## FURTHER NOTES ON OVID'S HEROIDES

Notas criticas y de interpretación acerca de las Heroidas ovidianas
Some critical and interpretative notes about Ovid's Heroides
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2.103-106
quid precor infelix? te iam tenet altera coniunx forsitan et nobis qui male fauit amor, utque tibi excidimus, nullam puto Phyllida nosti. ei mihi, si quae sim Phyllis et unde rogas!
Scholars ${ }^{1}$ have been puzzled by the meaning of lines 103-104. I would like to suggest that we should translate as follows:
"Another wife controls (tenet") you now (iam) perhaps, and a passion (amor) which wickedly (male) has been silent (favit) due to me (nobis)".
Phyllis means that Demophoon has kept silent about his love-affair with her.
3.111-112
si tibi nunc dicam, fortissime, "tu quoque iura nulla tibi sine me gaudia facta" neges.
Kenney (op. cit., 170) was perplexed by the punctuation of line 111. I would like to suggest that fortissime ${ }^{3}$ is an adverb and means "vigorously". We should print the lines as follows:
si tibi nunc dicam "fortissime tu quoque iura nulla tibi sine me gaudia facta" neges.

[^0]
## Translate thus:

"If I now say to you: 'You also swear (iura) vigorously (fortissime) that no pleasures (gaudia ${ }^{4}$ ) have been accomplished by you without me', you would refuse".
For the short -ě at the end of adverbs $c f$. Kühner-Holzweissig § 48, 2 (p. 234), e.g. maxumĕ, supernĕ, etc. At Her. 2.104 we read malë.
4.137-138
nec labor est celare licet pete munus ab illa.
cognato poterit nomine culpa tegi.
Kenney ${ }^{5}$ pointed out that scholars have been puzzled by the meaning of these lines. Textual alteration is, however, not necessary. We should punctuate as follows:
nec labor est. celare licet. pete munus ab illa.
Translate thus: "Nor is there trouble (labor ${ }^{6}$ ). It is permitted to hide (it). Seek help from her (i.e. Venus)". Asyndeton is very common in oratio recta: cf. Her. 1.33-34. Since Phaedra is related by marriage to Hippolytus, it will be easy for her to conceal an affair with him. Venus can be expected to help the adulterous couple, since she had many such affairs: $c f$. Mus. Phil. Lond. vol. X (1996), 50. Consequently their affair will not cause any trouble.
4.154-155
quid deceat non videt ullus amans.
depuduit profugusque pudor sua signa reliquit.
Kenney ${ }^{7}$ was puzzled by the meaning of line 155. Textual alteration is, however, not necessary. We should translate as follows: "No lover sees what is befitting. He has become shameless (depuduit), as fleeing modesty has left her standards behind". For the repetition depuduit ... pudor cf. Mus. Phil. Lond. vol. X (1996), 51.
4.175-176

Addimus his precibus lacrimas quoque: verba precantis perlegis et lacrimas finge videre meas.

[^1]
## line 176 perlegis et: perlege et v.l.

Scholars ${ }^{8}$ have been puzzled by the meaning of these lines. I would like to suggest that we should print the variant reading perlege et and translate as follows:
"Examine (perlege") the words of a woman who begs (precantis), and imagine that you see my tears".
The writer of letter wants its recipient to pay attention to her words and to understand her suffering. For hiatus in the Heroides cf. Palmer's edition, Index, s.v. Hiatus.
7.39-41
...aut mare, quale vides agitari nunc quoque ventis,
quo tamen adversis fluctibus ire paras. quo fugis?...
Scholars ${ }^{10}$ have been puzzled by the meaning of quo in line 40 . I would like to point out that Aeneas is said to be the son of Venus and Anchises. In this passage, Dido states that Aeneas is the offspring of the sea, and for this reason ( $q u o^{11}$ ) he is willing to seal over the stormy waves.
7.91-92
his tamen officiis utinam contenta fuissem,
et mihi concubitus fama sepulta foret!
Kenney was puzzled by the meaning of these lines: cf. op. cit., 177 ff . Textual alteration is, however, not warranted. We should translate as follows:
"Would that I had confined myself to humanitarian duties and that the scandal of my union (concubitus fama) has been buried".
Cf. Ex Ponto 1.5 .85 tunc mea fama sepulta est.

