standard used were chosen to obtain a similar signal intensity on the final spectrum. High-viscosity solvents such as DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ are the most suitable choice for DOSY experiments since it creates less temperature convection.

Table 3.7 Results of diffusion experiments of different compounds in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ for the calibration line. ${ }^{a}$

| Entry | Standards |  | MW / $\mathrm{gmol}^{-1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{D} /{\mathrm{x} 10^{-10}}^{\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}} \\ \left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { +/-std.err. } \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\ \left(\text { DMSO- } \mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{4}$ | A | 88.22 | 6.096 | $1.097 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 2 | Hexametylbenzene | B | 162.27 | 4.221 | $2.597 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 3 | 1,3,5-triphenylbenzene | C | 306.41 | 2.800 | $3.234 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 4 | Pd (bipy) $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | D | 333.51 | 2.856 | $7.546 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Pd}($ bipy $-6-\mathrm{OH})(\mathrm{Pf})(\mathrm{Br})$ | E | 524.56 | 2.282 | $5.954 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 6 | Dppb | F | 554.38 | 2.005 | $7.868 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 7 | $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ | G | 701.89 | 1.807 | $2.984 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 8 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{Pf})_{2}(\mathrm{dppe})\right]$ | H | 838.96 | 1.750 | $7.298 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 9 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{Rf})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ | I | 1030.93 | 1.612 | $5.242 \mathrm{E}-03$ |

${ }^{a}$ Diffusion gradient length optimized ( 2.0 ms ); diffusion delay was set to 50 ms .

Table 3.8 Results of diffusion experiments for 23a and 23b in DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$

| Complex | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{MW}_{\text {(theoretical) }} / \\ \mathrm{gmol}^{-1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{MW}_{\operatorname{det}}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right. \\ \text { DOSY }) / \mathrm{gmol}^{-1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{x} 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-} \\ 1(\text { DMSO- } 6 \text { ) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline+ \text {-std.err. } \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\ \left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 631.25 | 642.23 | 1.974 | $6.890 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
|  | 679.29 | 680.84 | 1.911 | $2.023 \mathrm{E}-02$ |



Figure 3.8 LogD-LogMW plot from the ${ }^{1}$ H DOSY NMR data obtained for the standards at 298 K in DMSO-d6. The MW determined for 23a ( 5.0 mM ) and 23b ( 2.3 mM ) were 642 and 680 , respectively.


Figure 3.9 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-DOSY NMR spectrum of complex 23a in DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}(5.0 \mathrm{mM})$ at 298 K in presence of the standards (hexamethylbenzene and 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene).

### 3.4.7 Mass spectrometry

Mass spectrometry experiments on the isolated dimeric complexes 23a and 23b showed the presence of a species of composition " $\left.\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{OH}) \text { (ligand }\right)_{2}\right]$ " (ligand $=$ bipy- $6-\mathrm{O}$ or phen-2-O) without the acetato bridging fragment.


Figure 3.10 HR-MS (ESI-TOF) (positive mode) of complex 23b.


Figure 3.11 HR-MS (ESI-TOF) (positive mode) comparison between simulated and experimental isotopic distribution of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\text { phen }-2-\mathrm{O})_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{OH})\right]^{+}$fragment.

| Meas. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ | err $[\mathrm{ppm}]$ | mSigma | Ion Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 618.9226 | 618.9223 | -0.4 | 13.9 | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}$ |



Figure 3.12 HR-MS (ESI-TOF) (positive mode) of complex 23a.


Figure 3.13 HR-MS (ESI-TOF) (positive mode) comparison between simulated and experimental isotopic distribution of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\text { bipy-6-O })_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{OH})\right]^{+}$fragment.

| Meas. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ | err [ppm] | mSigma | Ion Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 570.9233 | 570.9222 | -1.9 | 13.1 | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}$ |

### 3.4.8 Data for $X$-Ray structure determinations

Crystals suitable for X-ray analyses were obtained by slow evaporation of the solvent $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ at room temperature. In each case, the crystal was attached to the tip of a glass fiber and transferred to an Agilent Supernova diffractometer with an Atlas CCD area detector. Data collection was performed with Mo K $\alpha$ radiation ( $0.71073 \AA$ ) at 298 K. Data integration and empirical absorption correction was carried out using the CrysAlisPro program package. ${ }^{115}$ The structures were solved by direct methods and
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refined by full-matrix least squares against $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ with SHELX, ${ }^{116}$ in OLEX2. ${ }^{117}$ The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and hydrogen atoms were constrained to ideal geometries and refined with fixed isotropic displacement parameters. Refinement proceeded smoothly to give the residuals shown in Table 3.9.
Complex 24a crystallizes with a chloroform molecule and three $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules. The molecular structure of complex 25a is affected by positional disorder (see below). Two independent molecules were found in the asymmetric unit for complex 27a that crystallizes with an acetic acid molecule.

Table 3.9 Crystal data and structure refinement parameters for complexes 24a, 25a and 27a.

| Compound number | 24a | 25a | 27a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Pd}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OPd}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight | 622.17 | 349.48 | 891.49 |
| Temperature/K | 298 | 298 | 298 |
| Crystal system | triclinic | orthorhombic | triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 | Cmcm | P-1 |
| a/Å | 10.5926(4) | 18.0668(11) | 12.1782(6) |
| b/Å | 10.6027(4) | 9.1840(3) | 12.4021(9) |
| c/Å | 12.3597(3) | 6.7915(3) | 12.7344(8) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 101.831(3) | 90 | 70.416(6) |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 102.824(3) | 90 | 81.812(5) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 108.565(4) | 90 | 79.554(5) |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | 1224.66(8) | 1126.88(9) | 1775.1(2) |
| Z | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.687 | 2.060 | 1.668 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.125 | 2.097 | 1.074 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 624.0 | 680.0 | 896.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.359 \times 0.348 \times 0.139$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.238 \times 0.068 \times \\ 0.043 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.835 \times 0.113 \times \\ 0.079 \end{gathered}$ |
| Radiation | Mo K $\alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{Mo~} \mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda= \\ 0.71073) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{Mo~} \mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda= \\ 0.71073) \end{gathered}$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection $/{ }^{\circ}$ | 6.654 to 59.382 | 7.796 to 59.082 | 6.818 to 59.066 |
| Index ranges | $\begin{gathered} -13 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 14,-13 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq \\ 14,-15 \leq 1 \leq 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -22 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 24,-12 \leq \\ \mathrm{k} \leq 12,-9 \leq 1 \leq 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -16 \leq h \leq 12,-16 \leq k \\ \leq 13,-16 \leq 1 \leq 11 \end{gathered}$ |
| Reflections collected | 20110 | 9036 | 12207 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{gathered} 6092\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0269,\right. \\ \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0291\right] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 853\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0373,\right. \\ \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0190\right] \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8090\left[R_{\text {int }}=0.0270,\right. \\ \left.R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0689\right] \end{gathered}$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 6092/0/316 | 853/0/54 | 8090/0/472 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.042 | 1.153 | 1.068 |
| Final R indexes [I>=2 $\sigma$ <br> (I)] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0341, \mathrm{wR}_{2}= \\ 0.0779 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}_{1} & =0.0262, \mathrm{wR}_{2} \\ & =0.0521 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0512, \mathrm{wR}_{2}= \\ 0.0974 \end{gathered}$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0426, \mathrm{wR}_{2}= \\ 0.0850 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}_{1} & =0.0357, \mathrm{wR}_{2} \\ & =0.0561 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0948, \mathrm{wR}_{2}= \\ 0.1230 \end{gathered}$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.85/-0.87 | 0.38/-0.40 | 1.03/-0.98 |



Figure 3.14 X-ray molecular structure of 24a (ORTEP $40 \%$ probability ellipsoids). The co-crystallized water molecules and chloroform are shown. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity except those involved in hydrogen bonds between the keto-moieties and the water molecules.

Table 3.10 Selected bond lengths $\left[\AA\right.$ ] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for complex $\mathbf{2 4 a}$ (for numbering scheme see Figure 3.14).

| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2.014(2)$ | $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $2.024(2)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.0500(19)$ | $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $2.040(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.429(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $1.425(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.352(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $1.347(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.402(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $1.398(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.359(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1.370(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.263(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $1.259(4)$ |

$\underline{\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) \quad 79.27(8) \quad \mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(4) \quad 79.49(9)}$


Figure 3.15 X-ray molecular structure of 25a (ORTEP $40 \%$ probability ellipsoids). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity except for the hydrogen bond between the phenol-moiety and the chloride ligand.

## Chapter 3

Table 3.11 Selected bond lengths $\left[\AA\right.$ ] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for complex $\mathbf{2 5 a}$ (for numbering scheme see Figure 3.15).

| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $2.2959(10)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.460(8)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $2.2959(10)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.375(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.038(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.350(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $2.038(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.386(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.358(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.202(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.320(5)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.716(0)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $85.99(7)$ | $\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) 80.86(16)$ |  |

This X-ray molecular structure of $\mathbf{2 5}$ a is affected by a positional disorder in the lattice of the crystal. The crystallographic solution is a superposition of molecular forms where the OH and H substitutents in $\mathrm{C1}$ and C 1 ' exchange their positions. As a consequence, the resulting C-O bond distance will be an average of these situations ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ ). This site occupancy disorder could not be solved since the crystal belongs to a high symmetry space group and the structure grows by symmetry. Therefore, the C1-O1 bond length found, being 1.202(8) $\AA$, differs from the expected single bond distance ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}=1.43 \AA$ ) and it is closer to the value for a typical $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ distance ( $1.21 \AA$ ).


Figure 3.16 X-ray molecular structure of 27a (ORTEP $40 \%$ probability ellipsoids). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. The two independent molecules are shown as well as the co-crystallized acetic acid molecule.

Table 3.12 Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ and angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ for complex 27a (for numbering scheme see Figure 3.16).

| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $2.025(4)$ | $\mathrm{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2.019(3)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2.000(4)$ | $\mathrm{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | $2.025(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.019(4)$ | $\mathrm{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | $1.996(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $2.034(4)$ | $\mathrm{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $2.025(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1.368(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $1.364(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $1.403(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $1.402(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $1.325(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $1.335(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $1.416(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C} 26)$ | $1.430(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $1.233(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.254(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $80.72(17)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | $87.59(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $84.23(16)$ | $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $80.70(16)$ |

# Part II 

Reactions of Pd(II) Complexes with Carbene Precursors

## Chapter 4

## 4. Introduction

### 4.1 The carbene fragment and metal carbenes

A carbene is a well-established chemical entity defined as an electrically neutral compound ": $\mathrm{CR}_{2}$ " that features a divalent carbon atom with only six valence electrons: Two bonding electron pairs for the $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {carbenic }}$ bonds and two non-bonding electrons remaining at the carbene center ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {carbenic }}$ ). These two electrons can adopt two different spin states: singlet $(\uparrow \downarrow)$ or triplet $(\uparrow \uparrow) .{ }^{133}$

Singlet carbene compounds exhibit a bent geometry since the carbenic carbon bears a lone pair of electrons in a $s p^{2}$ hybridized orbital while a $p$ orbital remains vacant. The two non-bonding electrons are spin-paired in the energetically more favourable $\sigma$ orbital, leading to a singlet ground state (Figure 4.1).

A triplet carbene can adopt either linear or bent geometry. The carbenic carbon can be $s p$-hybridized with two non-bonding energetically degenerate $p$ orbitals ( $p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$ ) or $s p^{2}$-hybridized. In both cases, the triplet carbene exhibits two "spin up" unpaired electrons, giving rise to $S=1$ (Figure 4.1).


Figure 4.1 Electronic configurations of carbenes.

[^58]The most important contribution to the electronic stabilization of the carbene fragment is the donation of electron density from the substituents that are directly bound to the carbenic carbon. In the case of atoms with electron lone pairs $(-\mathrm{X}=-\mathrm{N},-\mathrm{O}$ or -P$)$, they can stabilize the carbene by decreasing the HOMO orbital energy by virtue of their higher electronegativity. More significantly, the overlap of the occupied $p$-orbital of the -X substituent with the empty $p$-orbital of the carbenic carbon leads to a $\pi$-donation and thereby to reduce its electron deficiency (Figure 4.2). The electronic effect of two and to a lesser extent one - X substituent and the steric hindrance exerted by the substituents in -X contribute to the extraordinary stability in some of these carbene structures (Figure 4.2).


Figure 4.2 Stabilization of the carbene by adjacent electron-donating substituents.

The preparation of stable carbenes that could be isolated in a pure form was achieved by Bertrand, ${ }^{134}$ Arduengo, ${ }^{135}$ and co-workers who described the synthesis of the first stable phosphinocarbene and $N$-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) respectively. These pioneering works opened the door to numerous breakthroughs in transition metal catalysis among other research areas. The simplest way to describe NHC carbenes can be as compounds featuring a carbene center as part of an aromatic imidazole heterocyclic ring containing two nitrogen atoms adjacent to the carbene center. Related to these imidazolylidenes, but displaying different reactivity, are their saturated imidazolinylidene analogs (Figure 4.3). There is also no requirement for two nitrogen atoms to be adjacent to the carbene center and this leads to various NHC classes featuring other heteroatoms such as oxygen, sulfur or even carbon in place of one nitrogen.

[^59]Those carbenes which feature one nitrogen as the only heteroatom in a pyrrolidinylidene ring can also be stable and they are commonly referred to as cyclic (amino)(alkyl)carbenes (CAACs) or cyclic (amino)(aryl)carbenes (CAArCs) ${ }^{136}$ (Figure 4.3).


Figure 4.3 The vast family of NHCs and related carbenes.

A subclass of special NHC carbenes can be synthesized at the 4-position of imidazolylidenes. In this case, a less heteroatom stabilization allowed modulation in the donor and reactivity properties of the generated carbene. For these carbenes no reasonable canonical resonance forms containing the carbenic carbon can be drawn without additional charges and these carbenes have been named as abnormal (aNHC)

[^60]and remote (rNHC). The term mesoionic compound (MICs) ${ }^{137,138,139}$ encompasses both subclasses of carbene compounds (Figure 4.3).

Attending to the two main types of bonding of the ": $\mathrm{CR}_{2}$ " to the metal and their reactivity, metal carbenes are named and classified as Fischer, ${ }^{140}$ and Schrock carbenes. ${ }^{141}$

Schrock carbenes: Early transition metal complexes with strong donor ligands are usually involved in the formation of this type of carbenes. Due to the high energy of the $d$ orbitals of the metal, a strong $\pi$-backdonation $M \rightarrow C_{\text {carbenic }}$ prevails and the carbene carbon acts as a nucleophilic center (Figure 4.4). The carbene is better described as a dianionic $4 \mathrm{e}^{-}$donor ligand.

Fischer carbenes: Are usually found for late transition metals in low oxidation states and, often, the carbene fragment bears $\pi$-donor substituents ( -OR or $-\mathrm{NR}_{2}$ ) on the carbenic carbon. Therefore, the carbene ligand receives reduced $\pi$-backdonation from the metal since the metal $d$ orbitals are strongly stabilized and the metal $\pi$-backdonation decreases. A $\sigma$-donor bond via the lone pair in the carbene center is the predominant feature and the carbene carbon is considered as a neutral $2 \mathrm{e}^{-}$donor ligand acting as an electrophile (Figure 4.4).

[^61]

Fischer carbene (electrophilic behavior)
strong backdonation


Schrock carbene (nucleophilic behavior)

Figure 4.4

Palladium carbene complexes, which are the object of study of this thesis, fall into the Fisher-type carbene category and the discussion that follows is centered on the late transition metals, mainly palladium.

NHC-metal complexes have been deeply studied and are the best-known among all carbenes types. They are Fisher-type carbenes, with a strong $\sigma$-donor behaviour of the carbene ligand, which is easily modulated by varying the substituents on the carbenic carbon. These metal complexes are highly stable due to the reduced electrophilicity of the carbene, with generally two $N$-substituents. For all the reasons abovementioned, $N$-heterocyclic carbenes are currently used as spectator/ancillary ligands in transition metal-catalyzed transformation providing effective catalysts. ${ }^{142}$

Compared with the rather robust metal-carbene complexes (NHC and related), those carbene ligands stabilized by only one heteroatom or none, are less common. Many of them are difficult to detect or even isolate due to their inherent high reactivity. The main role of these carbene species is proposed to be intermediates in many metal-catalyzed reactions where the carbenes are acting as building blocks rather than ancillary ligands. The electronegativity of the donor atom $(X=-N$ or -O$)$ and the number of donor-substituents strongly impact on the carbene electrophilicity and the following reactivity trend is observed: $\left[: C\left(\mathrm{NR}^{1} \mathrm{R}^{1^{\prime}}\right)_{2}<: \mathrm{CR}^{2}\left(\mathrm{NR}^{1} \mathrm{R}^{1^{\prime}}\right)<: \mathrm{CR}^{1}\left(\mathrm{OR}^{2}\right)<: \mathrm{CR}^{1}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right) \leq\right.$ $\left.: \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}\right)\right]$. The electrophilicity of these carbenes can be roughly correlated with the experimental ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR resonance of the $\mathrm{C}_{\text {carbenic }}$ atom for those metal-carbene complexes that can be isolated and fully characterized. For instance, a strong increase in the chemical shift of the $\mathrm{C}_{\text {carbenic }}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signal is observed on going from Pd-carbene complex A (313.4 ppm) to Pd-carbene(NHC) complex B (191.4 ppm) (Figure 4.5). ${ }^{143}$

[^62]
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Figure 4.5 Differences in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signal for the carbenic carbon atom depending on the number and nature of the carbene-substituents.

Several strategies to synthesize palladium carbenes bearing only one donor heteroatom as push-stabilizing substituent are collected in Scheme 4.1. All carbene complexes depicted in Scheme 4.1 have been drawn with a single Pd- $\mathrm{C}_{\text {carbenic }}$ bond and a multiple C-X bond as supported by the spectroscopic and X-Ray diffraction data. Short distances between the heteroatom and the carbenic carbon show an effective electron donation of the -X lone pair, leading to the formation of a $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{X}$ double bond (Figure 4.2, $\mathrm{X}=-\mathrm{N},-\mathrm{O}$ or -S$)$ rather than a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ bond.

Carbene transfer reactions from group 6 metals to late transition metals have become common since Fischer et al. reported the transmetallation from a $\left[\mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{NO})\left\{\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right\}\right]$ Fischer carbene to $\mathrm{Fe}(0)$ and $\mathrm{Ni}(0)$ complexes. ${ }^{144}$ Hitherto, numerous reports have described the synthesis of palladium carbene complexes by this methodology. Scheme 4.1 outlines the four most representative pathways to synthesize monoamino or monoalkoxo palladium carbenes.

Various examples employing the transmetallation strategy have been reported in our group by direct transmetallation from Fischer group 6 carbenes to palladium(II) complexes which contain labile ligands, allowing a convenient carbene substitution step (Scheme 4.1, a.1-a.3). Route a.1) shows the transmetallation from the corresponding tungsten complex to $\left[\mathrm{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{NCMe})_{2}\right]$ leading to the dimeric palladium carbene $\mathbf{A}$,

[^63]which can be isolated only in the case of the aminocarbene (Scheme 4.1, a.1)). ${ }^{145}$ Route a.2) depicts the transmetallation to dimeric $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{SMe})]_{2}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ph})$ where the transfer of the carbene fragment occurs as well as the transfer of a CO ligand. The CO ligand undergoes a rapid migratory insertion into the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{R}^{2}$ bond when R is a methyl or phenyl group affording the corresponding acyl-carbene palladium complex $\mathbf{B}$ (Scheme 4.1, a.2)). ${ }^{146}$ Finally Route a.3) shows that the monocarbene palladium complex $\mathbf{C}$ can be synthesized by transmetallation from the tungsten carbene to $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{NCMe})_{2}\right]$ which no - R groups preinstalled in the Pd . When the donor substituent is -OMe , complex $\mathbf{C}$ reacts in presence of palladium(0) source to afford a genuine palladium(I) alkoxocarbene complex $\mathbf{C - 1}$, where the $\mathrm{CR}\left(\mathrm{OR}^{\prime}\right)$ carbene fragment is acting as a bridge between two palladium(I) centers (Scheme 4.1, a.3)). ${ }^{147}$

[^64]

## c) Nucleophilic attack to isocyanides coordinated to palladium



$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Ph}= & \mathrm{HgPh}, \mathrm{PbPh}_{3}, \mathrm{PbPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, \\
& \mathrm{SnPh}_{3}, \mathrm{BiPh}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## d) Oxidative Addition



Scheme 4.1 Representative methodologies for preparation of monoamino or monoalkoxo palladium complexes.

The group of Sierra has also thoroughly explored the transmetallation from group 6 monoamino or monoalkoxo carbene complexes to palladium(0). ${ }^{148}$ The resulting undetected $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-carbene complexes can catalyse the self-dimerization of the parent carbene through reductive elimination in a $\mathrm{Pd}(0)$-biscarbene species (Scheme 4.1, a.4)) but other transformations such as $\beta$-elimination to afford vinyl ethers or alkyl/vinyl C-H insertions can be possible as the authors proved by experimental ${ }^{149}$ and computational studies. ${ }^{150}$

Along similar lines, Wang et al. reported the catalytic cross-coupling application of a putative aryl-Pd-alkoxocarbene that is generated from transmetallation of chromium(0)-alkoxocarbene with Pd(II)-aryl complexes (Scheme 4.1, a.5)). The mechanism of the catalytic cycle is consistent with a transmetallation and migratory insertion step as it was supported by DFT calculations and mechanistic experiments. ${ }^{151}$

Heterocyclic monoamino or diamino-substituted Pd-carbenes can be synthesized by reaction with group 6 metal carbene complexes in the same vein. ${ }^{152}$

In the case of palladium alkoxocarbenes another synthetic route has been reported by Wada et al. ${ }^{153}$ (Scheme 4.1, b)). The protonation of alkoxyvinyl palladium complex affords a cationic $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-monoalkoxo complex (D). This synthesis is an alternative to the transmetallation of alkoxocarbenes from group 6 .

Crociani and coworkers reported in 1971 the nucleophilic attack of a phenyl group, transferred from heavy metal organometallic derivatives, to a coordinated isonitrile according to Scheme 4.1, c) to afford the dimeric intermediate $\mathbf{E}$. This complex $\mathbf{E}$ undergoes bridge-splitting and reversible protonation to give the aminocarbene palladium complex E-1. ${ }^{154}$

[^65]The last route, the oxidative addition, was explored by Fürstner's group (Scheme 4.1, d)). $\left[\mathrm{Pd}^{0}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]$ undergoes the oxidative addition of a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond in 2-chloroimidazolinium salts, affording both diamino- and monoaminocarbene Pd-complexes. Scheme 4.1, d) shows only the synthesis of palladium monoaminocarbene $\mathbf{F} .{ }^{155}$

One of the stability issues that present these palladium carbenes bearing just one heteroatom-containing substituent is the high reactivity towards nucleophiles, revealing their characteristic Fisher-type behaviour. This feature is exacerbated for the carbenic carbon with the highly electronegative -OR substituent. Complex C in Scheme 4.2 bearing an alkoxocarbene is highly sensitive to water due to the electrophilic character of the carbenic carbon. The decomposition by hydrolysis to the corresponding methylbenzoate and $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ could be explain by nucleophilic attack of water on the carbenic carbon followed by a $\beta$-H elimination process (Scheme 4.2). ${ }^{147}$


Scheme 4.2 Hydrolysis reaction of an alkoxocarbene Pd-complex by nucleophilic attack of water

However, despite the high reactivity shown by the isolated monocarbene palladium complexes in Scheme 4.1, there are a more reactive Pd-carbenes that are discussed in the next section.

### 4.2 Approaches to the generation of reactive metal-carbenes

The most extreme situation in terms of reactivity can be found for those late transition metal carbenes which no heteroatom adjacent to the carbenic carbon (: $\mathrm{CR}^{2}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}\right)$; $\mathrm{R}^{2}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=$ hydrocarbyl, H$)$. The latter are by far the most reactive and they have used as reactants in emergent and innovative synthetic methodologies. The common strategy to access these metal carbenes is the in situ generation from the corresponding precursors. Then, they react further leading to products that contain the carbene fragment in their

[^66]structure. The carbene precursors can be classified into three main types: diazo-type sources, non-diazo-type organic sources and group 6 metal carbenes. An array of synthetic routes for metal carbene complexes is shown in Scheme 4.3. The transmetallation from group 6 metal carbenes has been one of the strategies for the synthesis of reactive metal carbenes as it was discussed in the previous section.

Diazo-type sources include several compound types that give access to the diazo compound via different pathways. ${ }^{156}$ In all cases the generated diazoalkane reacts with the metal precursor and, via $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ extrusion, gives the corresponding metal carbene. Diazoalkanes can be generated by: i) Diazo-group transfer, from sulfonyl azide-derivatives or other electron-deficient organoazide derivatives, onto an activated methylene or methine fragment (A.1). ii) Diazotization of $\alpha$-acceptor substituted primary aliphatic amines by treatment with sodium nitrite in aqueous media (A.2). iii) Decomposition by heating in the presence of base of tosylhydrazones via a Bamford-Stevens reaction (A.3). iv) Dehydrogenation/oxidation of hydrazones with oxidants. Mercuric oxide, silver oxide, manganese dioxide or organic oxidants such as TsNIK, TEMPO and oxalyl chloride have been commonly used (A.4). v) $N$-heterocycles such as triazole derivatives have emerged as suitable diazo compounds precursors since they exist in equilibrium with their diazoimine tautomer (A.5).

Non-diazo-type organic sources are precursor that lead to metal carbenes by reaction in the coordination sphere of the metal. ${ }^{157}$ As shown in Scheme 4.3, they include: i) Alkynes, via attack of a nucleophile containing a leaving group and the intermediacy of vinyl metal species (B.1). ii) Ene-yne-substituted ketones or imines can generate metal carbenes under metal catalysis through 5-exo cyclization (B.2). iii) Retro-Buchner reaction of cycloheptatrienes (B.3). iv) Ring-opening of small, strained ring systems such as cyclopropenes (B.4). v) Allenes derivatives (B.5). vi) Hypervalent iodonium(III)ylides (B.6).

[^67]
A) Diazo-type sources


## B) Non-diazo-type organic sources



R-LG-Nu

B. 3

B. 4

B. 5

B. 6
B. 1 LG = leaving group $\mathrm{Nu}=$ nucleophile

Scheme 4.3 Major routes for the synthesis of reactive metal carbene complexes.

A very recent ground-breaking report by Nagib's group has demonstrated an alternative methodology to access this metal-carbene reactivity employing just accessible and commercial carbonyl compounds (Scheme 4.4). This approach has been tested in an array of common carbene-based catalytic reactions such as dimerization, X-H and C-C insertion, cyclopropanation, epoxidation and aziridination reactions, providing excellent results. The aldehyde compounds are readily converted in stable pivaloyl halides (A) which permits the formation of stable $\alpha$-acyloxy Zn carbenoid intermediate (via Zn insertion into $\alpha$-acyloxy halide intermediate) (B). The $\alpha$-acyloxy elimination by metal catalyst (earth-abundant metal salts of $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Co}$ or Cu ) forms reactive metal carbene $\mathbf{C}$. ${ }^{158}$

[^68]

Scheme 4.4 Strategy to generate nonstabilized metal-carbene reactivity from aldehydes.

Among all carbene precursors known in the literature, diazo compounds are the most commonly used because of their easy decomposition through the release of nitrogen to generate the corresponding metal-carbenes. Due to their inherent handling problems, since they are potentially explosive, ${ }^{159}$ some considerations must be taken into account to minimize the risk associated with working with these carbene precursors. The in situ generation of diazo-compounds along with "on-demand" preparation using a continuous-flow, ${ }^{160}$ or photochemical transformation, ${ }^{161}$ methodologies are attracting special interest to incorporate these diazo compounds into synthetic applications. Only in a few cases, the isolation and purification can be achieved as long as the diazo compound are stabilized by electron-withdrawing groups (EWG-stabilized) (Figure 4.6).

## stability



Figure 4.6 Types and reactivity of diazo compounds.

Diazo compounds have the capability to coordinate to a metal center ${ }^{162}$ and several cases have been reported in the literature with different transition metal such as

[^69]$\mathrm{Cu},{ }^{163} \mathrm{Rh},{ }^{164} \mathrm{Ru}^{165}$ or $\mathrm{Ni} .{ }^{165}$ Specifically, in the case of palladium, only a few cases are known where the authors were able to isolate and fully characterize the resulting palladium(0) complex where the diazo compound is coordinated (Scheme 4.5). ${ }^{166}$ These palladium-diazo adducts have been formed by ligand displacement and coordination of the diazo functional group to a zerovalent palladium complex. In these complexes the bent diazoalkane is bound unsymmetrically by its two $-N$ atoms as a bidentate ligand (side-on coordination mode) (Scheme 4.6, A)).


Scheme 4.5 Synthesis of palladium-diazo adduct and molecular X-ray structure (ref: 166b)). selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ): Pd1-N1, 2.026; Pd1-N2, 2.084; N2-C2, 1.345, Pd1-P1, 2.281; Pd1-C1, 2.036; N1-N2, 1.266(7).

Diazoalkanes can interact with the metal center and adopt other coordination modes such as a monodentate ligand via the terminal -N atom or via the central - C atom

[^70](Scheme 4.6, B) and C)). These coordination modes have been proposed but no experimental evidence has been reported beyond DFT calculations for palladium complexes. The palladium species where the coordination occurs by the -C atom (Scheme 4.6, B)) is considered responsible for the nitrogen extrusion, a crucial step to generate the metal carbene. ${ }^{167}$


Scheme 4.6 Different proposed coordination modes of diazo compound in monometallic Pd-complexes.

Because of the high reactivity of the resulting palladium carbene species, only a few examples have been reported where the complex is stable enough to be characterized thanks to the high steric demand of the auxiliary ligands (Scheme 4.7). ${ }^{168}$

[^71]a) $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{COD})\right]+\mathrm{PPh}_{3}+$

b)

c)


Scheme 4.7 Previously reported work of non-heteroatom stabilised carbene ligand coordinated to palladium from diazo compounds precursors.

### 4.3. Pd-catalyzed C-C coupling reactions with carbene precursors via migratory insertion

A large number of palladium catalyzed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ coupling reactions involve a reactive carbene species in some steps of the catalytic cycle. A strategy to generate C-C and C-X bonds is by migratory insertion reactions and in this regard, the abovementioned metal carbenes represent and ideal option. In the case of unstable palladium-carbene species, this particular step is favored by the interaction of an electrophilic carbenic carbon atom with the electron density of the " R " group ( $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{R}, \sigma$-bond). This leads to a new palladium alkyl complex that can react further, so the carbene fragment is amenable to afford a double functionalization that leads to cascade reactions and therefore to a more efficient building up of molecular complexity. Following the pioneering work of Van Vranken et al. ${ }^{169}$ a lot of progress has been done and in type of reaction and as a

[^72]consequence it has now a prominent position among the Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling processes (Scheme 4.8). ${ }^{170,171}$


Scheme 4.8 Some examples of Pd-catalyzed coupling reactions with diazo-compounds as carbene precursors.

These reactions use non-stabilized carbene fragments (i.e. : $\mathrm{CHR}^{1}$ or : $\mathrm{CR}^{2} \mathrm{R}^{1}$ ) as coupling partners and the most common carbene precursors are diazo compounds as shown in the preceding section. ${ }^{172,173}$ The proposed general mechanism involves the formation of a palladium carbene from the diazoalkane (Scheme 4.9, intermediate B), followed by a migratory insertion of the carbene fragment into the Pd-R bond (intermediate $\mathbf{C}$ ), the R group being previously installed on palladium (intermediate $\mathbf{A}$ ).

[^73]
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Intermediates $\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{C}$ are common to all cross-coupling reactions that involve a carbene fragment as a reactant, although the formation of $\mathbf{A}$ and the evolution of $\mathbf{C}$ can occur by different routes depending on the specific reaction.


Scheme 4.9 General catalytic cycle proposed for Pd-catalyzed coupling reactions with diazo compounds as carbene precursors (the formation of Pd-R is shown here as the results of oxidative addition on $\operatorname{Pd}(0))$.

The catalytic cycle depicted in Scheme 4.9 represents a plausible proposal, supported by DFT calculations, but very few experimental studies have been done to probe and support the steps of the reaction, in particular, the key bond-forming migratory insertion step. The feasibility of the carbene migratory insertion on palladium organometallic complexes has been shown for stabilized monoamino or monoalkoxo Fisher-type carbenes as shown in Scheme 4.10. ${ }^{145}$

$\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}$
$\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NEt}_{2}$ (isolated); OMe (proposed)


or

$R=P h$




B-1

Scheme 4.10 Study of the key bond-forming migratory insertion process from well-defined amino or alkoxo Pd-carbenes.

The migratory insertion step has been demonstrated by isolation and characterization of the alkyl palladium derivatives A-1 ( $\eta^{3}$-allyl-complex) and A-2 ( $\eta^{3}$-benzylic-complex) (Scheme 4.10, a) ). The stabilization of these alkyl complexes is favored by coordination of the double bond or the phenyl ring present in the substituents of the initial alkoxo carbenes. The migratory insertion of a vinylamino carbene into the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ bond, gives a three-coordinated $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ complex where the vinyliminium ligand is bound to the Pd though the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond ( $\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{1}$ ) (Scheme 4.10, b)). ${ }^{145}$ Once the migratory insertion step occurs, a common decomposition pathway for those still reactive intermediates is via iminium salt formation and their subsequent hydrolysis (Scheme $4.10, \mathbf{c})$ ).

Other few examples where the resulting organometallic complexes after the migratory insertion were isolated have been reported. The haloalkyl-palladium derivatives in Scheme 4.11, a) leads to an organometallic complex whose formation could be explained via dehalogenation, formation of a putative Pd-difluorocarbene and a migratory insertion reaction. All these steps are promoted by addition of Lewis acid
$\left(\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{174}$ Only one example of migratory insertion of a $N$-heterocyclic carbene complex with the isolation of the resulting palladium organometallic products has been reported (Scheme $4.11, \mathbf{b})$ ). ${ }^{175}$ To our knowledge, there is only one example of the insertion of a non-stabilized (: $\mathrm{CR}^{2} \mathrm{R}^{1}$ ) carbene fragment derived from a diazoalkane in a palladium metallacyclic complex (Scheme 4.11, c)). ${ }^{176}$


Scheme 4.11 Isolation of organometallic product from migratory insertion reaction of unstable Pd-carbenes.

[^74]The development of synthetic applications involving carbene migratory insertion reactions has been achieved much faster than the detailed knowledge of the steps proposed in the mechanism of these reactions. In the following chapters, we explore the reactivity of palladium-aryl complexes with precursors of "CHR ${ }^{1 "}$ fragments, i.e. diazoalkanes and hydrazones. Relevant palladium coordination complexes and organometallic migratory insertion products were isolated in Chapter 5. The influence of the auxiliary ligands in the reactions of aryl palladium complexes with diazoalkanes is described in Chapter 6. Finally, a study of the transmetallation of the unusual aminocarbene : $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)$ from a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ complex to $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ and its migratory insertion reactions are discussed in Chapter 7, the latter work is a collaboration with Prof. Pedro Pérez's group and Prof. Agustí Lledós.

