The curious case of thin-body Ge crystallization
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The authors investigate the templated crystallization of thin-body Ge fin structures with high aspect ratios. Experimental variables include fin thickness and thermal treatments, with fin structures oriented in the (110) direction. Transmission electron microscopy determined that various crystal defects form during crystallization of amorphous Ge regions, most notably {111} stacking faults, twin boundaries, and small crystallites. In all cases, the nature of the defects is dependent on the fin thickness and thermal treatments applied. Using a standard 600 °C rapid-thermal-anneal, Ge structures with high aspect ratios crystallize with better crystal quality and fewer unsecured defects than the equivalent Si case, which is a cause for optimism for thin-film Ge devices. © 2011 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3643160]

While Si has dominated the semiconductor industry for many decades, alternative materials such as Ge, III-Vs, and graphene are rapidly emerging as realistic competitors. These materials may be less mature, but a brief literature scan of the recent past clearly shows an increase in popularity in alternative materials, and more importantly real progress in terms of processing and expertise. Ge, in particular, is problematic due to excessive leakage currents.7 To overcome this, thin-body architectures are being considered which operate in partially depleted, fully depleted, or highly doped channels for short-channel-effect (SCE) control, is problematic due to excessive leakage currents.7

Doping thin-body features can be quite a substantial challenge, as it is difficult to get the impurity atoms into the structure, activate them, and prevent them escaping during thermal treatments, while maintaining good crystalline integrity of the semiconductor crystal.10 Indeed proposed conformal doping techniques such as plasma or vapor phase doping may evolve as the ultimate choice for multi-gate-field-effect-transistor (MugFET) optimization, while nanowire applications using plasma doping have been reported recently.11,12 However, at this point in time ion implant is still the industry standard, and recently Veloso et al. explored several MugFET doping schemes using conventional ion implant for both logic and dense circuits.13 A cause for concern for MugFET optimization is the trade-off between parasitic source-drain resistance (RsD) and fin width (Wfin). Fins must be narrow to control SCE. High RsD and device variability can often be correlated with poor Si crystal quality of previously amorphized thin-body Si regions,14 thus having a good recrystallization methodology after implant cannot be underestimated. Moreover, Ge is more likely to amorphize than Si,15 as mid-1013 cm–2 P or As implants will commonly amorphize Ge at room temperature. As a consequence, it is the aim of this work to study the effect of amorphization and recrystallization in thin-body Ge structures.

High-resistivity (>40 Ω cm) pre-cleaned (100) Ge wafers were patterned with e-beam lithography and a SF6/CF4 plasma etch process, resulting in fin arrays of Wfin = 20-150 nm and fin height (Hfin) of approximately 435 nm. Fins were patterned running in the ⟨110⟩ direction. The test structure consisted of arrays of 10 fins running in parallel. As shown schematically in Figs. 1(a) and 1(c), P was implanted at 7° with a dose of 1 × 1015 cm–2 and energy of 60 keV, which partially amorphized the wide fins and fully amorphized the narrow fins (Wfin = 35 nm and narrower). For crystallization, some samples received a 400 °C 3 min furnace anneal in N2 and others a 600 °C 1 s rapid-thermal-anneal (RTA) in N2. Cross-sectional transmission electron microscopy (XTEM) was carried out using JEOL 2100 HRTEM operated at 200 kV. Cross-section samples were obtained by using FEI Dual Beam Helios Nanolab system. The sample preparation process started with electron beam induced deposition of thin layers of C and Pt, followed by ion beam induced deposition of a thick Pt protection layer. These were deposited on the region of interest prior to focused ion beam milling. The final Ga-ion polishing of the thin foils was achieved at 10° off-normal direction at 2 kV with low beam currents in order to remove sub-10 nm Ga-ion damage. The XTEM images shown throughout this paper are a representative sample from a larger collection. Figures 1(b) and 1(d) show XTEM images of wide and narrow fins after ion implantation. In some cases, voids are visible between the fins, due to the difficulty of filling between structures of such high aspect ratio during XTEM sample preparation.

Figure 2 shows XTEM images of a 130 nm wide fin after a recrystallization anneal of 400 °C 3 min in N2. In Fig. 2(a), facet defects are visible at the foot of the structures, similar
to those observed in Si when two crystallization fronts meet.\textsuperscript{16} In the recrystallized portion of the fin, there are a few small defects and \{111\} stacking faults.

Figure 3 shows XTEM analysis of narrow fins (25-30 nm wide) after a recrystallization anneal of 400°C 3 min in N\textsubscript{2}. In Fig. 3(a), a zoomed-out view of 3 fins from an array show statistical differences from fin to fin, but the general trends are the same. It can be seen that the fins have not completely crystallized, even though 400°C 3 min should regrow approximately 360 nm of amorphous Ge in a planar substrate,\textsuperscript{17} and some crystalline regions can be seen randomly distributed through the amorphized region. Templated crystallization from the base of the structures has been retarded, and as in Si (Ref. 18), it appears regrowth in the \{111\} direction for Ge is slower than in the \{110\} or \{100\} directions.\textsuperscript{19} A zoom-in to the crystallization front at the foot of a fin is shown in Fig. 3(b). The recently regrown region is not defect-free, as some small defects have survived this anneal, in the amorphous Ge region some small crystallites are present, and an arrowhead-shaped crystallization front accompanied by \{111\} defects are seen at the foot of the fins.

