Influence of the Substitution Pattern (ortho vs para) on the Structure and Luminescence of Silver(I) Complexes Ligated by Diphenylphosphinobenzoic Acids

Ana B. Miguel-Coello and Manuel Bardají*

IU CINQUIMA/Química Inorgánica, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Valladolid, E–47071 Valladolid, Spain.

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: M. B. e-mail: <u>bardaji@qi.uva.es</u>

75

ABSTRACT

The reaction of AgCF₃SO₃ with diphenylphosphinobenzoic acids ¹⁰ leads to a cyclic dinuclear or a polynuclear silver(I) compound, depending on the relative position of phosphine versus carboxylic functional group. The dimers $[Ag_2(OSO_2CF_3)_2(\mu-O,P-PPh_2C_6H_4COOH-o)_2]$ **1** or $[{Ag(\mu-O,P-PPh_2C_6H_4COOH-o)_2]$ **1** or $[{Ag(\mu-O,P-PPh_2C_6H_4COOH-o)_2}_2]_2[(CF_3SO_3)_2 2CH_2Cl_2$ **1a** are 12–membered

- ¹⁵ diargentacycles with a silver–silver distance of 3.982 or 3.754 Å, while the polymer $[Ag_n(OSO_2CF_3)_n(\mu-O,P-PPh_2C_6H_4COOH-p)_n]$ **2** is a 1D type. The ligand is always acting as non–chelating bridge, being the silver center coordinated to the phosphine phosphorous, and one oxygen (carbonyl) of the carboxylic acid of
- ²⁰ next fragment. One oxygen of the triflate anion or two water molecules complete the coordination sphere. Besides, p– (diphenylphosphino)benzoic acid compound is emissive in solution and in the solid state at 298 and 77 K, while compound with the *ortho* ligand is not. At 298 K, the emissions are centered ²⁵ at 471 nm in the solid state and at 416 nm in solution.

Keywords: 2–(diphenyphosphino)benzoic acid, 4– (diphenyphosphino)benzoic acid, silver complexes, bridging ligand, luminescence, X–ray crystal structure.

1. Introduction

30

A key strategy in the design and synthesis of metal-organic frameworks (MOF's) and other hybrid organic-inorganic molecular materials is the utilization of simple multifunctional building blocks that induce the formation of complex ³⁵ molecular structures by self-assembly [1]. Silver (I) centers are particularly versatile as components of such building blocks because of their flexible coordination number and geometry [2], and the tendency to form Ag. Ag weak interactions [3]. Although there are many reports on other ⁴⁰ luminescent d¹⁰-metal complexes, emissive Ag¹ complexes

have been less studied because of their potential photosensitivity and limited luminescence [4]. In this respect, the potentially polydentate o- and p- (diphenylphosphino)benzoic acids are interesting ligands ⁴⁵ combining soft (P) with hard (O) donor atoms. Moreover, the carboxylic group is suitable to produce hydrogen bonds. In

fact 2-diphenylphosphinobenzoic acid is a dimer with the typical H-bonds of carboxylic acids (Scheme 1) [5].

Scheme 1. H-bonds between two carboxylic groups.

The ortho or para relative position of the phosphine versus the carboxylic acid can be used to engineer different three-55 dimensional geometries. For instance, the homoleptic gold complex of 2-(diphenylphosphino)benzoate is a mixture of a dimer and a trimer (a trimer is isolated as crystal), while the corresponding 4-(diphenylphosphino)benzoate complex is a polymer; in both cases, the ligands are working as O, P-60 bridges [6]. Homoleptic silver complex of 2 -(diphenylphosphino)benzoate is a hexanuclear compound with the ligand acting as tri- and tetradentate bridge [7]. Depending the metal center, 2on (diphenylphosphino)benzoate ligand leads to dimers via 65 carboxylate or O, P-bridges, or to mononuclear compounds P-chelate (Scheme acting as 0, 2) [8]. (diphenylphosphino)benzoate evolves again to a dimer with carboxylate bridges, which can be additionally bonded through the phosphorus atom; besides, polynuclear derivatives 70 can be obtained by O, P-bridges (Scheme 2) [9].



Scheme 2. a) Chelate for 2–(diphenylphosphino)benzoate; b) carboxylate bridges; c) O, P–bridges; d) more complex coordination mode (M' can be the same metal fragment).

The related chloro gold(I) derivatives of 2- or 4- (diphenylphosphino)benzoic acid are dimers, by a

symmetrical double H-bond between the two carboxylic groups [6, 10]. Similarly, Cu(I) complexes become dimers by a typical double carboxylic H-bond [11]. However, chloro palladium(II) compounds with 4-(diphenylphosphinobenzoic) s acid are mononuclear with the carboxylic group making H-

bonds with solvent crystallization molecules [12].