Chapter 5

## 5. Diazo Compounds, Hydrazones and Their Reactions with Palladium-Aryl Complexes

### 5.1 Introduction

As discussed in the previous Chapter, only one example has been reported in the literature in which the organometallic species, resulting from the migratory insertion in a putative palladium carbene generated from a diazo precursor, was isolated (Equation $5.1) .{ }^{176}$


Equation 5.1

Apart from that, only a couple of examples of the formation of the migratory insertion organic products from well-defined palladium aryl complexes have been reported but no organometallic intermediates were detected (Scheme 5.1). ${ }^{177}$

[^75]
## Chapter 5

a)

b)


Scheme 5.1 Isolation of the organic migratory insertion products from well-defined palladium complex bearing bipyridine with diazo compounds.

As mentioned in Chapter 4, diazo compounds as well as hydrazones are excellent carbene precursors. $N$-sulfonylhydrazones instead of diazo compounds have been extensively used in the transition-metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions over the past years. ${ }^{173 f, 178} N$-tosylhydrazones are easily synthesized by condensation of the corresponding carbonyl compounds (aldehydes or ketones) and tosylhydrazides. ${ }^{179}$ Also, the manipulation and storage of these compounds are chemically safe. Because of these properties, $N$-tosylhydrazones have become the focus of interest, trying to avoid the inherent stability issues and non-safety problems related to diazo compounds, often hazardous. ${ }^{180} \mathrm{~N}$-tosylhydrazones are suitable diazo precursors through an in situ decomposition by the Bamford-Stevens reaction in basic medium (Scheme 5.2). ${ }^{181}$

[^76]

Scheme 5.2 Simplified catalytic cycle with the fundamental steps for the palladium cross-coupling reaction using $N$-tosylhydrazone as a diazoalkane precursor.

The employment of $N$-tosylhydrazones was the pioneering strategy reported by Barluenga, Valdés and co-workers who used these nitrogenated reagents as diazoalkane surrogates in Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions. ${ }^{182}$ This finding triggered extraordinary progress to extend the scope of transition metal-catalyzed C-C or C-E $(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Si})^{171 \mathrm{f}, 183}$ bond-forming reactions with $N$-tosylhydrazones as a coupling partner. ${ }^{170 \mathrm{c}}$ Some examples of palladium-catalyzed cascade transformations are depicted in Scheme 5.3, which lead to multiple bond formation from diazo compounds or tosylhydrazones assuming a carbene-hydrocarbyl migratory insertion step. However, this key migratory insertion step has been only supported by DFT calculations.

[^77]

Scheme 5.3 Some examples of Pd-catalyzed coupling reactions with carbene precursors.

In the mechanistic proposals collected in the literature, the role of the reactant hydrazone is exclusively the generation of a diazoalkane by its decomposition outside the catalytic cycle, and no interaction with the metal has been considered (Scheme 5.2). However, hydrazones and the corresponding hydrazonatos formed upon deprotonation in basic medium are potential ligands used in large excess during the catalysis and likely to coordinate to the metal.

In this Chapter, the reactions of palladium aryl complexes with diazoalkanes and also the interaction with $N$-tosylhydrazones in basic media are described. The results reported here give a more accurate picture of the catalytic scenario in the Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions of carbenes.

### 5.2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Complexes $[\operatorname{PdArBr}($ dppe $)](\mathbf{2 8})$ and $\left[\operatorname{PdAr}(\right.$ dppe $\left.)\left(\mathrm{NCMe}^{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}(\mathbf{2 9})\left(\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right.$, Ph ; dppe $=$ diphenylphosphinoethane) were used as models of intermediate $\mathbf{A}$ in Scheme 5.2 and tested in the reactions with diazo compounds and hydrazones. Pentafluorophenyl was used because of its advantageous spectroscopic features in ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR. However, since the strong Pd-C bond for this aryl makes the reactions that require the Pd-C cleavage generally slower, reactions of the analogous phenyl complexes were also carried out. Intermediate $\mathbf{A}$ can be formed in a catalytic process by an oxidative addition process, as it is very common and it is shown in Scheme 5.2, but it could also arise from a transmetallation reaction from a main group organometallic or even by a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation process. ${ }^{170 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}}$

By selecting diazoalkanes $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{CHR}$ with $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}$, Ph we provide a way to stabilize the expected alkyl intermediate $\mathbf{C}$, Scheme 5.2 by coordination of the unsaturated (double bond or aryl) to the metal (see Scheme 4.10 in Chapter 4). Both diazo compounds are common reagents in palladium catalyzed processes, as well as the corresponding tosylhydrazone derivatives $\mathrm{TsNHNCHR}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}, \mathrm{Ph}) .{ }^{184,185}$

### 5.2.1 Reactions with diazo compounds

The reaction of the solvento acetonitrile complexes $\mathbf{2 9}$ with the diazoalkane $\mathbf{3 0}$ at room temperature in acetonitrile leads immediately to the formation of the $\eta^{3}$-allylic derivatives 31 (Scheme 5.4).

[^78]

Scheme 5.4 Reactions of Pd-aryl complexes with diazoalkanes.

The formation of a new Ar-C bond is very clear for $\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ since the signals for the ortho fluorine atoms in ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR shift about 20 ppm when a $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ moiety (about - 120 ppm ) is transformed into a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ bond (about -142 ppm ) (Figure 5.1).


Characteristic signals for the asymmetric $\eta^{3}$-allyl complex 31a are observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$, and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra whereas a symmetric $\eta^{3}$-allyl was found for 31b (Figure 5.2 and Figure 5.3).


Figure 5.2 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (399.86 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\right.$ dppe $)\left(\eta^{3}\right.$ - $\left.\left.\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)(\mathbf{3 1 a})$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\right.$ dppe $\left.)\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ph}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)(\mathbf{3 1 b})$ at 298 K . Signals corresponding to the C-H in the $\eta^{3}$-allylic fragments are labeled (black star). * Signals corresponding to the solvent $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$, chloroform and silicone grease).


Figure $5.3{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR (161.87 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppe})\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)(\mathbf{3 1 a})$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppe})\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ph}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)(\mathbf{3 1 b})$ at 298 K .

The analogous reaction of the diazo compound $\mathbf{3 2}$ with 29a leads to the benzylic palladium complex 33. Again, the migration of the pentafluorophenyl group to the organic fragment is clearly seen by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR. The spectroscopic features of $\mathbf{3 3}$ show the typical behavior of a fluxional benzylic derivative with broad ortho phenyl signals in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR at room temperature by exchange via a $\eta^{3}-\sigma-\eta^{3}$ fluxional process (Scheme 5.5 and Figure 5.4). ${ }^{186}$


Scheme 5.5 $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{6}$ exchange by rotation of the phenyl ring in a fast $\eta^{3}-\sigma-\eta^{3}$ equilibrium at 298 K.


Figure 5.4 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (399.86 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of: A) $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppe})\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ph}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)(\mathbf{3 3})$ at $298 \mathrm{~K}\left(\mathrm{H}^{2}\right.$ is overlapped with other signals at 6.8 ppm$)$ and B) complex 33 at 226 K . * Signals corresponding to the solvent $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$, chloroform and silicone grease).

[^79]In this $\eta^{3}$-benzylic complex 33 the $-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ substituent is in a syn position, far from the metal center. The presence of this isomer was confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ 2D-ROESY experiment at low temperature ( 226 K ), which showed an intense cross-peak between $\mathrm{H}^{\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ (Figure 5.5).


Figure 5.5 Phase sensitive ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ 2D-ROESY NMR of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppe})\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)(33)$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at 226 K .

The formation of complex $\mathbf{3 3}$ supports the intermediacy of benzylic palladium derivatives in catalytic coupling reactions of phenyldiazomethane derivatives, as has been suggested before. ${ }^{184}$ The molecular structures of complexes 31a and $\mathbf{3 3}$ were obtained by X-ray crystal diffraction and they are shown in Figure 5.6.


Figure 5.6 X-ray molecular structures of 31a (a) and 33 (b) (ORTEP $40 \%$ probability ellipsoids). Hydrogen atoms and the counterion $\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$are omitted for clarity.

Complex 31a is an $\eta^{3}$-allyl with both substituents in a syn arrangement. The $\eta^{3}$-benzylic derivative $\mathbf{3 3}$ also shows a syn-pentafluorophenyl arrangement, as it is the case in solution. In this derivative, the Pd-C3 bond length (2.155(4) $\AA$ ) is clearly shorter than the Pd-C distances for the coordinated phenyl ring (Pd-C2, 2.275(4) $\AA$ and Pd-C1, $2.284(4) \AA$ ) reflecting a weaker interaction that involves the loss of aromaticity. This is characteristic of other $\eta^{3}$-benzylic complexes. ${ }^{187}$

Complexes $\mathbf{3 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 3}$ are the result of the migratory insertion reaction of the aryl into a putative palladium carbene complex, as shown in Scheme 5.6, and they model intermediate $\mathbf{C}$ in Scheme 5.2. The palladium alkyl complex formed right after the migratory insertion can be trapped and stabilized by coordination of the double bond (31) or the phenyl ring (33) present in the substituents of the diazoalkanes used.
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Scheme 5.6 Generation of complexes $\mathbf{3 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 3}$ by migratory insertion in a putative palladium carbene and stabilization of the generated palladium alkyl.

With the aim of detecting some of the intermediates involved before the migratory insertion, we carried out the reaction of 29a with diazoalkanes $\mathbf{3 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 2}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and monitored it by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR. Upon addition of the diazo compound, complexes 31a and $\mathbf{3 3}$ were the only new species formed and they coexist with the starting solvento complex (Scheme 5.7 and Scheme 5.8). Neither the coordination of the diazo compounds nor the formation of the palladium carbene was detected.

Figure 5.7 shows the variable temperature ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR follow up of the reaction of 29a with $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{CHPh}$ (32). The first spectrum in Figure 5.7 shows the mixture of the starting solvento Pd -complexes $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppe}) \mathrm{S}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}\left(\mathrm{~S}=\mathrm{NCMe}, \mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)$ and a small amount of the reorganization $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{dppe})\right]$ complex. The latter is inevitably formed during the isolation of $\mathbf{2 9}$ (see Experimental part). A small amount of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the sample along with a slow ligand exchange at that temperature leads to the coexistence of 29a and $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppe})\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$. Analogous species have been reported before. ${ }^{188} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is readily substituted upon addition of NCMe as tested independently (see Experimental part, Figure 5.23 ). Upon addition of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{CHPh}(\mathbf{3 2}, \mathrm{Pd}: \mathbf{3 2}=1: 1.5 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio) only the formation of $\eta^{3}$-benzylic complex $\mathbf{3 3}$ and the small amount of the aryl reorganization complex $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppe})\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]$ was observed. The slow rotation of the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ group is observed at this temperature (broad inequivalent $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ signals at $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). As the temperature increases, in the range of -80 to $-70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the disappearance of the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ resonances due to coalescence was observed and they become equivalent due to fast rotation at room temperature.
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Scheme 5.7 Reaction of the solvento complexes $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppe}) \mathrm{S}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$. $\left(\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)$ with $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{CHPh}\left(\mathbf{3 2}, \mathrm{Pd}: 32=1: 1.5 \mathrm{~mol}\right.$ ratio) at $-90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (see Figure 5.7, a) and b)).


Figure 5.7 ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376.46 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) of: a) a mixture of complexes 29a, $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppe})\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ (ם) and $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{dppe})\right](*)$ formed when a sample of 29a was dissolved in dichloromethane at low temperature. b) Sample a) upon addition of diazoalkane 32 $(\mathrm{Pd}: 32=1: 1.5 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio $)$. c-e) Sample b) upon increasing the temperature.

The analogous reaction with $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}(\mathbf{3 0})$ is shown in Scheme 5.8 and the variable temperature monitoring in Figure 5.8. Identical results were observed for diazo compound $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}(\mathbf{3 0}, \mathrm{Pd}: \mathbf{3 0}=1: 1.5 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio $)$ when it was added to the starting solvento Pd -complexes at $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At low temperature the slow rotation of the

Pd- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ bond is observed and inequivalent signals for the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ appear, which become equivalent at room temperature due to fast rotation.




Scheme 5.8 Reaction of the solvento complexes $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{dppe}_{2}\right) \mathrm{S}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$. $\left(\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)$ with $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}\left(\mathbf{3 0}, \mathrm{Pd}: 32=1: 1.5 \mathrm{~mol}\right.$ ratio) at $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (see Figure 5.8, a) and b)).


Figure 5.8 ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376.46 \mathrm{MHZ}, \delta, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) of: a) a mixture of complexes 29a, $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppe})\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}(\square)$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{dppe})\right](\bullet)$ formed when a sample of 29a was dissolved in dichloromethane at low temperature. b) Sample a) upon addition of equimolecular amount of diazoalkane 30. c-e) Sample b) upon increasing the temperature.

This failure at observing any intermediate preceding the formation of the aryl-carbene insertion product indicates that the steps involved in the overall reaction are fast and they cannot be experimentally studied separately. Therefore, to obtain more information, we modeled the reaction using Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations using the M06 functional and including solvation (MeCN) through the SMD implicit solvent method (see computational details in the Experimental part). The calculated reaction profile for the reaction with the diazoalkane $\mathbf{3 0}$ is shown in Figure 5.9.


Figure 5.9 Gibbs energy profile for the reaction of complex 29a and the diazo compound $\mathbf{3 0}$ to give the migratory insertion complex 31a. Energies in $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

The coordination of the diazoalkane either in a $\kappa^{1}-\mathrm{N}(\mathbf{I 1}-\mathbf{N})$ or in a $\kappa^{1}-\mathrm{C}(\mathbf{I 1}-\mathbf{C})$ coordination mode leads to intermediates that are less stable than the starting solvento complex (29a) by about $3 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. I1-C can undergo extrusion of nitrogen to give a
palladium carbene (I2) via a transition state with an accessible energy barrier (14.1 kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, TS-I1C-I2).

Although formation of palladium carbene $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{2}$ is quite exergonic, it evolves through a very low-barrier migratory insertion ( $6.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) to give the very stable $\eta^{3}$-allyl complex 31a. This reaction profile is consistent with our experimental results. The energetic span for this reaction has a low value ( $14.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ), so the reaction occurs at low temperatures, and the rate determining state is the nitrogen extrusion to give the palladium carbene (I2). Only the complex before this step, the starting solvento derivative 29a, can be observed considering that the coordinated $\kappa^{1}-\mathrm{C}$ diazo derivative is $3.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ less stable and therefore the plausible equilibrium between 29a and I1-C is shifted toward $\mathbf{2 9 a}\left(\mathrm{K} \approx 5 \times 10^{-3}\right)$. Once the palladium carbene is formed the migratory insertion is very fast and just the final product is observed. This is in agreement with previous calculations carried out by other authors on related systems, where they find that the migratory insertion reaction usually has a very low energy barrier. ${ }^{171 d, 189}$ This is expected for these very electrophilic, non-stabilized carbenes in contrast with the heteroatom-stabilized fragments $\operatorname{CR}\left(\mathrm{XR}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$, which in some cases can be isolated before a more reluctant migratory insertion follows (see Scheme 4.10, Chapter 4). ${ }^{145}$ The very few examples of the formation of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ carbene complexes from diazoalkanes occur on palladium precursors with no Pd-R bonds, i.e. no migratory insertion can take place. ${ }^{168 a}$ The energy profile for the reaction of diazoalkane 32 with complex 29a was also calculated (Figure 5.10) and it is analogous to that in Figure 5.9.
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Figure 5.10 Gibbs energy profile for the reaction of complex 29a and the diazo compound $\mathbf{3 2}$ to give the migratory insertion complex 33 . Energies in $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

### 5.2.2 Reactions with hydrazone derivatives

As it was mentioned above, the use of hydrazones as diazoalkane surrogates in the catalytic coupling reactions that involve carbenes is widespread. The easy synthesis and handling of these derivatives when compared to the diazo compounds is a great advantage. Thus, many catalytic reactions use a combination of a tosylhydrazone and a base to generate a hydrazonate that decomposes in situ to the diazoalkane. Hydrazonates are good ligands and, indeed, in the presence of a mixture of a tosylhydrazone and a base, complexes $\mathbf{2 8}$ or the solvento complexes $\mathbf{2 9}$ form the hydrazonato derivatives $\mathbf{3 5}$ and $\mathbf{3 7}$ (Scheme 5.9). This means that, under catalytic conditions (excess of hydrazonate), intermediate $\left[\mathrm{PdXRL}_{2}\right](\mathbf{A})$ in Scheme 5.2 is likely to be the analogous derivative to 35 or $\mathbf{3 7}$ ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{TsNNCHR}$ ).

Palladium derivatives with monodentate hydrazonato ligands are rare and, to our knowledge, only one structurally characterized complex of this type has been reported before. ${ }^{190}$ Complexes $\mathbf{3 5}$ and $\mathbf{3 7}$ were isolated in good yields and characterized. Figure 5.11 shows the molecular structure of complex 37 and the analogous diphenylphosphinoferrocene (dppf) derivative $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppf})\left\{\left(\mathrm{PhSO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CH}-\right.\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ph}\}]$ ( $\mathbf{3 5 c}$ ). The hydrazonato ligand is quite bulky and, in solution, restricted rotation about the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ bond leads to broad signals at room temperature in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR for most derivatives ( $\mathbf{3 5 a} \mathbf{- c}$ and $\mathbf{3 7}$ ). The slow rotation limit is observed at 233 K for $\mathbf{3 5 a}$ (Figure 5.12) but this process is already slow at 298 K for the more constrained derivative $\mathbf{3 5 c}$.


Scheme 5.9 Reactions of Pd-aryl complexes with hydrazonates.
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Figure 5.11 Molecular structures of complexes $\mathbf{3 7}$ (a) and $\mathbf{3 5 c}$ (b) (ORTEP plots $40 \%$ probability ellipsoids). Hydrogens have been omitted for clarity. Selected distances ( $\AA$ ) 37: Pd1-N1, 2.105(5); N1-N2, 1.382(6); N2-C1 1.270(7); 35c: Pd1-N1, 2.109(4); N1-N2, 1.380(5); N2-C1 1.282(5).



| $1 / 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -110 | -115 | -120 | -125 | -130 | -135 | -140 | -145 | -150 | -155 | -160 |
| f1 (ppm) | -165 | -170 | -175 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 5.12 ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376.19 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppe})\left\{\left(p-\mathrm{TolSO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CH}-\right.\right.$ $\mathbf{C H}=\mathrm{CHPh}\}]$ (35a) at 298 K (a) and 233 K (b).

Since the coordination of the hydrazonate derivatives to palladium is so facile, we wondered if the metal played any role in the decomposition of this moiety to the diazoalkane, a necessary step in the catalysis. The decomposition of the free hydrazone 34 in the presence of triethylamine as a base in acetonitrile at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ leads to 5-phenyl- 1 H pyrazole (5-Ph-pzH), via the in situ generation and subsequent cyclization of the diazo compound 30 (Equation 5.2 and Figure 5.13). ${ }^{191} \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ was used as a base to ensure the formation of the soluble ammonium hydrazonate. The use of an alkali carbonate leads to very insoluble hydrazonate salts and therefore the decomposition is controlled by the small concentration of the reactant hydrazonate. This is the reason why the use of ammonium halides as PTC (Phase Transfer Catalyst) agents is common in many catalytic processes that use hydrazones.


Equation 5.2


Figure $5.13{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (499.73, MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of: a) Hydrazone 34; b) the ammonium hydrazonate formed upon addition of $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ to sample a); c) sample b) after heating at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h , showing the complete transformation into $5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pzH}\left(\mathrm{Ts}=\mathrm{SO}_{2}-p-\mathrm{Tol}\right)$.

[^84]The decomposition of $N$-tosylhydrazone 34 was monitored for 4 h at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using 1,4 -dioxane as an internal standard. The only product observed in this reaction is 5-Ph-pzH which comes from the in situ generation of diazo compound $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-$ $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}$. The progress of the reaction is shown in Figure 5.14.


Figure 5.14 Plot of concentration $v s$. time for the decomposition of $p-\mathrm{TolSO}_{2} \mathrm{NHNCH}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}$ (34) in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Complex 35a decomposes under the same conditions to give the tosylate complex 38a as well as $5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pzH}$ (Equation 5.3). ${ }^{192}$ For this complex, tosylate coordinates better than the diazoalkane to palladium and no migratory insertion product, i.e. 31a, was formed. The tosyl fragment is coordinated to palladium via the -S atom, as expected and supported by the analysis of the molecular structures of complexes $\mathbf{3 8} \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{3 8 c}$ (Figure 5.15).


Equation 5.3
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Figure 5.15 Molecular structures of complexes 38a (ORTEP plots $40 \%$ probability ellipsoids). Hydrogens have been omitted for clarity. Selected distances (A) 38a: Pd1-S1, 2.337(2); Pd1-C8, 2.058(7); Pd1-P1, 2.3203(19); Pd1-P2, 2.278(2). 38c: Pd1-S1, 2.3656(8); Pd1-C7, 2.038(3); Pd1-P1, 2.3427(8); Pd1-P2, 2.3611(8).

Interestingly, the monitoring of the decomposition of $\mathbf{3 5 a}$ at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ shows a very slow decomposition at the beginning of the reaction that undergoes a strong acceleration when about $10 \%$ conversion is reached (Figure 5.16, a). The observed profile conforms to a situation where, in the course of the decomposition, a species is formed that catalyzes the reaction.


Figure 5.16 Plot of conversion $v$ s. time for the decomposition of a) $\mathbf{3 5 a}$ in MeCN at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; b) $\mathbf{3 5 a}$ and $\mathrm{NaSO}_{2} \mathrm{Tol}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ in MeCN at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (the formation of a small amount of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppe})\left(\kappa^{1}-5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pz}\right)\right](\mathbf{3 9})$ was also observed); c) (35a) and 5-Ph-pzH ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in MeCN at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (the formation of a small amount of $\eta^{3}$-allylic complex $\mathbf{3 1 a}$ was also observed).

When the same monitoring was carried out in the presence of $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathrm{NaSO}_{2} \mathrm{Tol}$ the reaction is faster and reproduces the concentration-time evolution in the later stage of the decomposition of $\mathbf{3 5 a}$ (Figure 5.16, b). This is consistent with tosylate increasing the rate of substitution of the coordinated hydrazonate (Scheme 5.10). The same experiment was carried out adding $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pzH}$, also a product formed in the decomposition of $\mathbf{3 5 a}$, instead of sodium tosylate. A small increase of the rate was observed (half-life of $\mathbf{3 5 a}, \mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=7.8 \mathrm{~h}$ ) consistent with the lower coordination ability of 5-Ph-pzH (Figure 5.16, c).

The half-life of the free hydrazone $\mathbf{3 4}$ in the decomposition reaction shown in Equation $5.2\left(\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=2.6 \mathrm{~h}\right.$, Figure 5.14$)$ is similar to that of $\mathbf{3 5 a}$ in the presence of sodium tosylate $\left(\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=2 \mathrm{~h}\right.$, Figure $\left.5.16, \mathbf{b}\right)$ ) indicating that the most plausible scenario is the decomposition of the hydrazonate outside the coordination sphere of palladium.


Scheme 5.10 Decomposition of complex 35a favoured by the addition of $\mathrm{NaSO}_{2} \mathrm{Tol}$ according to the kinetic shown in Figure 5.16, b).

The crossover experiment depicted in Scheme 5.11 shows that the scrambling of the hydrazonato ligands in the mixture $\mathbf{3 5 a} / \mathbf{3 5} \mathbf{c}$ occurs at room temperature (see Figure 5.17). When the mixture $\mathbf{3 5 a} / \mathbf{3 5} \mathbf{c}$ was heated at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in acetonitrile both complexes decompose to a mixture of tosylate derivatives (Figures 5.18 and Figure 5.19). The half-life of the mixture $\mathbf{3 5 a} / \mathbf{3 5} \mathbf{c}$ is $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=2.9 \mathrm{~h}$ (Figure 5.21 in the Experimental part) similar to that observed for the decomposition of the free hydrazone. This indicates that the decoordination of the hydrazonato ligand is easier in the more constrained dppf palladium complex 35c. Altogether, these experiments show that the metal does not promote the hydrazonato decomposition and the latter process occurs outside the catalytic cycle.


Scheme 5.11 Crossover experiment showing the facile exchange of hydrazonato ligands $\left(25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and of the sulfinate ligands upon decomposition at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 5.17 ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ capillary) spectra of: (a) The reaction of complexes $\mathbf{3 5 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 5 c}$ at room temperature for 30 min, showing the formation of two new species by scrambling of the hydrazonato ligands. These species can be clearly seen in the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {para }}$ region (the Fortho and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ signals overlap with the starting complexes). The $\mathrm{F}_{\text {para }}$ region for the dppe complexes is enlarged and can be compared with the spectra of the $N$-phenylsulfinyl-hydrazonato complex $\mathbf{3 5 a}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{S O}_{2} \mathbf{P h}$ (b) and the $N$-tosylhydrazonato derivative $\mathbf{3 5 a}(\mathbf{c})$. $■$ dppe complexes; $\mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{dppf}$ complexes (restricted rotation of the $-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ group leads to inequivalent $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ signals). $\mathrm{Pf}=-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$.


Figure 5.18 ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ capillary) of the reaction of complexes $\mathbf{3 5 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 5 c}$ for 2 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ leading to a mixture of the four possible sulfinato palladium complexes (for label key, see Scheme 5.11).


Figure $5.19{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (202.31, MHz, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} /$ DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ capillary) of the reaction of complexes 35a and $\mathbf{3 5 c}$ for 2 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ leading to a mixture of the four possible sulfinato- Pd complexes (for label key, see Scheme 5.11).

### 5.2.3 Ligand substitution reactions

The experiments shown before indicate that, in the course of a reaction with tosylhydrazones as carbene precursors, there are several competing ligands that influence the speciation of palladium, i.e. $\left[\mathrm{PdArL}_{2} \mathrm{Y}\right] \mathrm{Y}=$ halide, hydrazonate or Ts. Equations 5.4 and 5.5 show substitution reactions using the ligands in mol ratios close to those under catalytic conditions. In the presence of an excess of hydrazonate, the substitution of
hydrazonate for bromide is favored and complexes $\mathbf{3 5}$ are the predominant species (Equation. 5.4). The substitution of tosylate for bromide can also occur (Equation. 5.5) but it is less facile at least at the beginning of the reaction when the amount of free tosylate is low. Nonetheless the actual ratio of species will be dependent on the concentration of free X ligands, which will be in turn controlled by the relative solubility of the formed byproduct salts $\mathrm{MX}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}=\right.$alkali cation, $\left.\mathrm{NR}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)$, according to the base chosen to deprotonate the hydrazone. Since the reactions are usually conducted using a large excess of hydrazone and base, the complex $\left[\mathrm{PdArL}_{2}\right.$ (hydrazonato)] is likely the most abundant and a plausible resting state.

For the reaction to proceed, the in situ generated diazoalkane by decomposition of the free hydrazonate has to enter into the metal coordination sphere. Therefore, the feasibility of the substitution of diazoalkane for X was evaluated. Equation. 5.6 shows the reactions tested at room temperature (see also Table 5.2 in the Experimental part).


Equation 5.4


## Equation 5.5



## Equation 5.6

The diazoalkane can substitute all X ligands with similar ability, but the most significant difference is introduced by the aryl group, the phenyl group leading to a more efficient formation of the migratory insertion product 31b (Equation 5.6). The phenyl group is a better donor and can reduce the electrophilicity of the metal making the substitution of the anionic X ligand by the entering neutral diazo compound more facile. The subsequent formation of the carbene (by $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ extrusion) and migratory insertion will also be affected by the nature of the aryl but given the low barriers for these steps (Figure 5.9), it is unlikely that they control the reactivity observed.

### 5.3 Conclusions

Using well-defined model aryl palladium complexes, it is possible to gather information about the interaction of diazoalkanes and tosylhydrazones with these complexes, relevant to the catalytic C-C coupling processes that involve the abovementioned carbene precursors. A more detailed catalytic cycle could be derived from this information that completes the general one shown above (Scheme 5.2, Introduction section) and it is depicted in Scheme 5.12.

Hydrazonates are excellent ligands that coordinate to palladium and, in the presence of an excess of hydrazone and a base, a common mixture in catalytic reactions, these species are easily formed by halide substitution. When the hydrazonato decomposes via the Bamford-Stevens reaction to the diazoalkane, free tosylate is generated than can also coordinate to palladium. Therefore, a mixture of aryl species $\left[\mathrm{PdArL}_{2} \mathrm{Y}\right], \mathrm{Y}=$ halide, hydrazonate, Ts coexist in equilibrium (A1-A3 in Scheme 5.12, analogous to the isolated complexes $\mathbf{2 8}, \mathbf{3 5}$ and $\mathbf{3 8}$ ), the hydrazonato complexes being the more abundant.

The decomposition of the hydrazonato moiety to a diazoalkane is not promoted by coordination to the metal and it does not occur in the coordination sphere of palladium. A previous decoordination is needed, so the conventional evolution of the free hydrazonato to the corresponding diazo compound takes place.

The coordination of the diazoalkane to palladium occurs by substitution of the hydrazonato ligand, as has been tested independently. The substitution of halide or tosylate by the diazoalkane is also possible. The formation of a palladium carbene (B, Scheme 5.12) from the diazoalkane occurs by a low barrier $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ extrusion. It was not possible to detect this intermediate since the very electrophilic palladium carbene undergoes a fast migratory insertion that leads to a new palladium alkyl. The palladium derivatives right after migratory insertion of these non-stabilized carbenes (C, Scheme 5.12) could be isolated by selecting appropriate substituents in the carbene moiety CHR that could stabilize the new organometallic complex via formation of an $\eta^{3}$-allyl complex ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}, \mathbf{3 1}$ ) or a $\eta^{3}$-benzylic one $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathbf{3 3}$ ). In this way intermediate $\mathbf{C}$ could be trapped and its decomposition hampered.

The hydrazonato species A2 is a likely resting state of these reactions. Once the diazoalkane is formed from the non-coordinated hydrazonato in solution, the substitution of the metal-bound hydrazonato is possible and the steps that follow are fast. The use of hydrazones instead of diazoalkanes has many practical advantages and a myriad of reactions have been successfully implemented with these precursors. In order to use the
optimal catalytic conditions with these substrates it is necessary to take into account that a hydrazonato species are likely formed and that the reaction conditions (excess of hydrazone and base, solubility of the hydrazonate) should not impede the substitution equilibria with the diazoalkane, formed in lower concentration, that is key for turnover.


Scheme 5.12 A more detailed catalytic cycle for Pd-catalyzed coupling reactions with hydrazones.

### 5.4 Experimental part

### 5.4.1 General considerations

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AV-400 or an Agilent MR-500 and MR-400 spectrometers equipped with variable-temperature probes at the Laboratorio de Técnicas Instrumentales (LTI) of the UVa. Chemical shifts (in $\delta$ units, ppm) were referenced to $\mathrm{SiMe}_{4}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and $\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}\left({ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\left(85 \%,{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)$. For the NMR spectra registered in non-deuterated solvents, a coaxial tube containing DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ was used to maintain the lock to the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ signal. The temperature for the NMR probe was calibrated with a methanol standard (low temperature). ${ }^{193}$ Homonuclear ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-COSY and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-ROESY) and heteronuclear ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HSQC and HMBC) experiments were used to help with the signal assignments. NMR data are given at 298 K unless otherwise noted. The GC-MS analyses were performed in a Thermo-Scientific Focus DSQ II GC/MS apparatus. The intensities are reported as percentages relative to the base peak after the corresponding $m / z$ value. Elemental analyses were carried out in a Carlo Erba 1108 microanalyser (at the Vigo University, Spain). Infrared spectra were recorded (in the range 4000-200 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) on a Perkin-Elmer FT-IR Spectrum Frontier with an ATR diamond accessory. All reactions were conducted under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. Solvents were dried using a solvent purification system SPS PS-MD-5 (ether, hexane, THF and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) or distilled from appropriate drying agents under nitrogen prior to use and stored over 3 $\AA$ or $4 \AA$ molecular sieves (acetonitrile). Sodium p-toluenesulfinate (NaTs), sodium benzenesulfinate $\quad\left(\mathrm{NaSO}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene (dppf), 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane (dppe) and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ are commercially available and were purchased from Aldrich, Alfa Aesar or Fluorochem. All commercial reagents and solvents were used as received unless otherwise indicated. The syntheses of the hydrazone derivatives $\left(\mathbf{3 4}, \mathbf{3 6}\right.$ and $\left.\left(\mathrm{PhSO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}\right),{ }^{194}$ the diazo compounds (30, 32), ${ }^{195} 5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pzH},{ }^{196}$ and (E)-3-phenylprop-2-enal azine, ${ }^{197}$ were carried out according to the literature methods. All diazoalkanes were prepared and kept as dichloromethane solutions for no longer than 10 days under a nitrogen atmosphere at $-28{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark. The concentrations of these solutions were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}$ as internal standard. The palladium complexes $\left[\mathrm{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppe})\right]$, ${ }^{86}$ $\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppf})\right],{ }^{86}$ and $[\mathrm{PdBr}(\mathrm{dppe}) \mathrm{Ph}],{ }^{86}$ were prepared as reported before.

[^86]
### 5.4.2 Synthesis of Palladium complexes

$\left[\mathbf{P d}\left(\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{F}_{5}\right)\left(\mathbf{d p p e}^{2}\right)\left(\mathbf{N C C H}_{3}\right)\right]\left(\mathbf{B F}_{4}\right) \quad$ (29a). Equimolar amounts of $\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppe})\right]$ $(152.80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(39.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dry MeCN ( 10 mL ) and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The suspension was filtered through Kieselghur and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. The resulting yellow oil (29a) was characterized by NMR. The yellow oil was triturated with diethyl ether and $n$-hexane until the formation of a white solid that was filtered, washed with $n$ hexane and air-dried. Yield: 108.3 mg , ( $67 \%$ ). This solid is contaminated by small amounts of the reorganization product $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{dppe})\right]$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $7.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.75-7.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.69-$ $7.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.50-7.43\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 2.92\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.50\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $146.5\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=227.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{\text {ortho }}\right), 138.3(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=253 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{\text {para }}\right), 136.3\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=254.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{\text {para }}\right), 133.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right)$, 133.0 (d, $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}$ ), 132.9 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}$ ), 132.6 (d, $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.C^{\text {arom }}\right), 129.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 129.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 128.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=\right.$ $45.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {ipso,arom }}$ ), 126.8 (d, $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=59.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {ipso,arom }}\right), 29.8\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=36.1,16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 22.3 (dd, $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=32.4,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ).* ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): -118.24 $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ortho }}\right),-151.58\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right),-160.39\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=19.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-163.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right)$. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (202.31, MHz, $\left.\delta, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ : $62.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{P}), 52.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{P})$. IR (neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}, 1495,1040,951,742,689 ; \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 2308 ; \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}, 1046$.
*The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signal for the $\mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}$ of the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ group could not be observed.