![FIG. 1. (Color online) Schematics and XTEM images of wide fins (a) and (b) and of narrow fins (c) and (d). The 7\textsuperscript{7} P implant serves to amorphize the top 120 nm of the wide fins, as well as partly amorphizing the sidewall. The narrow fins (35 nm wide and thinner) are completely amorphized. Fin height is approximately 435 nm.](image)

![FIG. 2. (Color online) XTEM images of a wide fin after a recrystallization anneal of 400°C 3 min in N\textsubscript{2}. (a) There are facet defects at the base of the structure, (b) while the top section shows a few small defects and \{111\} stacking faults.](image)

![FIG. 3. (Color online) XTEM images of narrow fins after a recrystallization anneal of 400°C 3 min in N\textsubscript{2}. (a) Fins have not completely crystallized, (b) in the crystalline Ge region some small defects have survived this anneal, in the amorphous Ge region some small crystallites are present, and (c) an arrowhead-shaped crystallization front accompanied by \{111\} defects are seen at the foot of the fins.](image)

![FIG. 4. (Color online) XTEM images of narrow fins after a recrystallization anneal of 600°C 1 s RTA in N\textsubscript{2}. (a) Fins have completely crystallized with defects only located in the top of the structures. Defects at the foot and middle of the fins have been cured, (b) \{111\} defects have detached from sidewall, and (c) some sets of stacking faults have ordered themselves in a periodic arrangement forming a different polytype structure.](image)
ahead of the recrystallization front. In a further zoom-in, in Fig. 3(c), the characteristic arrowhead-shaped front accompanied by (111) defects are clearly present at the foot of the fins, as seen previously in the work on Si fins.20

The result of a 600 °C 1 s RTA is shown in Fig. 4. Again a zoomed-out view of 3 fins in Fig. 4(a) shows statistical differences from fin to fin, but the general trends are the same. \(W_{\text{fin}} = 30-35 \text{ nm}\) in this array. Fins have completely crystallized, with defects only located in the top portion of structures. \{111\} defects at the foot and middle of the fin have been cured. A clue as to how this has happened can be seen in the high-resolution XTEM of Fig. 4(b) where some \{111\} defects have detached from sidewall. It is speculated that the curing of these defects starts at the surfaces and proceeds upwards. Interestingly, we observe that in some regions, the sets of stacking faults along the \{111\} direction have ordered themselves in a periodic arrangement, forming a different polytype structure. The indexation of such structures can be done based on rhombohedra or hexagonal unit cell as already observed for Si and SiC. For example, two such regions adopting a rhombohedral 9R polytype structure combine to form a well defined arrow head structure as shown in Fig. 4(c). Further details on the crystallography and formation mechanism of the Ge polytype structures formed upon thermal recrystallization will be presented in a separate report.

Recrystallization of amorphized Si fins experimentally highlighted the difficulty of templated thin-body semiconductor crystallization.20 Twin boundary defects were clearly visible as well as polycrystalline grains. Si crystal quality degraded with decreasing \(W_{\text{fin}}\).21 This has subsequently been modeled by a number of groups using molecular dynamics and lattice kinetic Monte Carlo techniques for Si,22-24 and by Posselt and Gabriel using molecular dynamics for Ge.25

The formation of these \{111\} defects is an intriguing subject, as they are crystal defects without an excess or deficit of atoms. For an atom to be incorporated in the growing lattice, it should form two undistorted bonds with the crystal. At the sidewall surfaces, the crystal lattice is irregular, and consequently, the formation of undistorted bonds is hindered. \{111\} defects originate the surfaces due to this disturbance of templated atom incorporation into the crystal lattice. Atoms attaching in the \{111\} plane have only one bond with the crystalline phase, and may rotate around that bond axis, causing misorientation. Saenger et al. also did an extensive experimental study in Si of trench-edge defects along oxide isolation edges for hybrid-orientation substrate applications,26 and later it was shown \(10 \text{ nm}\) directions on \(100 \text{ nm}\) wafers produce \{111\} twins which was resolved by rotating structure in the \{100\} direction. In that body of work annealing at a very high temperature (e.g., 1325 °C) cured defects,27 which was also observed in Si by Skibitzki et al. using a 1000 °C 60 s anneal.28 Such high thermal budget anneals almost certainly produce significant dopant diffusion. It is noteworthy in this work that a very modest thermal budget (600 °C 1 s RTA) can produce the same curing effect in Ge.

In conclusion, after an RTA treatment, Ge fins with high aspect ratios in the order of 15:1 have almost entirely crystallized defect-free from the crystalline template at the foot of the structures. Lower thermal budgets show that \{111\} stacking faults form during the early stages of crystallization, which is similar to that seen in Si. However, while high thermal budgets are required to cure these defects in Si, a 600 °C 1 s anneal in N\(_2\) was shown to efficiently clean up the Ge structures studied in this work.
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