Here we report the synthesis and structural characterization of silver(I) compounds with 2- and 4-

¹⁰ (diphenylphosphino)benzoic acids, where the substitution pattern on the ligand leads to different nuclearities and luminescence properties on the complexes.

2. Experimental

15

For general procedures see Supplementary data.

2.1. Synthesis of $[Ag(OSO_2CF_3)(PPh_2C_6H_4COOH)]$; ortho (1), para (2).

20

To a diethyl ether solution (20 mL) of AgCF₃SO₃ (51 mg, 0.2 mmol) was added the corresponding phosphinobenzoic acid (61 mg, 0.2 mmol), and the reaction stirred for 2 h protected from the light. The insoluble compounds were filtered off, ²⁵ washed and dried. A second fraction was obtained by

Table 1. Details of Crystal Data and Structure Refinement for Complexes 1, 1a and 2

evaporation ca. 2 ml and addition of hexane. Compounds 1-2 were obtained as white solids. Yield of 1: 78 mg, 70 %. Anal. Calc. for $C_{40}H_{30}Ag_2F_6O_{10}P_2S_2$: C, 42.65; H, 2.68; N, 0. Found: C, 42.37; H, 2.75; N, 0%. ¹H NMR (d_6 -acetone): δ $_{30}$ 8.37 (d, J_{HH} = 7.6 Hz, 1H, H⁶), 7.76 (td, J_{HH} = 7.6 Hz, J_{HP} = 1.4 Hz, 1H, H^5), 7.70 (td, $J_{HH} = 7.6$ Hz, $J_{HP} = 1.4$ Hz, 1H, H^4), 7.59–7.45 (m, 10H, Ph), 7.04 (t, $J_{HP} = J_{HH} = 7.8$ Hz, 1H, H³). ¹H NMR (-50° C, d₆-acetone): δ 12.49 (brs, 1H, COOH), 8.40 (ddd, $J_{HH} = 7.5$ and 1.5 Hz, $J_{HP} = 4.4$ Hz, 1H, H⁶), 7.82 (t, J_{HH} $_{35} = 7.5$ Hz, 1H, H⁵), 7.77 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.5$ Hz, 1H, H⁴), 7.60 - 7.43 (m, 10H, Ph), 6.94 (ddd, J_{HP} = 9.2 and 1.2 Hz, J_{HH} = 7.5 Hz, 1H, H³).¹⁹F NMR (d₆-acetone): -77.58 (s). ³¹P NMR (d₆acetone): 15.6 (brs). ³¹P NMR (-50°C, d₆-acetone): 15.5 (d, ${}^{1}J_{107Ag-31P} = 736$ and ${}^{1}J_{109Ag-31P} = 849$ Hz). IR (KBr): 3060 40 v(O-H), 1673 v(C=O), 1257, 1223, 1209, 635 (CF₃SO₃) cm⁻¹. Yield of 2: 91 mg, 81 %. Anal. Calc. for C₂₀H₁₅AgF₃O₅PS: C, 42.65; H, 2.68; N, 0. Found: C, 42.60; H, 2.84; N, 0%. ¹H NMR (d₆-acetone): δ 11.5 (brs, 1H, COOH), 8.13 (d, J_{HH} = 8.0 Hz, 2H, H²), 7.68-7.55 (m, 12H, H³ + Ph). ¹H NMR (-⁴⁵ 50°C, d₆-acetone): δ 12.54 (brs, 1H, COOH), 8.15 (dd, J_{HP} =1.6 Hz, J_{HH} = 8.4 Hz, 2H, H²), 7.68–7.53 (m, 12H, H³ + Ph). 19 F NMR (d₆-acetone): -77.6 (s). 31 P NMR (d₆-acetone): 14.5 (d, ${}^{1}J_{Ag-P} = 721$ Hz). ${}^{31}P$ NMR (-50°C, d₆-acetone): 13.8 (d, ${}^{1}J_{107Ag-31P}$ = 690 and ${}^{1}J_{109Ag-31P}$ = 795 Hz). IR (KBr): 3054 ⁵⁰ v(O-H), 1687 v(C=O), 1223, 635 (CF₃SO₃) cm⁻¹.