29a
[Pd(dppe)(NCCH3)Ph](BF4) (29b). [PdBr(dppe)Ph] (12.0 mg, 0.018 mmol$)$ and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ $(3.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The suspension was filtered through Kieselghur and the resulting colorless solution was characterized by NMR.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): 8.04 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}$ ), 7.92-7.84 $\left(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.74-7.66\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.27\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {ortho,Pd-Ph }}\right)$, $7.08\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {meta }}\right.$ + para, Pd-Ph $), 2.94\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.62\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (202.31, MHz, $\delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): 54.51 (d, $\left.\mathrm{J}=26.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}\right), 41.07$ (d, $\mathrm{J}=$ $26.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P})$.


29b
$\left[\mathbf{P d}\left(\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{F}_{5}\right)(\mathbf{d p p f})\left(\mathbf{N C C H}_{3}\right)\right]\left(\mathbf{B F}_{4}\right)$ (29c). Equimolar amounts of $\left[\mathrm{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppf})\right]$ $(128.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(27.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(10$ mL ) and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The suspension was filtered through Kieselghur and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. The resulting orange oil (29c) was characterized by NMR. The orange oil was triturated with diethyl ether and $n$-hexane until the formation of an orange solid that was filtered, washed with $n$-hexane and air-dried. Yield: 98 mg , ( $73 \%$ ). This solid is contaminated by small amounts of reorganization products.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.79-7.67\left(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.46-7.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right)$, $7.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 5.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{Cp}}\right), 4.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{Cp}}\right), 4.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{Cp}}\right), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{Cp}}\right), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCMe}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 145.1\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=\right.$ $225.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{\text {ortho }}$ ), $138.5\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=248.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{\text {para }}\right.$ ), $136.1\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=249.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{\text {meta }}\right)$, $133.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 133.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 132.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 132.1$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 130.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=63.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 129.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=49 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 129.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=\right.$ $\left.10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 128.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 116.8(\mathrm{NCMe}), 78.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{Cp}}\right) 75.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{Cp}}\right), 74.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{Cp}}\right), 74.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{Cp}}\right)$, $73.5\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=64.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{Cp}}\right), 68.0\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=55.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{Cp}}$ ), 1.9 ( NCMe ). ${ }^{19}{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): -119.65 (m, 2F, Fortho), -153.55 $\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right),-157.59\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=20.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-160.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (202.31, $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 36.86 (m, 1P), 18.28 (m, 1P). IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}: 1499,1040,950$, 742, 692; $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 2292 ; \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-} 1045$.
*The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signal for the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ipso}}$ of the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ group could not be observed.


29c
[Pd(dppe)( $\left.\left.\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{- P h} \mathbf{- C H}-\mathbf{C H}-\mathbf{C H}-\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{F}_{5}\right)\right]\left(\mathbf{B F}_{4}\right)$ (31a). $\left[\mathrm{PdBr}^{\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppe})\right](199.2 \mathrm{mg} \text {, }}\right.$ $0.265 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(51.68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.265 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The resulting suspension was filtered through Kieselghur to remove the AgBr . The addition of a dichloromethane solution of the diazo alkene $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}(\mathbf{3 0}, 12 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.0248 \mathrm{M})$ afforded an intense yellow solution, which was stirred at room temperature for 30 min . The solution was
evaporated to dryness and the addition of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ led to a yellow solid, which was collected by filtration, washed with cold $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and air dried. Yield: 0.2 g ( $86 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $399.86 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $7.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right)$, $7.67\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.H^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.11\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {para }}\right.$, Ph-allyl), 7.05 (d, J = $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {ortho }}$ Ph-allyl), $7.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {meta }}, \mathrm{Ph}\right.$-allyl), 6.96 $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 6.83\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 6.67\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{2}\right), 5.81\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}=13\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right), 5.24\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}=13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3}\right), 2.91\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, $2.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.0\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \cdot{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100.56 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 143.3$ $\left(\mathrm{m},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=250.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{\text {ortho }}\right), 137.2\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=252.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{\text {para }}\right), 134.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=14.0\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 133.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 132.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 132.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=\right.$ $11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}$ ), 131.9 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}$ ), 131.8 (d, $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}$ ), 131.1 (d, $\left.\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 130.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 130.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right)$, 129.6 (d, $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}$ ), 129.1 (d, $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}$ ), 128.8 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {meta-Ph-ally }}$ ), 128.5 $\left(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 128.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {para-Ph-allyl }}\right), 127.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=44.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 126.8$ (Cortho-Ph-allyl $), 126.3$ (d, $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=42.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 125.8\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=45.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 125.2$ (d, $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=45.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 113.2\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 112.3\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CF}_{\text {ipso }}\right), 96.8\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=23.1\right.$, $\left.5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{1}\right), 67.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=30.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 29.0\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=33.1,13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.1(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=32.1,13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) .{ }^{*}{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F} \operatorname{NMR}\left(376.19 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):-142.11\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ortho }}\right)$, $-151.84\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right),-157.15\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-161.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $161.87 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $52.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=48.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}), 46.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=48.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P})$. IR (neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}, 1503,1046,998,952,689 ; \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$1040. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{BF}_{9} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}$ : C, 56.29 \%; H, $3.69 \%$. Found: C, $56.51 \%$; H, $3.73 \%$.
$\dagger$ The signal assignment of $\mathrm{H}^{1} / \mathrm{C}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3} / \mathrm{C}^{3}$ is tentative and could be reversed.
$*$ The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signal for the $\mathrm{C}_{\text {meta }}$ of the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ group was not observed.


## 31a

[Pd(dppe) $\left.\left.\left.\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{- P h}-\mathbf{C H}-\mathbf{C H}-\mathbf{C H}-\mathbf{P h}\right)\right](\mathbf{B F} 4) \mathbf{( 3 1 b}\right) .\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppe})\right](137.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.207$ mmol ) and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(40.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.207 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The suspension was filtered through Kieselghur to remove the AgBr . The addition of a dichloromethane solution of the diazo compound $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}(\mathbf{3 0}, 2.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.09 \mathrm{M})$ afforded an intense yellow solution, which was stirred at room temperature for 30 min . The solution was evaporated to dryness and the addition of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ afforded complex 31b as a yellow solid, which was collected by filtration, washed with cold $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and air dried. Yield: 0.130 $\mathrm{g}(80 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(399.86 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $\left.7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right), 7.16\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{2}\right), 7.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 6.93\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right)$, $6.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3}\right), 6.59\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{6}\right), 5.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 2.51-2.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100.56 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 136.3\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 133.3\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=6.4\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right)$, $131.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 131.6\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=5.7, \mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 131.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right), 129.7\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}$ ), $129.3\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 128.7\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 128.0\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {ipso-arom }}\right)$, $127.4\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 126.8\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 126.6\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {ipso-arom }}\right), 111.6$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 90.1\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 28.0\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=23.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $161.87 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 46.38 (s, 2P). IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $\mathrm{BF}_{4}, 1044$ br. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{BF}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}$ : C, 62.74 \%; H, 4.75 \%. Found: C, $62.79 \%$; H, $4.79 \%$.


31b
[Pd(dppe) $\left.\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{- P h}-\mathbf{C H}-\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{F}_{5}\right)\right]\left(\mathbf{B F}_{4}\right)$ (33). Equimolar amounts of $\left[\mathrm{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppe})\right]$ $(113.23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(29.32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dry MeCN $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The suspension was filtered through Kieselghur to remove the AgBr . Addition of a dichloromethane solution of the diazo compound $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{CHPh}(\mathbf{3 2}, 1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.146 \mathrm{M})$ afforded an intense yellow solution, which was stirred at room temperature for 30 min . Then, the solution was evaporated to dryness to give a yellow residue, which was triturated with $n$-hexane ( 3 mL ) affording a yellow solid. The yellow solid was collected by filtration, washed with $n$-hexane ( $2 \times 5$ mL ), and air dried. Yield: $63.31 \mathrm{mg}(50 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(399.86 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): 7.82\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.6-7.68\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right)$, 7.48-7.57 (m, 6H, H $\left.{ }^{\text {arom }}\right)$, 7.35-7.45 ( $\left.\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.16\left(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.9,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right)$, $6.98\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{6}\right), 6.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 6.75\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{2}\right), 4.54\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\alpha}\right), 2.94\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.92\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100.56 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): 143.6\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=248.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{\text {ortho }}\right), 137.2\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=\right.$ $\left.251.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{\text {para }}\right), 134.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 133.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 132.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 132.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 131.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 130.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 130.3$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 130.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 129.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right)$, $129.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 129.2\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 128.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=49.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 127.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=48.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 126.1\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=\right.$ $46.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}$ ), $125.2\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=42.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 125.4\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 120.7\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=8.5,3.8\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{1}\right), 112.3\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{\text {ipso }}\right), 100.6\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 49.6\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=48.8,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\alpha}\right)$, $28.3\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=34.5,15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.2\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=31.2,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) .{ }^{*}{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376.19 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): - $136.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ortho }}\right),-152.79\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right),-157.44(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $\left.21.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-160.87\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(161.87 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298\right.$ K): $57.76(\mathrm{dm}, \mathrm{J}=49.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}), 46.91(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=49.8,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P})$. IR (neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$, 1435, 1050, 996, 964, 690; $\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$, 1054. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{BF}_{9} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}$ : C, $55.19 \% ; \mathrm{H}$, 3.56 \%. Found: C, $55.51 \%$; H, 3.46 \%

* ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signals for the $\mathrm{C}_{\text {para }}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\text {meta }}$ of the benzylic fragment could not be located.
 $\left.\mathrm{H}^{3}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 7.17\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 6.98\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{6}\right), 6.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 6.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{2}\right), 4.47\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\alpha}\right), 2.94\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.16\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.86$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$.* ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \underline{26 \mathrm{~K})}$ : $-156.96(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=21.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}$, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {para }}$ ), $-160.11\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right) . \dagger^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\left.202.31 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 226 \mathrm{~K}\right): 59.15(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=48.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}), 47.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=48.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P})$.
$\dagger$ Restricted rotation about the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ bond leads to coalescence of the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ signals at 226 K and they could not be observed.
The stereochemistry of the complex was unequivocally determined by the observation of a positive NOE effect observed between $\mathrm{H}^{\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ in a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{D}$-ROESY experiment at 226 K.


33
$\left[\mathbf{P d}\left(\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{F}_{5}\right)(\mathbf{d p p e})\left\{\left(\boldsymbol{p}-\mathbf{T o l S O}_{2}\right) \mathbf{N}-\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{C H}-\mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C H P h}\right\}\right] \quad$ (35a). $\quad\left[\mathrm{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppe})\right]$ $(102.18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.135 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(26.46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.135 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dry MeCN $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The suspension was filtered through Kieselghur to remove the AgBr . The resulting solution was added to a mixture of the $N$-tosylhydrazone $34(40.82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.135 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(265.71 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.815 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. Addition of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ afforded complex $\mathbf{3 5 a}$ as a yellow solid, which was collected by filtration, washed with cold $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and air dried. Yield: 0.11 mg , ( $83 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $399.86 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $7.95\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right)$, 7.86-7.27 (m, $24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}$ ), $7.33\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 7.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}^{8}$ ), $6.61\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=15.9,9.1, \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{2}\right), 6.10\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3}\right), 2.51\left(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right)$, $2.27\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 2.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{10}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100.56 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $146.1\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=229.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{\text {ortho }}\right), 137.8\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=247.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{\text {para }}\right), 141.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right), 140.6$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 140.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 137.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {ipso-Ph }}\right), 134.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 132.9$ (br, $\left.\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 132.1$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 131.9$ ( $\mathrm{s} \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}$ ), $129.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=42.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right.$ ), 129.2 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}$ ), 128.9 (d, $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}$ ), 128.5 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}$ ), 128.3 ( $\left.\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 127.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 127.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 127.1$ ( $\mathrm{C}^{\text {praa-Ph }}$ ), $126.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 126.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 27.7\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=31.8,17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{5}\right)$, 24.1 (dd, J = 29.5, $\left.11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 21.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right)$.* ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376.19 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): -114.05 (br, 2F, Fortho), $-160.89\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-163.10\left(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right) \cdot \dagger^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (161.87, MHz, $\delta$, CDCl $_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): 52.78 (dt, J = 17.5, $4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}$ ), 42.74 (m, 1P). IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}, 1453,1048,952,747,685 ; \mathrm{SO}_{2}, 1137$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ PdS: C, $59.36 \%$; H, $4.05 \%$; N, $2.88 \%$. Found: C, $59.36 \%$; H, $4.12 \%$ N, 2.88 \%.
*The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signals for the $\mathrm{C}_{\text {meta }}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}$ of the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ group, heavily coupled to ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$, could not be observed.
$\dagger$ Restricted rotation about the $\operatorname{Pd}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ or $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds leads to broad $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ signals at 298 K .
 $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.62\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.22(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 6.88\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 6.67\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=15.9,9.4, \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{2}\right), 6.13(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $\left.15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3}\right), 2.62\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 2.37\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 2.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 2.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 233 \mathrm{~K}$ ): - $114.61\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ortho }}\right)$, $-114.86\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ortho }}\right),-160.34\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-162.66\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right),-163.40$ (m, 1F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ ). ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (202.31, MHz, $\delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \underline{233 \mathrm{~K}): 53.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{P}), 44.06(\mathrm{~m}, ~}$ $1 \mathrm{P})$.


35a
$\left[\mathbf{P d}\left(\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{F}_{5}\right)(\mathbf{d p p e})\left\{\left(\mathbf{P h S O}_{2}\right) \mathbf{N}-\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{C H}-\mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C H P h}\right\}\right] \quad\left(\mathbf{3 5 a}-\mathbf{P h S O}_{2}\right) .\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppe})\right]$ $(34.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.045 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(9.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.045 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.6$ mL ) and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The suspension was filtered through Kieselghur to remove the AgBr . The resulting colorless solution was added to a mixture of the N -phenylsulfonyl hydrazone $\left(\mathrm{PhSO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ph}$ $(13.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.045 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(29.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ and then stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was filtered and the resulting yellow solution was characterized by NMR.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} /\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2}$ SO capillary): $8.20\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right)$, 8.14-7.42 (m, 28H, H ${ }^{\text {arom }}$ ), $7.39\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 6.64(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ ), $6.53\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3}\right), 2.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.47\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): -113.96 (br, 2F, Fortho), -162.40 (t, J = 19.4 $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-164.57$ (br, 2F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ ). ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (202.31, MHz, $\delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): 54.49 (dt, J = 16.2, $4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}$ ), 44.50 (m, 1P).


35a- $\mathrm{PhSO}_{2}$
[ $\mathbf{P d P h}($ dppe $\left.)\left\{\left(\boldsymbol{p}-\mathbf{T o l S O}_{2}\right) \mathbf{N}-\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{C H}-\mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C H P h}\right\}\right](\mathbf{3 5 b}) .[\mathrm{PdBr}(\mathrm{dppe})(\mathrm{Ph})](290.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.438 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(85.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.438 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The suspension was filtered through Kieselghur to remove the AgBr . The resulting solution was added to a mixture of the $N$-tosylhydrazone $34(200.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.665 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(420.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in MeCN ( 5 mL ) and then stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. Addition of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ afforded complex $\mathbf{3 5 b}$ as a yellow solid, which was collected by filtration, washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{x}$ 5 mL ), MeOH ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and air dried. Yield: $0.243 \mathrm{~g}(63 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $399.86 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $8.14\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right), 8.27-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.H^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.16\left(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=6.7,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.05\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{11}\right), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 6.78\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 6.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{13}\right), 6.67\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{12}\right), 6.64(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.\mathrm{J}=15.9,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{2}\right), 6.05\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3}\right), 2.48\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}^{4}$ ), $2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100.56 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 156.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=123.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}^{10}\right), 140.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}, \mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 139.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 137.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 137.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{11}\right), 133.3\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}$ ), 131.2 ( $\left.\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 130.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 129.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 129.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 128.8(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 128.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 128.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 127.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 127.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 127.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{12}\right), 127.2$ ( $\left.\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 126.9$ ( $\left.\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 126.8$ ( $\left.\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 125.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 122.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{13}\right), 29.1\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=30.8,20.9\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 24.2\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=25.6,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 21.22(\mathrm{Me}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(161.87, \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 47.72 (d, J = $24.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}$ ), $32.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=24.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P})$. IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $\mathrm{SO}_{2}, 1136$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PdS}: \mathrm{C}, 65.42$ \%; H, $5.03 \%$; N, 3.18 \%. Found: C, 65.39 $\%$; H, $5.22 \%$ N, $3.21 \%$.


35b
$\left[\mathbf{P d}\left(\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{F}_{5}\right)(\mathbf{d p p f})\left\{\left(\mathbf{P h S O}_{2}\right) \mathbf{N}-\mathrm{N}=\mathbf{C H}-\mathbf{C H}=\mathbf{C H P h}\right\}\right] \quad$ (35c). Equimolar amounts of $\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppf})\right](110.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(23.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dried $\mathrm{MeCN}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The suspension was filtered through Kieselghur to remove the AgBr . The resulting solution was added to a mixture of the N -phenylsulfonyl hydrazone $\left(\mathrm{PhSO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CH}-$ $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ph}(34.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(78.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ and then stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. Addition of $n$-hexane ( 5 mL ) afforded the complex as an orange solid, which was collected by filtration, washed with $n$-hexane ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and air dried. Yield: $94 \mathrm{mg}(70 \%)$. It can be crystallized from slow diffusion of hexane into a dichloromethane solution (orange crystals).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $399.86 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $8.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right), 8.12\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.64(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.56\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.48\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.41-7.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.22(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.14\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.10-7.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 6.55(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=16.0,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ ), $6.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3}\right), 4.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{Cp}}\right)$, $4.56\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{Cp} 2}\right), 4.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{Cp} 1}\right), 4.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{Cp} 2}\right), 4.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{Cp} 1}\right), 4.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{Cp} 2}\right), 4.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{Cp} 1}\right), 3.91$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{CP} 2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100.56 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 143.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{ipso}-(\mathrm{SO} 2-\mathrm{Ph}}\right), 141.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right)$, $137.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 137.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 135.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 133.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{P}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 132.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 132.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 132.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 132.0$ ( $\left.\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 131.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=54.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 130.1$ (C $\left.\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 130.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=46.1\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 129.6$ (C $\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}$ ), 128.6 ( $\left.\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 128.3$ (d, $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}$ ), 128.0 (d, $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=$ $\left.9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 127.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 127.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 127.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 127.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 126.1$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 126.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 76.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{Cp} 1}\right), 75.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{Cp} 2}\right) 74.8\left(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{Cp} 1}, 1 \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{Cp} 1}\right), 74.3(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $\left.=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{Cpl}}\right), 72.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{Cpl}}\right), 72.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{Cp}} 2\right)$. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( 376.19 $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): -113.23 (m, 1F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ ), $-116.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ortho }}\right),-160.88(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=20.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-161.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right),-163.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(161.87, \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 20.57 (t, J = $11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}$ ), 12.84 (m, 1P). IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}, 1499$, 1029, 953, 692, 616; $\mathrm{SO}_{2}, 1150$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{55} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{FeN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PdS} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 56.14 \% ; \mathrm{H}$, 3.62 \%; N, 2.34 \%. Found: C, $56.15 \%$; H, 3.88 \% N, 2.48 \%.

* The signals for the Cp carbons bound to P could not be observed.


35c
[ $\mathbf{P d}\left(\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{F}_{5}\right)(\mathbf{d p p e})\left\{\left(\boldsymbol{p}\right.\right.$ - $\left.\left.\left.\mathbf{T o I S O}_{2}\right) \mathbf{N}-\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{C H P h}\right\}\right](\mathbf{3 7}) .\left[\mathrm{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppe})\right](111.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14$ mmol ) and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(28.75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The suspension was filtered through Kieselghur to remove the AgBr . The resulting solution was added to a mixture of the $N$-tosylhydrazone 36 ( $60.77 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(46.74 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. Addition of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ to the residue afforded complex 37 as a yellow solid, which was collected by filtration, washed with cold $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and air dried. Yield: 0.12 g , ( $87 \%$ ). It can be crystallized from slow diffusion of hexane into a dichloromethane solution.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $8.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 7.99-7.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.54(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $\left.7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.37\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 7.21-7.0\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right)$, $6.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 2.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{10}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $140.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 140.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 138.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right), 136.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right)$, 132.9 (br, 2C $\left.{ }^{\text {arom }}\right), 132.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 131.5$ ( $\left.\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 129.6\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=41.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 129.0(\mathrm{~d}$,
$\left.\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 128.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 128.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=42.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right)$, $127.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 127.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 126.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right), 126.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 126.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 27.7\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=31.5,17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $24.1\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=28.8,12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, $21.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{10}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( 470.17 MHz , $\delta$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): -113.83 (br, 2F, Fortho), -161.04 (t, J = $19.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}$ ), -163.18 (br, 2F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ ). ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (202.31, MHz, $\delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 52.74 (dt, J = 17.4, 4.5, Hz, 1P), 42.45 (m, 1P). IR (neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}, 1454,1046,952,689,746 ;\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{st}\right), 1145$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PdS} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : C, $54.80 \%$; H, $3.82 \%$; N, $2.72 \%$. Found: C, $54.59 \%$; $\mathrm{H}, 3.80 \% \mathrm{~N}, 2.60 \%$.


37
$\left[\mathbf{P d}\left(\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{F}_{5}\right)\left(\mathbf{d p p e}^{2}\right)\left(\mathbf{S O}_{2}-\boldsymbol{p}\right.\right.$ - $\left.\left.\mathbf{T o l}\right)\right]$ (38a). Equimolar amounts of $\left[\mathrm{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppe})\right](76.42$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.101 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(19.79 \mathrm{mg}, 0.101 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(10$ mL ) and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The solution was filtered through Kieselghur and the filtrate was added to a solution of sodium $p$-toluenesulfinate $(18.10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.101 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature and an almost colorless solution was formed. The reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. A mixture of $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the residue and the resulting white solid was filtered, washed with water (3 x 5 mL ) and air-dried. Yield: $49.63 \mathrm{mg}(60 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.61-7.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.49$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.43-7.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.20\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{2}\right), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3}\right), 2.37-2.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 150.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=19.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{1}\right), 145.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=226.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{\text {ortho }}\right), 139.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right)$, 137.7 (d, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=230.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{\text {para }}$ ), $135.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=246.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{\text {meta }}\right), 134.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=11.7\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 132.9$ (d, $\left.\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 132.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right.$ ), 131.7 (d, $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=$ $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}$ ), $129.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 128.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 128.6(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=45.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 128.1\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=47.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 127.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 125.27\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 26.8$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=28.4,15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.3\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=30.0,14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 21.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $-116.08\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ortho }}\right),-161.18\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-162.79$ (m, 2F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ ). ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (202.31, MHz, $\delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 49.31 (d, J = $25.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}$ ), 44.61 (m, 1P). IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}, 1460,1089,959,829,699 ; \mathrm{SO}_{2}, 1186$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PdS}: \mathrm{C}, 56.64 \%$; H, $3.78 \%$. Found: C, $56.48 \%$; H, $3.47 \%$.

[ $\left.\left.\mathbf{P d P h}(\mathbf{d p p e})\left(\mathbf{S O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{- p} \mathbf{- T o l}\right)\right] \mathbf{( 3 8 b}\right) .[\operatorname{PdBr}(\mathrm{Ph})(\mathrm{dppe})](130.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.197 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ $(38.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.197 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The suspension was filtered through Kieselghur and the filtrate was added to a solution of sodium $p$-toluenesulfinate ( $42.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.237 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature to give an almost colorless solution, which was filtered. The filtrate was evaporated to c.a. 2 mL and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the suspension. The white solid was filtered, washed with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), MeOH ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and air-dried. Yield: $78 \mathrm{mg}(54 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $399.86 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $8.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right.$ ), $7.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right.$ ), $7.40(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}$ $\left.=7.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.30-7.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.01\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{2}\right), 6.75$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3}\right), 6.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right), 6.57\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{9}\right), 6.46(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.5$, $\left.2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{8}\right), 2.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.18\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(100.56 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 158.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{6}\right), 150.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right), 138.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right), 136.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 133.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right)$, $133.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 133.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 131.1$ ( $\left.\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right)$, 130.9 ( $\left.\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right)$, 128.9 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }), ~} 128.8$ ( $\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }), ~}$ $128.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 127.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{7}\right), 126.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{8}\right), 126.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 122.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{9}\right), 28.4\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=27.5,18.5\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $25.6\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=26.6,12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 21.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{5}\right) .{ }^{* 31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(161.87, \mathrm{MHz}$, $\delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $43.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=22.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}), 35.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=22.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P})$. IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$, 1102. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PdS}: \mathrm{C}, 63.55 \%$; H, $4.92 \%$. Found: C, $63.59 \%$; H, $5.20 \%$.

* The complex is not very stable in solution and most chemical shifts were determined using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HSQC and HMBC NMR experiments. The direct ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum only allows to determine the $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}}$ coupling constants for the $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbons of the dppe ligand.

$\left[\mathbf{P d}\left(\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{5}\right)(\mathbf{d p p f})\left(\mathbf{S O}_{2} \mathbf{P h}\right)\right](\mathbf{3 8 c})$. Equimolar amounts of $\left[\mathrm{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppf})\right](111.9 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.123 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(24.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.123 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The solution was filtered through Kieselghur to remove the AgBr . The filtrate was added to a solution of sodium benzenesulfinate ( $30.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred for 3
h at room temperature and then, it was filtered. The resulting solution was evaporated to dryness and a $1: 5 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ratio of $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the residue. A white solid appeared which was filtered, washed with water ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and air-dried. Yield: 93 mg (78 \%).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $8.13\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right.$ ), $7.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.H^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.30\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.10\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.04(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $\left.=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 4.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}}\right), 4.60\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{Cp}}\right), 4.16\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{Cp}}\right), 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{Cp}}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $153.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {ipso-(SO2-Ph) }}\right)$, 134.9 (d, $\left.\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 133.4$ (d, $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, C ${ }^{\text {arom }}$ ), $132.5\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=50.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 131.3\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{P}}=44.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 131.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 129.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 128.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=10.8\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 128.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 127.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 125.3$ ( $\left.\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 77.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{CP}}\right), 76.4$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{Cp}}\right), 74.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{Cp}}\right), 74.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{Cp}_{\mathrm{p}}}\right), 72.7\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{Cp}}\right), 72.4(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{CP}}\right) .{ }^{*}{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): - $117.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ortho }}\right),-160.99$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-162.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(202.31, \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : 25.64 (d, J = $27.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}$ ), 17.73 (m, 1P). IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}, 1461,1046,953,743$, 687; $v(\mathrm{~S}=\mathrm{O})$ : 1199. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{SPd}$ : C, $57.02 \%$; H, $3.43 \%$. Found: C, $57.38 \%$ H, $3.49 \%$.
*The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signals for the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ group, heavily coupled to ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$, could not be observed.
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$\left[\mathbf{P d}\left(\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{F}_{5}\right)(\mathbf{d p p e})\left(\mathbf{N}_{2} \mathbf{C}_{3} \mathbf{H}_{2} \mathbf{P h}\right)\right]$ (39). Equimolar amounts of $\left[\mathrm{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppe})\right]$ (39.8 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.053 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(10.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.053 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The solution was filtered through Kieselghur to remove the AgBr . The filtrate was added to a mixture of $5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pzH}$ $(7.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.053 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(34.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then stirred for 3 h at room temperature to give a colorless suspension. The reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated to c.a. 2 mL . Diethyl ether ( 5 mL ) was added to the residue to give a white solid, which was filtered, washed with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 5$ $\mathrm{mL}), \mathrm{MeOH}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and air-dried. Yield: $21 \mathrm{mg}(48 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $7.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.58\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.H^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.47\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.39\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.14\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {ortho-Ph }} \mathrm{pz}\right), 7.05$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {meta-Ph-pz }}\right), 6.99\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {para-Ph-pz }}\right), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{1}\right), 6.25\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{2}\right), 2.50\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.19\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 151.0\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 140.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right), 136.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {ippo-Ph-pz }}\right), 133.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=\right.$ $\left.11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 133.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 131.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 130.9(\mathrm{~d}$,
$\left.\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 130.0\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=44.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 128.9\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=35.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right)$, $128.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 128.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 127.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {meta-Ph-pz }}\right), 125.1$ $\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {ortho-Ph-pz }}\right), 124.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {para-Ph-pz }}\right), 99.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 28.8\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=31.7,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.5(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=29.8,12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (MHz, $\delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): -115.39 (br, 2F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ ), -160.24 (t, J = 20.8 Hz, 1F, F para $^{\text {) }}$, 162.31 (br, 2F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ ). ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $202.31 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 53.63 (m, 1P), 44.71 (m, 1P). IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}, 1451,1057,949,740,688$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}$ : C, $60.42 \%$; H, $3.83 \%$; N, $3.44 \%$. Found: C, $60.67 \% ; \mathrm{H}$, 3.87; N, $3.41 \%$.
*The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signals for the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ group, heavily coupled to ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$, could not be observed.


### 5.4.3 Decomposition of the diazoalkane 30 and $N$-tosylhydrazone 34

## Decomposition of $\mathbf{N}_{2} \mathbf{C H}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathbf{C H P h}$ (30)

A dichloromethane solution of the diazo compound $30(0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ (total volume 0.7 mL ) was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ at 298 K using 1,4-dioxane as an internal standard under a nitrogen atmosphere. The collection of data started 13 min after the preparation of the solution at 298 K . The decomposition products observed are $5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pzH}$ and minor compounds such as the corresponding azine-derivate and trans-cinnamaldehyde. The progress of the reaction (Equation 5.7) is shown in Figure 5.20 .


Equation 5.7


Figure 5.20 Plot of concentration vs. time for the decomposition of the diazoalkane $\mathbf{3 0}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at 298 K .

Decomposition of the $N$-tosylhydrazonate. $N$-tosylhydrazone 34 ( 0.033 mmol ), base $(0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, additive $(0.033 \mathrm{mmol})$ and solvent $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added into an NMR tube along with a sealed glass capillary filled with $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ as NMR lock signal. The species formed after 16 h at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were examined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and quantified using 1,4-dioxane as an internal standard. The product observed in these reactions, $5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pzH}$, comes from the in situ generation and subsequent decomposition of the diazo compound (Equation 5.8 and Table 5.1).


## Equation 5.8

Table 5.1 Decomposition of $N$-tosylhydrazone. ${ }^{a}$

| Entry | Hydrazone | Base | Additive | (\% Conversion, 16 h) ${ }^{b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | - | - | 0 |
| 2 | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | - | 28 |
| 3 | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{BnEt}_{3} \mathrm{NCl}$ | 100 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\left(\mathrm{NBu}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | - | 100 |
| 5 | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathrm{Et} \mathrm{E}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ | - | 100 |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: $\mathbf{3 4}(0.033 \mathrm{mmol})$, base ( 0.05 mmol ), additive $(0.033 \mathrm{mmol})$, solvent $(0.6$ mL ), $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{b}$ Conversion was quantified by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using 1,4-dioxane as internal standard.

The solubility of the sodium hydrazonates is very low in acetonitrile whereas the ammonium salts are completely soluble. The higher conversion observed in the presence of a trialkylammonium chloride (entry 3) and when a base provides an ammonium counterion (entries 4 and 5) reflects this solubility difference. The decomposition of $N$-tosylhydrazone 34 in the presence of triethylamine (leading to a soluble ammonium hydrazonate) was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. $34(0.12 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ $(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added into an NMR tube and the evolution of the mixture was followed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR at regular intervals (see Figure 5.14).

### 5.4.4 Decomposition of individual complexes

$\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppe})\left\{\left(p-\mathrm{TolSO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}\right\}\right](\mathbf{3 5 a}, 0.006 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solution was placed in an NMR tube along with a sealed glass capillary filled with $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ as NMR lock signal. The species formed in solution at the specified temperature and time were examined by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR (Equation 5.9).
The same result shown in Equation 5.9 was obtained when $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.012 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the solution. However, in the presence of the strong base $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuO}(0.012 \mathrm{mmol})$ the pyrazolate complex $\mathbf{3 9}$ was formed (Equation 5.9). The identity of the complexes was determined by comparison with independently prepared samples.


## Equation 5.9

Complex $\mathbf{3 5 a}$ ( $13.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to a 5 mm NMR tube along with a sealed glass capillary filled with $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ as NMR lock signal. The formation of the palladium tosyl complex 38a was monitored by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h (Figure 5.16, a)). Analogous experiments were carried out adding $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathrm{NaSO}_{2} \mathrm{Tol}$ (Figure 5.16, b)) and $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of 5-Ph-pzH (Figure 5.16, c)).

### 5.4.5 Crossover experiments

Reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppe})\left\{\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{TolSO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}=\mathbf{C H}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathbf{C H P h}\right\}\right] \quad$ (35a) and $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F} 5\right)(\mathrm{dppf})\left\{\left(\mathrm{PhSO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}=\mathbf{C H}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathbf{C H P h}\right\}\right](\mathbf{3 5 c})$

Complex 35c ( $3.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0027 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), complex 35a ( $2.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0027 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to a 5 mm NMR tube along with a sealed glass capillary filled with $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ as NMR lock signal. The species formed in solution at room temperature were examined by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR. The resulting mixture was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and checked after 2 h . The same experiment was carried out and monitored by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h (Figure 5.21). The species formed were identified by comparison with samples of the complexes prepared independently.


Figure 5.21 Plot of concentration vs. time for the decomposition of the mixture of hidrazonato complexes to give a mixture of arylsulfinato derivatives as shown in Scheme 5.11. Reaction conditions: $\mathrm{MeCN}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## 

Complex 38a ( $2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0027 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), complex 38c ( $2.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0027 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to a 5 mm NMR tube along with a sealed glass capillary filled with $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ as NMR lock signal. The species formed in solution at room temperature were examined by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (Figure 5.22).


Figure 5.22 ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} /\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary) at 298 K of: a) complex 38a; b) complex $\mathbf{3 8 c}$; c) a mixture of $\mathbf{3 8 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 8 c}$ showing the scrambling of the sulfinate groups after heating.

### 5.4.6 General procedure for the ligand substitution reactions

## Ligand substitution reactions by diazoalkanes

The corresponding palladium complex $(0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ was placed into an NMR tube along with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and a sealed glass capillary filled with $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ as NMR lock signal. After that, a solution of the diazoalkane ( 0.01 mmol ) in dichloromethane was added to the NMR tube under a nitrogen atmosphere. The tube was introduced into the NMR probe and the species formed in solution at room temperature were examined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR nuclei depending on the complexes (Table 5.2).