[^2]7.127-128
est etiam frater, cuius manus impia possit respergi nostro, sparsa cruore viri.
line 127 possit : poscit v.l.
The critics ${ }^{12}$ have been puzzled by the text of line 127 . I would like to suggest that we should print the variant poscit and translate as follows:
"There is, too, my brother, whose impious hand demands (poscit) to be spattered (respergi) by my friend (nostro ${ }^{13}$, i.e. Aeneas), as it is already sprinkled with the blood of my husband".
7.141-142
hoc duce nempe deo ventis agitaris iniquis
et teris in rapido tempora longa freto?
In his discussion of these lines, Kenney (op. cit., 178 ff.) considers the possible meanings of the adjective rapido ${ }^{14}$. I would like to suggest that Ovid is referring to the fact that Aeneas passed the straits of Scylla and Charybdis before he came to Dido: cf. Met. 14.75 ff . We should therefore translate as follows:
"The god under whose guidance you are tossed about by the unfriendly winds and spend a long time in the swift straits (i.e. in the straits off Sicily)".
16.141-146
magna quidem de te rumor praeconia fecit, nullaque de facie nescia terra tua est.
nec tibi per usquam Phrygia nec solis ab ortu inter formosas altera nomen habet.
credis et hoc nobis? minor est tua gloria vero, famaque de forma paene maligna tua est.
line 143 par usquam Phrygiae Naugerius

[^3]Kenney ${ }^{15}$ noted that "in line 143 Naugerius' correction economically restores sense and metre to the first part of the line". He was, however, puzzled by the meaning of this passage. I would like to suggest that we should translate as follows: "There is no husband (par ${ }^{16}$ ) for you anywhere in Phrygia, nor does another woman amongst the beautiful women from the east (eolis ab ortu) have your fame (nomen habet)". Phrygia, of course, means "in Phrygia": cf. Palmer ad loc.
21.23-26
...iamque venire videt quos non admittere durum est, excreat et ficta dat mihi signa nota. sicut erant, properans verba imperfecta relinquo, et tegitur trepido littera cauta sinu.
line 26 cauta : coepta Dilthey
The reader will note that Dilthey proposed the alteration coepta in line 26. Textual alteration is, however, not necessary. The critics have failed to understand that we are faced here with an example of adjectival enallage ${ }^{17}$. Cydippe is said to have hidden Acontius' letter in her bosom. The adjective cauta refers to the fact that Cydippe was herself "cautious".
21.243-244
cetera cura tua est. plus hoc quoque virgine factum, non timuit tecum quod mea charta loqui.
The critics have been puzzled by the meaning of these lines: of. Kenney, op. cit., 183. I would like to point out that perfect sense can be restored to the transmitted text if we place a comma after virgine, and translate as follows: "This is also more than is permitted for a girl, that (quod) my letter did not fear to mention your deed (factum) to you". Note the ellipse of the verbum substantivum. Cf. Lewis and Short, A Latin Dictionary, s.v. sum B.5.b ( $\epsilon$ ): "Est, sit, etc., with infin. in Gr. constr., it is possible, it is allowed, permitted".

[^4]21.247-248
quid nisi quod cupio me iam coniungere tecum, restat ut adscribat littera nostra? Vale
Kenney noted (op. cit., 183 ff .) that the text of line 247 was corrected "in the first Aldine edition of 1502". At lines 241 ff . Cydippe states that she has confessed to her mother that she had pledged herself to Acontius. She then adds, in lines 247 ff ., that she wishes to marry Acontius soon (iam). We should translate as follows:
"What remains (restat ${ }^{18}$ ) for my letter except to add that I wish to be married to you soon (iam)? Farewell!".
It should be noted that the editors of the early editions had access to manuscripts ${ }^{19}$ which are now lost: $c f$. my paper entitled "Language and Style in Ovid" (Myrtia, in the press). Cf. also Habis 33, 2002, 130.