Compound	1	1.	2	
Empirical formula	L CueHan A gaEcQuePaSa	La Cuittus AgaCli EcOu PaSa	2 CooHurAgEoOrPS	
Formula weigth	1126 44	1368 36	563 22	
T (K)	298(2)	298(2)	298(2)	
Wavelength (Å)	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073	
Crystal system	Triclinic	Triclinic	Monoclinic	
Space group	P-1	P-1	$P_{2_1/n}$	
Unit cell dim : $a(Å)$	7 8319(5)	10,5574(17)	12.8706(11)	
b(Å)	11 8958(10)	11 4142(18)	10 4407(5)	
$c(\mathbf{A})$	12.1326(11)	131871(11)	16 3978(10)	
α (°)	104.153(7)	100.856(10)	90	
$\beta(\circ)$	94.333(6)	104.094(10)	103.466(7)	
$\chi(^{\circ})$	104.957(7)	113.623(16)	90	
$\tilde{V}(A^3)$	1047.25(14)	1337.0(3)	2142.9(3)	
Z	1	1	4	
D_{calc} (Mg/m ³)	1.786	1.699	1.746	
Abs. coeff. (mm^{-1})	1.195	1.151	1.168	
F(000)	560	684	1120	
Crystal habit	Prism	Tablet	Plate	
Crystal size (mm)	0.22 x 0.09 x 0.09	0.33 x 0.08 x 0.06	0.26 x 0.17 x 0.07	
Θ range for data colln	2.72 to 28.62	2.06 to 27.83	2.29 to 28.76	
Index ranges	−10<=h<=6,	-12<=h<=13,	-11<=h<=16,	
-	−15<=k<=15,	-11<=k<=13,	-12<=k<=13,	
	-14<=l<=16	-17<=l<=15	-21<=l<=16	
Reflections collected	7061	7344	8575	
Independent reflections	4310 [R(int) = 0.0196]	5341 [R(int) = 0.0256]	4474 [R(int) = 0.0226]	
Maximum and minimum transmissions	1.000 and 0.707	0.943 and 0.803	0.933 and 0.797	
Data/restraints/parameters	4310 / 0 / 290	5341 / 1 / 325	4474 / 0 / 280	
Goodness–of–fit on F^2	1.047	1.022	1.024	
Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	R1 = 0.0384, WR2 = 0.070	4R1 = 0.0669, wR2 = 0.142	8R1 = 0.0480, wR2 = 0.1083	
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0566, wR2 = 0.078	6R1 = 0.1243, wR2 = 0.180	1R1 = 0.0699, wR2 = 0.1204	
Largest difference in peak and hole (e Å-	³)0.682 and -0.470	0.823 and -0.716	0.603 and -0.598	

2.2. Crystal structure determination of compounds 1, 1a and 2.	the SuperNova Oxford Diffraction diffractometer. Crystal d and details of data collection and structure refinement	
The crystal was mounted on a glass fiber and transferred to	given in Table 1. Cell parameters, data reduction and 60 absorption corrections were carried out with the CrysAlis	

55

system software [13]. The structure was refined anisotropically on F² [14]. All non-hydrogen atomic positions were located in difference Fourier maps and refined anisotropically. The hydrogen atoms were placed in their ⁵ geometrically generated positions. The presence of residual electronic density in monocrystal **1** that cannot be taken into account by displacement parameters shows some delocalisation of the silver ions around the main site. To describe it, a second position (Ag1B) is introduced. The ¹⁰ refinement of the occupancy of both sites leads to an overall composition of 0.935 mainly located on the first one. H of water in **1a** were localized in Fourier maps, then the water

water in **1a** were localized in Fourier maps, then the water molecules were refined as rigid units (**1a** is: $[{Ag(\mu-O,P-PPh_2C_6H_4COOH-o)(OH_2)_2}_2](CF_3SO_3)_2$ ²CH₂Cl₂). The

15 triflate anion of monocrystal 2 is 'incipiently' disordered.

Table 1	. Details of	Crystal I	Data and St	ructure Re	finement for	Complexes	1, 1a and 2.
---------	--------------	-----------	-------------	------------	--------------	-----------	--------------