Table 5.2 Products of the reaction of diazoalkanes with palladium complexes $\mathbf{2 8}, \mathbf{2 9}, \mathbf{3 5}$ and $\mathbf{3 8}$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{Ts}=\mathrm{SO}_{2}-p-\mathrm{Tol}\right)\right) .{ }^{a}$

| Entry | [Pd] | Diazoalkane | Products (\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{Br})(\mathrm{dppe})\right](28 \mathbf{a})$ | 30 | 31a (10) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 2 | $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{Ph})(\mathrm{Br})(\mathrm{dppe})](\mathbf{2 8 b})$ | 30 | 31b (100) |
| 3 | $\left[\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{Br})(\mathrm{dppe})\right](\mathbf{2 8 a})$ | 32 | No reaction |
| 4 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{NCMe})(\mathrm{dppe})\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}(\mathbf{2 9 a})$ | 30 | 31a (100) |
| 5 | $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{Ph})(\mathrm{NCMe})(\mathrm{dppe})] \mathrm{BF}_{4}(\mathbf{2 9 b})$ | 30 | 31b (100) |
| 6 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{NCMe})(\mathrm{dppe})\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}(\mathbf{2 9 a})$ | 32 | 33 (100) |
| 7 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{Ts}) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CH}-\right.$ <br> $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh})($ dppe $)]$ (35a) | 30 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 1 a}(10), \mathbf{3 8} \mathbf{a}(5), \\ & 39(6)^{c} \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | $[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{Ts} \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CH}-$ <br> CH=CHPh)(dppe)] (35b) | 30 | 31b (100) |
| 9 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}-p\right.\right.$-Tol)(dppe) $]$ (38a) | 30 | 31a (15) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 10 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{Ph})\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}-p\right.\right.$-Tol) (dppe) $]$ (38b) | 30 | 31b (100) |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: MeCN as solvent at 298 K for $10 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{b}$ The new species formed were determined by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR. ${ }^{c}$ Unreacted starting material accounts for the remaining percentage.

## Bromide substitution reactions in [PdArBr(dppe)]

The corresponding palladium complex ( 0.01 mmol ) was placed into an NMR tube along with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and a sealed glass capillary filled with $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ as NMR lock signal. $N$-tosylhydrazone $34(0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in one experiment set or $\mathrm{NaSO}_{2}(p-\mathrm{Tol})(0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the second experiment set were added. The mixture was allowed to stand for 1-2.5 h and then checked by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR.

### 5.4.7 Attempts at detection of intermediate complexes before the migratory insertion

$\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{NCMe})(\mathrm{dppe})\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}(\mathbf{2 9 a})(0.0175 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 0.6 mL of dry $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were added into an NMR tube under a nitrogen atmosphere, and placed in cooled bath at - 105 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, a precooled diazo compound $\left(-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Pd}: 32=1: 1.5 \mathrm{~mol}\right.$ ratio $)$ was added and the tube was closed. The resulting mixture was frozen during the setup of the NMR experiment. Finally, the NMR tube was introduced into another cool bath at $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes to allow the equilibration of the temperature. After this time, the sample was shaken vigorously inside the cool bath, wiped externally and introduced in the NMR probe already set at the measurement temperature $\left(-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The reaction was monitored by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR, at $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ first and then at higher temperature ( 10 -degree intervals). Figure 5.23 shows the mixture of palladium complexes formed when 29a was dissolved in
$\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The latter is transformed in 29a upon addition of MeCN. The reorganization product $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}(\right.$ dppe $\left.)\right](6 \%)$ was also present.


Figure 5.23 ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376.46 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) at $-90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of: a) a mixture of complexes 29a, $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppe})\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}(\square)$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{dppe})\right](\bullet)$ formed when a sample of 29a was dissolved in dichloromethane at low temperature ; b) the mixture shown in (a) upon addition of $\mathrm{MeCN}(\mathrm{Pd}: \mathrm{NCMe}=1: 10 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio) .

### 5.4.8 Data for $X$-Ray molecular structure determinations

Crystals suitable for X-ray analyses were obtained by: a) slow diffusion of $n$-hexane layered onto a solution of the complex in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-28{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathbf{3 1 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 3})$; b) slow diffusion of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ layered onto a solution of the complex in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathbf{3 5 c}$ and $\mathbf{3 7})$; c) slow evaporation of the complex in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $\mathbf{3 8 a}$ and 38c). In each case, the crystal was attached to the tip of a glass fiber and transferred to an Agilent Supernova diffractometer with an Atlas CCD area detector. Data collection was performed with Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation $(0.71073 \AA$ A) at 298 K . Data integration and empirical absorption correction was carried out using the CrysAlisPro program package. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least squares against $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ with SHELX, in OLEX2. The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and hydrogen atoms were constrained to ideal geometries and refined with fixed isotropic displacement parameters. Refinement proceeded smoothly to give the residuals shown in Tables 5.3 and 5.4. The crystal structures have deposited in the CCDC database: CCDC-2184725 (complex 31a), CCDC-2184716 (complex 33), CCDC-2184726 (complex 35c), CCDC-2184731 (complex 37) and CCDC-2184733 (complex 38a).

Complex 38a crystallized with a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solvent molecule that was modeled. Two independent molecules were found in the asymmetric unit for $\mathbf{3 5 c}$ and a solvent mask was used for the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ co-crystallized solvent.

Table 5.3 Crystal data and structure refinement parameters for complexes 31a, 33, 38a.

| Compound number | 31a | 33 | 38a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{BF}_{9} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{BF}_{9} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PdS}$ |
| Formula weight | 874.81 | 848.78 | 911.96 |
| Temperature/K | 298 | 298.15 | 298 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic | triclinic | monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{n}$ | P-1 | C2/c |
| a/Å | 10.1431(4) | 8.5211(4) | 22.5623(15) |
| b/Å | 22.7544(7) | 10.1127(4) | 11.1264(8) |
| c/Å | 16.9793(5) | 21.1960(7) | 31.1487(13) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 91.301(3) | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 104.335(4) | 95.754(3) | 94.857(4) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 93.117(4) | 90 |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | 3796.8(2) | 1813.87(13) | 7791.4(8) |
| Z | 4 | 2 | 8 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.530 | 1.554 | 1.555 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.647 | 0.675 | 0.808 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 1760.0 | 852.0 | 3680.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.269 \times 0.17 \times 0.057$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.253 \times 0.161 \times \\ 0.049 \end{gathered}$ | $0.28 \times 0.11 \times 0.064$ |
| Radiation | $\operatorname{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{MoK} \alpha(\lambda= \\ 0.71073) \end{gathered}$ | $\operatorname{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection $/{ }^{\circ}$ | 6.662 to 59.076 | 6.91 to 59.392 | 6.628 to 59.22 |
| Index ranges | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} -13 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 13,-31 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq \\ 23,-23 \leq 1 \leq 17 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} -10 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 10,-12 \\ \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 13,-29 \leq 1 \leq \\ 29 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -30 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 31,-14 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq \\ 15,-43 \leq 1 \leq 24 \end{gathered}$ |
| Reflections collected | 20113 | 13812 | 20606 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{gathered} 8931\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0370,\right. \\ \left.R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0641\right] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8440\left[\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}=\right. \\ 0.0336, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}= \\ 0.0759] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9211\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0635,\right. \\ \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.1199\right] \end{gathered}$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 8931/0/487 | 8440/0/469 | 9211/0/479 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.033 | 1.069 | 1.045 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma$ (I)] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0544, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}_{1}= & 0.0552, \mathrm{wR}_{2} \\ & =0.0922 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0904, \mathrm{wR}_{2}= \\ 0.1749 \end{gathered}$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0961, \mathrm{wR}_{2}= \\ 0.1320 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}_{1}= & 0.0891, \mathrm{wR}_{2} \\ & =0.1114 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.1820, \mathrm{wR}_{2}= \\ 0.2111 \end{gathered}$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e | 1.23/-0.52 | 0.43/-0.46 | 0.61/-0.69 |

Table 5.4 Crystal data and structure refinement parameters for complexes $\mathbf{3 5 c}, 37$ and 38c.

| Compound number | 35c | 37 | 38c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{55} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{FeN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PdS}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{92} \mathrm{H}_{74} \mathrm{~F}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{FeO}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PdS}$ |
| Formula weight | 1113.15 | 1890.35 | 968.97 |
| Temperature/K | 298 | 298(2) | 298 |
| Crystal system | orthorhombic | triclinic | monoclinic |
| Space group | Pca 21 | P-1 | P2 ${ }_{1} / \mathrm{n}$ |
| a/Å | 23.4624(8) | 12.9823(5) | 12.9070(6) |
| b/Å | 10.2943(3) | 14.2919(5) | 10.8331(5) |
| c/Å | 20.1369(6) | 26.8298(11) | 31.6024(13) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 88.413(3) | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 89.913(3) | 100.506(5) |
| $\gamma^{10}$ | 90 | 73.042(3) | 90 |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | 4863.7(3) | 4759.6(3) | 4344.7(3) |
| Z | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.520 | 1.319 | 1.481 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.841 | 0.557 | 0.927 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 2256.0 | 1920.0 | 1952.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.295 \times 0.197 \times 0.104$ | $0.176 \times 0.134 \times 0.079$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.398 \times 0.21 \times \\ 0.128 \end{gathered}$ |
| Radiation | Mo K $\alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ | $\operatorname{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{MoK} \alpha(\lambda= \\ 0.71073) \end{gathered}$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection $/{ }^{\circ}$ | 5.264 to 59.516 | 3.384 to 50.054 | 6.754 to 59.568 |
| Index ranges | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} -25 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 29,-12 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq \\ 14,-23 \leq 1 \leq 27 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} -15 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 14,-15 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq \\ 17,-30 \leq 1 \leq 31 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -17 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 17,-14 \leq \\ & \mathrm{k} \leq 11,-32 \leq 1 \leq 43 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected | 36962 | 32504 | 19107 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{gathered} 10888\left[R_{\text {int }}=0.0428,\right. \\ \left.R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0487\right] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16805\left[R_{\text {int }}=0.0675,\right. \\ \left.R_{\text {sigma }}=0.1451\right] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10169\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=\right. \\ 0.0309, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}= \\ 0.0545] \end{gathered}$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 10888/1/622 | 16805/0/1065 | 10169/0/523 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.054 | 0.946 | 1.024 |
| Final R indexes [I>=2 $\sigma$ (I)] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0356, \mathrm{wR}_{2}= \\ 0.0589 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0646, \mathrm{wR}_{2}= \\ 0.0967 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0417, \mathrm{wR}_{2}= \\ 0.0778 \end{gathered}$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0575, \mathrm{wR}_{2}= \\ 0.0686 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.1515, \mathrm{wR}_{2}= \\ 0.1261 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0688, \mathrm{wR}_{2}= \\ 0.0907 \end{gathered}$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.42/-0.62 | 0.47/-0.33 | 0.43/-0.52 |



Figure 5.24 X-ray molecular structure of 31a (ORTEP $40 \%$ probability ellipsoids). Hydrogen atoms and the $\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$anion are omitted for clarity.

Table 5.5 Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for complex 31a (for numbering scheme see Figure 5.24).

| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $2.2881(10)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $85.17(4)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $2.2914(10)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $66.27(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $2.179(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $119.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $2.177(4)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $2.253(4)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.416(5)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.392(6)$ |  |  |



Figure 5.25 X-ray molecular structure of $\mathbf{3 3}$ (ORTEP $40 \%$ probability ellipsoids). Hydrogen atoms and the $\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$anion are omitted for clarity.

Table 5.6 Selected bond lengths $[\AA ̊]$ and angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ for complex 33 (for numbering scheme see Figure 5.25).

| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $2.2609(11)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.417(5)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $2.3018(10)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.418(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $2.155(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.347(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $2.275(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.393(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $2.284(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.350(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C} 8)$ | $1.447(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.405(6)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $86.25(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $66.80(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $117.3(3)$ |  |  |



Figure 5.26 X-ray molecular structure of 35c (ORTEP 40\% probability ellipsoids). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Table 5.7 Selected bond lengths $\left[\AA \AA\right.$ and angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ for complex $\mathbf{3 5}$ (for numbering scheme see Figure 5.26).

| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $2.3011(12)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.282(5)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $2.3698(12)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.430(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.109(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.338(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $2.047(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.463(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.380(5)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $101.17(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $87.66(16)$ |
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Figure 5.27 X-ray molecular structure of 37 (ORTEP 40\% probability ellipsoids). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Table 5.8 Selected bond lengths $[\AA ̊]$ and angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ for complex 37 (for numbering scheme see Figure 5.27).

| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $2.2461(16)$ | $\operatorname{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | $2.3281(18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $2.3317(18)$ | $\operatorname{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{P}(4)$ | $2.2502(16)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.105(5)$ | $\operatorname{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $2.120(4)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $2.045(7)$ | $\operatorname{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{C}(73)$ | $2.051(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.382(6)$ | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $1.366(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.270(7)$ | $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(54)$ | $1.290(6)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $84.56(6)$ | $\mathrm{P}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | $84.49(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $88.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(73)-\mathrm{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $89.4(2)$ |



Figure 5.28 X-ray molecular structure of 38a (ORTEP 40\% probability ellipsoids). Hydrogen atoms and the solvent molecule of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ are omitted for clarity.

Table 5.9 Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ and angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ for complex 38a (for numbering scheme see Figure 5.28).

| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $2.3203(19)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $2.337(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $2.278(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $2.058(7)$ |
|  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $84.68(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $89.3(2)$ |
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Figure 5.29 X-ray molecular structure of 38c (ORTEP $40 \%$ probability ellipsoids). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Table 5.10 Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ and angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ for complex 38 (for numbering scheme see Figure 5.29).

| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $2.3611(8)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $2.3427(8)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $2.3656(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $2.038(3)$ |
|  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $97.65(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $85.68(8)$ |

### 5.4.9 Computational details

## Computational methods

All calculations were performed using the DFT approach with the M06 functional, using Gaussian09 as program package. The selected basis set was $6-31+G(d)$ for C, N, F and H , and LANL2TZ(f) for Pd (Basis set I). Solvation was introduced in all the optimizations, frequency calculations and potential energy refinement through the SMD model, where we applied the experimental solvent, acetonitrile ( $\varepsilon=37.5$, at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). All geometry optimizations were carried out in solution with no symmetry restrictions. Free energy corrections were calculated at 298.15 K and $10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa}$ pressure, including zero-point energy corrections (ZPE), and the energies were converted to 1 M standard state in solution (adding/subtracting $1.89 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for non-unimolecular processes). Vibrational frequency calculations were performed to establish the stationary points were
minima (without imaginary frequencies) or transition states (with one imaginary frequency). Connectivity of the transition state structures were confirmed by relaxing the transition state geometry towards both the reactant and the product. Final potential energies were refined by performing additional single-point energy calculations (also in solution), Pd was still described with LANL2TZ(f) basis set, and the remaining atoms were treated with 6-311++G(d,p) basis set (Basis set II). All reported energies in the manuscript correspond to Gibbs energies in solution, obtained from potential energies (including solvation) with basis set II plus Gibbs energy corrections with basis set I and are given in kcal mol ${ }^{-1}$ (see SCF energy and free energy correction values in Supporting information).
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# 6. Understanding the Ligand Influence in the Multistep Reaction of Diazo 

 Derivatives with Palladium Complexes Leading to Carbene-Aryl Coupling
### 6.1 Introduction


#### Abstract

Understanding the fundamental steps that take place in palladium carbene coupling reactions can help the rational election of better ancillary ligands. In some cases, it has been observed that the ligands chosen in combination with the proper precatalyst can control the whole chemoselectivity of the reaction. For example, the group of Lian et al. reported the functionalization of propargylic carbonates with $N$-tosylhydrazones, where the suitable election of the precatalyst leads to different products. When $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{NCMe})_{2}\right]$ combined with dppp as ancillary ligand is used, the substituted propargylic $N$-sulfonylhydrazones can be prepared, whereas vinylallenes derivatives are obtained in absence of P-donor ligand (Scheme 6.1). ${ }^{198}$ In fact, the first reaction is a nucleophilic substitution by the hydrazonate and no carbene fragment is involved, but in the second reaction (vinylallene derivatives), a carbene transfer and C-C bond formation by migratory insertion was proposed and supported by computational methods. ${ }^{199}$


[^87]


Scheme 6.1 Synthesis of propargylic $N$-sulfonylhydrazones (A) and Pd-catalyzed synthesis of vinylallenes derivatives $(\mathbf{B})$ depending on the ligand combination used.

Taking the model complexes $\left[\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L})(\mathrm{NCMe})\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)$ where $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}=$ dppe, dppp, dppb, bipy, the influence of the ancillary ligand in the reaction with diazoalkanes was analyzed and it is described in this chapter. As it was mentioned in Chapter 5, from an experimental point of view, some steps such as migratory insertion or nitrogen extrusion from diazo derivatives are not easy to separate from the steps preceding and following them. To gain mechanistic insight into these steps, Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations have been done, which represent a powerful tool for accessing the information that otherwise will be impossible.

Diphosphine ligands are characterized as being good ligands and also introduce a better control of the coordination environment since they occupy two, usually cis, coordination sites on a transition metal center. Among the numerous steric and electronic parameters reported for $P$-donor ligands, ${ }^{200}$ the parameter called "natural bite angle" $\left(\beta_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{201}$ represents a ligand descriptor in which the preferred chelation angle is determined by the ligand backbone constraints and not by the metal valence angle, so it is based solely on steric considerations. The interaction between the ligand and the groups-to-becoupled can be associated with the "pocket angle" $(\theta)$ descriptor, which was introduced by Barron et al. ${ }^{202}$ and defined as the interior cone angle created by the ligand at the metal center (Figure 6.1).


Figure 6.1 Natural Bite Angle $\left(\beta_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ and Pocket Angle $(\theta)$ of chelating phosphines ligands.

The efficacy of bulky chelate ligands to promote C-C coupling is long known. For example, in a reductive elimination process, palladium complexes with chelating ligands containing large bite angles undergo faster $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ coupling than complexes with small bite angles. The bidentate Xantphos ligand, among others, with a bite angle of $102.07^{\circ}$ produces a clear enhancement in the rate of the reductive elimination of the challenging Ar- $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ couplings. ${ }^{203}$

Migratory insertion reactions are also favoured by a large natural bite angle. The greater the bite angle, the closer the -R groups that have to couple.

[^88]This intuitive idea means that the complex is closer to the transition state in which the C-Pd-C angles are forced to "compress" for a lateral orbital overlap. ${ }^{204}$ Very few studies have been devoted to investigate how the bite angle in diphosphine ligands affect the migratory insertion steps, mostly in the insertion of CO into a Pd-alkyl bond.

A series of well-defined bidentate phosphine $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-complexes used as models to study the migratory insertion step, were examined by Brookhart et al. They observed that the kinetic barriers for the migratory insertion of CO into the Pd -methyl bond decrease with increasing the P-Pd-P bond angle of the complex for the family of dppe, dppp and dppb diphosphine ligands (Scheme 6.2, a)). ${ }^{188 b}$ The authors observed that the rigidity of the ligand backbone does not have any effect but the steric bulk of the diphosphine has a significant effect on the methyl carbonyl insertion barriers, being the bulkiest ligand (dippp) the one with the smallest activation barrier The observed order for the migratory insertion barriers was the following: dippp $\sim \mathrm{dppb}<\mathrm{dppp} \ll$ dppee $\sim$ dpbz ~dppe < dmpe.

For the case of the 1,2 migratory insertion of ethylene into the Pd-methyl bond, the activation barriers are not directly related to either the steric crowding of the diphosphine or the P-Pd-P bond angle, even though the highest kinetic barrier is presented by the dmpe ligand which possesses the smallest P-Pd-P angle (Scheme 6.2, b)). The migratory insertion of CO into Pd-alkyl bonds has been analyzed using other $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes bearing chelate $P, N$-donor ligands, but the influence of the bite angle and bulkiness of the ligands was not studied. ${ }^{205}$

[^89]a)

b)






 $\mathrm{n}=2, \mathrm{dppb}$


Scheme 6.2 Migratory insertion reactions of CO or ethylene into well-defined $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-complexes.

Although the migratory insertion of CO and carbenes have many analogies, no study of the influence of the ligands on the coupling of a carbene fragment and a Pd-R moiety has been reported. This Chapter contains both experimental and computational work done on the reactivity of palladium aryl complexes with different monodentate and bidentate ligands (phosphines and bipyridine) and diazoalkanes, leading to C-C coupling through Pd-carbene based systems.

### 6.2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 6.2.1 Synthesis of palladium(II) precursors

Complexes $\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L})\right]$ (Scheme 6.3), $\left[\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L})(\mathrm{NCMe})\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)$ $\left(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{dppe}, \mathrm{dppp}, \mathrm{dppb}\right.$ and bipy) $\left(\right.$ Scheme 6.4) and $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{NCMe})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)$ were used as models to evaluate the ligand-dependent reactivity with diazoalkanes 30 and 32. These diazoalkanes have already proved to be suitable for stabilizing the expected alkyl intermediate after the migratory insertion step, by coordination of the unsaturated double bond or aryl group to the metal, at least, with dppe as a ligand (Chapter 5). The synthesis of all precursors was carried out using the same dimeric complex $\left(\mathrm{NBu}_{4}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mu-\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]$ in the presence of the stoichiometric amount of the chelate ligand. The chosen phosphine ligands belong to the same family of diphosphines, seeking to maintain the electronic properties unchanged, and only modifying the separation between the phosphorous atoms and therewith the "bite angle" (P-M-P). Additionally, the $N, N$-chelate ligand $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridine was also tested.
a)

b)

c)




Scheme 6.3 Synthesis of the model diphosphine $\operatorname{Pd}(I I)$-complexes.

The molecular structure of complex $\mathbf{4 0}$ was determined by X-ray diffraction and it shows a cis arrangement of the $-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ and -Br ligands in a palladium square-planar geometry (Figure 6.2). The P-Pd-P and $\mathrm{C}_{\text {C6F5- }} \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Br}$ angles are $93.44^{\circ}$ and $88.28^{\circ}$ respectively, being in the expected range for other similar X-ray structures of $[\operatorname{Pd} \operatorname{Ar}(\mathrm{dppp})(\mathrm{X})]$ complexes reported in the literature, e.g., $[\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{dppp})(\mathrm{Ph})(\mathrm{X})]:$
$X=-C F_{3},{ }^{206} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ph}}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{X}$ angles are $93.36^{\circ}$ and $84.85^{\circ} ; X=C l,{ }^{207} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ph}}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{X}$ angles are $96.70^{\circ}$ and $89.04^{\circ} ; X=O H,{ }^{208} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ph}}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{X}$ angles are $95.21^{\circ}$ and $86.52^{\circ}$ respectively. The cis arrangement is also present in solution as clearly shown by the appearance of two inequivalent ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR resonances (see Experimental part).


Figure 6.2 X-ray molecular structure of 40 (ORTEP $40 \%$ probability ellipsoids). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ : Pd1-Br1, 2.4756(4); Pd1-P1, 2.3286(9); Pd1-P2, 2.2483(8); Pd1-C1, 2.062(3); P2-Pd1-P1, 93.44(3); C1-Pd1-Br1, 88.28(8).

However, a different behavior was observed for the dppb complex $\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L})\right]$ and for the analogous solvento complex $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L})(\mathrm{NCMe})\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{dppb})$ as is shown in Equation 6.1 and 6.2. Initially, the isolated complex $\mathbf{4 1}$ is a trans-species as shown by the appearance of only one ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR resonance at 18.92 ppm (see Experimental part). In a chloroform solution at room temperature, $\mathbf{4 1}$ isomerizes to give a trans:cis mixture in a $0.8: 1$ mol ratio after 48 h (Equation 6.1).

[^90]

Equation 6.1

When the isolated complex trans -41 is treated with $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ to remove the -Br ligand in acetonitrile at room temperature, complex 43 was obtained as a mixture of isomers trans:cis $=1: 0.8 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio. This was observed by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR indicating a dynamic equilibrium in solution for this complex.


Equation 6.2

This behavior is only observed for the dppb ligand because of its inherent wide P-Pd-P angle in comparison to dppe or dppp ligands. For the remaining complexes $\mathbf{4 0}$ and 42 their treatment with $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ affords the corresponding cis-solvento acetonitrile complexes 44 and 45 (Scheme 6.4). Complex trans- $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{NCMe}^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)$ was prepared in the same way from the known trans- $\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ complex.


Scheme 6.4 Synthesis of the solvento acetonitrile palladium complexes.

The diphosphine, 1,1-bis(diphenylphosphino)methane (dppm), with the smallest bite angle, was excluded from the study since the parent palladium complex of composition " $\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right) \mathrm{dppm} "$ was obtained as a mixture of the binuclear $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm}) \operatorname{Br}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\right]_{2} \quad(58 \%)$ with a bridging dppm and the monomeric $\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right) \mathrm{dppm}\right](42 \%)$ (Equation 6.3). ${ }^{209}$ This behavior of dppm has been observed before, ${ }^{210}$ and the presence of the bridging phosphine does not allow to evaluate the influence of the bite angle properly.


Equation 6.3

### 6.2.2 Reactions with diazo compounds: chelating diphosphine ligands

As described in Chapter 5, the solvento acetonitrile complex 29a readily reacts with diazoalkanes 30 and 32 giving the organometallic $\eta^{3}$-allylic-complex 31a or the $\eta^{3}$-benzylic complex 33 in full conversion regardless the solvent employed (Scheme 6.5, a)). ${ }^{211}$ Figure 6.3 shown the characteristic ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR signals of the starting solvento complex 29a ( $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$, about -120 ppm ) and the organometallic complexes obtaining after the migratory insertion $\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right.$, about $\left.-140 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$.

[^91]

Scheme 6.5 Reactions of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{NCMe})(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P})\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)$ complexes with diazoalkanes. $\mathrm{x}=$ mol ratio of diazoalkane $/ \mathrm{Pd}$.


Figure 6.3 ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2}$ SO capillary) of the reactions shown in Scheme $6.5, \mathbf{a})$ ).

The analogous solvento acetonitrile complex 44, but with dppp as a ligand, was generated in situ as the cis-palladium species (Scheme 6.4). The reaction of complex 44 with an equimolar amount of diazoalkane $\mathbf{3 0}$ afforded $46 \%$ of the $\eta^{3}$-allylic Pd complex 46. An additional equimolar amount of diazoalkane was added to the same sample, and the reaction proceeded to reach $83 \%$ of 46 and a $17 \%$ of the starting solvento complex which remains unreacted (Scheme $6.5, \mathbf{b})$ ). Characteristic signals for the migration of the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ group to the carbene fragment were observed in the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR, comparable to the analogous $\eta^{3}$-allyl complex 31a ( $c f$. Figures 6.5 and 6.3). The $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ signals show a restricted rotation of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ bond at room temperature, presumably caused by the large bite angle of the dppp in comparison to the similar $\eta^{3}$-allylic complex 31a. The molecular structure of the $\eta^{3}$-allyl complex 46, determined by X-ray diffraction, reveals that both aryl-substituents are in a syn arrangement (Figure 6.4).
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Figure 6.4 X-ray molecular structure of 46 (ORTEP $40 \%$ probability ellipsoids). Solvent molecules $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ and the $\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$anion are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right): ~ P d 1-\mathrm{P} 2,2.3035(17) ; \mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{P} 1,2.3057(16) ; ~ P d 1-\mathrm{C} 9,2.229(6) ; \mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{C} 8,2.196(6), \mathrm{Pd} 1-\mathrm{C} 7$, 2.226(7); C7-C8, 1.406(10); C8-C9, 1.397(10).


Figure $6.5{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary) of reaction shown in Scheme 6.5 , b) with diazoalkane 30. a) Complex 44. b) Complex 44 upon addition of $\mathbf{3 0}(\mathrm{Pd}: \mathbf{3 0}=1: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio) c) Sample shown in b) upon addition of an additional equimolar amount of $\mathbf{3 0}$ (total mol ratio $\mathrm{Pd}: \mathbf{3 0}=1: 2$ ).

In the case of using diazoalkane $\mathbf{3 2}(\mathrm{Pd}: 32=1: 1.5 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio $)$, a $\eta^{3}$-benzylic palladium complex was generated (47), as a mixture of the syn pentafluorophenyl complex and a small amount of a tentatively assigned anti- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ complex (Scheme 6.5, b) and Figure 6.6).


Figure 6.6 ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (470.17 MHz, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2}$ SO capillary) of reaction shown in Scheme $6.5, \mathbf{b})$ with diazoalkane $32(\mathrm{Pd}: 32=1: 1.5 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio $)$.

As it was mentioned above and shown in Equation 6.2, the freshly prepared complex 43 is a mixture of trans:cis isomers with a little amount of a non-identified Pd- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ species (Figure 6.7, a)). This equilibrium between isomers introduces a new factor that can distort the observed experimental results since the migratory insertion requires a cis arrangement. The reaction with diazoalkane $\mathbf{3 0}$ only afforded an $8 \%$ of the $\eta^{3}$-allyl-palladium complex 48. The bulky dppb ligand in combination with the aryl groups in the allyl moiety induces a restricted rotation of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ bond in solution which leads to broad signals for the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ortho }}$ resonances (Figure 6.7,b)). The remaining starting complex 43 is a mixture of the trans:cis isomers in a different ratio than that observed minutes before its in situ preparation (Figure 6.7, a)). This means that the equilibrium, presumably slow, was not established at the beginning of the reaction. The analysis of the final reaction mixture indicates that the cis isomer is the major one, so the poor formation of the $\eta^{3}$-allyl-palladium complex 48 is not governed by the availability of cis-43.

The formation of the $\eta^{3}$-benzyl-organometallic product 49 when diazoalkane 32 was used is significantly more efficient ( $30 \%$ ). Two new organometallic species appeared which have been tentatively assigned as anti-syn isomers of 49, in a $1: 1$ ratio (Figure 6.8, b)).


Figure $6.7{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2}$ SO capillary) of reaction shown in Scheme 6.5 , c) with diazoalkane 30. * Signals corresponding to unidentified " $\left[\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right]$ " species.

f1 (ppm)
Figure 6.8 ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2}$ SO capillary) of reaction shown in Scheme 6.5 , c) with diazoalkane 32. * Signals corresponding to unidentified " $\left[\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right]$ " species.

In general, as for most $\eta^{3}$-allylic compounds, the syn-arrangement in the $\eta^{3}$-benzylic moiety is more favourable than the anti-arrangement since it places the
substituent away from the metal and decreases the steric repulsions. However, the bulkiness of the ligands may play a role and this stability order may be reversed. For this reason, DFT calculations were carried out to check the relative stability of the syn and anti-isomers of the $\eta^{3}$-benzylic derivatives and compare these results with the experimental observations.

When both syn and anti-isomers of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppe})\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)(33)$ were calculated at room temperature, the syn isomer is favoured by $1.62 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ (Figure 6.9). This difference explains the observation of the syn-isomer as the organometallic product in solution ( $\operatorname{syn} \rightleftharpoons$ anti, $\mathrm{K}_{\text {equ }}=6.510^{-2}$ ) and this is the isomer present in the solid state as well (X-ray structure, Chapter 5). For complex 47 ( $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{dppp}$ ) we propose the syn-isomer as the major one by analogy of the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR data with those for complex 33: for example, the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ NMR resonance appears at about -137 ppm (syn) vs. -140 ppm (anti). The syn:anti ratio for $\mathbf{4 7}$ is 9:1 and the DFT calculations show an extremely little difference in energy between both arrangements $\left(\Delta \mathrm{E}=0.04 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right.$, Figure 6.9). For the $\eta^{3}$-benzylic complex 49, the energy difference obtained by DFT is zero. This shows that as the steric demand of the ligand becomes higher, the difference between arrangements decreases to make it negligible, and explains the appearance of both isomers. The calculated energies fit well with the ratio of syn-49 and anti-49 observed by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{K}_{\text {equ }}=\sim 1$ ), although deviates a little from the isomer ratio observed for 47. The most representative structural parameters for these $\eta^{3}$-benzylic complexes are collected in Table 6.1.




Figure 6.9 Energy difference in kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ between the two isomers (syn or anti) in the complexes 33, 47 and 49. $\mathrm{Pf}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$.

Table 6.1 Geometrical parameters extracted from DFT calculation of the optimized structure. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| Geometrical <br> parameters | syn-33 | anti-33 | syn-47 | anti-47 | syn-49 | anti-49 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P-Pd-P | 85.4 | 85.3 | 96.3 | 93.2 | 98.8 | 98.0 |
| Pd-C $^{\alpha}$ | 2.144 | 2.167 | 2.137 | 2.156 | 2.137 | 2.162 |
| Pd-C $^{2}$ | 2.514 | 2.311 | 2.662 | 2.375 | 2.662 | 2.391 |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{\text {eq }}$ | 6.5 | $10^{-2}$ | 1.07 |  | 1.0 |  |

### 6.2.3 Reactions with diazo compounds: triphenylphosphine

$\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ was selected as an easily available and commercial monodentate ligand to evaluate the differences in reactivity. As shown in Scheme 6.6, the trans diphosphino complex 51 barely reacts with diazoalkane 30. After the reaction, we could not identify any organometallic product from the migratory insertion of a transient palladium carbene
complex into the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ bond and only small amounts of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$-containing organic products that could be originate by migratory insertion ( $5 \%$ ) were detected by ${ }^{19}$ F NMR. Additionally, $5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pzH}$, formed by decomposition of the diazoalkane, and 1,6-diphenylhexa-1,3,5-triene, as result of the dimerization of the carbene fragment, were observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. Once the diazoalkane replaces the acetonitrile, the intermediate generated is a transient trans-[ $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}($ diazoalkane $\left.)\right]^{+}$complex where the diazoalkane is located in a trans position to the $-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ group. If this Pd -intermediate undergoes nitrogen extrusion, the resulting Pd-carbene remains in the same trans-arrangement and the migratory insertion cannot occur. If the isomerization process to afford a cis complex is slower than the decomposition of the diazoalkane and the metal carbene, it could explain the experimental outcome observed.


Scheme 6.6 Reactions of complex 51 with diazoalkanes. Reaction a) and b) were immediate and no free diazoalkanes were observed after 5 min under these reaction conditions.

Similarly, when diazoalkane $\mathbf{3 2}$ interacts with complex $\mathbf{5 1}$ no organometallic migratory insertion product could be detected by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR, instead, several decomposition products of the diazoalkane $\mathbf{3 2}$ such as benzaldehyde, cis/trans stilbene and the azine derivative were observed. The formation of the azine derivatives and the benzaldehyde can be explained by the formation of a plausible and elusive Pd-carbene complex that does not evolve by a migratory insertion into the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ bond but via nucleophilic attack. The attack of one molecule of the parent diazoalkane $\mathbf{3 2}$ on the

Pd-carbene species could form the azine derivative observed. ${ }^{212}$ The attack of water on the Pd-carbene intermediate, gives the corresponding hydrolysis product (benzaldehyde) and $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ species (Scheme 6.7). This $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ species formed in the reaction media could be the responsible for the cis and trans stilbene which can be generated by a dimerization of two carbene fragment catalyzed by $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ (Scheme 6.7). ${ }^{147,148}$


Scheme 6.7 Proposed decomposition routes for the side-products observed in Scheme 6.6, b).

### 6.2.4 Reactions with diazo compounds: bipyridine

There are very few examples in the literature in which carbene coupling reactions employ $N, N$-chelate ligands. In 2011 Yu et al. reported a Pd-catalyzed oxidative reaction of arylboronic acids with diazoesters with a series of nitrogen ligands (Scheme 6.8, a)). The stoichiometric experiments performed with a well-defined palladium complex bearing bipyridine showed the formation of the diarylacrylate product through a proposed migratory insertion of the metal-carbene generated from diazoesters. To promote the reaction, $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ as an additive was essential but its role was not ascertained (Scheme 6.8, b)).
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Scheme 6.8 Pd-catalyzed C-C coupling of diazoester with $N$-donor chelating ligands and $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ as an additive.