Conclusion: I hope that I have made clear to the reader that many of the textual alterations which have been proposed by modern scholars for the text of Ovid's Heroides are not necessary.

## HEATHER WHITE
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. E. J. Kenney, Harvard Studies in Classical Philology 74, 1970, 169 ff. I have used Professor Kenney's learned article as the starting-point of my research.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Lewis and Short, A Latin Dictionary, s.v. teneo 2.h: "Of dispositions, desires, etc., to possess, occupy, control... magno amore, Verg. A. I 675".
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Lewis and Short, op. cit., s.v. fortis II c -adv.: fortiter (1): "Strongly, powerfully, vigorously". Cf. e.g. fortissime affirmare Quintil. 2.4.15 (quoted in Forcellini, Lex., s.v. fortiter).

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ For gaudia facta cf. Lucan 6.226-227: gaudia non faceret.
    ${ }^{5}$ Op. cit., 173.
    ${ }^{6}$ Cf. Virgil, Aen. 4.379 scilicet in superis labor est.
    ${ }^{7}$ Op. cit., 175.

[^2]:    ${ }^{8}$ Cf. Kenney, op. cit., 176.
    ${ }^{9}$ Cf. Lewis and Short, op. cit., s.v. perlego (1): "In gen., to view all over, to examine thoroughly, scan, survey... omnia oculis, Verg. A. 6.33". Cf. also Ovid, Fasti 1.591 -perlege dispositas generosa per atria ceras.
    ${ }^{10}$ Cf. Kenney, op. cit., 177.
    ${ }^{11}$ Cf. Lewis and Short, op. cit., s.v. quo I.B.1: "For which reason, wherefore". Note, moreover, the falsa anaphora. Quo means "wherefore" in line 40 and "whither" in line 41. For similar cases of falsa anaphora cf. my Studies in the Text of Propertius (Athens 2002), 163. Palmer takes quo to mean "on which", but must admit that "no instance is quoted of any verb so used with the relative". Bornecque inaccurately translates quo as don't.

[^3]:    ${ }^{12}$ Cf. Kenney, op. cit., 178.
    ${ }^{13}$ Cf. Lewis and Short, op. cit., s.v. noster II.A.
    ${ }^{14}$ Kenney (op. cit., 179) notes that the "natural meaning" of rapidum fretum is "a strait with a strong current", such as the Euripus.

[^4]:    ${ }^{15}$ Op. cit., 179 ff.
    ${ }^{16}$ Cf. Lewis and Short, op. cit., s.v. par II: "Transf., subst. A. par, paris, m., a companion, comrade, mate, spouse". For the literary topos of the "eligible lover" of. G. Giangrande, Mus. Phil. Lond., X, 1996, 13.
    ${ }^{17}$ For a similar case of adjectival enallage cf. my Studies in the Text of Propertius, 164.

[^5]:    ${ }^{18}$ Cf. Horace, Epistles 1.1.27 restat ut ... ego $=$ "it remains for me".
    ${ }^{19}$ The text quid nisi quod cupio me iam coniungere tecum is "handschriftlich bezeugt" (to use Dörrie's words. cf. Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Gött., Philol.-Hist. Kl. [1960], 378), as is evident from the negative apparatus criticus of Sedlmayer's edition (Wien 1886). Since the manuscript tradition of the Heroides is "completely contaminated" (so Kenney, Gnomon [1961], p. 480), it follows that every variant which is "per se plausible, may be due to ancient tradition" (so Kenney, 481), owing to the "transmissione orizzontale" which is not taken into consideration by Dörrie (he is a follower of the Lachmannian theory of "transmissione verticale"). The upshot of all this is that the wording indicated above is more likely to belong to the tradition and to be therefore genuine, instead of being an invention by one "Drucker" (to use Dörrie's words) which miraculously spread into all the recentiores.