Compound	1	1a	2
Empirical formula	$C_{40}H_{30}Ag_2F_6O_{10}P_2S_2\\$	$C_{42}H_{42}Ag_2Cl_4F_6O_{14}P_2S_2\\$	$C_{20}H_{15}AgF_3O_5PS$
Formula weigth	1126.44	1368.36	563.22
T (K)	298(2)	298(2)	298(2)
Wavelength (Å)	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073
Crystal system	Triclinic	Triclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	P-1	P-1	P 2 ₁ /n
Unit cell dim.: <i>a</i> (Å)	7.8319(5)	10.5574(17)	12.8706(11)
b (Å)	11.8958(10)	11.4142(18)	10.4407(5)
<i>c</i> (Å)	12.1326(11)	13.1871(11)	16.3978(10)
α (°)	104.153(7)	100.856(10)	90
β (°)	94.333(6)	104.094(10)	103.466(7)
χ (°)	104.957(7)	113.623(16)	90
V (Å ³)	1047.25(14)	1337.0(3)	2142.9(3)
Ζ	1	1	4
D _{calc} (Mg/m ³)	1.786	1.699	1.746
Abs. coeff. (mm ⁻¹)	1.195	1.151	1.168
F(000)	560	684	1120
Crystal habit	Prism	Tablet	Plate
Crystal size (mm)	0.22 x 0.09 x 0.09	0.33 x 0.08 x 0.06	0.26 x 0.17 x 0.07
Θ range for data colln	2.72 to 28.62	2.06 to 27.83	2.29 to 28.76
Index ranges Reflections collected	-10<=h<=6, -15<=k<=15, -14<=l<=16 7061	-12<=h<=13, -11<=k<=13, -17<=l<=15 7344	-11<=h<=16, -12<=k<=13, -21<=l<=16 8575
Independent reflections	4310 [R(int) = 0.0196]	5341 [R(int) = 0.0256]	4474 [R(int) = 0.0226]
Maximum and minimum transmissions	1.000 and 0.707	0.943 and 0.803	0.933 and 0.797
Data/restraints/parameters	4310 / 0 / 290	5341 / 1 / 325	4474 / 0 / 280
Goodness–of–fit on F^2	1.047	1.022	1.024
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	R1 = 0.0384, WR2 = 0.070	04R1 = 0.0669, wR2 = 0.142	28R1 = 0.0480, wR2 = 0.1083
<i>R</i> indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0566, $wR2 = 0.078$	86R1 = 0.1243, wR2 = 0.180	01R1 = 0.0699, wR2 = 0.1204
Largest difference in peak and hole (e Å-	³)0.682 and –0.470	0.823 and -0.716	0.603 and -0.598

20

25

30 text.

3. Results and discussion

35 3.1. Synthesis and characterization

The reaction of silver(I) triflate with 2- and 4- (diphenylphosphino)benzoic acid in a 1:1 molar ratio (Scheme 3) yields [Ag(OSO₂CF₃)(PPh₂C₆H₄COOH)].



Compounds 1 - 2 are air-stable white solids at room temperature, and were characterized by elemental analysis, IR and NMR spectroscopy. The complexes display one v(C=O) band from the carboxylic group at about 1680 cm⁻¹, and a broad v(O-H) band at about 3060 cm⁻¹. In their ¹H NMR spectra, the aromatic benzoic protons are slightly shifted ²⁵ compared to the free phosphine ligand. The largest shifts are about +0.27 ppm for H⁵ in the *ortho* ligand, and +0.28 ppm for H³ in the *para* ligand. The assignment was confirmed by COSY and ¹H {³¹P} NMR spectra. The ¹⁹F NMR spectra show a singlet at about -78 ppm because of the triflate anion. A ³⁰ broad resonance for the coordinated phosphine, because of unresolved coupling to the two silver isotopes, was observed

- in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of compound **1**, while for compound **2** a doublet is seen. The resonance is low-field shifted about 19 ppm for compounds compared to the free ³⁵ phosphine ligand. At -50 °C, the two Ag-P couplings are resolved and two doublets are observed centered at 15.5 ppm
- $({}^{1}J_{107Ag-31P} = 736 \text{ Hz}, {}^{1}J_{109Ag-31P} = 849 \text{ Hz})$ for complex 1, and 13.8 $({}^{1}J_{107Ag-31P} = 690 \text{ Hz}, {}^{1}J_{109Ag-31P} = 795 \text{ Hz})$ for 2.
- 40 3.2. Solid-state structures

The solid-state structures of compounds 1 and 2 were solved by single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies and confirmed the bridging coordination of diphenylphosphinobenzoic acid. The

- ⁴⁵ crystals studied were obtained by slow diffusion of petroleum ether (60–95°) into a solution of 1 in acetone/toluene or 2 in acetone at -18° C. By slow evaporation of a diethyl ether solution of 1, the same single crystals were obtained, which was verified by determining the unit cell. Slow diffusion of
- ⁵⁰ hexane into a solution of **1** in dichloromethane at -18°C led to a different complex, denoted as **1a** and being its formula: [{Ag(2-PPh₂C₆H₄COOH)(OH₂)₂}₂](CF₃SO₃)₂·2CH₂Cl₂. Compounds **1** and **1a** crystallized as a P-1 triclinic single

crystal, while compound **2** crystallized as a P2₁/n monoclinic ssingle crystal. The structures are shown in Figures 1–3, with