It is surprising that nitrogen ligands are much less utilized for these reactions and it is worth exploring the reactivity of well-defined bipyridine palladium complex 45 with diazoalkanes (Scheme 6.9) to find out the intrinsic main differences in behavior when compared to the phosphine complexes. Solvento acetonitrile complex 45 immediately reacts with the diazoalkanes $\mathbf{3 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 2}$ but the reaction does not give rise to the formation of the initially assumed $\left[\operatorname{Pd}\left(\eta^{3} \text {-allyl)(bipy) }\right]^{+}\right.$or $\left[\operatorname{Pd}\left(\eta^{3} \text {-benzyl)(bipy }\right)\right]^{+}$ complexes by a carbene formation and migratory insertion pathway. The organometallic products observed by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR contain the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ group bound to palladium and they could only be identified for the reaction with diazoalkane 30. They consist of different palladium species in which 5-phenyl pyrazole (5-Ph-pzH) has been coordinated: 52 and the dimeric complex $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mu-5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pz})(\text { bipy })_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}(\mathbf{5 3})$ along with small amount of the starting solvento complex 45 (Scheme 6.9, a)).


Scheme 6.9 Reactions of complex 45 with diazoalkanes. The percentages of the palladium complexes shown were determined by integration of the signals in the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra and given in $\% \mathrm{~F}$ corresponding to the $-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ groups.

In order to corroborate the species formed in Scheme 6.9, a), several control experiments were carried out under different conditions. The reaction of 45 with an equimolar amount of 5-Ph-pzH leads to the formation of a major complex (52) and a minor tautomeric complex (54) (Scheme 6.10, a). The coordination of the 5-Ph-pzH ligand by the more basic iminic nitrogen in these $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-complexes ( 52 and 54) is proposed based on DFT calculations as well as some analogous reported X-ray molecular structures of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}(5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pzH})(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}) \mathrm{X}_{2}\right]$ complexes with $N, N$-chelate ligands. ${ }^{213}$

When a base is added to the preformed mixture of complexes 52 and 54 the formation of the dimer $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mu-5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pz})(\text { bipy })_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)$ is clearly observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (Scheme $\left.6.10, \mathbf{c}\right)$ ). The reaction of complex 45 with $5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pzH}$ in a Pd:pyrazole $=1: 0.5 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio in the presence of a non-coordinating base, also leads to the dimeric derivative 53 (Scheme 6.10, b) and Figure 6.10, d)). Various examples of 2,2’-bipyridine dimeric Pd(II) complexes bearing a pyrazolate-bridge ligands have been

[^93]reported where the pyrazolate derivatives is 4-(4-carboxylatephenyl)pyrazolate, ${ }^{214}$ 4-(3,5-dimethyl-pyrazolate-yl)pyridine ${ }^{215}$ and 3,5-dimethylpyrazolate. ${ }^{216}$


Scheme 6.10 Control experiments with complex 45 and 5-Ph-pzH.
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Figure 6.10 ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR, Fortho region $\left(470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right.$ capillary for a) and b) and $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ for $\mathbf{c}$ ) and $\mathbf{d}$ )) of: a) solvento acetonitrile complex $\mathbf{4 5}$; b) reaction of complex 45 with an equimolecular amount of diazo 30 (see Scheme 6.9 , a); c) reaction of complex 45 with an equimolecular amount of $5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pzH}$ after 1 h at room temperature (see Scheme 6.10, a)); slow rotation of the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ group is observed for $\mathbf{5 4}$; d) reaction of complex $\mathbf{4 5}$ with $5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pzH}$ $(\mathrm{Pd}:$ pyrazole $=1: 0.5 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio $)$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ see Scheme 6.10, b).

### 6.2.5 DFT studies

The nature of the auxiliary ligand and the different number of carbons in the backbone of the diphosphine ligands exert a relevant influence in the outcome of the reaction with diazoalkanes. In a simplified way, the steps involved in the reactions of solvento acetonitrile $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes with diazoalkane $\mathbf{3 0}$ are depicted in Scheme 6.11. As it was observed for dppe (Chapter 5), only the organometallic products after migratory insertion were detected, so the coordination of the diazoalkane, the formation of the intermediate palladium carbene and the migratory insertion reaction cannot be experimentally studied separately. For this reason, DFT calculations were employed to gain insight into the steps that are responsible for the differences observed. We modelled the energy profiles for the reactions of dppp, dppb and bipy derivatives with diazoalkane 30 and compared them to that of complex 29a (dppe-system).


L-L: dppe, dppp, dppb and bipy
$\mathrm{R}=-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ph}$


$\eta^{3}$-allylic complex


Scheme 6.11 Simplified reaction pathway modelled by DFT calculations.

Table 6.2 shows the Gibbs energy barriers for the nitrogen extrusion and migratory insertion key-steps depicted in Scheme 6.11. Both the energy barriers for each step ( $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}$, energy difference between the previous intermediate and the TS) and the Gibbs energy of the TSs taking the reactants as reference are given in the table 6.2. The intermediates proposed in Scheme 6.11 and their corresponding free-energies are given in Table 6.3.

Table 6.2 Free energies in $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for the transition states of nitrogen extrusion and migratory insertion with the different ligands extracted from Figures 6.11, 6.14, 6.24 and 6.25 in the Experimental part.

|  | $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}{ }^{\mathrm{N} 2 \text { extrusion }}$ | $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}{ }_{\text {mig insert }}$ | $\mathrm{TS}_{\mathrm{N} 2 \text { extrusion }}$ | $\mathrm{TS}_{\text {mig insert }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dppe | 11.0 | 6.1 | 14.1 | -11.6 |
| dppp | 10.2 | 4.5 | 12.6 | -12.2 |
| dppb | 8.9 | 4.6 | 11.2 | -15.5 |
| bipy | 3.5 | 3.3 | 5.3 | -21.3 |

Table 6.3 Energies in $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for the intermediates proposed in Scheme 6.11.

|  | $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{\kappa}^{1} \mathrm{C}$ | I -carbene | $\eta^{3}$-allylic palladium complex |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dppe | 3.1 | -17.7 | -64.0 |
| dppp | 2.4 | -17.2 | -63.5 |
| dppb | 2.3 | -20.1 | -66.7 |
| bipy | 1.8 | -24.6 | -65.2 |

The activation barriers for the migratory insertion step ( $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\text {mig insert, }}$ Table 6.2) follow the trend: dppe $>\mathrm{dppp} \approx \mathrm{dppb}>$ bipy. As the bite angle of the phosphine increases the barrier is lower. This is specially noticeable on going from dppe to dppp and it is the same trend observed for the migratory insertion reaction of CO. ${ }^{188 \mathrm{~b}}$ The barriers for nitrogen extrusion are higher than those for the migratory insertion step and follow the same trend. For $2,2^{\prime}$-bipy, the activation barriers are even lower than those for the diphosphine ligands.

These results do not fit with what was observed experimentally. The efficiency in the formation of the migratory insertion products, i.e. dppe $>\mathrm{dppp}>\mathrm{dppb}>$ bipy; follows the opposite trend to that expected from the barriers in Table 6.2. Therefore, we decided to explore other steps that are also involved in the reaction, i.e. the coordination of the diazoalkane to the palladium center.

Two different pathways for the coordination of diazoalkane $\mathbf{3 0}$ were computed. i) An associative substitution, where the transition state proposed is a pentacoordinated trigonal-bipyramidal one. ii) A dissociative pathway, where the labile acetonitrile goes
out of the coordination sphere of palladium affording a three-coordinated T -shaped intermediate.

For the dppe derivative 29a, the complete profile is shown in Figure 6.11. Comparable energetic barriers were obtained in the associative pathway when diazoalkane coordinates to palladium acting as a $\kappa^{1}$-N donor (TS-29a-I1N, $10.5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$ ) or as a $\kappa^{1}-\mathrm{C}$ donor (TS-29a-I1C, $11.0 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ). On the other hand, a dissociative pathway, where the labile acetonitrile goes out of the coordination sphere affording a three-coordinated T-shaped intermediate $\mathbf{I 6}$ can be proposed. The acetonitrile dissociation has a low energy cost $\left(9.2 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ to give a rather unstable intermediate I6 ( $10.9 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ). We were unable to locate the transition state for the direct diazo coordination in a $\kappa^{1}$-C fashion to the three-coordinated intermediate I6 due to the high stabilization afforded by the coordination of the double bond of the diazo compound (I7). DFT-scanning shows that $\mathbf{3 0}$ approaches the vacant coordination site in I6 with a barrierless process (Figure 6.12), affording an intermediate with the olefin coordinated (I7). ${ }^{117}$ From this intermediate $\mathbf{I 7}$ the rearrangement to reach a $\kappa^{1}-\mathrm{C}$ coordination mode of the diazoalkane is estimated in $11.2 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, which is an accessible energy too.

With these values, the rate-determining step for the reaction of 29a and the diazoalkane 30 is the nitrogen extrusion ( $14.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ), showcasing that all the elementary steps are feasible at low temperature, as it has proven experimentally (Chapter 5).
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Dissociative pathway (ii)
Associative pathway (i)
Figure 6.11 Gibbs energy profile for the reaction of complex 29a with $\mathbf{3 0}$ to give the migratory insertion complex 31a. Energies in kcal mol ${ }^{-1}$. Energy given with (*) was estimated from the corresponding scanning depicted in Figure 6.12.


Figure 6.12 Scanning energy profile in the coordination of diazo compound $\mathbf{3 0}$ by the $\kappa^{1}$ - C to the three-coordinated intermediate $\mathbf{I 6}$. $\mathrm{Pf}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$.

In the case of the dppp-system, Scheme 6.5 , b) and Figure 6.5 show that the formation of the migratory insertion product 46 is not complete when the reaction was carried out with a Pd:30 $=1: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio. However, the addition of another portion of diazoalkane 30 on the same sample provide increased conversion of 46. To test the diazoalkane concentration dependence, three separate reactions with the same initial concentration of the solvento acetonitrile complex 44 ([44] = 28.4 mM ) were performed with different $\mathrm{Pd}: \mathbf{3 0}$ mol ratios. Figure 6.13 shows the formation of $\mathbf{4 6}$ when diazoalkane 30 was added in a 1,2 and 3 mol ratio with respect to palladium after 5 min at room temperature. ${ }^{218}$ The values depicted in the plot show a heavy dependence of the reaction rate upon diazoalkane concentration and this allows us to rule out the dissociative pathway in the modelled energy profile for the dppp-system.
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Figure 6.13 Plot of conversion $v s$. concentration for the reaction of complex 44 with 30 .

The analysis of the energy profile for the reaction of the dppp derivative 44 and diazoalkane $\mathbf{3 0}$ shows significative differences in comparison to the energy profile for the dppe analogue (Figure 6.14). The associative pathway to afford diazoalkane intermediates I8-C or I8-N through a pentacoordinated intermediate leads to TSs quite different in energy, being the one for the coordination in a $\kappa^{1}-\mathrm{N}$ mode more favorable. This difference can be explained since the sterically less crowded terminal nitrogen can coordinate more easily to the palladium center than the $\kappa^{1}$-C. However, the exact TS for the $\kappa^{1}-\mathrm{C}$ coordination could not be located. An estimation of the energy of this TS via a scanning calculation shows an accessible TS-44-I8C ( $\sim 17.6 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ Figure 6.15). From I8-C, the barriers for nitrogen extrusion and the subsequent migratory insertion to afford the $\eta^{3}$-allylic complex 46 are lower than for the dppe system.


Figure 6.14 Gibbs energy profile for the reaction of complex 44 and the diazo compound $\mathbf{3 0}$ to give the migratory insertion complex 46. Energies in kcal mol ${ }^{-1}$. Energy given with $(*)$ is estimated from the corresponding scanning depicted in Figure 6.15.


Figure 6.15 Scanning energy profile in the coordination of diazo compound 30 by the $\kappa^{1}$ - $C$ to the solvento complex 44. $\mathrm{Pf}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$.

The reaction of diazoalkane $\mathbf{3 0}$ with the bipy complex $\mathbf{4 5}$ was also explored by DFT calculations in an attempt to give a reasonable answer to the different behavior observed experimentally and the formation of the $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pzH})(\text { bipy })\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{x}}$ complexes. As it was discussed above, the energy barriers for nitrogen extrusion and migratory insertion are the lowest found, so these steps are not responsible for not observing the aryl-carbene coupling products (see Table 6.2 and Scheme 6.11).

The two possible pathways in which diazoalkane $\mathbf{3 0}$ can replace the labile acetonitrile in the cationic complex $\mathbf{4 5}$ were calculated. Figure 6.16 shows the profile for both pathways. Surprisingly, the associative pathway for complex $\mathbf{4 5}$ shows the decoordination of a bipyridine N -donor ring rather than acetonitrile when diazoalkane $\mathbf{3 0}$ approaches in a $\kappa^{1}-\mathrm{C}$ fashion, a process with a barrier of $18.8 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ (TS-45-I11C). The resulting intermediate $\mathbf{I 1 1 C}$ is not very stable and it undergoes nitrogen extrusion via a TS at $17.5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ to afford the Pd-carbene intermediate $\mathbf{I 1 2}$.

The dissociation of the acetonitrile from complex 45 to generate a three-coordinated intermediate $\mathbf{I 1 3}$ has an energy barrier of $17.3 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. When diazoalkane $\mathbf{3 0}$ approaches I13 the coordination of the double bond is highly favored, the same behaviour observed for the diphosphine complexes. The rearrangement of I14 to the $\kappa^{1}$ - C diazo compound I15-C has a relatively high barrier (TS close to 16.7 kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ). From I15-C the subsequent $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ extrusion is more facile than that found in the associative pathway. Overall, the dissociative pathway is preferred by $1.5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$
(activation energies $18.8 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} v s .17 .3 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for the associative and dissociative pathways respectively).

In the course of the reaction, the competitive 1,5 -cycloaddition reaction of diazoalkane 30 to give $5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pzH}$ occurs. The monitoring of the decomposition of free diazoalkane $\mathbf{3 0}$ shows a half-life of $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2} \approx 13 \mathrm{~min}$ (see Figure 5.20, Chapter 5). Once it is formed, the coordination of 5-Ph-pzH to the three-coordinated intermediate $\mathbf{I 1 3}$ is very fast (barrierless, Figures 6.17) while the coordination of diazoalkane 30 in a $\kappa^{1}-\mathrm{C}$ mode, a necessary intermediate of the carbene-aryl coupling, always goes through an intermediate $[\mathrm{Pd}(\text { olefin })]^{+} \mathbf{I 1 4}$ and an energy demanding rearrangement (TS-I14-I15C, Figure 6.18). The fast $5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pzH}$ coordination along with the higher thermodynamic stability of complex 52-a explains the experimental result observed.

 depicted in Figure 6.18.


Figure 6.17 Scanning energy profile for the coordination of 5-Ph-pzH to the three-coordinated I13 intermediate to give complex 52.


Figure 6.18 Scanning energy profile for the coordination of diazo compound $\mathbf{3 0}$ in the $\kappa^{1}$ - C mode to the three-coordinated $\mathbf{I 1 3}$ intermediate. $\mathrm{Pf}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$.

In the same line, an equimolecular amount of $5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pzH}$ was mixed with the dppe complex 29a. Only a slight broadening of the signals were observed by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR which indicate a dynamic equilibrium in solution, shifted to the starting complex 29a. The bulkier dppe ligand hampers the coordination of 5-Ph-pzH to afford a plausible $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pzH}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}(\mathrm{dppe})\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ complex. In contrast the reaction of $5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pzH}$ with the bipy derivative $\mathbf{4 5}$ leads to the pyrazole complexes $\mathbf{5 2}$ and $\mathbf{5 4}$ (Scheme 6.12 and Figures 6.19 and 6.20).

a)



Scheme 6.12 Reaction of solvento complexes 29a and 45 with an equimolecular amount of 5-Ph-pzH.


Figure 6.19 ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) of reaction shown in Scheme 6.12, a).


Figure 6.20 ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) of reaction shown in Scheme 6.12, b).

### 6.3 Conclusions

The experimental trend for the formation of carbene-aryl coupling products in the reaction of the solvento complexes $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L})(\mathrm{NCMe})\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ with diazoalkanes for different ancillary diphosphine ligands is: L-L $=$ dppe > dppp >> dppb. As the electronic features have been maintained with the same substituents on the phosphorus atom, the observed differences in the formation of the migratory insertion organometallic complexes are attributed primarily to the ligand backbone. DFT calculations show that the trend in energy barriers for nitrogen extrusion and migratory insertion do not mirror the experimental outcome, since the bulkier phosphines show lower reaction barriers. The experimental differences can be explained considering the rates of coordination of the diazoalkane to palladium. It has been found that the coordination of the diazoalkane 30 to the palladium complex bearing dppe ligand has a low activation energy, similar for both dissociative and associative pathways, and the nitrogen extrusion in a $\kappa^{1}-\mathrm{C}$ coordinated diazoalkane is the rate limiting step of the reaction. In contrast, the dpppsystem presents a higher activation barrier for the coordination of the diazoalkane, which occurs via an associative pathway (about $6.6 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ higher in comparison to the dppesystem). Therefore the coordination of the diazoalkane is controlling the overall reaction rate for large bite angle phosphines.

The reaction of trans- $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{NCMe})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ with diazoalkanes does not lead to carbene-aryl coupling. This fact clearly evidences that the trans arrangement hampers the migratory insertion process and the required isomerization to a cis complex is slower than the decomposition pathways for the diazoalkane and the trans-palladium carbene species.

For the solvento acetonitrile complex bearing bipyridine as auxiliary ligand, only palladium complexes containing coordinated $5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pzH}$ or $5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pz}$ were detected experimentally. DFT calculations show that the coordination of the diazoalkane is again controlling the reaction rate. The formation of $5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pzH}$ by decomposition of diazoalkane 30 followed by coordination of the $5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pzH}$ to the palladium center is favoured vs. the $\kappa^{1}$-C diazoalkane coordination needed for the subsequent nitrogen extrusion and migratory insertion steps, which are fast for this ligand.

### 6.4 Experimental part

### 6.4.1 General considerations

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra were recorded on an Agilent MR-500 spectrometer equipped with variable-temperature probes at the Laboratorio de Técnicas Instrumentales (LTI) of the UVa. Chemical shifts (in $\delta$ units, ppm) were referenced to $\mathrm{SiMe}_{4}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and $\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}\left({ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\left(85 \%,{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)$. The spectral data were recorded at 298 K unless otherwise noted. The temperature for the NMR probe was calibrated with a methanol standard (low temperature). ${ }^{193}$ Homonuclear ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-COSY) and heteronuclear $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ HSQC and HMBC) experiments were used to help with the signal assignments. Elemental analyses were carried out in a Carlo Erba 1108 microanalyser (at the Vigo University, Spain). Infrared spectra were recorded (in the range of 4000-200 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) on a Perkin-Elmer FT-IR Spectrum Frontier with an ATR diamond accessory. All stoichiometric reactions were conducted under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. Solvents were dried using a solvent purification system SPS PS-MD-5 (ether, hexane, THF and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) or distilled from appropriate drying agents under nitrogen prior to use and stored over $3 \AA$ or $4 \AA$ molecular sieves (acetonitrile and acetonitrile- $\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ). All commercial reagents and solvents were used as received unless otherwise indicated. $\left(\mathrm{NBu}_{4}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mu-\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]$, ${ }^{86}$ $\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\text { bipy })\right]^{86}$, and $\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{86}$ were prepared according to the literature methods.

### 6.4.2 Synthesis of Palladium complexes

$\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathbf{d p p p})\right](40)^{219}$
1,3-Bis(diphenylphosphino)propane (dppp) ( $110.87 \mathrm{mg}, 0.268 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $\left(\mathrm{NBu}_{4}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mu-\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}\right](176.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.130 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . During this time the orange solution became pale-yellow. The solvent was evaporated to dryness and the yellow oil was triturated with cold EtOH until the formation of a pale-yellow solid that was filtered, washed with cold EtOH and air-dried. Yield: 165 mg ( $83 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (499.73 MHz, $\delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 7.78-7.73 ( $\left.\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.50-7.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right)$, $7.41-7.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.17\left(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 2.66\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $2.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 138.3$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 133.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 132.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right)$, $131.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {para }}\right), 130.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {para }}\right), 130.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=45.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}^{\text {ipso }}\right), 130.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=54.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{ipso}}\right), 128.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right), 25.8(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=29.2$, $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $25.4\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=25.2,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 18.9\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (470.17 MHz,

[^97]$\left.\delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):-116.92\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ortho }}\right),-161.77\left(\mathrm{t}, J=20.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-162.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}$, $\left.\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(202.31 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 13.60(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=42.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}),-5.82$ (m, 1P). Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{BrF}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}$ : C, $51.46 \%$; H, $3.42 \%$. Found: C, $51.60 \%$; H, 3.26 \%.
*The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signals for the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ group, heavily coupled to ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$, could not be observed.
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## trans-[PdBr( $\left.\left.\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathbf{d p p b})\right](41)$

1,4-Bis(diphenylphosphino)butane (dppb) ( $111.55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.256 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $\left(\mathrm{NBu}_{4}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mu-\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}\right](173.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.128 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . During this time the orange solution became pale-yellow. The solvent was evaporated to dryness and the yellow oil was triturated with cold EtOH until the formation of a pale-yellow solid that was filtered, washed with cold EtOH and air-dried. Yield: $175 \mathrm{mg}(88 \%)$.
trans-41 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.72 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $7.43\left(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.27(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 2.63\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.01\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}(125.67$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 132.9 (br, $\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}$ ), $131.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=47.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}\right.$ ), 130.2 (br, $\mathrm{C}^{\text {arom }}$ ), 128.1 (br, C Carom), $27.5\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): -116.19 (m, 2F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ ), -161.59 (t, $J=19.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}$ ), $-162.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $(202.31$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 18.92 (s, 2P). Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{BrF}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}: \mathrm{C}, 52.36 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 3.62$ \%. Found: C, 52.56 \%; H, 3.68 \%.


When a solution of complex trans-44 was kept at room temperature for 48 h in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ a mixture of the cis and trans ( $1: 0.8$ ) was formed.
cis-41: ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): -117.15 (m, 2F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ ), -161.99 ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=19.9$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-162.83\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(202.31 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 40.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=32.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}),-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{P})$.

## $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppb})(\mathrm{NCMe})\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)(43)$

$\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppb})\right](52.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.066 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(13.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.066 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The suspension was filtered through Kieselghur to remove the AgBr and the resulting colorless solution was characterized by NMR. The resulting complex is a mixture of cis/trans isomers (0.8:1).
cis-43 + trans-43: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} /\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): $7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.H^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.77-7.69\left(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.57\left(\mathrm{td}=\mathrm{J}=7.8,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right)$.*
cis-43: ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): -118.58 (m 2F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ ), -151.11 ( $\left.\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right),-161.04\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=19.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-162.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (202.31, MHz, $\delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): $40.60(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=30.8,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P})$, 7.26 (m, 1P).
trans-43: ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): -116.85 (m 2F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ ), -151.11 ( $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ ), - $160.18\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=19.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-161.98\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (202.31, MHz, $\delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): 17.60 (s).

* The $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ signals of the dppb ligand are overlapped with the $\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}$ signal.

$\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppp})(\mathrm{NCMe})\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)(44)$
$\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppp})\right](13.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(3.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The suspension was filtered through Kieselghur to remove the AgBr and the resulting colorless solution was characterized by NMR.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} /\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): 7.94-7.83 (m, 10H, H ${ }^{\text {arom }}$ ), $7.71-7.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 7.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 3.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): -117.87 (m 2F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ ), -151.42 $\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right),-161.10\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=19.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-162.99\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (202.31, MHz, $\delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): 16.50 (dt, $\left.\mathrm{J}=39.7,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}\right),-4.39(\mathrm{~m}$, 1P).
* One $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ from dppp is overlapped with the $\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}$ signal.
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$\left[\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{F}_{5}\right)(\right.$ bipy $\left.)(\mathbf{N C M e})\right]\left(\mathbf{B F}_{4}\right)(\mathbf{4 5})^{220}$
$\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\right.$ bipy $\left.)\right](9.16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(3.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The suspension was filtered through Kieselghur to remove the AgBr and the resulting colorless solution was characterized by NMR.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} /\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): $8.95\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{6}\right)$, 8.66-8.59 (m, 3H, H $\left.{ }^{3}, \mathrm{H}^{3}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 8.53\left(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{6}\right), 8.08\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right), 7.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{5}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (470.17 MHz, $\delta$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): -121.67 (m 2F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ ), $-151.38\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right),-159.92(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=19.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}$ ), -163.14 (m, 2F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ ).
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## $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\right.$ dppp $\left.)\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)(46)$

$\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{Br})\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppp})\right](13.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(3.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.017 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The suspension was filtered through Kieselghur to remove the AgBr . Addition of a dichloromethane solution of the diazo compound $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}$ (2-fold molar amount in two portions, $87 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.4 \mathrm{M}$, total of 0.046 mmol ) afforded an intense yellow solution, which was stirred at room temperature for 5 min . Then, the solution was characterized by NMR. The crude yield was determined by integration of the ${ }^{19}$ F NMR signals in the crude mixture, $83 \%$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (499.73 MHz, $\delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} /\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): 7.72-7.36 (m, $\left.25 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}\right), 6.86$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {allyl }}\right), 5.36\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {allyl }}\right), 4.87\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {allyl }}\right)$.* ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): -141.99 (br, 2F, Fortho), -151.53 $\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right),-158.04\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=20.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-164.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (202.31, $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): AB system. $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{A}}: 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=83.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}), v_{\mathrm{B}}: 7.06$ (d, J = 83.2 Hz, 1P).

* The $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ signals of the dppp ligand are overlapped with the $\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}$ signal.

[^98]
$\left[\mathbf{P d}(\mathbf{d p p p})\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{3}-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)(47)$
$\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppp})\right](17.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.023 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(4.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.023 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The suspension was filtered through Kieselghur to remove the AgBr . The addition of a dichloromethane solution of the diazo compound $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{CHPh}(0.0345 \mathrm{mmol}, 128 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.27$ $\mathrm{M})$ afforded an intense yellow solution, which was stirred at room temperature for 5 min . Then, the solution was characterized by NMR. syn:anti (89:11), The crude yield was determined by integration of the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR signals in the crude mixture, $85 \%$.
syn-47 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (499.73 MHz, $\delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} /\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): $6.97\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{2}, \mathrm{H}^{6}\right)$, $4.28\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}=4.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\alpha}\right) .{ }^{*}{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (470.17 MHz, $\delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): -137.02 (m 2F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ ), - $151.72\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right),-158.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-164.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}$, $\left.\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (202.31, MHz, $\delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): 17.29 (dt, J=81.2, $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}), 4.94(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=81.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P})$.
anti-47 ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): -140.16 (m $2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ortho }}$ ), $-150.59\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-151.72\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right),-162.87\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right)$.

* It has not been possible to characterize the remaining signals.
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$\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\right.$ dppb $\left.)\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)(48)$
$\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppb})\right](25.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(6.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The suspension was filtered through Kieselghur to remove the AgBr . Addition of a dichloromethane solution of the diazo compound $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}(0.033 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.4 \mathrm{M})$ afforded an intense yellow solution, which was stirred at room temperature for 5 min. Then, the solution was characterized by NMR. The crude yield was determined by integration of the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR signals in the crude mixture, $8 \%$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} /\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): $6.59\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {allyl }}\right)$, $5.52\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {allyl }}\right), 4.91\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {allyl }}\right) .{ }^{* 19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( 470.17 MHz ,
$\delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): - 140.31 (br, $1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ortho }}$ ), -143.12 (br, $1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ortho }}$ ), -151.64 $\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right) . \dagger$
$\dagger$ The $\mathrm{F}_{\text {para }}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ as well as the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR signals have not been assigned due to the very low concentration of $\eta^{3}$-allyl-complex in the reaction medium.

* It has not been possible to characterize the remaining signals.



## $\left[\mathbf{P d}(\mathbf{d p p b})\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{3}-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)(49)$

$\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppb})\right](52.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.066 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(13.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.066 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The suspension was filtered through Kieselghur to remove the AgBr . Addition of a dichloromethane solution of the diazo compound $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{CHPh}(0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 366 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.27 \mathrm{M}$, $\mathrm{Pd}: 32=1.5 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio) afforded an intense yellow solution, which was stirred at room temperature for 5 min . Then, the solution was characterized by NMR. The crude yield was determined by integration of the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR signals in the crude mixture, $30 \%$.
syn-49 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} /\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): $6.96\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{2}, \mathrm{H}^{6}\right)$, $4.17\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\alpha}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): -137.02 (m 2F, Fortho), -151.66 ( $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ ), -158.02 (m, 1F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {para }}$ ), -163.94 (m, 2F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ ). ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (202.31, MHz, $\delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2}$ SO capillary): $36.55(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=64.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1P), 11.31 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=64.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P}$ ).
anti-49 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} /\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): $6.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{2}, \mathrm{H}^{6}\right)$, $4.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\alpha}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): -140.02 (m $\left.2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ortho }}\right),-151.14\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-151.66\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right),-160.67\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right) \cdot{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (202.31, MHz, $\delta, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ capillary): 28.35 (d, J = 44.9 Hz, 1P), 14.95 (d, J = $44.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{P})$.

* Signals for the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ overlapped with the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {para }}$ of the cis-43. It has not been possible to characterize the remaining signals.



## $\left[\mathbf{P d}\left(\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{F}_{5}\right)\left(\mathbf{N C C H}_{3}\right)\left(\mathbf{P P h}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathbf{B F}_{4}\right)(\mathbf{5 1})$

Equimolar amounts of $\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](184.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.210 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(41 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.210 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dried $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The suspension was filtered through Kiesselghur and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. The resulting yellow oil was cracked with $n$-hexane until the formation of a pale-yellow solid that was filtered, washed with $n$-hexane and air-dried. Yield: 118 mg , ( $60 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $7.62\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {para }} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) 7.60-7.50\left(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {meta, ortho }}\right.$ $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $144.3\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=230.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cortho}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)$, $138.2\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=250 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {para }}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right), 136.3\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=248 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {meta }} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right), 133.7\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}\right.$ $\left.=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {ortho }} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right), 131.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {para }} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right), 129.2\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {meta }} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right), 127.5(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=25.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ipso}} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): -118.40 (m, 2F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ ), $-151.70\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right),-161.63\left(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=19.0,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-162.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {meta }}\right)$. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (202.29, MHz, $\delta, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $23.12(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=6.8,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$. IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}: 1501,1040,959,752,690, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}: 2319,\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right): 1055$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{BF}_{9} \mathrm{NP}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}$ : C, 57.08 \%; H, 3.59 \%; N, 1.51 \%. Found: C, $56.68 \%$; H, $3.44 \%$ N, $1.40 \%$.
*The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signal for the $\mathrm{C}_{\text {meta }}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right.$ group) is overlapped with other aromatic signals. $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ipso}}$ has not able to be observed.


## [ $\mathbf{P d}(\mathbf{5}-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pzH})\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)($ bipy $\left.)\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)(\mathbf{5 2}$ and 54)

$\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\right.$ bipy $\left.)\right](46.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(17.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dry $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The suspension was filtered through Kieselghur and $5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pzH}(13.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the resulting colorless solution. The species formed in solution at room temperature were examined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR.
$52+54:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): 12.85 (br, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}^{52}$ ), 12.55 (br, 0.4 H , $\mathrm{NH}^{54}$ ), $8.40(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.09\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{pz}-54}\right)$, $8.04(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.4,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{pz}-52}\right)$, $7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1.6 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.48(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{pz}-52}\right), 6.80\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{pz}-54}\right)$.
52: ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): - 121.19 (m 2F, Forrtho ), $-151.53\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right),-160.38$ (t, J = $19.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}$ ), -163.38 (m, 2F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ ).
54: ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $-119.85\left(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=29.5,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ortho }}\right)$, -121.48 (dt, J = 29.5, 8.2 Hz, 1F, Fortho), -151.53 ( $\mathrm{BF}_{4}$ ), -160.63 (t, J = $19.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}$ ), -163.54 (m, 1F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ ), -163.41 (m, 1F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ ).



52


54

## $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mu-5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pz})\left(\text { bipy }_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)(53)$

$\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\right.$ bipy $\left.)\right](46.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(17.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dry $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The suspension was filtered through Kieselghur and $5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pzH}(6.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.045 \mathrm{mmol})^{*}$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(28.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to the resulting colorless solution. The species formed in solution at room temperature were examined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR. * When the reaction was carried out with 0.09 mmol of $5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pzH}$ ( Pd :pyrazole $=1: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio), half of the free $5-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{pzH}$ was observed in solution, supporting the formation of a dimeric complex (see Supporting information).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): 8.60 (d, J = $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {bipy }}$ ), 8.40 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {bipy }}$ ), 8.35 (d, J = $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {bipy }}$ ), 8.30 (d, J = $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {bipy }}$ ), 8.24 (td, J = 7.8, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {bipy }}$ ), 8.21 (td, J $=7.9,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {bipy }}$ ), 8.13 (td, J = $7.8,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $H^{\text {bipy }}$ ), 8.10 (td, J = $7.9,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {bipy }}$ ), $8.04\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{pz}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}}\right), 7.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $H^{\text {bipy }}$ ), 7.46-7.36 (m, 4H, $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{pz}}$ Ph $), 7.31-7.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{pz}, ~ P h}\right), 7.28(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,5.5,1.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {bipy }}$ ), 7.13 (ddd, J = 7.6, 4.9, $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {bipy }}$ ), $6.99\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{pz}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}}\right)$, 6.55 (d, J = $\left.=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {bipy }}\right), 6.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {bipy }}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): -113.77 (tt, J = 29.3, $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ortho }}$ ), $-116.96\left(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=29.2,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ortho }}\right.$ ), -118.47 (dt, J = 29.6, 8.3 Hz, 1F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ ), $-119.34\left(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=30.2,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ortho }}\right.$ ), -152.53 $\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right),-161.05\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=19.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-161.27\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=19.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right),-161.44(\mathrm{~m}$, 1F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ ), -163.51 (m, 1F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ ), -164.30 (m, 2F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ ).


### 6.4.3 Experiments to evaluate the reactivity of palladium complexes with diazo compounds

## $\mathbf{P P h}_{3}$ experiments:

$\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](27.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(6.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The suspension was filtered through Kieselghur to remove the AgBr and transferred into
an NMR tube under nitrogen atmosphere. Then the equimolecular amount of diazo compound 30 ( $136 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.22 \mathrm{M}$ ) or diazo compound $32(111 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.030$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 0.27 \mathrm{M}$ ) was added and the tube was closed. The reaction was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$, ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR, at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## 2,2'-bipyridine experiments:

$\left[\operatorname{PdBr}(\mathrm{bipy})\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\right](10.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(3.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature under nitrogen. The suspension was filtered through Kieselghur to remove the AgBr and transferred into an NMR tube under nitrogen atmosphere. Then the equimolecular amount of diazo compound ( $\mathbf{3 0}$ ) ( $91 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.22 \mathrm{M}$ ) or diazo compound ( $\mathbf{3 2}$ ) ( $(74 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.27 M ) was added and the tube was closed. The reaction was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR, at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Dependence of the formation of $\eta^{3}$-allylic palladium complex 46 on the concentration of the diazoalkane
$\left[\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{dppp})(\mathrm{NCMe})\right]\left(0.028 \mathrm{mmol},[\mathrm{Pd}]_{0}=56 \mathrm{mM}\right)$ and 0.5 mL of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ were added into an NMR tube along with a sealed glass capillary filled with $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ as NMR lock signal under a nitrogen atmosphere. Addition of a dichloromethane solution of the diazo compound $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh} 30(\mathrm{Pd}: 30=1: 1,1: 2$ and 1:3 mol ratio) afforded an intense yellow solution, which was stirred at room temperature for 5 min . Then, the solution was checked by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR. The crude yield of $\mathbf{4 6}$ was determined by integration of the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR signals in the mixture: $\mathrm{Pd}: \mathbf{3 0}=1: 1 ; 30 \% . \mathrm{Pd}: \mathbf{3 0}=1: 2 ; 64 \% . \mathrm{Pd}: \mathbf{3 0}=$ 1:3; $80 \%$. See Figure 6.13.