selected bond lengths and angles in Table 2. The asymmetric unit of 1 and 2 contained the fragment $Ag(OSO_2CF_3)(PPh_2C_6H_4COOH)$, with the silver center coordinated to the phosphine through the P atom and to the 60 triflate anion via an oxygen. On the other hand, the asymmetric unit of 1a displayed the fragment [Ag(OH₂)₂(PPh₂C₆H₄COOH)](CF₃SO₃), again with the silver center coordinated to the phosphine through the P atom, but now is coordinated to two water molecules (loosely to one) 65 via their oxygen atom and with free triflate anion. The silver center is coordinated to another oxygen atom in the three structures: the carbonyl of the carboxylic acid of another equal fragment. Therefore, the ligand is always acting as a bidentate non-chelating bridge. In that way the ortho benzoic 70 acid leads to a cyclic dimer, which consists of a 12-membered dimetallacycle with tri- or tetracoordinated silver centers. On the other hand, the para benzoic acid leads to a 1D-polymer because of the relative position of the carboxylic and the phosphine functional groups. The close compound [Ag6(o-⁷⁵ PPh₂C₆H₄COO)₆] shows two silver triangles (Ag–Ag distances of 3.244, 3.756 and 3.805 Å) related by a symmetry center, with the anionic ligand acting as tri- or tetradentate non-chelating bridge [7].

⁸⁰ Table 2. Selected Bond Lengths [Å] and Angles [deg] for Complexes 1, 1a and 2.

	1	1a	2
Ag–P	2.4127(9)	2.3623(16)	2.3617(11)
Ag–O	2.482(2)	2.362(5)	2.272(3)
(carbox.)			
Ag–O	2.318(3)	_	2.398(5)
(triflate)			
Ag–O	_	2.2474(18)	-
(water)		2.7187(19)	
O=C	1.211(4)	1.207(8)	1.200(5)
O–C	1.308(4)	1.315(8)	1.308(5)
P-Ag-O	129.09(8)	_	129.77(12)
(triflate)			
P–Ag–O	_	136.18(8)	_
(water)		100.46(6)	
P-Ag-O	112.49(6)	138.09(13)	146.08(11)
(carbox.)			
O–Ag–O	97.07(9)	80.02(13)	78.34(17)
•		84.46(6)	
		85.74(13)	
C–O–C	123.6(3)	122.8(6)	123.1(4)
C-P-Ag	108.65(10)	107.3(2)	112.05(12)
e	114.49(10)	116.7(2)	114.52(14)
	118.66(10)	119.92(19)	116.51(14)

The silver atom of compound 1 displays a distorted trigonal geometry, with a large P-Ag-O (triflate) angle of $129.09(8)^{\circ}$,

- ⁸⁵ and smaller P–Ag–O (carboxylic) and O–Ag–O angles of 112.49(6) and 97.07(9)°, respectively. However, the silver atom of compound **1a** displays a highly distorted tetrahedral geometry, with a large P–Ag–O (carboxylic) angle of 138.09(13)°, smaller P–Ag–O (water) angles of 136.18 (8) and
- ⁹⁰ 100.46 (6)°, and the smallest angles are O-Ag-O: 80.02(13), 84.46(6) and 85.74(13)°. On the other hand, the silver atom of compound **2** shows a highly distorted trigonal geometry, being the largest angle of 146.08(11)° corresponding to P-Ag-O (carboxylic); there is an intermediate angle P-Ag-O (triflate)
- ⁹⁵ of 129.77(12)°, and a small angle of 78.34(17)° for O-Ag-O. The Ag-O (triflate) distance found for 1 (2.318(3) Å) is slightly shorter than found for 2 (2.398(5) Å). However, the Ag-O (carboxylic) distance of 1 (2.482(2) Å) is slightly longer than found for 1a (2.362(5) Å), and both are longer
 ¹⁰⁰ than found for 2 (2.272(3) Å). For 1a there is a short and a

long Ag–O (water) distances of 2.2474(18) and 2.7187(19) Å, respectively. Finally, the Ag–P distance observed for **1** is slightly longer than observed for **1a** or **2**: 2.4127(9) versus 2.3623(16) or 2.3617(11) Å. The related derivative $[Ag_6(o-$

- ⁵ PPh₂C₆H₄COO)₆] displays Ag–P intermediate distances in the range 2.376(4)–2.381(4) Å, while displays a short Ag–O (carboxylate) distance (2.176(9) 2.261(9) Å) and one or two longer Ag–O (carboxylate) distances from 2.394(9) to 2.619(9) Å per silver(I) center (in the asymmetric unit there is ¹⁰ one tetracoordinate and two tricoordinate silver atoms) [7].
- The intramolecular silver–silver distances are 3.982 (compound 1) and 3.754 (compound 1a) Å, long to be considered as an Ag···Ag interaction (typically in the range 2.88–3.44 Å: from metallic distance to sum of van der Waals
- ¹⁵ radii [3]. The shortest nonbonding intermolecular Ag-Ag distance is 7.832 Å for dimer 1, 8.213 Å for dimer 1a, while the shortest Ag-Ag distance for polymer 2 is 4.467 Å. In addition, the O-H of the carboxylic functional group forms an intramolecular H-bond with an oxygen of the coordinated
- ²⁰ triflate anion for 1 or with the loosely coordinated water for 1a (Table 3). Therefore, there are two equal H-bonds per dimer. Similarly, compound 2 forms an additional donor Hbond between the O-H and an oxygen of another triflate (of a second monomer), and also forms the symmetrical acceptor
- ²⁵ H-bond between an oxygen of its triflate and the O-H of the same second monomer. In this way, each monomer of the 1D polymer associates doubly to another monomer of next polymer chain, leading to a double chain polymer (Supplementary Information). This structure demonstrates the
 ³⁰ remarkable ability of silver(I) to form double polymeric structures through H-bonding. Besides, longer H-bonds can be proposed for structures 1, 1a and 2 (see Tables in
- Supplementary Information). 35 (11) (11) (11) (11) (11) (11) (11) (11) (11) (11) (11) (11) (11) (11) (11) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12)(12

Figure 1. Structure of the dimeric molecule of compound **1**. Ellipsoids are at 25% probability level (H atoms omitted except carboxylic groups).

40 As stated in the introduction, the related gold(I) derivatives

[AuCl(PPh₂C₆H₄COOH)] are monomers, which display a typical linear coordination for gold, being the free carboxylic acid groups associated by a double symmetric H–bond to give dimers, the same for the *ortho* and the *para* ligands [6, 10]. ⁴⁵ The explanation must be related to the fact that the hard donor

oxygen atom of the carbonyl group bonds stronger for silver than for gold, and also to the tendency of silver(I) to higher coordination numbers than gold(I).



50 Figure 2. Structure of the dimeric cation of compound 1a. Ellipsoids are at 30% probability level (H atoms omitted except carboxylic groups).

Figure 3. Polymeric structure of compound **2**. Additional black lines to show the polymer growth. Ellipsoids are at 25% probability (most H atoms omitted for clarity): **above**, two consecutive units of the 1D chain (Ag–O bonds); **below**, fragment showing inter–chains H–bonds, giving ⁵ rise to double chains.

Table 3. Hydrogen Bonds for Dimers 1 and 1a and polymer 2 [Å and deg] involving the carboxylic group.

D–H […] A	d(D–H)	d(HA)	d(DA)	<(DHA)
Compound 1				
O(2) - H(2) - O(4A)	0.820	1.839	2.646	168.39
O(2A)-H(2A) O(4)	0.820	1.839	2.646	168.39
Compound 1a				
O(2)-H(2) O(7A)	0.820	1.819	2.610	161.69
O(2A)-H(2A) O(7)	0.820	1.819	2.610	161.69
Compound 2				
O(1)-H(1)-O(4A)	0.820	1.828	2.626	164.09
O(1A)-H(1A) O(4)	0.820	1.828	2.626	164.09

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: for 10 compound **1** are 1-x, 2-y, 1-z; for compound **1a** are -x, -y, 1-z; for compound **2** are 1-x, 2-y, 1-z.

The powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of compounds **1** and **2** are in good agreement with that simulated from the ¹⁵ corresponding single-crystal diffraction data (see Supplementary Information) confirming that the latter accurately represents the structure of the bulk solid (and not **1a**).

20 3.3. Luminescence studies

The emission and excitation spectra of the free ligands and the silver complexes were recorded in the solid–state and in CH_2Cl_2 solution, at 298 K and 77 K. The spectra of compound

- 25 2 at 298 K are shown in Figure 4. The 4-(diphenylphosphino)benzoic compound 2 and the corresponding free ligand emit, while the 2 -(diphenylphosphino)benzoic acid and its derivative 1 are nonemissive. The emission maximum in the solid state is at 471
- ³⁰ nm at 298 K, similar to the free ligand (474 nm), but at 457 nm at 77 K, blue-shifted compared to the free ligand (479 nm) and to 298 K emission. This emission could be assigned as ligand centered, although slightly modified by the metal fragment. Compound **2** shows an emission in CH₂Cl₂ solution ³⁵ at 298 K centered at 416 nm, while compound **1** and the two
- free ligands are non-emissive. At 77 K the emission maximum show little change, and is observed at 420 nm, blue-shifted compared to the corresponding free ligand emission (486 nm). Emission peaks of compound **2** are
- ⁴⁰ strongly blue-shifted compared to these observed in the solid state. Again, the emission could be assigned as ligand centered modified by the silver fragment.