### 6.4.4 Data for $X$-Ray molecular structure determinations

Crystals suitable for X-ray analyses were obtained by: a) slow diffusion of $n$-hexane layered onto a solution of the complex 46 in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ at $-28{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; b) slow evaporation of the complex 40 in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. In each case, the crystal was attached to the tip of a glass fiber and transferred to an Agilent Supernova diffractometer with an Atlas CCD area detector. Data collection was performed with Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation $(0.71073 \AA$ ) at 298 K . Data integration and empirical absorption correction was carried out using the CrysAlisPro program package. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least squares against $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ with SHELX in OLEX2. The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and hydrogen atoms were constrained to ideal geometries and refined with fixed isotropic displacement parameters. Refinement of both structures with the restrictions mentioned above proceeded smoothly to give the residuals shown in Table 6.4.
Two independent molecules were found in the asymmetric unit for $\mathbf{4 0}$.
Complex 46 presents a positional disorder of the $-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ and -Ph groups in the allyl-fragment. The structure was refined splitting the positions for each atom with different occupancies. As a consequence, the C-C distances in both aromatic rings and
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the C-F bond lengths of the $-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ group are inconsistent with the typical distances of these groups. This positional disorder does not affect the distances and angles of the allylic atoms coordinated to palladium.

Table 6.4 Crystal data and structure refinement parameters for complexes 40 and 46.

| Compound number | 40 | 46 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{67} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{10} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{BCl}_{9} \mathrm{~F}_{9} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}$ |
| Formula weight | 1650.94 | 1246.94 |
| Temperature/K | 298 | 298 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic | monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 21^{1} \mathrm{n}$ | $\mathrm{P} 21^{1} \mathrm{n}$ |
| a/Å | 15.0113(2) | 13.6190(6) |
| b/Å | 13.1748(3) | 14.8420(4) |
| c/Å | 38.0157(8) | 26.4643(10) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 91.428(2) | 96.984(4) |
| $\gamma^{\prime}$ | 90 | 90 |
| Volume/ ${ }^{3}{ }^{3}$ | 7516.1(3) | 5309.6(3) |
| Z | 4 | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.459 | 1.560 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.794 | 0.926 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 3272.0 | 2488.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.626 \times 0.479 \times 0.32$ | $0.661 \times 0.19 \times 0.135$ |
| Radiation | Mo K $\alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ | Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection $/{ }^{\circ}$ | 6.546 to 59.426 | 6.826 to 59.332 |
| Index ranges | $\begin{gathered} -20 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 15,-17 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq \\ 14,-52 \leq 1 \leq 52 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -12 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 18,-20 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 19, \\ -36 \leq 1 \leq 36 \end{gathered}$ |
| Reflections collected | 41516 | 29444 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{gathered} 17849\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0274,\right. \\ \left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0452\right] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12962\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0338, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}\right. \\ =0.0564] \end{gathered}$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 17849/0/793 | 12962/0/625 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.015 | 1.064 |
| Final R indexes $[\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma$ <br> (I)] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0433, \mathrm{wR}_{2}= \\ 0.0861 \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0840, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.2388$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0671, \mathrm{wR}_{2}= \\ 0.0954 \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.1404, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.2870$ |
| $\underset{\AA^{-3}}{\text { Largest diff. peak/hole /e }}$ | 0.74/-0.95 | 1.02/-0.74 |



Figure 6.21 X-ray molecular structure of 40 (ORTEP $40 \%$ probability ellipsoids). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. The two independent molecules are shown.

Table 6.5 Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) for complex 40 (for numbering scheme see Figure 6.21).

| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | $2.4756(4)$ | $\mathrm{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{Br}(2)$ | $2.4752(4)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $2.3286(9)$ | $\mathrm{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | $2.2500(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $2.2483(8)$ | $\mathrm{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{P}(4)$ | $2.3293(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.062(3)$ | $\mathrm{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $2.056(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $93.44(3)$ | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{P}(4)$ | $94.23(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | $88.28(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{Pd}(2)-\mathrm{Br}(2)$ | $87.36(8)$ |



Figure 6.22 X-ray molecular structure of 46 (ORTEP $40 \%$ probability ellipsoids). Solvent molecules $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ and the $\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$anion are omitted for clarity.

Table 6.6 Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for complex 46 (for numbering scheme see Figure 6.22).

| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $2.3035(17)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $93.10(6)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $2.3057(16)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $66.9(3)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $2.229(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $122.2(7)$ |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $2.196(6)$ |  |  |
| $\operatorname{Pd}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $2.226(7)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.397(10)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.406(10)$ |  |  |

### 6.4.5 Computational details

All calculations were performed using the DFT approach with M06 functional, using Gaussian09 as program package. The selected basis set was $6-31+G(d)$ for C, N, F and H, and LANL2TZ(f) for Pd (Basis set I). Solvation was introduced in all the optimizations, frequency calculations and potential energy refinement through the SMD model, where we applied the experimental solvent, acetonitrile $\left(\varepsilon=37.5\right.$, at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). All geometry optimizations were carried out in solution with no symmetry restrictions. Free energy corrections were calculated at 298.15 K and $10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa}$ pressure, including zero-point energy corrections (ZPE), and the energies were converted to 1 M standard state in solution (adding/subtracting $1.89 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for non-unimolecular processes). Vibrational frequency calculations were performed to establish the stationary points were minima (without imaginary frequencies) or transition states (with one imaginary frequency). Connectivity of the transition state structures were confirmed by relaxing the transition state geometry towards both the reactant and the product. Final potential energies were refined by performing additional single-point energy calculations (also in solution), Pd was still described with LANL2TZ(f) basis set, and the remaining atoms were treated with 6- $311++\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set (Basis set II). All reported energies in the manuscript correspond to Gibbs energies in solution, obtained from potential energies (including
solvation) with basis set II plus Gibbs energy corrections with basis set I and are given in kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ (see SCF energy and free energy correction values in Supporting information).

## Additional energy profiles

Due to the high reactivity presented by diazoalkanes $\mathbf{3 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 2}$, before studying their interaction with the palladium complexes, we explored whether the free diazoalkanes were able to undergo nitrogen extrusion and generate free carbenes in solution. The energy profiles show that the direct dissociation of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ from diazo compounds ( $\mathbf{3 0}$ and 32) has a high energetic barrier of 31.0 and $33.0 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ respectively (Figure 6.23). The formation of the free carbenes is endothermic for both the singlet and the triplet carbene. These results indicate that in solution the nitrogen extrusion does not occur spontaneously.


TS-30-Tcarbene 30



TS-32-Tcarbene 32


Figure 6.23 Gibbs energy profile for direct nitrogen extrusion from diazo compound ( $\mathbf{3 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 2}$ ). T (triplet state), S (singlet state). Energies in $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.


Figure 6.24 Gibbs energy profile for the reaction of complex $\mathbf{4 5}$ and the diazo compound $\mathbf{3 0}$ according to the model employed with dppe and dppp systems. Energies in $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.


Figure 6.25 Gibbs energy profile for the reaction of complex 43 and the diazo compound $\mathbf{3 0}$. Energies in $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

Chapter 7

# 7. Reactions of the Simplest Amino Carbene CH(NEt $\mathbf{I}_{2}$ : Transmetallation from $\mathbf{C u}(\mathbf{I})$ 

 to Pd(II) and Migratory Insertion
### 7.1 Introduction

The transmetallation of the carbene fragment is one of the widely used strategy to access late transition metal carbenes (see Chapter 4). Carbene transfer from group 11 metals (coinage metals) has been used in the synthesis of other metal carbenes but these reactions are circumscribed to the transmetallation of N -heterocyclic carbenes and other similar stable carbenes. ${ }^{221}$ In this regard, $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$-NHC carbene complexes represent versatile reagents for the synthesis of numerous late transition metal carbene complexes. The groups of Arduengo, ${ }^{222}$ Bertrand ${ }^{223}$ and $\operatorname{Lin}^{224}$ were the pioneers in the synthesis of well-characterized $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})-\mathrm{NHC}$ complexes that set out the basis for the further development of the carbene transfer applications (Scheme 7.1, a)).

[^99]Apart from these well-known $\operatorname{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$-carbenes, examples of $N$-heterocyclic carbene transfer from other group 11 metals such as gold(I) to $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ are scarce. ${ }^{225}$ The group of Chen and Liu reported the NHC carbene transfer from gold(I) promoted by the addition of triphenylphosphine in an oxygen free atmosphere (Scheme 7.1, b)). ${ }^{226}$

a)

b) 2




Scheme 7.1 Carbene transfer from group 11 to $\mathrm{Pd}($ II $)$ complexes through transmetallation. a) see ref: 224 . b) see ref: 226 . c) see ref: 227 a.

However, the use of silver and gold has some disadvantages: for instance, silver carbenes are air, moisture and light sensible and both Ag and Au are expensive metals. To move from these drawbacks, the use of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-carbenes has emerged as a more convenient route to synthetized late transition metal carbenes. The group of Cazin has been exploring the carbene transmetallation from different $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ carbene precursors

[^100]bearing NHCs, aNHCs, CAACs or MICs to Pd(II) complexes (Scheme 7.1, c)). ${ }^{227}$ Aside from the latter stabilized carbenes, there are no examples of the transfer of an unstable carbene group, i.e. a fragment with one or no heteroatom-containing substituents (CRX or CRR'; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ or hydrocarbyl) from copper to a transition metal.

The group of Prof. Pedro Pérez recently reported the isolation of a stable monosubstituted copper carbene complex $\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \mathrm{Cu}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$ where the ligand $\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}}$ provides a high degree of steric protection to the carbene, enough to be isolated. The formation of this monosubstituted copper carbene proceeds from the reaction of the precursor $\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{THF})\right]$ with $N$, $N$-diethyldiazoacetamide $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})\left(\mathrm{CONEt}_{2}\right)\right.$ followed by a decarbonylation process (Scheme 7.2). In fact, this was the first example of a metal-carbene formed from a diazo compound in which the substituents in the carbenic carbon are different from that in the initial diazo compound. ${ }^{228}$


Scheme 7.2 Synthetic route of the monosubstituted $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-carbene complex and selected bond lengths of the molecular X-ray structure (£́): Cu-C1, 1.874; C1-N1, 1.287.

Monosubstituted :CHNR2 carbenes are rare either coordinated to metals or as free species. Three years ago, Bertrand et al. were able to synthesize the first crystalline monosubstituted free $: \mathrm{CHNR}_{2}$ carbene by flanking the carbenic carbon with a suitable

[^101]pyrrolidine heterocyclic ligand containing bulky substituents on the quaternary carbon adjacent to the nitrogen (Scheme 7.3). Additionally, the study of the electronic, $\sigma$-donating and $\pi$-accepting, properties of this carbene was carried out by the isolation of a $c i s-\left[\operatorname{RhCl}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{CHNR}_{2}\right)\right]$ complex. The donor properties of the monosubstituted carbene can be experimentally measured using the infrared CO stretching frequencies of the corresponding Rh-carbene complex. The authors observe values of 2086 and 2006 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (average wave number $v=2046 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). These values in combination with other measures as ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ and ${ }^{77}$ Se NMR derived from -P and -Se carbene adducts indicate that this carbene is a weak donor and one of the most electrophilic carbene that can be isolated as a free species. ${ }^{229}$


Scheme 7.3 Synthetic route of monosubstituted free : $\mathrm{CHNR}_{2}$ carbene and selected bond lengths of the molecular X-ray structure ( $\AA$ ): N1-C1, 1.325; N1-C2 = N1-C3, 1.515.

[^102]Taking into account the reduced size of the monosubstituted copper-carbene from Prof. Perez's group $\left\{: \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\}$ in comparison to the monosubstituted carbene from Bertrand et al. this fragment could be interesting as a ligand having good $\sigma$-donating ability towards the metal but a small size ( $\% \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{Bur}}$, buried volume). ${ }^{200 b, 230}$ The employment of $\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \mathrm{Cu}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$ as a transmetallating agent could enable the transfer of the unstable $\left\{: \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\}$ to a wide range of metals, such as 9,10 or 11 groups. The goal of the work described in this Chapter is to explore the transmetallation of the carbene fragment from $\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \mathrm{Cu}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$ to several $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes and to find out the mechanism behind the carbene transfer. The subsequent reactivity when there is a -R group previously installed on the palladium complex (aryl complexes) has also been studied.

[^103]
### 7.2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 7.2.1 Carbene transmetallation from $\mathbf{C u}(\mathrm{I})$ to $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ : Preparation of Pd(II)-aminocarbene complexes

Complexes $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{NCMe})_{2}\right](\mathbf{5 6})$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](\mathbf{5 8})$ were chosen as suitable palladium precursors to test the ability of the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ complex $\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \mathrm{Cu}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\}\right](\mathbf{5 5})$ to transfer the carbene ligand to palladium. Both complexes have easily accessible coordination positions either by substitution of the labile acetonitrile in $\mathbf{5 6}$ or bridge cleavage in $\mathbf{5 8}$. The complexes do not bear aryl groups susceptible to undergo a migratory insertion process and, in this way, it could be possible to separate the transmetallation step and trap the carbene complex without further reaction. We first investigated the reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \mathrm{Cu}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$ (55) with an equimolar amount of $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{NCMe})_{2}\right](\mathbf{5 6})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at room temperature (Equation 7.1).


Equation 7.1

Acetonitrile was chosen as solvent because it helps to stabilize the final products by making the decoordination of NCMe more difficult and it also affords a solvento acetonitrile copper byproduct, which precipitates from the reaction mixture. ${ }^{231}$

Complex $\mathbf{5 5}$ has low solubility in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ but it reacts fast and it disappears in the first registered spectrum ( $c f . \mathbf{a}$ ) and $\mathbf{b}$ ) in Figure 7.1). After three days at room temperature, the major species in solution was complex 57, in which the aminocarbene moiety has been transferred to palladium, along with some decomposition products such as diethylformamide (hydrolysis product) and the carbene dimerization product (cf. d), e) and f)) in Figure 7.1).

[^104]

Figure 7.1 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (499.73 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) for: a) $\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \mathrm{Cu}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\}\right]\left(\mathbf{5 5 )}\right.$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at 298 K . b), c) and d) The reaction mixture shown in Equation 7.1 after the corresponding time. e) and $\mathbf{f}$ ) isolated decomposition products from complex 57 ( hydrolysis byproduct (diethylformamide) and ( dimerization of the carbene moiety). Signals corresponding to the solvent (solvents and silicone grease).

Complex 57 was prepared in a larger scale and, upon isolation, it loses acetonitrile to give a solid which is a mixture of $\mathbf{5 7}$ and two other complexes that were tentatively assigned to dimeric palladium derivatives of formula $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ (Figure 7.2, a)). A solution of this solid in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ reacts with acetonitrile to give $\mathbf{5 7}$ as the only species. The identification of complex $\mathbf{5 7}$ bearing the carbene fragment : $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)$ was done based on some characteristic spectroscopic values. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shift for the proton bound to the carbene unit $\left(\operatorname{Pd}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right.$ is dramatically affected by the metal center, being in the palladium complexes higher than in the copper one ( $10-9 \mathrm{ppm}$ vs. 8-7 ppm respectively). This proton signal correlates with a ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signal at 206 ppm in the $2 \mathrm{D}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC NMR experiment (Figure 7.2, b)). This is within the typical ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR region of $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {carbene }}$ moieties reported previously. It was not possible to determine the molecular structure of 57 by X-ray diffraction so the trans geometry of the complex is proposed based on DFT calculations that indicate that the trans arrangement is $2.6 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ more stable than the cis isomer (see Experimental part, Figure 7.20).


Figure 7.2 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 499.73 MHz , solvent, $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{Co}$ (pm) . a) isolated solid in the reaction of $\mathbf{5 5}$ and $\mathbf{5 6}$ dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. b) isolated solid in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ upon addition of 0.1 mL of $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ (inset: cross peak in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{HSQC}$ NMR experiment). $\downarrow$ tentatively proposed $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left\{\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{NEt})_{2}\right\}\right]$ complexes. * Signals corresponding to the solvent (chloroform, acetonitrile and silicone grease).

The reaction of the copper aminocarbene $\mathbf{5 5}$ with the dimeric palladium complex $\mathbf{5 8}$ was also carried out in acetonitrile. This solvent breaks the chloro-bridges of dimeric complex 58 to afford the mononuclear trans- $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{NCMe})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right]$ (59) as it was tested by addition of increasing amounts of acetonitrile to a solution of $\mathbf{5 8}$ in chloroform (see Experimental part, Figure 7.14). After 30 min at room temperature the transmetallation of the aminocarbene from $\mathbf{5 5}$ to $\mathbf{5 8}$ occurs leading the formation of complex cis- $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right]$ (60) (Scheme 7.4).


Scheme 7.4 Synthesis of complex $\mathbf{6 0}$ by transmetallation from complex 55.

Complex 60 was isolated and fully characterized by NMR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry and X-ray diffraction. The molecular structure of 60 reveals that the chloride groups are in a cis arrangement, which could be attributed to the large trans influence of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and particularly of the carbene unit which favors a mutual cis disposition. DFT calculations show that the cis-isomer is $5.5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ thermodynamically more stable than the trans-isomer (Figure 7.20, Experimental part).

The aminocarbene group lies perpendicular to the palladium coordination plane as has already been reported for other similar palladium aminocarbene complexes. ${ }^{145}$ The bond lengths found in the X-ray structure reflect the important donation of the lone electron pair on the nitrogen of the amino group to the vacant $p$ orbital of the carbenic carbon atom. Thus, the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ bond length is consistent with a double bond while the Pd1-C1 bond is consistent with a single one and a negligible back-donation from the metal (Figure 7.3). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR shows a characteristic chemical shift for the aminocarbene proton $\left(\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right.$ at 9.32 ppm , similar to that complex 57 ( 9.46 ppm ). Additionally, a doublet of the aminocarbene proton signal is generated due to the coupling with the phosphorus of the triphenylphosphine ligand with a $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The $2 \mathrm{D}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HSQC NMR experiment shows that the carbenic carbon has a chemical shift of 216 ppm (Figure 7.4).


Figure 7.3 Molecular structure of complex cis-60 (ORTEP plot; $40 \%$ probability ellipsoids). Hydrogens have been omitted for clarity except for the hydrogen bound to the carbenic carbon atom. Selected distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ): Pd1-Cl1, 2.362(2); Pd1-Cl2, 2.351(3); Pd1-P1, 2.245(2); Pd1-C1, 1.974(11); C1-N1, 1.267(13); P1-Pd1-C1, 91.8(3); Cl1-Pd1-Cl2, 92.25(9).


Figure $7.4{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of isolated complex 60 (inset: cross peak in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC NMR experiment) at 298 K . * Signals corresponding to the solvent (chloroform and silicone grease).

The transmetallation of the carbene group from copper to complex $\mathbf{5 8}$ was monitored at variable temperature by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. The complexes were mixed at $-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a molar ratio $\mathbf{5 5 : 5 8}=2: 1$ and the evolution of the low field region corresponding to the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{CHNEt}_{2}$ resonance is shown in Figure 7.5. It was found that in the $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ temperature interval, the formation of complex trans- $\mathbf{6 0}$ occurred, and it was the only species observed. Trans-60 shows a similar chemical shift for the proton signal of the aminocarbene to that of cis- $\mathbf{6 0}$ but with a slightly higher coupling constant to phosphorous (trans-60, $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ vs. cis- $\mathbf{6 0}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). This value fits with the theoretical coupling constants calculated by Gaussian software package on the DFT-optimized trans- 60 complex (see Experimental part). At $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the formation of complexes 57 and cis- $\mathbf{6 0}$ was observed. In the last interval $\left(-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ to $\left.-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ both 57 and trans-60 transform into the thermodynamically stable complex cis-60, which is the final product of the reaction (Figure 7.5).

To check the possible scenario in which the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ complex can be acting as a trapping agent of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ during the transmetallation step, and therefore being the cause of the formation of the phosphine-free complex 57, independent experiments have been carried out. Neither the starting carbene complex $\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \mathrm{Cu}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$ nor $\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{THF})\right]$ react with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ (see Experimental part, Figure 7.16).


Figure 7.5 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (499.73 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, variable temperature) of reaction shown in Scheme 7.4. Spectra were registered in 3-minute intervals. Only the proton bound to the $\mathrm{C}_{\text {carbenic }}$ region is shown for clarity.

The transmetallation from Cu to Pd when complex 58 was used as palladium precursor was analyzed by DFT calculations carried out by Prof. Agustí Lledós at the Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona. The calculations were carried out using the B3LYP-D3/BS2 functional and including solvation through the SMD implicit solvent method for the experimental solvent, acetonitrile. A brief account of the results of those calculations is given here (Figure 7.6 and Scheme 7.5). The interaction of the copper carbene with the monomeric trans- $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{NCMe})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right]$ species, formed from complex 58 in acetonitrile, requires the decoordination of one of the pyrazolate rings of the $\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}}$ $\left(\kappa^{3} \mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \rightarrow \kappa^{2} \mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}}\right)$. This decoordination of one pyrazolate ring allows the approach and subsequent interaction of the trans- $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{NCMe})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right]$ complex with the copper center affording $\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{1}$. The acetonitrile is released at this point from this $\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{1}$ intermediate through a transition state (TS-1) with an energy of $17.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. The resulting $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Pd}$ cluster with the aminocarbene acting as a bridge is a high energy intermediate I-2. The leaving acetonitrile is now the incoming ligand in the copper center, which requires the decoordination of another pyrazolate ring in the $\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}}\left(\kappa^{2} \mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \rightarrow \kappa^{1} \mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}}\right)$. This rearrangement involves the highest energy barrier of the reaction (TS-2, $18.4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, energetic span). After the acetonitrile coordination, the intermediate I-3, which is also high in energy undergoes the complete aminocarbene transfer to the $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ center through
the three-membered bimetallic cluster (TS-3). Finally, the aminocarbene is completely transfer to palladium to give trans-60 and $\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{NCMe}\right]$. This DFT energy profile for the transmetallation reaction mirrors the experimental results obtained at low temperature (Figure 7.5) and show that the direct transmetallation from this $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-aminocarbene to a $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ complex with labile ligands is a rapid process even at low temperature (as indicated by the energy of TS1 - TS3 in Figure 7.6). These results indicate that $\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \mathrm{Cu}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$ can be an ideal aminocarbene transfer agent to synthetized $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes.

The computed Gibbs energy profile for the isomerization of trans-60 to cis-60 is depicted in Figure 7.7. The profile summarizes a series of low energy events, being the formation of the thermodynamically more stable cis-60 the driving force of the isomerization process. Complex trans- $\mathbf{6 0}$ dissociates the triphenylphosphine, favored by the high trans effect of the aminocarbene, with a low energy cost $\left(15.4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ to form a three-coordinated intermediate $\mathbf{I - 5}$. Intermediate $\mathbf{I - 5}$ is stabilized by the coordination of an acetonitrile molecule to afford I-6, which interacts with the incoming triphenylphosphine displacing a chloride ligand (TS-4, $17.3 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, energetic span). The resulting chloride approaches to the cationic intermediate I-8 and displaces the acetonitrile ligand through an energy barrier of $14.9 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ (TS-5) to complete the isomerization process.


Figure 7.6 Gibbs energy profile for the transmetallation of the aminocarbene from Cu to Pd depicted in Scheme 7.5.


Scheme 7.5 Simplified proposed mechanistic pathway for the aminocarbene transmetallation. Mesityl groups have been omitted for clarity. Gibbs energy profile is depicted in Figure 7.6.


Figure 7.7 Gibbs energy profile for the trans to cis isomerization of complex 60.

### 7.2.2 Study of the transmetallation and migratory insertion reaction in Pd-aryl complexes

As mentioned above, the use of palladium complexes, without -R groups bound to the metal, susceptible to undergo a migratory insertion once the carbene is transferred to the palladium, led us to detect and isolate complexes bearing the aminocarbene unit (complexes 57 and 60). The following section describes the studies carried out with palladium complexes containing the fluorinated aryl group - $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ (Pf). In this way, both a transmetallation and a migratory insertion reaction could occur and it will be possible to evaluate if the migratory insertion reaction is favored for this new carbene $\left\{: \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\}$. As it was mentioned before (Chapters 5 and 6 ) the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR signals for the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ of the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ group show very different chemical shifts for the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ situations, and therefore the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ group is a very convenient tag to study migratory insertion reactions. Scheme 7.6 shows the reaction between copper carbene $\mathbf{5 5}$ and the palladium complex 61 in acetonitrile at room temperature. The reaction reveals the formation of two organic products: the iminium salt derived from the carbene- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$
coupling along with pentafluorobenzaldehyde, the hydrolysis product of the iminium salt (Figure 7.8, •). Complex $\left(\mathrm{NBu}_{4}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]$ (62) is formed in the reaction media as a consequence of the coordination of the free bromide to complex 61. Pentafluorobenzaldehyde $(61 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and the dimeric complex $\left(\mathrm{NBu}_{4}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{Br})_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}\right](62)(39 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ were the final products obtained after 4 h in solution.


Scheme 7.6 Transmetallation reaction from 55 to 61 and the products observed.


Figure 7.8 ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) monitoring of reaction shown in Scheme 7.6. - Iminium salt. ■ Pentafluorobenzaldehyde. $\downarrow$ Complex: $(\mathrm{NBu} 4)_{2}\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]$ (62). The identity of complex $\left(\mathrm{NBu}_{4}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]$ was checked independently by dissolving the isolated complex in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at room temperature.

A plausible mechanism for the formation of the products observed in ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR is depicted in Scheme 7.7 where a transient carbene intermediate I-10, which could not be detected, undergoes a rapid migratory insertion to afford an intermediate $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{- 1 1}$ with
the $-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ group incorporated in the carbene fragment. The formation of the iminium salt can be considered as a reductive elimination from the aminobenzyl-palladium complex (I-12). The hydrolysis of the iminium salt produces the corresponding benzaldehyde and ammonium bromide as the final organic products of the reaction.


Scheme 7.7 Mechanistic proposal for the experimental products observed in Scheme 7.6.

When the same reaction was carried out in the much less coordinating benzene solvent, a set of signals consistent with an organometallic complex where the $-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ group is bound to carbon are clearly observed in the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectrum (Figure 7.9, a). The decomposition products $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{CHO}$ are also present (Scheme 7.8, A and Figure $7.9, \mathbf{a})$ ).

The ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{1 1}$ shows two sets of $-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ signals with slightly different integrals where all -F atoms of each $-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ group are chemically inequivalent (Figure 7.9, a). This indicates a static situation with no free rotation around the $\mathrm{C}_{-} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ bond, and two putative isomeric species. We could not determine the exact structure of I-11 because of the impossibility of isolating it in a pure form. The addition of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ to I-11 leads to a single new species (63) (Scheme 7.8, B)) shown by the formation of just two new ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ signals in the region of the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {para }}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$. The $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ signal was not observed due to a fluxional phenomenon and the coalescence at this temperature, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure $7.9, \mathbf{b})$ ).


Scheme 7.8 Transmetallation reaction from 55 to 61 in non-coordinating solvent.


Figure 7.9 ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) of the reaction shown in Scheme 7.8. a) putative proposed intermediate $\mathbf{I - 1 1}(\mathbf{\Delta})$. b) The formation of complex $\mathbf{6 3}(\mathbf{\square})$ when $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ is added to the reaction mixture.

Complex 63 was independently prepared starting from the phosphino dimeric complex 64 (Equation 7.2). The dimeric structure of $\mathbf{6 3}$ was confirmed by HRMS and the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data are consistent with the depicted structure.


Equation 7.2

At low temperatures, the rotation around the $\mathrm{C}_{-} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ bond of $\mathbf{6 3}$ is slow, so the two $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ are in different chemical environments. As the temperature increases, the peaks begin to broaden until they coalesce into a single broad average peak which is not detected at room temperature for the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {orrho }}$ but we can see it for the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ (Figure 7.10). It is noteworthy that only one diastereoisomer is observed for $\mathbf{6 3}$ out of the four possible arrangements in the dimer (cis-trans and combination of the two configurations for the chiral methine carbon). We could not determine which one is formed.


Figure 7.10. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( 470.17 MHz , toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ ) of complex 63 ( $\boxed{\square}$ ) at different temperatures. Monitoring of the reaction depicted in Equation 7.2 from $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature.

The reaction in Equation 7.2 was monitored by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR at room temperature. After 4 h , complex 63 was observed as the major species along with a small amount of the starting complex 64 and another unidentified palladium pentafluorophenyl complex (Figure 7.11, A)). After 8 h in solution at room temperature, the set of signals assigned to I-11 began to appear (c.f. B) and $\mathbf{C}$ ) in Figure 7.11) along with $\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ which led us to propose an equilibrium between the complex $\mathbf{6 3}$ and $\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{1 1}$ which supports the absence of other potential ligands in the coordination sphere of I-11 (Equation 7.3).


Figure 7.11 ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) Monitoring of the reaction depicted in Equation 7.2. A) Reaction mixture after 4 h at room temperature. B) Reaction mixture after 8 h at room temperature. C) Comparison with the reaction mixture from reaction of Scheme 7.8. complex $\left[\mathrm{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$.


## Equation 7.3

Therefore, from the experiments shown above, the composition of $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{- 1 1}$ is clear but the structure of the complex is not determined. Three possible tentative structures are shown in Figure 7.12 which could react with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ to give $\mathbf{6 3}$ and also have several possible isomeric structures. Bromo-bridged dimeric species with a chelating aminobenzyl group can be considered: Either a $\eta^{3}$-benzylic complex where the pentafluorophenyl group is involved in the bonding (Figure 7.12, a) or a three-membered aminopalladacycle (Figure 7.12, b). Another plausible structure would be a tetrameric complex with aminoalkyl and bromo bridges ((Figure 7.12, c). To the best of our knowledge, there is no precedent in the literature for $\eta^{3}$-benzylic Pd-complex where the $-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ group is involved in the $\eta^{3}$-bonding to the Pd . Moreover, several precedents are
described of the proposed intermediate $\mathbf{b}$ ) with a three-membered amino-palladacycle. ${ }^{232}$ For the proposed intermediate c) the closest precedent found in literature is a $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-complex bearing thioalkyl and bromo bridges affording a tetranuclear $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})\left\{\mu-\left(\sigma-\kappa-\mathrm{PhSCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\right\}\right]_{4}$ complex. ${ }^{233}$


Figure 7.12 Proposed structures for $\mathbf{I}-11\left(\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right) \mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right\}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$.

Scheme 7.9 depicts a summary of the data collected for the carbene transmetallation from $\left.\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \mathrm{Cu}_{4} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$ to $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ complex 61, which bears $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ as a model aryl group, and the subsequent migratory insertion. It was found that the aminocarbene transmetallation is fast at low temperatures because of the rapid disappearance of the characteristic signals in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the $\left\{: \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\}$ fragment bound to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$. No intermediate bearing the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ bonds could be detected in the monitoring of the reactions because the migratory insertion of the $: \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)$ fragment into the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ is also fast and generates intermediate $\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{1 1}$. When a coordinating solvent is used (acetonitrile), this intermediate $\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{1 1}$ undergoes a rapid decomposition towards the iminium salt, which in the presence of water give the hydrolysis product (pentafluorobenzaldehyde) (Scheme 7.9, a.1). Nonetheless, when the

[^105]same reaction was carried out in the much less coordinating solvents, such as benzene or toluene, intermediate $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{- 1 1}$ could be detected and it reacts in the presence of triphenylphosphine affording a stable complex 63 which represent the organometallic product obtained just after the migratory insertion step (Scheme 7.9, a.2). This situation is comparable to the isolated complexes 31a and $\mathbf{3 3}$ shown in Chapter 5 when the carbene is originated from a diazoalkane precursor.


Scheme 7.9 Summary of the migratory insertion process depending on the $\mathrm{Pd}($ II $)$ precursor and solvents involved.

The results described in the previous Chapters 5 and 6 along with the previous studies in our group indicate that the electrophilicity of the carbenic carbon dictates the rates in the migratory insertion into $\mathrm{Pd}^{-} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ bond for $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes (Scheme 7.10). Inasmuch as the migratory insertion can be described as the interaction between the electron density of the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ bond and a vacant orbital of the carbenic carbon, the more electrophilic the carbene is, the faster the migratory insertion occurs. Therefore, we can correlate the migratory insertion rates with the electrophilic nature of the carbene group. For the selected Pd-carbenes show in Scheme 7.10, the reactivity trend towards migratory insertion is the following: $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{NHMe})(\mathrm{NHBz}) \ll: \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}\right)<: \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{H})$ $\sim: C(\mathrm{OMe})(\mathrm{Ph})<: \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{H})$. This trend is clearly influenced by the number of
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heteroatoms bound to the carbenic carbon and also the electronegativity of these heteroatoms which in turn is an indication of the electron deficiency of the carbene carbon (cf. b) and d) in Scheme 7.10) However the reactivity of the : $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)$ fragment observed here is surprising since it is closer to the methoxo carbene than to the analogous monoamino carbene.





Scheme 7.10 Behaviour towards migratory insertion (M.I) of different Pd (II)-carbenes into $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ bond.

Using Density Functional Theory, Prof. Agustí Lledós has calculated some electronic descriptors such as the electrophilicity index ( $\omega$ ) and the nucleophilicity index (N) for a selected number of free carbenes as shown in Table 7.1 and Figure 7.13. Parr, Maynard and other authors defined the "electrophilicity index" $(\omega)$ using the electronic chemical potential $(\mu)$ and the chemical hardness $(\eta) .{ }^{234}$ Both parameters are related to the HOMO and LUMO energies by the following Equations:

[^106]\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\omega=\frac{\mu^{2}}{2 \eta} \\
\mu=\left(\varepsilon_{\text {LUMO }}+\varepsilon_{\text {HOMO }}\right) / 2 \text { and } \eta=\left(\varepsilon_{\text {LUMO }}-\varepsilon_{\text {HOMO }}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

The $(\mathrm{N})$ nucleophilicity index is related to the energy of the HOMO of the carbene and is correlated with the energy of the HOMO of tetracyanoethylene (TCE) taken as a reference $\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{TCE}}=0.0 \mathrm{eV}\right) .{ }^{235}$

$$
\mathrm{N}=\left(\varepsilon_{\text {номо }}(\text { carbene })-\varepsilon_{\text {номо }}(\text { TCE })\right)
$$

Table 7.1 Values for the $\omega$ and N for different free carbenes.

| Entry | carbenes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eномо }^{\text {(eV) }} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELUMO }_{\text {LUM }} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Global electrophilicity $\omega$ (eV) | Global nucleophilicity <br> $N$ <br> (eV) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{IPr}^{a}$ | -5.95 | -0.12 | 0.79(4) | 2.56 (7) |
| 2 | : $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{Ph})$ | -4.83 | -0.09 | 0.64(6) | 3.68(1) |
| 3 | : $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)$ | -5.38 | -0.02 | 0.68(5) | 3.13(2) |
| 4 | : $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OMe})(\mathrm{Ph})$ | -5.45 | -1.70 | 1.70(1) | 3.06(3) |

${ }^{a} \operatorname{IPr}=$ 1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazolin-2-ylidene.