55

Figure 4. Solid state (regular line) and dichloromethane solution (bold line) excitation and emission spectra of compound 2 at 298K.

4. Conclusions

2- or 4-(diphenylphosphino)benzoic acids act as nonchelating bridge to give di- or polymeric silver(I) compounds, ⁶⁵ respectively, demonstrating that different geometrical orientation of the substituent induces entirely different connectivity patterns. The triflate anion or water molecules complete the coordination sphere. On the one hand, the carboxylic functional group is bridging monomers, on the ⁷⁰ other hand is making H-bonds: intramolecularly for the dimer, and associating 1D chains in pairs for the polymer. Besides, the *p*-(diphenylphosphino) benzoic ligand and its corresponding silver complex are emissive, while the *ortho* ligand and complex are non-emissive.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Spanish Comisión Interministerial de Ciencia y Tecnología (Project CTQ2011–25137) and the Junta de Castilla y León (Project VA302U13) for financial support.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

CCDC 1004945–1004947 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic ⁸⁵ Data Centre via <u>www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif</u>. Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi: xxx.

References

- (a) S. Leininger, B. Olenyuk, P. J. Stang, Chem. Rev. 100 (2000) 853;
 (b) G. Ferey, Chem. Soc. Rev. 37 (2008) 191. (c) G. A. Santillan, C. J. Carrano, Cryst. Growth Des. 9 (2009) 1590; (d) J. Ni, K.–J. Wei, Y. Liu, X.–C. Huang, D. Li, Cryst. Growth Des. 10 (2010) 3964; (e) A. M. Kirillov, S. W. Wieczorek, M. F. C. Guedes da Silva, J. Krol, Z. Staroniewicz, P. Smolenski, A. J. L. Pombeiro, Cryst. Growth Des. 11 (2011) 2711; (f) X.–L. Wang, Q. Gao, A.–X. Tian, G.–C. Liu, Cryst. Growth Des. 12 (2012) 2346; (g) L. Cunha–Silva, M. J. Carr, J. D. Kennedy, M. J. Hardie, Cryst. Growth Des. 13 (2013) 3162.
- [2] (a) A. Laguna, M. C. Gimeno, Silver and Gold In Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry II: From Biology to Nanotechnology McCleverty, J. A., Meyer, T. J., Eds.; Elsevier, Oxford, UK, 2003; Vol. 6, Transition Metal Groups 9–12, Fenton, D. E., ed. Chapter 6.7, p. 911; (b) J.-R. Li, R.-H. Zhang, X.-H. Bu, Cryst. Growth Des. 3 (2003) 829; (c) C. S. Liu, P. Q. Chen, E. C. Yang, J. L. Tian, X. H. Bu, Z. M. Li, H. W. Sun, Z. Lin, Inorg. Chem. 45 (2006) 5812; (d) P. Yang, F. Cui, X.–J. Yang, B. Wu, Cryst. Growth Des. 13 (2013) 186; (e) X. Ma, L.-H. Huo, Z.-P. Deng, T.-P. Liu, H. Zhao, S. Gao, Inorg. Chem. Commun. 43 (2014) 94.
- [3] (a)) K. Singh, J.R. Long, P. Stavropoulos, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 119 (1997) 2942; (b) Q. M. Wang, T. C. W. Mak, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 123 (2001) 7594; (c) B. Liu, W. Chen, S. Jin, Organometallics 26 (2007) 3660; (d) L. Ray, M. M. Shaikh, P. Ghosh, Inorg. Chem. 47 (2008) 230; (e) X. D. Zheng, L. Jiang, X. L. Feng, T. B. Lu, Inorg. Chem. 47 (2008) 10858; (f) R. Santra, K. Biradha, Cryst. Growth Des. 10 (2010) 3315; (g) B. Li, S. Q. Zang, R. Liang, Y. J. Wu, T. C. W. Mak, Organometallics 30 (2011) 1710; (h) A. Serpe, F. Artizzu, L. Marchio, M. L. Mercuri, L. Pilia, P. Deplano, Cryst. Growth Des. 11

(2011) 1278; (i) P.–S. Cheng, S. Marivel, S.–Q. Zang, G.–G. Gao, T. C. W. Mak, Cryst. Growth Des. 12 (2012) 4519.