Figure 7.13 Free carbenes depicted in Table 7.1.

From the values of Table 7.1 , it is clear the difference between the carbene bearing one alkoxo substituent and those carbenes that bear one or two amino substituents ( $c f$. entries 1-3 and entry 4, Table 7.1), in line with the expected trend. The monoamino carbenes : $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{R})\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}$ or H$)$ have almost identical electrophilicity indexes, which contrasts with the different migratory insertion rates observed experimentally (Scheme $7.10, \mathbf{b}$ ) and $\mathbf{c}$ ). The $\omega$ and $N$ descriptors have been calculated for free-carbenes and not for $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-carbene complexes. Once the carbene is coordinated to the palladium center, the electronic features of the carbene may vary (extent of donation, for example) and this can affect its electrophilicity. However, the discrepancies

[^107]
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observed between the calculated values and the experimental results for the $: \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right.$ carbene are too high. The abovementioned discussion was focused only on electronic features but the steric hindrance of the carbene substituents has not been considered. This may be an important factor to allow the approach of the carbene and the aryl fragments and facilitate the migratory insertion reaction.

### 7.3 Conclusions

The direct aminocarbene transmetallation from $\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \mathrm{Cu}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$ (55) to $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{NCMe})_{2}\right](56)$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ (58) occurs fast at room temperature and also at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This aminocarbene transmetallation leads to the formation of the $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-aminocarbene complexes $\left.\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{NCMe})\right)\right]$ (57) and cis- $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right](\mathbf{6 0})$ which can be isolated and characterized. The hydrolysis products observed reveal an electrophilic behaviour of the aminocarbene. DFT calculation which model the transmetallation reaction show accessible activation energy barriers (energetic span of 18.4 kcal mol-1) which is consistent with the experimental results. Furthermore, the aminocarbene transfer from $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ to $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ proceeds through a three-membered metallacycle between $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})-\mathrm{C}_{\text {carbenic }}-\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})\right]$ upon decoordination of one pyrazole ring in the $\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}}\right]$ ligand. These reactions show that $\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \mathrm{Cu}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$ can be used as a source of this unusual monosubstituted carbene fragment to synthesize other metal carbenes.

On the other hand, when the palladium complex bears an aryl group ( $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ moiety), the Pd -aminocarbene resulting from the transmetallation was not detected. The migratory insertion of the aminocarbene into the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ bond proceeds fast at room temperature, leading the formation of palladium alkyl complexes $\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right) \mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right\}\right]_{n}$ (I-11) and $\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right) \mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right\}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right]_{2} \quad$ (63) in low coordinating solvents. The migratory insertion reaction rate for this carbene is higher than expected from its electronic features, i.e. its electrophilicity. The low steric demand of the monosubstituted $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)$ may facilitate the approach to the aryl group and the coupling process.

### 7.4 Experimental part

### 7.4.1 General considerations

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\},{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra were recorded on an Agilent MR-500 and MR-400 spectrometers equipped with variable-temperature probes at the Laboratorio de Técnicas Instrumentales (LTI) of the UVa. Chemical shifts (in $\delta$ units, ppm) were referenced to $\mathrm{SiMe}_{4}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and $\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}\left({ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\left(85 \%,{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)$. The temperature for the NMR probe was calibrated with a methanol standard (low temperature). ${ }^{193}$ Homonuclear $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$-COSY) and heteronuclear $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{-13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ HSQC and HMBC) experiments were used to help with the signal assignments. NMR data are given at 298 K unless otherwise noted. Elemental analyses were Carried out in a Carlo Erba 1108 microanalyser (at the Vigo University, Spain). All reactions were conducted under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere with dry solvents. Solvents were dried using a solvent purification system SPS PS-MD-5 (ether, hexane, THF and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) or distilled from appropriate drying agents under nitrogen prior to use and stored over $3 \AA$ or $4 \AA$ molecular sieves (acetonitrile- $\mathrm{d}_{3}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ and toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ ). The palladium complexes $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{NCMe})\right],{ }^{236}$ $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{Br})_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{237}$ and $\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{86}$ were prepared as reported before.

### 7.4.2 Synthesis of Palladium complexes

## Preparation of $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left\{\mathbf{C H}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\} \mathrm{NCMe}\right](57)$

$\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \mathrm{Cu}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\}\right](140.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.196 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{NCMe})\right](51.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.196 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ anhydrous $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture turned to an intense yellow colour and it was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at room temperature for 16 h . After this time, the mixture was treated with activated carbon, then cannulated into a Schlenk under a nitrogen atmosphere. The intense-yellow solution was concentrated to dryness, obtaining a yellow oil which was characterized by NMR.

When this yellow oil was treated with anhydrous $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $n$-hexane ( $15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1: 1(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ ) a dark-brown solid was obtained. Yield: $34 \mathrm{mg}(66 \%)$. This brown-solid is a mixture of complex 57 along with the tentatively proposed dimeric palladium derivatives of formula $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ that transform into 57 when dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ (see Figure 7.2).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (499.73 MHz, $\left.\delta, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): 9.54\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right), 4.47\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.36 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3^{\prime}}\right), 3.70$ $\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.26 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{3}\right), 1.56\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.36 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 1.23\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.26 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (125.67 MHz, $\left.\delta, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): 206.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 55.2\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 55.1\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 13.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right)$, $12.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{4}\right)$.
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## Preparation of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ (58)

$\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{NCMe})_{2}\right](133 \mathrm{mg}, 0.513 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](300$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.427 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. The reaction mixture turned to an intense yellow colour and it was vigorous stirred at reflux for 16 h . After this time, the solution colour was intense orange. The solvent was evaporated to $c a .15 \mathrm{~mL}$ and the resulting orange solid was filtered, washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and dried under vacuum. Yield: 311 mg ( $83 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {ortho }}\right), 7.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {para }}\right), 7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\text {meta }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\left.125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 135.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {ipso }}\right), 134.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {ortho }}\right), 131.7\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {para }}\right)$, $128.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{\text {meta }}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $202.31 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 32.7 (s, 2P). IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): (Pd-Cl) 357; (Pd- $(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})) 259 .{ }^{238}$ Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Cl}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 49.18 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 3.44$. Found: C, 49.23 \%; H, 3.48.


## Preparation of cis- $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left\{\mathbf{C H}\left(\mathbf{N E t}_{2}\right)\right\} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right]($ cis-60)

$\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \mathrm{Cu}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$ (90 mg, 0.125 mmol$)$ was added to a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](55.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.062 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. The reaction mixture turned to an intense yellow colour and it was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at room temperature for 1.5 h . After this time, the suspension was cannulated under a nitrogen atmosphere, removing this way the solid formed in the reaction. The solvent was evaporated to c.a. 3 mL and dry-diethyl ether ( 3 x 10 mL ) was added to the suspension. The yellow solid obtained was filtered, washed with dry diethyl ether ( 2 x 5 mL ) and vacuum dried. Finally, complex cis- $\mathbf{6 0}$ was recrystallized by diffusion of dry $n$-hexane into a solution of the complex in dry dichloromethane at $-28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere, giving colourless crystals. Yield: 36 mg ( $55 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 9.32\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{4}-\mathrm{P}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{3}-\mathrm{H}^{4}=162 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right), 7.76$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {ortho }}\right), 7.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {para }}\right), 7.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {meta }}\right), 4.21\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.37 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{2}\right)$, $3.12\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.26 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{2}\right), 1.33\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.37 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.26 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{1^{\prime}}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 216.5\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right), 134.4\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$,

[^109]$\left.\mathrm{C}^{\text {ortho }}\right), 131.3\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {para }}\right), 130\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=53.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {ipso }}\right), 128.7\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=11.1\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {meta }}\right), 56.3\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 54.9\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 12.8\left(\mathrm{C}^{1^{\prime}}\right), 12.4\left(\mathrm{C}^{1}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(202.31 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $25.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{P})$. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NNaPPd}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ 546.0110. found 546.0113.


## Detection of trans $-\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left\{\mathbf{C H}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right]$ at $-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (trans-60)

$\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \mathrm{Cu}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\}\right](\mathbf{5 5})(9.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.013 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 0.6 mL of dry $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ were added into an NMR tube under a nitrogen atmosphere, and placed in cooled bath at $-90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})_{2}(\mathrm{Cl})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](\mathbf{5 8})(5.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0065 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the tube was closed. The resulting mixture was frozen during the setup of the NMR-experiment. Finally, the sample was shaken vigorously inside the cool bath, wiped externally and introduced in the NMR probe already set at the measurement temperature $\left(-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 233 \mathrm{~K}\right): 9.68\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right), 4.43(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.72\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.56\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ ).


## Preparation of $\left[\mathbf{P d}(\mu-\mathrm{Br})\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right]_{2}(63)$

A solution of $\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \mathrm{Cu}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\}\right](151.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.212 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL of anhydrous toluene was added to $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{Br})_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](130.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.106 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in toluene $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. The reaction mixture turned to an intense yellow colour and it was vigorous stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at room temperature for 5 h . After this time, the solution was evaporated to dryness. The off-white solid obtained was redissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then the yellow suspension was cannulated to a $50 \mathrm{~mL}-$ Schlenk under a nitrogen atmosphere. The resulting solution was evaporated to dryness and 10 mL of dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $n$-hexane ( $1: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) were added to redissolve complex 63 and precipitate the Pd byproducts. The yellow suspension was cannulated under a nitrogen atmosphere to a $50 \mathrm{~mL}-$ Schlenk and the solvent was evaporated to dryness, obtaining a yellow-solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathbf{2 9 8} \mathbf{~ K}$ ): 7.60 ( $\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}$ ), 7.42-7.33 ( $\mathrm{m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\text {arom }}$ ), $4.64\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{P}}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\right), 3.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{CH}}\right), 3.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{CH}}\right), 2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{CH} 2^{\prime}}\right), 2.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{CH}}{ }^{2}\right), 1.39\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{CH} 3}\right), 1.30(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.17 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{CH}}{ }^{\text {3 }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $125.67 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $133.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {ortho }}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right), 133.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=42 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {ipso }}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right), 130.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{para}}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right), 128.2(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $\left.10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}^{\text {meta }}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right), 55.0\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right), 52.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right), 12.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 12.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{\prime}\right)$.* ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $470.17 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathbf{2 9 8} \mathbf{~ K}$ ): $-157.04\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=19.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}\right.$ ), -164.83 (br, 2F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ ) $\dagger{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( 470.17 MHz , $\delta$, toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}, 223 \mathrm{~K}$ ): -129.36 (br, 1F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ ), -141.81 (br, 1F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ ), -155.38 (t, J = $22 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {para }}$ ), -161.89 (br, 1F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ ), -163.82 (br, 1F, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {meta }}$ ). ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $202.31 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $33.76(\mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F}}=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NaP}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ 1420.9790. Found 1420.9774.

* ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signals for the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ group, heavily coupled to F , could not be located.
$\dagger$ Restricted rotation about the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ leads to $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ortho }}$ signals that coalesce at 298 K and are not visible.



### 7.4.3 Evidence for the chloride bridge cleavage by acetonitrile in complex $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})_{2}\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\right.$

Palladium complex (58) ( $16.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.019 \mathrm{mmol})$ was placed into an NMR tube with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$. After that, acetonitrile ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.019 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) (mol ratio $\mathrm{Pd}: \mathrm{NCMe}=1: 0.5$ ) was added to the NMR tube. The tube was introduced into the NMR probe and the species formed in solution at room temperature were examined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR. A second addition of acetonitrile ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.096 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the same tube sample was performed after 15. Total ratio ( $\mathrm{Pd}: \mathrm{NCMe}=1: 3$ ).


Equation 7.4


Figure 7.14 ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $202.31 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of reaction shown in Equation 7.4.

### 7.4.4 Follow up of the carbene transmetallation from Cu(I) to Pd(II)

## Transmetallation to $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})_{2}\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\right.$ at room temperature

$\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \mathrm{Cu}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\}\right](2.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0028 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})_{2}(\mathrm{Cl})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](1.22 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.0014 mmol ) were added into an NMR tube under a nitrogen atmosphere in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting mixture was frozen during the setup of the NMR experiment $\left(-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Finally, the sample was shaken vigorously inside the cool bath, wiped externally and introduced in the NMR probe already set at the measurement temperature ( $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The reaction was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 25 min ( 3 min interval) (Equation 7.5, Figure 7.15).


Equation 7.5


Figure $7.15{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $499.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) of reaction shown in Equation 7.5). First spectrum corresponds to the $\mathbf{C u}$-carbene complex $\mathbf{5 5}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.
 $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})_{2}(\mathrm{Cl})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ at variable temperature (from $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) was carried out in the same way. The spectra are collected in Figure 7.5.

### 7.4.5 Attempts at detection of $a\left[T^{M s} \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right]$ adduct

$\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \mathrm{Cu}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\}\right](9.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.013 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 0.6 mL of $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ was added into an NMR tube under a nitrogen atmosphere. Then, $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\left(0.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0026 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{Cu}: \mathrm{PPh}_{3}=\right.$ 1:0.2 mol ratio) was added and the tube was closed. The formation of the species in solution was monitored by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR at room temperature. After $10 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}(2.5 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.0096 mmol ) was added to the same sample to reach a $\mathrm{Cu}: \mathrm{PPh}_{3}=1: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio (Equation 7.6).


Equation 7.6
When the same reaction was carried out with $\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{THF})\right]^{239}$ instead of complex $\mathbf{5 5}$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\left(\mathrm{Pd}: \mathrm{PPh}_{3}=1: 1.5 \mathrm{~mol}\right.$ ratio), no $\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \mathrm{Cu}^{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right]$ adduct was observed in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ or $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solvents at room temperature or $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.

[^110]d) 10 days $(x=1)$

c) $24 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{x}=1)$
b) $10 \min (x=1)$

a) $10 \mathrm{~min}(x=0.2)$


Figure 7.16 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (499.73 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) of reaction shown in Equation 7.6 at $298 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{f1}(\mathrm{Pm})} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ mol ratio (x).

### 7.4.6 Mass spectra



Figure 7.17 HR-MS (ESI-TOF) (positive mode) of complex cis- $\mathbf{6 0}$.

Table 7.2

| Meas. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ <br> (found) | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ <br> $(\mathrm{Calcd})$ | err $[\mathrm{ppm}]$ | mSigma | Ion Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 488.0536 | 488.0527 | -1.9 | 38.5 | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{ClNPPd}$ |
| 506.0640 | 506.0632 | -1.6 | 25.3 | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{ClNPPd} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 546.0113 | 546.0110 | -0.5 | 34.0 | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NNaPPd}$ |
| 561.9852 | 561.9849 | -0.5 | 10.5 | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{KNPPd}$ |




Figure 7.18 HR-MS (ESI-TOF) (positive mode) of complex cis-60. Isotope pattern experimental (above) and simulated (bottom). $\quad\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\} \mathrm{PPh}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Na}\right]^{+} \quad$ (left plot) and $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\} \mathrm{PPh}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{~K}\right]^{+}$(right plot).


Figure 7.19 HR-MS (ESI-TOF) (positive mode) of complex 63.

Table 7.3

| Meas. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ <br> (found) | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ <br> $($ Calcd $)$ | err [ppm] | mSigma | Ion Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1.420,9774$ | $1.420,9790$ | 1.1 | 19.5 | $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Na}$ |
| $1.319,0699$ | $1.319,0714$ | 1.1 | 23.2 | $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{BrF}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}$ |

### 7.4.7 Computational details

All calculations were initially performed using the DFT approach with the M06 functional using Gaussian 16 as program package. The selected basis set was $6-311++G(d, p)$ for C, P, N, Cl and H and LANL2TZ(f) for Pd (Basis set I). Solvation was introduced in all the optimizations, frequency calculations and potential energy refinement through the SMD model, where we applied the experimental solvent, acetonitrile $(\varepsilon=35.688)$. All geometry optimizations were carried out in solution with no symmetry restrictions. Free energy corrections were calculated at 298.15 K and $10^{5}$ Pa pressure, including zero-point energy corrections (ZPE), and the energies were converted to 1 M standard state in solution (adding/subtracting $1.89 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for non-unimolecular processes). Vibrational frequency calculations were performed to establish the stationary points were minima (without imaginary frequencies) or transition states (with one imaginary frequency). All reported energies in the manuscript correspond to Gibbs energies in solution, obtained from potential energies (including solvation) plus Gibbs energy corrections with basis set I and are given in $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ (see SCF energy and free energy correction values in Supporting information). The constant coupling between the -H proton and the - P atom in the complex trans- $\mathbf{6 0}$ was calculated using GIAO (Gauge-Independent Atomic Orbital) method, obtaining a simulated value of 11.34 Hz (experimental value 11.0 Hz ).


Figure 7.20 Gibbs energies for the cis:trans conformations of complexes 57, $\mathbf{5 9}$ and $\mathbf{6 0}$.

# Transformaciones Mediadas por Paladio: C-H Alquenilación Aeróbica de Arenos Asistida por Cooperación Metal-Ligando y Reacciones con Precursores de Carbeno 

## Resumen

## Prefacio

Uno de los principales objetivos en Química es conseguir procesos sintéticos mucho más eficientes. Es por ello que, el trabajo contenido en la presente tesis, trata de contribuir a este fin mediante la mejora en la eficiencia de los procesos catalizados por paladio. Con este propósito, mi investigación se ha centrado en desarrollar nuevos catalizadores en combinación con estudios mecanísticos de las etapas que constituyen los ciclos catalíticos. Se han elegido dos tipos de procesos catalíticos de acoplamiento cruzado C-C: El primero de ellos involucra etapas de activación C-H de arenos y el segundo proceso involucra precursores de carbeno y especies carbénicas de paladio. Ambos procesos son interesantes para conseguir complejidad molecular en el menor número de etapas sintéticas. En el primer proceso no se requieren reactivos que hayan sido previamente funcionalizados, empleando de esta manera, las materias primas directamente (hidrocarburos). En el segundo proceso estudiado se puede llevar a cabo la formación de más de un enlace C-C o C-X $(\mathrm{X}=$ heteroátomo) en un solo paso de síntesis. El estudio de reacciones catalíticas y estequiométricas junto con estudios cinéticos y computacionales nos ha permitido conseguir información acerca de aspectos mecanísticos de las reacciones y de esta manera aportar un mejor entendimiento de los sistemas catalíticos comentados anteriormente. La discusión de la presente tesis está organizada en dos bloques. Cada bloque se centra en una de las reacciones comentadas y engloba un capítulo introductorio general para establecer el contexto principal y definir los conceptos básicos que se comentarán a lo largo del bloque, así como varios capítulos describiendo el trabajo realizado.

En el primer bloque se desarrolla el trabajo realizado en reacciones de acoplamiento C-C catalizadas por paladio con etapas de activación C-H. Tras la introducción general (Capítulo 1), el Capítulo 2 describe la reacción oxidativa de tipo Heck con oxígeno empleando arenos fluorados y no fluorados catalizada por complejos de paladio. Dichos complejos de paladio contienen ligandos cooperativos de tipo bipiridona que facilitan la ruptura del enlace C-H durante el estado de transición. Las condiciones de reacción, empleando oxígeno como único oxidante y cantidades catalíticas de aditivos, han sido evaluadas para obtener las condiciones óptimas y de esta manera incrementar la sostenibilidad del proceso catalítico global. El Capítulo 3 explora la especiación en disolución de complejos de paladio con los ligandos cooperativos empleados en el capítulo anterior. Estos estudios han permitido determinar qué
complejos son los más eficientes en reacciones de arilación directa de arenos simples (tolueno).

El segundo Bloque es una contribución a la química de carbenos mediada por paladio, centrándose en los complejos de paladio con carbenos monosubstituidos no estabilizados, los cuales han sido muy poco estudiados. Los sustituyentes seleccionados para estos carbenos son grupos alquílicos o fenílicos (:CHR, $\mathrm{R}=$ alquilo o fenilo) o el grupo amino (: $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{X}), \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NEt}_{2}$ ). Los Capítulos 5 y 6 describen un estudio completo, mediante métodos experimentales y computacionales, del proceso de inserción migratoria en intermedios muy reactivos de paladio con carbenos no estabilizados (:CHR), generados a partir de diazo compuestos o hidrazonas. Esta etapa, inserción migratoria, es la etapa clave en la formación de enlaces C-C en reacciones catalizadas por paladio con precursores de carbenos como reactivos. Finalmente, el Capítulo 7 se centra en explorar el comportamiento de complejos de paladio que contienen carbenos con un grupo amino $\left(: \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{X}), \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)$ generados a través de una etapa de transmetalación desde el amino-carbeno de cobre(I). Este trabajo ha sido desarrollado en colaboración con el grupo del Prof. Pedro Pérez (CIQSO, Universidad de Huelva) y con el Prof. Agustí Lledós (Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona) quien ha llevado a cabo los cálculos computacionales DFT de este capítulo.

Cinco de los siete capítulos que componen esta tesis están subdivididos en cuatro secciones: Introducción, Resultados y Discusión, Conclusiones y Parte Experimental. Se incluye además un Apéndice con una lista de las abreviaturas utilizadas, y un índice de los compuestos descritos en orden de aparición. Las citas bibliográficas se han presentado como una nota al pie en cada capítulo, y también están recogidas en el Apéndice como un listado para facilitar su búsqueda. Además, se adjunta un documento adicional que contiene todo el material suplementario que no se ha incluido en la tesis. Dado que la tesis se ha escrito en inglés y para cumplir con la normativa sobre Tesis Doctorales de la UVa, se presenta en castellano un breve resumen de los resultados con su propia bibliografía, así como un índice y conclusiones generales.

## Capítulo 1: Introducción Parte I

El descubrimiento de las reacciones de acoplamiento C-C catalizadas por metales de transición supuso un avance muy importante en la química sintética aplicada a Química Fina. Las primeras reacciones de acoplamiento C-C estudiadas involucraban un reactivo electrofílico (haluros de arilo) y un reactivo organometálico, que presenta carácter nucleofílico, en presencia de cantidades catalíticas de un metal de transición (generalmente complejos de paladio). ${ }^{\text {ccxl }}$ El estudio de estas reacciones permitió proponer un ciclo catalítico general, ampliamente aceptado por la comunidad científica, que consta de tres etapas fundamentales: adición oxidante, transmetalación y eliminación reductora (Esquema I, a)). Sin embargo, estas reacciones presentan un problema que radica en el empleo de reactivos que requieren etapas previas de funcionalización a la vez que generan subproductos y residuos. Esto incide grave y perjudicialmente en la eficiencia atómica del proceso global junto con posibles problemas de toxicidad asociados a algunos metales empleados. ${ }^{\text {cxli }}$ Como alternativa a este problema, se empezaron a desarrollar reacciones de acoplamiento C-C que no requiriesen reactivos con etapas de funcionalización, usando de esta manera, las materias primas directamente (hidrocarburos). Si se sustituyen los dos reactivos de las reacciones de acoplamiento convencionales por dos hidrocarburos, la reacción necesita habitualmente la presencia de un oxidante. El ciclo catalítico para las reacciones oxidativas de acoplamiento C-C que emplean solo hidrocarburos como reactivos de partida difiere en algunas de las etapas fundamentales con respecto a los acoplamientos C - C convencionales (Esquema I, b)). Estas nuevas etapas son: activación del enlace C-H del hidrocarburo y la etapa de oxidación del catalizador. Esta última etapa se produce después de la eliminación reductora, generando una especie intermedia del catalizador en un estado de oxidación dos unidades menor. Para que el ciclo catalítico se reinicie, es necesario añadir un oxidante externo (Esquema I, b)).

De entre todos los oxidantes estudiados y utilizados para las reacciones de acoplamiento oxidante C-C, cabe destacar que el oxígeno es uno de los más limpios

[^111]desde el punto de vista medioambiental ya que genera únicamente peróxido de hidrógeno o agua como subproducto. ${ }^{\text {ccxlii }}$

Acoplamiento convencional

a)

Acoplamiento aeróbico oxidativo

b)

Esquema I

La etapa de activación C-H del hidrocarburo a menudo presenta ciertos problemas; entre ellos, cabe destacar la elevada energía de disociación y la poca polaridad que presenta el enlace C-H. Estas características lo convierten en poco reactivo en comparación con los enlaces $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{X}=$ halógeno) o M-C.cxliii También existen problemas en la regioselectividad del producto de acoplamiento final ya que a menudo los hidrocarburos, como cualquier molécula orgánica, presentan múltiples enlaces $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ susceptibles de ser funcionalizados en las condiciones de reacción.

Una de las estrategias que se han desarrollado en las últimas décadas para abordar el problema relacionado con el alto coste energético que implica la ruptura del enlace C -H es el desarrollo de ligandos que faciliten esta ruptura, disminuyendo la energía del correspondiente estado de transición. A estos ligandos se los ha denominado "ligandos cooperativos", ccxliv siendo los ligandos de tipo aminoácido ${ }^{\text {ccxlv }}$ y derivados de piridona los que más se han estudiado hasta el momento. En la mayoría de los ligandos

[^112]cooperativos estudiados se ha visto que operan a través de un mecanismo de tipo concertado, involucrando una parte del ligando, la cual actúa como base, y al mismo tiempo el centro metálico. A este mecanismo, el más energéticamente favorable para arenos simples, se lo ha denominado "metalación-deprotonación concertada" (MDC o CMD en sus siglas en inglés). ${ }^{\text {ccxlvi }}$ Siguiendo esta línea, se han desarrollado en nuestro grupo de investigación, dos ligandos quelatos $N, N$-dadores derivados de la piridona: [2,2'-bipiridin]-6( $1 H$ )-ona y 1,10 -fenantrolin-2-( $1 H$ )-ona (bipy- $6-\mathrm{OH}$ and phen-2-OH respectivamente) los cuales han demostrado ser eficientes en reacciones de arilación directa de arenos simples (Esquema II).. ${ }^{\text {cxlvii }}$

La parte I de esta memoria tiene como objetivo aplicar este tipo de ligandos a reacciones de acoplamiento oxidativo de arenos con oxígeno como oxidante y estudiar su mecanismo de actuación para conseguir reacciones más sostenibles. Asimismo, se pretende conocer las especies que forman estos ligandos con paladio en las mezclas precatalíticas habitualmente empleadas.


Esquema II

[^113]
## Capítulo 2: Reacción de Heck oxidativa de fluorobencenos y otros arenos: ¿En qué casos necesita ayuda la activación $\mathbf{C - H}$ ?

La reacción de Fujiwara-Moritani ${ }^{\text {ccxlviii }}$ o también llamada Heck oxidativa para la funcionalización de arenos tiene un enorme interés en química sintética (Ecuación I). El mecanismo aceptado establece dos etapas clave: una etapa de activación C-H del areno y una etapa de coordinación-inserción migratoria de la olefina en el enlace Pd-C generado en la etapa anterior. ${ }^{\text {ccxlix }}$


Ecuación I

Usando pentafluorobenceno $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}\right)$ como areno modelo y acrilato de terc-butilo como alqueno se han explorado las condiciones óptimas para llevar a cabo la reacción catalizada por complejos de paladio. Empleando oxígeno como único oxidante en la reacción y cantidades subestequiométricas de $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ como aditivo a $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ se han conseguido excelentes resultados en la formación del producto de acoplamiento 1a (Esquema III). Se han realizado estudios independientes para conocer cuál podría ser el papel del molibdato sódico empleado como aditivo, resultando que un papel similar al de una base débil es el que mejor se ajusta a los resultados obtenidos. ${ }^{\text {ccl }}$ Una vez optimizadas las condiciones de la reacción modelo, se procedió a estudiar otros arenos fluorados (Esquema III). Se observa que la facilidad con la que se produce la etapa de activación C-H del areno depende del número de átomos de flúor que contiene la molécula. Para arenos fluorados con más de dos átomos de flúor, el precatalizador $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ produce buenas conversiones del producto de acoplamiento sin necesidad de ligandos adicionales (compuestos 1a-4a, Esquema III). Esto puede explicarse debido a la mayor acidez del -H que va a ser activado durante la etapa de ruptura del enlace C-H en las moléculas con mayor contenido en átomos de flúor. Sin embargo, al llevar a cabo

[^114]la reacion con 1,2-difluorobenceno o fluorobenceno se observa que la reacción fracasa incluso cuando se emplean grandes concentraciones de estos arenos. Para estos arenos se observó que el empleo de un ligando cooperativo (bipy-6-OH) en combinación con $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ como precatalizador es necesario para obtener buenas conversiones del producto de olefinación del areno fluorado (compuestos 5a y 6a, Esquema III).



L = (0 \%) ; $99 \%$


4a


$\mathrm{L}=(0 \%) ; 71 \%$
$\mathrm{L}=(0 \%) ; 50 \%$


5a
$L=(5 \%) ; 60 \%$
$L=(0 \%) ; 20 \%$


6a

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{L}=(5 \%) ; 75 \% \\
& \mathrm{~L}=(0 \%) ; 18 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

## Esquema III

La reacción se puede extender a otros arenos simples no fluorados. Las condiciones de reacción óptimas para cada uno de ellos, así como las conversiones y rendimientos aislados se muestran en el Esquema IV. Todos los arenos utilizados en el Esquema IV requieren la presencia de un ligando cooperativo (bipy-6-OH o phen-2-OH) para llevar a cabo la formación del acoplamiento C-C entre el areno y la olefina de manera eficiente.


Esquema IV

Se llevaron a cabo diferentes reacciones catalíticas empleando tolueno como areno modelo en la reacción de Heck oxidativa para conocer mejor el papel que desempeña el ligando cooperativo durante la catálisis. Se observó que la reacción catalítica generaba mejores resultados (conversión y rendimiento) cuando se emplea una mezcla del ligando cooperativo (bipy-6-OH o phen-2-OH) $+\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ o bien cuando se añadía un complejo aislado de paladio con el ligando cooperativo previamente coordinado ( $\mathbf{1 8}$ o 19, Esquema V). La misma reacción no es eficaz con otros ligandos no cooperativos como son 2, $2^{\prime}$-bipy, bipy-6-OMe, bipy-4-OH o ligandos cooperativos como piridonas monodentadas o aminoácidos. En ausencia de ligando externo, se observa que la reacción procede hasta un $47 \%$ de conversión del producto 12a lo cual indica que la etapa de activación C-H posiblemente la esté llevando a cabo el acetato.

Para conocer quién es el responsable en dicha etapa de activación C-H cuando se emplea el ligando cooperativo bipy-6-OH se realizaron cálculos computacionales DFT del estado de transición de dicha etapa. Los cálculos indican que la activación mediada por el ligando bipy-6-OH a través de un estado de transición como el representado en el Esquema V $(X=O A c)$ es $11.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ más baja que cuando se lleva a cabo a través del acetato, indicando que el ligando bipy-6-OH está actuando como un ligando cooperativo en la reacción estudiada.

\% Conversión mezcla de reacción, 6 h (24 h)


3 (3)
$o: m: p=1: 5.3: 2.4$

o:m:p = 1:1.8:1



Esquema V

Se ha observado que, en el caso de arenos polifluorados la presencia del ligando cooperativo bipy-6-OH no sólo no es necesaria, sino que es perjudicial (Tabla I). Se han realizado experimentos mecanísticos con complejos modelo que indican que la presencia del ligando dificulta la etapa de coordinación-inserción de la olefina en el enlace

Pd-C(arilo). De este modo, el ligando sólo favorece la reacción en el caso de que la activación C-H sea difícil y limitante en la reacción, lo que ocurre para la mayoría de los arenos excepto para los polifluorados.

Tabla I. Efecto del ligando cooperativo bipy-6-OH en la reacción de tipo Heck oxidativa. ${ }^{a}$

| Entrada | Ligando | Areno | Producto | Rdto bruto, \% <br> $6 \mathrm{~h}^{b}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | bipy-6-OH |  | $\mathbf{1 a}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $2^{c}$ | - | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathbf{1 a}$ | 86 | 90 |
| 3 | bipy-6-OH | PhF | $\mathbf{6 a}$ | 75 | 87 |
| 4 | - | PhF | $\mathbf{6 a}$ | 18 | 18 |
| 5 | bipy-6-OH | PhMe | $\mathbf{1 2 a}$ | 40 | 75 |
| 6 | - | PhMe | $\mathbf{1 2 a}$ | 47 | 47 |

${ }^{a}$ Condiciones de reacción: $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right](0.017 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.034 \mathrm{mmol}$, $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), acrilato de terc-butilo ( 0.341 mmol ), Areno:DMA $=1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ (volumen total, 3 mL ), $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ( 1 atm ), $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{b}$ Los rendimientos fueron determinados mediante ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ RMN empleando dodecano como patrón interno. ${ }^{c}$ Areno:alqueno $=2.5: 1$ relación molar.

## Capítulo 3: Arrojando luz sobre las especies presentes en la mezcla precatalítica de $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ y ligandos cooperativos de tipo bipiridona para activaciones $\mathbf{C}-\boldsymbol{H}$ de arenos

El uso de una mezcla de ligando cooperativo en combinación con un derivado comercial o fácilmente accesible de paladio es habitual en las reacciones catalíticas de acoplamiento C-C con etapas de activación C-H. Sin embargo, apenas existen estudios que hayan ahondado en las diferentes especies que se forman al mezclar el ligando y el precatalizador de paladio y esto es importante para conocer cómo se forman las especies catalíticamente activas. Esta información puede ayudar a evitar tiempos de inducción largos o elegir condiciones que eviten la descomposición del precatalizador. En este capítulo se presentan los resultados obtenidos en el estudio de la mezcla de los ligandos cooperativos [2, $2^{\prime}$-bipiridin]-6( $1 H$ )-ona y 1,10 -fenantrolin- $2(1 H)$-ona con dos de los precatalizadores de $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ más utilizados: $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ y $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$.

Cuando se hace reaccionar el ligando bipy-6-OH con $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ en relación 1:1 se observa la formación de un complejo dímero de $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ (23a), con grupos acetato e hidroxilo como puentes (Esquema VI, a)). Por el contrario, cuando la relación ligando:Pd es $2: 1$, la evolución de la reacción genera un complejo monómero de $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ (24a) donde los dos ligandos bipy-6-OH se encuentran deprotonados y quelatando al centro metálico (el ligando bipy-6-O deprotonado actúa como ligando monoaniónico L,X, Esquema VI, b)). En disolución a temperatura ambiente el complejo 24a experimenta una rápida reorganización en presencia de un equivalente de $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ para generar el complejo 23a (Esquema VI, c)). Esta reorganización es más rápida en presencia de pequeñas cantidades de agua y en disolventes polares y coordinantes como DMSO y en menor medida DMA. Cuando se hace reaccionar con $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ el ligando bipy-6-OH se coordina al centro metálico sin deprotonarse generando 25a (se mantiene como ligando neutro L,L, Esquema VI, d)).
a)



c) $+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$


Esquema VI

Cuando se estudia la reactividad del ligando phen-2-OH en presencia de $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ en relación 1:1, solo se observa la formación del dímero de paladio (23b) en un disolvente polar como DMSO a temperatura ambiente o DMA a alta temperatura, 120 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Esquema VII). Estos resultados indican que, pese a que la bipy-6-OH y la phen-2-OH son ligandos muy similares, su comportamiento en disolución con $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ difiere, siendo los complejos resultantes con phen-2-OH mucho menos solubles y reactivos.


Esquema VII

Debido a que muchas reacciones catalíticas que involucran etapas de activación C-H emplean una combinación de dos ligandos, habitualmente uno de ellos de tipo cooperativo y el otro de tipo piridina, ${ }^{\text {ccli }}$ se decidió estudiar la reactividad de los ligandos bipy-6-OH y phen-2-OH en presencia de $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ y piridina. Con ambos ligandos se observa la formación de complejos monómeros (27a-b) donde el ligando bipiridona se encuentra deprotonado junto con un ligando piridina y acetato que completan la esfera de coordinación del centro metálico (Esquema VIII).




bipy-6-O, 27a
phen-2-O, 27b

Esquema VIII

[^115]Una vez realizado el estudio de la especiación de nuestros ligandos bipy-6-OH y phen-2-OH con $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ se decidió explorar las diferencias de actividad como precatalizadores en una reacción de arilacion directa de tolueno (Ecuación II). .cxlvii


## Ecuación II

Los resultados obtenidos de las reacciones catalíticas se muestran en la Tabla II, donde se puede observar que la reacción no genera el producto de acoplamiento cruzado cuando solo se emplea $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$, sin embargo, en presencia de la mezcla $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ + ligando cooperativo se observa la formación del producto, pero en bajas conversiones tras 6 h de reacción (entradas 2 y 3, Tabla II). Cuando se realiza la misma catálisis con los complejos aislados dímeros 23a-b se produce un incremento en la conversión hacia el producto de interés, especialmente notable para el complejo 23a (entrada 4, Tabla II). Los complejos monómeros 24a-b que presentan una relación Pd:Ligando de 1:2 muestran resultados peores para las mismas condiciones de reacción (entradas 6 y 7 , Tabla II). Finalmente se estudió la actividad catalítica para los complejos 25a-b en los cuales el ligando presenta una coordinación de tipo L,L (ligando protonado). De los dos complejos, solo 25a es capaz de generar una buena conversión a las 6 h (65 \%) (entrada 8, Tabla II).

Tabla II. Arilacion directa de tolueno con $p-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{I}$ empleando diferentes catalizadores. ${ }^{a}$

| Entrada | $[\mathrm{Pd}](5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ | Rdto bruto de <br> reacción, $\%,(6 \mathrm{~h})^{b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ | $-(6 \mathrm{~h})$ |
| 2 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]+$ bipy-6-OH | $20(6 \mathrm{~h})$ |
| 3 | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]+$ phen-2-OH | $6(6 \mathrm{~h})$ |
| 4 | 23a | $82(6 \mathrm{~h})$ |
| 5 | 23b | $15(6 \mathrm{~h})$ |
| 6 | 24a | $18(6 \mathrm{~h})$ |
| 7 | 24b | $3(6 \mathrm{~h})$ |
| 8 | 25a | $65(6 \mathrm{~h})$ |
| 9 | 25b | $4(6 \mathrm{~h})$ |

${ }^{a}$ Condiciones de reacción: $p-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{I}(51 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$, tolueno ( 3 mL ), [Pd] ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $222 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ); $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{b}$ Conversiones determinadas del crudo de reacción mediante ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ RMN. El producto de reducción del haluro de arilo $\left(p-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{H}\right)$ así como el producto de acoplamiento son los subproductos de la reacción observados.

Por tanto, el uso como precatalizadores de complejos que contengan el ligando ya coordinado a paladio en relación Pd:ligando $=1: 1$ llevan a reacciones más rápidas, previsiblemente porque el tiempo necesario para genera las especies activas es menor. Hay que destacar que las diferencias observadas se producen en tolueno como reactivo y disolvente. Cuando se emplea una mezcla de tolueno y DMA (tolueno:DMA $=1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) estas diferencias no son tan importantes debido a la mayor facilidad de coordinación del ligando y reorganización de los complejos observada en disolventes más polares.

La reacción del complejo 23a en tolueno a alta temperatura $\left(130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ lleva a la formación de dimetilbifenilo y $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ mediante doble activación $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ de dos moléculas de tolueno y eliminación reductora. Este es por tanto un mecanismo probable de generación de especies de $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ activas, necesarias en las reacciones de arilación directa ensayadas, desde los complejos precatalizadores de $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ (Figura I).


Figura I

## Capítulo 4: Introducción Parte II.

Los carbenos más estables son aquellos en los que la presencia de sustituyentes dadores de densidad electrónica compensa la deficiencia del carbono carbénico y los hacen menos reactivos. Por esta razón, se ha desarrollado una amplia química alrededor de los carbenos $N$-heterocíclicos (NHC) y análogos (CAAC, MIC, etc). ${ }^{\text {cclii }}$ Estos carbenos presentan dos grupos amino que se encuentran adyacentes al carbono carbénico, formando en muchas ocasiones una estructura cíclica. Coordinados a un metal de transición, y en particular a paladio, actúan como ligandos auxiliares en numerosos procesos catalíticos (Figura II). ${ }^{\text {ccliii }}$


Figura II

En nuestro grupo se han aislado y se ha estudiado la reactividad de carbenos de paladio mucho menos estables que los NHCs, ya que solo presentan un solo grupo electro-dador contiguo al carbono carbénico. De estos estudios, junto con lo que ya se habían publicado previamente, se puede hacer una estimación de la reactividad del carbono carbénico en estos complejos de paladio. La tendencia observada es la siguiente: $\left[: \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{NR}^{1} \mathrm{R}^{1^{\prime}}\right)_{2} \ll: \mathrm{CR}^{2}\left(\mathrm{NR}^{1} \mathrm{R}^{1}\right)\right.$ < $\left.: \mathrm{CR}^{2}\left(\mathrm{OR}^{1}\right)<: \mathrm{CR}^{2}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}\right) \sim: \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\right.$ grupo alquilo o arilo). ${ }^{\text {ccliv }}$ La síntesis de los complejos de paladio con carbenos que solo contienen un grupo electro-dador puede llevarse a cabo por cuatro rutas sintéticas principalmente; i) transmetalación desde complejos metálicos del grupo 6;cliv ii) mediante protonación de

[^116]un grupo alcoxovinílico coordinado a un complejo de $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$;clv ${ }^{\text {iii) }}$ mediante el ataque nucleofilico a un ligando isocianuro previamente coordinado a $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$,cclvi iv) mediante una etapa de adición oxidante de un complejo de $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ a un derivado de 2-cloroimidazolio. clvii

La situación más reactiva y de mayor inestabilidad para un carbeno metálico de $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ se produce cuando los dos sustituyentes adyacentes al carbono carbénico son restos hidrocarbonados (alquilos o arilos). En esta situación, el carbeno metálico resultante es una especie altamente reactiva y a menudo difícil de detectar incluso a baja temperatura. Sin embargo, este tipo de carbenos metálicos desempeñan un papel muy importante como intermedios en la síntesis de compuestos de mayor complejidad molecular, donde el carbeno pasa a formar parte del producto final. Para sintetizar estos carbenos metálicos se han desarrollado diferentes metodologías, entre las que cabe destacar, el uso de diazo compuestos como precursores carbénicos, ${ }^{\text {cclviii }}$ fuentes de carbenos reactivos que no proceden de diazo compuestos (ciclopropeno, triazoles, alenos entre otros) ${ }^{\text {cclix }}$ y mediante transmetalación desde carbenos metálicos del grupo 6 .

Los diazo compuestos se encuentran entre los precursores carbénicos más estudiados, sin embargo, cómo interaccionan con el paladio solo se ha podido averiguar para unos pocos complejos de paladio(0). ${ }^{\text {colx }} \mathrm{El}$ análisis de la estructura molecular mediante difracción de rayos X , muestra que los dos nitrógenos del diazo compuesto se coordinan al $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ en un modo de coordinación de tipo side-on (Esquema IX, a)). Este modo de coordinación es el más estable para complejos de $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ pero para generar el intermedio carbeno metálico, es necesaria una etapa de extrusión de nitrógeno molecular, la cual solo se puede llevar a cabo cuando el diazo compuesto se coordina al centro metálico través del carbono contiguo al grupo funcional "diazo" (Esquema IX, b)).

[^117]

## Esquema IX

La aplicación sintética más importante de los complejos de paladio con carbenos reactivos es la formación de enlaces C-C a través de una etapa de inserción migratoria del grupo carbeno en el enlace $\operatorname{Pd}-\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{R}=$ alquilo, arilo). Van Vranken y colaboradores fueron los pioneros en desarrollar reacciones catalíticas empleando esta estrategia sintética donde los carbenos metálicos se generaban desde diazo compuestos como precursores (Esquema X). ${ }^{\text {cclxi }}$ Los diazo compuestos son una excelente fuente de carbenos metálicos, sin embargo, debido a los problemas de seguridad y de estabilidad que presentan algunos de ellos, las $N$-tosilhidrazonas se han convertido en una alternativa mucho más segura para generar in situ el diazo compuesto, evitando así los riesgos asociados a la síntesis y manipulación de éstos. ${ }^{\text {cclxii,cclxiii }}$ En concreto las N -tosilhidrazonas se descomponen fácilmente en presencia de base y calor mediante la reacciones de Bamford-Stevens (Esquema X). ${ }^{\text {cllxiv }}$ Esta estrategia fue empleada por primera vez por el grupo de Barluenga y Valdés en reacciones catalíticas para la formación de enlaces

[^118]C-C. ${ }^{\text {cclxv }}$ El Esquema $X$ representa de una manera simplificada, el ciclo catalítico general propuesto para este tipo de reacciones.


Esquema $X$

La etapa de inserción migratoria ( $\mathbf{B} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{C}$ ) en complejos bien definidos de paladio sólo se ha podido demostrar con carbenos donde uno de los sustituyentes es un grupo amino o alcoxo. ${ }^{\text {ccliv,cclxvi }}$ Sin embargo la mayoría de las reacciones de acoplamiento C-C con precursores de carbeno catalizadas por paladio usan derivados : $\mathrm{CR}^{2}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}\right)$ con $\mathrm{R}^{1}, \mathrm{R}^{2}$ = alquilo, arilo, H. Por ello el objetivo del trabajo descrito en la Parte II de esta memoria es estudiar la reactividad de complejos de paladio bien definidos con este tipo de fragmentos generados desde diazo compuestos o hidrazonas. Asimismo, se estudiará la reactividad de complejos de otros fragmentos carbénicos poco estabilizados.

[^119]
## Capítulo 5: Diazo-compuestos, hidrazonas y sus reacciones con complejos arílicos de paladio

En este Capítulo se describe la reactividad de diazoalcanos e hidrazonas con complejos de paladio bien definidos.

Se han sintetizado diferentes complejos de paladio modelo: [ $\mathrm{PdArBr}(\mathrm{dppe})]$ (28) y $\left[\operatorname{PdAr}(\mathrm{dppe})\left(\mathrm{NCMe}^{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)(\mathbf{2 9})$ y se ha llevado a cabo su reacción con los diazo compuestos 30 y 32. La reacción es inmediata a temperatura ambiente y genera los correspondientes complejos organometálicos $\mathbf{3 1}$ y $\mathbf{3 3}$ como se muestra en el Esquema XI.


Esquema XI

Estos complejos organometálicos, son el resultado de una secuencia de etapas, como son la formación del carbeno de paladio (tras extrusión de nitrógeno) e inserción migratoria del grupo carbeno en el enlace Pd-arilo (Esquema XII). Los complejos 31 y 33 se estabilizan debido a presencia de un doble enlace o un arilo contiguo al carbono carbénico, lo cual permite que sean lo suficientemente estables para poder aislarlos y caracterizarlos, mediante la formación de un alilo o bencilo de paladio.


## Esquema XII

Cuando las mismas reacciones mostradas en el Esquema XIII se llevan a cabo a $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ monitorizándolas por ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ y ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ RMN no se pudo observar ni detectar ningún intermedio donde el diazo estuviera coordinado al paladio ni la formación de ningún carbeno de paladio.

El perfil energético de las etapas de coordinación del diazo compuesto, extrusión de nitrógeno e inserción migratoria de las reacciones mostradas en el Esquema XIII se han modelizado empleando DFT debido a la imposibilidad de observar ningún intermedio a baja temperatura. La etapa de extrusión de nitrógeno para complejos $\mathbf{3 1}$ y 33 es la etapa limitante de la velocidad de la reacción. Los intermedios calculados con el diazo compuesto coordinado presentan una energía mayor que los correspondientes reactivos de partida, impidiendo de esta manera, su detección por RMN. Una vez superada la barrera energética de la extrusión de nitrógeno para la formación del intermedio carbeno de paladio, la barrera energética de la inserción migratoria es muy pequeña ( 6.1 y $6.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ para la formación de los complejos 31a y 33 respectivamente).

Debido a que las $N$-tosilhidrazonas representan grandes ventajas como sustitutos de los diazo compuestos en muchas reacciones catalíticas, se ha estudiado la reactividad del complejo modelo 29 con las $N$-tosilhidrazonas 34 y 36 (Esquema XIV). La deprotonación de la $N$-tosilhidrazona asistida por una base no coordinante $\left(\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$ resulta en la formación del $N$-tosilhidrazonato correspondiente, el cual es un excelente ligando para complejos de $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$, generando los correspondientes complejos con el $N$-tosilhidrazonato coordinado 35 y 37 (Esquema XIV).


## Esquema XIII

Ambos complejos se descomponen a $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ con formación del derivado 38, donde el grupo tosilo se ha coordinado al paladio por el átomo de azufre. Se observa también la formación del 5-fenil-pirazol derivado, formado por ciclación-1,5 del diazo compuesto generado por descomposición del hidrazonato (Esquema XIV). ${ }^{\text {cclxvii }}$


## Esquema XIV

El seguimiento cinético de la reacción y experimentos cruzados entre dos complejos de paladio con $N$-tosilhidrazonatos coordinados y diferentes ligandos quelatos difosfinas han demostrado que el $N$-tosilhidrazonato se descoordina y se descompone fuera de la esfera de coordinación del paladio.

[^120]Se han llevado a cabo experimentos de sustitución de ligandos que indican que la coordinación del N -tosilhidrazonato a paladio es más favorable que la coordinación de bromuro o tosilato. De este modo en una reacción catalítica donde se empleen hidrazonas como precursores de carbeno, se pueden formar diferentes especies, pero los complejos hidrazonato son previsiblemente las especies predominantes (resting state). La sustitución del hidrazonato por un diazo compuesto es posible como se muestra en los experimentos independientes realizados de la Ecuación III.


## Ecuación III

En conjunto, estos experimentos permiten completar el ciclo catalítico propuesto para las reacciones de acoplamiento $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ con precursores carbenos como reactivos tal y como muestra el Esquema XV.


Esquema XV

## Capítulo 6: Entendiendo la influencia de los ligandos en la reacción multietapa de diazo compuestos con complejos de paladio con acoplamiento carbeno-arilo.

En la literatura se encuentran ejemplos en los que la elección de diferentes ligandos auxiliares en reacciones catalíticas de acoplamiento C-C que involucran carbenos reactivos (generados a partir de diazo compuestos o hidrazonas) puede llevar a la formación de diferentes productos partiendo de los mismos reactivos de partida. ${ }^{\text {cclxviii }}$ Tomando como base las reacciones modelo estudiadas en el Capítulo 5, se ha extendido su investigación a complejos similares donde el ligando quelato fosfina (dppe) se ha sustituido por otros ligandos (dppp, dppb, $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ o bipy).

El Esquema XVI recoge los datos obtenidos por ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ y ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ RMN para la reacción de los complejos de paladio modelo ( $29,43,44$ y 45) con los dos diazo compuestos ( $\mathbf{3 0}$ y 32). Se observa una clara disminución en la cantidad del producto de inserción migratoria cuando que sustituimos el ligando auxiliar dppe por otros más voluminosos (dppp o dppb). Estos resultados experimentales indican que el ligando auxiliar está teniendo un papel importante durante la reacción.

Cuando se estudia la reactividad con bipiridina como ligando auxiliar no se observa ningún producto derivado de inserción migratoria. Los únicos complejos de paladio observados tras la reacción corresponden a la coordinación del pirazol-derivado, generado por cicloadición intramolecular del diazo compuesto (Esquema XVI, d)).

[^121]

Esquema XVI

El complejo trans- $\left[\operatorname{PdBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ no genera ningún producto organometálico de inserción migratoria cuando reacciona con los diazo compuestos 30 y 32. La disposición trans del complejo de partida, impide que el posible carbeno de paladio (formado tras la etapa de extrusión de nitrógeno) pueda interaccionar con el enlace Pd-arilo llevando a cabo la etapa de inserción migratoria. La reorganización en disolución hacia el isómero cis es más lenta que la descomposición por otras vías del carbeno de paladio, explicando así la presencia de benzaldehído (producto de hidrólisis) o derivados de azina.

A la vista de los resultados experimentales recogidos en el Esquema XVI, se decidió modelar las reacciones mediante cálculos computacionales DFT para averiguar qué etapas eran claves para explicar la velocidad de formación de los complejos organometálicos. La Tabla III muestra los valores obtenidos para la barrera de extrusión de nitrógeno y de inserción migratoria para los diferentes ligandos auxiliares estudiados. Todos ellos presentan energías de activación muy bajas donde la tendencia es justo la contraria a la observada experimentalmente. Por tanto, se decidió explorar otras etapas que también están involucradas en la reacción y que no se habían contemplado hasta el momento, como es la coordinación del diazo compuesto al centro metálico.

Tabla III.

| L-L | $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}{ }_{\text {extrusión de N2 }}$ | $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}{ }_{\text {inserción }}$ <br> migratoria | $\mathrm{TS}_{\text {extrusión de N2 }}$ | $\mathrm{TS}_{\text {inserción migratoria }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dppe | 11.0 | 6.1 | 14.1 | -11.6 |
| dppp | 10.2 | 4.5 | 12.6 | -12.2 |
| dppb | 8.9 | 4.6 | 11.2 | -15.5 |
| bipy | 3.5 | 3.3 | 5.3 | -21.3 |

Se analizó el mecanismo de sustitución asociativo entre el diazo compuesto 30 y los complejos de partida 29 y 44 (Figura III). Experimentos cinéticos realizados para la reacción del complejo 44 (dppp) con diferentes concentraciones del ligando entrante (diazoalcano) indican que este es el mecanismo que opera. La energía de activación para el estado de transición en el sistema dppe es de $11.0 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, mientras que el mismo mecanismo para el sistema dppp presenta una barrera de activación estimada de 17.6 kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. Esta diferencia energética permite explicar la diferente facilidad de formación de los complejos organometálicos de inserción migratoria 31 vs. 46.


Figura III

## Capítulo 7: Reacciones del aminocarbeno más simple $\operatorname{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)$ : Transmetalación desde $\mathbf{C u}(I)$ a Pd(II) e inserción migratoria.

A lo largo de este capítulo se aborda el estudio de reacciones donde se produce una transferencia de carbeno entre un complejo de cobre(I) ([Tp $\left.\left.{ }^{\mathrm{Ms}} \mathrm{Cu}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\}\right]\right)$ y complejos de paladio(II). .clxix Este trabajo se ha llevado a cabo en colaboración con el grupo de investigación del Prof. Pedro Pérez de la Universidad de Huelva (CIQSO) y con el Prof. Agustí Lledós de la Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona.

El complejo $\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \mathrm{Cu}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$ representa el primer ejemplo de un aminocarbeno monosustituido que se ha podido aislar y caracterizar completamente. Al no encontrar ningún precedente en la literatura que sea similar, se decidió estudiar su transferencia a complejos de $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ y sobre éstos últimos, realizar un estudio de su reactividad.

Empleando los complejos de paladio $\mathbf{5 6}$ y $\mathbf{5 8}$ se ha conseguido aislar los complejos 57 y 60 donde el aminocarbeno se encuentra coordinado (Esquema XVII). Ambos complejos han sido caracterizados por RMN, espectrometría de masas (complejo 60) y difracción de rayos X (complejo 60).

a)

b)



Esquema XVII

[^122]La reacción b) del Esquema XVII se ha monitorizado por ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ RMN a baja temperatura $\left(-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. El proceso comienza con la transmetalación del aminocarbeno desde el complejo de cobre 55 al complejo 59 (generado in situ en el medio de reacción cuando se emplea acetonitrilo como disolvente). El primer complejo que se observa es el intermedio trans-[ $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ (carbeno)] (trans-60) estable solo a baja temperatura $\left(-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. A medida que se incrementa la temperatura se observa la aparición de dos complejos; 57 (previa disociación de una $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ) y el complejo cis- $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right.$ (carbeno) $]$ (cis-60). Este último (cis-60) es la especie estable termodinámicamente y la única especie que se observa a temperatura ambiente (Figura IV).


Figura IV

Con los datos experimentales obtenidos a partir de la reacción mostrada en el Esquema XVII, b) y la Figura IV, el Prof. Agustí Lledós ha modelizado por cálculos computacionales DFT las diferentes etapas que se producen para llevar a cabo la transmetalación del aminocarbeno desde el complejo de $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}) 55$ al complejo de $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ 59. La etapa más alta en energía para todo el proceso presenta un valor de $18.4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, esta barrera de activación es fácil de superar a bajas temperaturas como se ha demostrado en el seguimiento de la reacción. El estudio computacional de la etapa de isomerización trans-cis del complejo $\mathbf{6 0}$ muestra que el proceso transcurre con disociación de la fosfina
y formación del carbeno con acetonitrilo coordinado 57 durante el proceso, como se observa experimentalmente.

Tras analizar la etapa de transmetalación en complejos de paladio que no contienen grupos arilo, se procedió a estudiar la reactividad de complejos de paladio que contuviesen un arilo coordinado de forma que, tras la etapa de transmetalación, el aminocarbeno pudiera insertarse en el enlace $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ (arilo).

El complejo dímero de paladio(II) 64 demostró ser idóneo para llevar a cabo este tipo de reacción, ya que permite aislar el complejo resultante de las etapas de transmetalación y de inserción migratoria del aminocarbeno en el enlace Pd- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ (63). El complejo resultante es un dímero de paladio(II) (63) que se encuentra estabilizado por la imposibilidad de llevar a cabo procesos de descomposición de tipo $\beta$-eliminación de hidrógeno (Ecuación IV). No se detecta el carbeno de paladio intermedio, lo que indica que para este fragmento la inserción migratoria es un proceso rápido.


Ecuación IV

## Conclusiones Generales

Capítulo 2. Se ha desarrollado la reacción de tipo Heck oxidativa para arenos simples con oxigeno como único oxidante y sin presencia de mediadores de oxidación, empleando la mínima cantidad de aditivos, solo molibdato sódico en cantidades catalíticas. Esto permite unas condiciones de reacción sin necesidad de usar bases fuertes o ácidos. La presencia de los ligandos cooperativos [2, 2'-bipiridin]-6( 1 H )-ona (bipy-6-OH) y 1,10-fenantrolin-2( 1 H )-ona (phen-2-OH) facilita la reacción para arenos mono- y disustituidos con diferentes propiedades electrónicas, asistiendo en la etapa de ruptura del enlace C -H. Sin embargo, la presencia del ligando cooperativo es perjudicial en la reacción de polifluoroarenos $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}_{6-\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{n} \geq 3)$ y 1,3- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ donde la fácil activación C-H no requiere de una cooperación adicional por parte del ligando. Es más, se genera un efecto desfavorable en la etapa de coordinación-inserción de la olefina. Por eso en el caso de polifluoroarenos no es recomendable el uso de un ligando cooperativo pero la etapa de activación C-H de cualquier otro areno en estas condiciones de reacción sí requiere la presencia del ligando cooperativo para llevar a cabo la reacción con buenos rendimientos.

Capítulo 3. Todos los complejos de paladio aislados de la reacción entre bipy-6-OH o phen-2-OH con $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ exhiben un modo de coordinación de tipo $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{X}$ (monoaniónico). Independientemente de la relación Pd:ligando empleada (1:1 o 1:2) la formación del complejo monómero neutro $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{X})_{2}\right]$ (L-X = bipy-6-O, phen-2-O, 24) ocurre en primer lugar. Este complejo 24 es el único producto que se observa para una relación Pd:ligando $=1: 2$. Si la relación es 1:1, se produce una reorganización del complejo 24 con el $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ que no ha reaccionado para formar el complejo dímero $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\text { bipy-6-O })_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{OAc})(\mu-\mathrm{OH})\right]$ (23a) que se han aislado y caracterizado. La formación del complejo 23a es rápida en disolventes coordinantes como el DMSO. Además, se ha observado que la formación del complejo 23a tiene una fuerte dependencia con la cantidad de agua que haya presente en el disolvente. El ligando phen-2-OH tiende a formar complejos mucho menos solubles, lo cual lleva a un comportamiento menos activo al requerir mayores temperaturas para llevar a cabo el proceso de reorganización para generar 23b.

En contraste con el acetato de paladio, la reacción de $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$, como precursor, genera complejos neutros ( $\mathbf{2 5}$, coordinación de tipo L,L).

La actividad como precatalizadores de los complejos aislados ha sido evaluada en la reacción de arilación directa de tolueno en comparación con la mezcla
$\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right] /$ bipy- $6-\mathrm{OH}$ o phen-2-OH. Cuando la reacción catalítica se lleva a cabo en un medio polar, por ejemplo, una mezcla de tolueno/DMA, apenas hay diferencias en el rendimiento final sin importar el precatalizador empleado, muy probablemente debido a la rápida coordinación y posterior reorganización del ligando en el centro metálico que lleva a las especies activas. Sin embargo, cuando la reacción se lleva a cabo en un medio no-polar (tolueno), los complejos 23a y 25a aceleran la reacción incrementando el rendimiento final en las primeras 6 h en comparación con la mezcla $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ / bipy-6-OH. Esto demuestra que la precoordinación del ligando deprotonado al centro metálico en relación Pd:ligando $=1: 1$ facilita la formación de especies activas. Los precatalizadores de $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ sintetizados pueden transformarse en especies de $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ activas en la reacción de arilacion directa mediante una doble activación $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ del areno (tolueno) y posterior eliminación reductora.

Capítulo 5. Empleando complejos arílicos de paladio se ha podido conseguir información acerca de la interacción con diazo compuestos y $N$-tosilhidrazonas. No se ha podido detectar complejos carbeno de paladio debido al alto carácter electrofílico que presentan y que conlleva a una rápida inserción migratoria, generando los correspondientes alilos y bencilos de paladio que sí se han podido aislar y caracterizar completamente. Estos complejos demuestran de manera inequívoca que la inserción migratoria ocurre para carbenos de paladio generados a partir de diazo compuestos.

Por otra parte, los tosilhidrazonatos han demostrado ser excelentes ligandos en complejos de paladio bajo condiciones de catálisis (altas concentraciones de hidrazona y de base en el medio). Cuando los complejos con tosilhidrazonato coordinado se descomponen, generan tosilato libre que compite como ligando, formando complejos de paladio con el tosilo coordinado. Se ha demostrado mediante experimentos cruzados que la descomposición del tosilhidrazonato no se produce dentro de la esfera de coordinación del paladio, sino que requiere de una descoordinación previa. Con todos los resultados obtenidos en el aislamiento y estudio de complejos de paladio con tosilhidrazonatos coordinados, así como reacciones competitivas de sustitución de ligandos, es posible proponer a los complejos hidrazonato como posibles "resting states" del ciclo catalítico de acoplamiento C-C con precursores de carbenos como reactivos. A partir de ahí la sustitución del hidrazonato por el diazoalcano es posible seguida de una rápida formación del carbeno e inserción migratoria.

Capítulo 6. Se ha estudiado la reacción con diazoalcanos de una serie de complejos de $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ con el arilo $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ y ligandos auxiliares de tipo difosfina con diferentes
ángulos de mordedura $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{NCMe})(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L})\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4} \mathrm{El}$ orden de reactividad obtenido experimentalmente para la formación de los productos de inserción migratoria es el siguiente: L-L = dppe >dppp >> dppb. Los cálculos computacionales realizados para los diferentes perfiles de las disfosfinas muestran que a medida que aumenta el ángulo de mordedura de la difosfina, las energías de las etapas de extrusión de nitrógeno desde el diazo compuesto y de inserción migratoria disminuyen. Por lo tanto, las diferencias de reactividad no se deben a estas etapas sino a la velocidad de coordinación del diazo compuesto al paladio, como se ha corroborado experimental y computacionalmente.

La reacción de los complejos análogos trans- $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{NCMe})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ y $\left[\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{NCMe})(\right.$ bipy $\left.)\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ no llevan productos de acoplamiento carbeno-arilo. En el primer caso porque no se produce la isomerización del complejo que permita la disposición cis-carbeno arilo necesaria para la inserción migratoria. En el segundo caso porque la coordinación de 5 -fenil-pirazol, producto de la descomposición del diazoalcano empleado, es más favorable que la coordinación $\mathrm{K}^{1}$ - C del diazoalcano.

Capítulo 7. La transmetalación del fragmento carbeno desde el complejo $\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \mathrm{Cu}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\}\right](\mathbf{5 5})$ a los complejos $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{NCMe}) \mathrm{L}\right]\left(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{NCMe}, 56 ; \mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathbf{5 9}\right)$ ocurre muy rápido tanto a temperatura ambiente como a baja temperatura para $\mathbf{5 9}$ $\left(-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Se han podido aislar y caracterizar los correspondientes complejos de $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ con el aminocarbeno coordinado $\quad\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{NCMe})\right] \quad\right.$ (57) y cis- $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right]\right.$ (60. Se ha observado que estos complejos con el grupo aminocarbeno presentan un comportamiento electrofílico, como muestra la formación de subproductos de hidrólisis durante las reacciones y seguimientos. Los estudios sobre la etapa de transmetalación desde el aminocarbeno de $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ al complejo 59 llevados a cabo por el Prof. Agustí Lledós revelan un perfil energético con barreras de activación bajas, siendo el energetic span de la reacción de $18.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. La transferencia del grupo carbeno desde el $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ hasta el $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ se produce a través de un puente aminocarbeno a los dos centros metálicos.

Cuando se estudia la reacción de $\left[\mathrm{Tp}^{\mathrm{Ms}} \mathrm{Cu}\left\{\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$ (55) con complejos de paladio con grupos arilo $\left(-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)$ no se ha podido detectar ningún intermedio con el carbeno coordinado al paladio, sino la formación del complejo de paladio dímero 63, resultado de la inserción migratoria del carbeno en el enlace $\mathrm{Pd}^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$, el cual ha podido ser aislado y caracterizado. Esto implica que la etapa de inserción migratoria es muy rápida para el aminocarbeno $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{2}\right)$ que en este comportamiento se asemeja a carbenos más electrofílicos que lo que su estructura electrónica permite predecir.

Appendix

## List of abbreviations and acronyms

## General Abbreviations

| 5-Ph-pz | 5-phenyl-pyrazolate |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5-Ph-pz-H | 5-phenyl-1H-pyrazole |
| 2,6-F-F-Bz-Leu-OH | 2,6-difluorobenzoyl-protected leucine |
| acac | Acetylacetonate |
| AcOH | Acetic acid |
| Anal. | Elemental Analysis |
| Ar | Aryl group |
| bipy | 2,2'-bipyridine |
| bipy-6-OH | [2,2'-bipyridin]-6(1H)-one |
| bipy-6-O | [2,2'-bipyridin]-6-one |
| CAAC | Cyclic Alkyl Amino Carbene |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {carbenic }}$ | Carbon atom of the carbene unit |
| CMD | Concerted Metalation-Deprotonation |
| Cpd. | Compound |
| D | Diffusion coefficient |
| DDQ | 2,3-Dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone |
| DFT | Density Functional Theory |
| DGs | Directing Groups |
| DMA | $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylacetamide |
| DMSO | Dimethyl sulfoxide |
| dppb | 1,4-Bis(diphenylphosphino)butane |
| dppe | 1,2-Bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane |
| dppm | 1,1-Bis(diphenylphosphino)methane |
| dppp | 1,3-Bis(diphenylphosphino)propane |
| dippp | 1,4-Bis(diphenylphosphino)butane |
| dpbz | 1,2-Bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane |
| dmpe | 1,3-Bis(diphenylphosphino)propane |
| dppee | 1,2-Bis-(diphenylphosphino)benzene |
| EDG | Electron-Donating Groups |
| equiv | Equivalent |
| ETMs | Electron-Transfer Mediators |
| ESI-TOF | Electrospray Ionisation- Time-Of-Flight |
| EWG | Electron-Withdrawing Groups |
| GC | Gas Chromatography |
| GIAO | Gauge-Independent Atomic Orbital |
| IR | Infrared |
| Isol. | Isolated |
| KIE | Kinetic Isotope Effect |
| KHMDS | Potassium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide |
| L | Monodentate neutral ligand |
| MS | Mass Spectrometry |
| MTBE | Tert-butyl methyl ether |
| M.I. | Migratory insertion |
| MPAA | Monoprotected amino acid |
| NAC | Nitrogen Acyclic Carbene |
| NHC | Nitrogen Heterocyclic Carbene |
| OAc | Acetate |
| Ox | Oxidized / Oxidant |

## Abbreviations

| Pf | Pentafluorophenyl $\left(-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)$ group |
| :--- | :--- |
| PfH | Pentafluorobenzene |
| phen | 1,10-Phenanthroline |
| phen-2-OH | [1,10-Phenanthrolin]-2 $1 H$ )-one |
| phen-2-O | [1,10-Phenanthrolin]-2-one |
| Py | Pyridine |
| PivOH | 2,2-Dimethylpropanoic acid (pivalic acid) |
| Ref. | Reference |
| Rf | 3,5-dichloro2,4,6-trifluorophenyl |
| SMD | Solvation Model based on Density |
| Solv | Solvent in general |
| TFA | Trifluoroacetic acid |
| THF | Tetrahydrofuran |
| Tp | Hydrotris(3-mesitylpyrazolyl)borate |
| Ts | Tosylate |
| TS | Transition State |
| TsNIK | $N$-Iodo p-toluenesulfonamide |
| TEMPO | (2,2,6,6-Tetramethylpiperidin-1-yl)oxyl |
| VTNA | Variable Time Normalization Analysis |
| X | Halogen in general |
| Z-Phe-OH | L-Phenylalanine |

Abbreviations used in NMR spectroscopy

| NMR | Nuclear Magnetic Resonance |
| :--- | :--- |
| s | singlet |
| d | doublet |
| t | triplet |
| m | multiplet |
| br | broad |
| J | Coupling constant |
| ppm | Parts per million |
| COSY | (COrrelation SpectroscopY) |
| HSQC | (Heteronuclear Single Quantum Coherence Spectroscopy) |
| HMBC | (Heteronuclear Multiple Bond Correlation) |
| ROESY | (Rotating frame Overhauser Effect SpectroscopY) |

## Abbreviations used in X-Ray diffraction

CCD
Charge Coupled Device
ORTEP
Oak Ridge Thermal Ellipsoid Plot
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