- [4] (a) M. A. Omary, H. H. Patterson, Inorg. Chem. 37 (1998) 1060; (b) M. L. Tong, B. H. Ye, X. M. Chen, L. N. Ji, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 38 (1999) 2237; (c) X. H. Bu, H. Liu, M: Du, K. M. Wong, V. W. Yam, M. Shionoya, Inorg. Chem. 40 (2001) 4143; (d) T.-L. Hu, J.-R. Li, Y.-B. Xie, X.-H. Bu, Cryst. Growth Des. 6 (2006) 648; (e) M.-S. Wang, S.-P. Guo, Y. Li, L.-Z. Cai, J.-P. Zou, G. Xu, W.-W. Zhou, F.-K. Zheng, G.-C. Guo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131 (2009) 13572; (f) K. Matsumoto, T. Shindo, N. Mukasa, T. Tsukuda, T. Tsubomura, Inorg. Chem. 49 (2010) 805; (g) M. Bardají, M. Barrio, P. Espinet, Dalton Trans. 40 (2011) 2570; (h) D. Pucci, A. Crispini, M. Ghedini, E.I. Szerb, M. La Deda, Dalton Trans. 40 (2011) 4614; (i) M. G. Babashkina, D. A. Safin, M. Bolte, Y. Garcia, Dalton Trans. 40 (2011) 8523; (j) A. Hameau, F. Guyon, A. Khatyr, M. Knorr, C. Strohmann, Inorg. Chim. Acta 388 (2012) 60; (k) M. Bardají, A. B. Miguel-Coello, P. Espinet, Inorg. Chim. Acta 386 (2012) 93; (1) C.-F. Yan, Y.-X. Lin, F.-L. Jiang, M.-C. Hong, Inorg. Chem. Commun. 43 (2014) 19.
- [5] A. Chandrasekaran, R. O. Day, R. R. Holmes, Inorg. Chem. 40 (2001) 6229.
- [6] F. Mohr, M. C. Jennings, R. J. Puddephatt, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 43 (2004) 969.
- [7] W.-K. Wong, L. Zhang, W.-T. Wong, Chem. Commun. (1998) 673.
- [8] (a) Z. J. A. Komon, X. Bu, G. C. Bazan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 122 (2000) 12379; (b) P. Barbaro, C. A. Ghilardi, S. Midollini, A. Orlandini, J. A. Ramirez, G. Scapacci, J. Organomet. Chem. 555 (1998) 255; (c) S.-M. Kuang, P. E. Fanwick, R. A. Walton, Inorg. Chem. (41) 2002 405; (d) S.-M. Kuang, P. E. Fanwick, R. A. Walton, Inorg. Chem. Commun. 5 (2002) 134; (e) W. Uhl, H. R. Bock, J. Kosters, M. Voss, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 636 (2010) 1851; (f) G. Sanchez, J. Garcia, D. Meseguer, J. L. Serrano, L. Garcia, J. Perez, G. Lopez, Dalton Trans. (2003) 4709; (g) T. Schultz, A. Pfaltz, Synthesis (2005) 1005; (h) P. P. Phadnis, S. Dey, V. K. Jain, M. Nethaji, R. J. Butcher, Polyhedron 25 (2006) 87; (i) H. Schumann, H. Hemling, V. Ravindar, Y. Badrieh, J. Blum, J. Organomet. Chem. 469 (1994) 213. (j) J. S. M. Samec, R. H. Grubbs, Chem. Eur. J. 14 (2008) 2686; (k) M. Kawatsura, F. Ata, S. Wada, S. Hayase, H. Uno, T. Itoh, Chem. Commun. (2007) 298; (1) J. D. G. Correia, A. Domingos, I. Santos, C. Bolzati, F. Refosco, F. Tisato, Inorg. Chim. Acta 315 (2001) 213.
- [9] (a) S.-M. Kuang, P. E. Fanwick, R. A. Walton, Inorg. Chem. 41 (2002) 1036; (b) S.-M. Kuang, P. E. Fanwick, R. A. Walton, Inorg. Chim. Acta 338 (2002) 219; (c) W. Uhl, H. R. Bock, A. Hepp, F. Rogel, M. Voss, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 636 (2010) 1255; (d) J.-M. Rueff, O. Perez, A. Leclaire, H. Couthon-Gourves, P.-A. Jaffres, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. (2009) 4870.
- [10] B. P. Howe, R. V. Parish, R. G. Pritchard, Quim. Nova 21 (1998) 564.
- [11] M. Pellei, G. G. Lobbia, C. Santini, R. Spagna, M. Camalli, D. Fedeli, G. Falcioni, Dalton Trans. (2004) 2822.
- [12] S. J. Carlson, T. Lu, R. L. Luck, Inorg. Chem. Commun. 6 (2003) 455.
- [13] CrysAlisPro Software system, Version 1.171.32; Oxford Diffraction; Oxford Ltd., Xcalibur CCD system, 2007.
- [14] SHELXTL program system version 5.1; Bruker Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc.: Madison, WI, USA, 1998.