Clinical Nutrition 38 (2019) 407-411

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## **Clinical Nutrition**

journal homepage: http://www.elsevier.com/locate/clnu

Original article

# Implication of the rs670 variant of *APOA1* gene with lipid profile, serum adipokine levels and components of metabolic syndrome in adult obese subjects



CLINICAL NUTRITION

### Daniel Antonio de Luis, Olatz Izaola, David Primo, Rocio Aller\*

Endocrinology and Nutrition Research Center, School of Medicine, Department of Endocrinology and Nutrition, Hospital Clinico Universitario, University of Valladolid, Valladolid, Spain

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 17 August 2017 Accepted 8 December 2017

Keywords: Rs670 Diabetes mellitus ApoA1 Adipokines Metabolic syndrome

#### SUMMARY

*Background & aims:* A G-to-A transition located 75 base pairs upstream (rs670) from transcription start site of the *APOA1* gene is related with metabolic parameters. The aim of the present investigation was to describe the association of rs670 with metabolic syndrome and metabolic parameters. *Methods:* The study involved a population of 1000 obese subjects. Measurements of anthropometric

parameters, arterial blood pressure, fasting blood glucose, C-reactive protein (CRP), insulin concentration, insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), lipid profile, adipokines levels and prevalence of MetS was recorded. Genotype of *ApoA1* gene polymorphism (rs670) was evaluated.

*Results*: A sample of 1000 obese subjects with a mean BMI of  $36.5 \pm 5.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$  was enrolled. In males, weight (delta:  $3.3 \pm 1.2 \text{ kg}$ ; p = 0.01), fat mass (delta:  $2.7 \pm 1.1 \text{ kg}$ ; p = 0.01), waist circumference (delta:  $2.8 \pm 1.1 \text{ cm}$ ; p = 0.02), fasting glucose (delta:  $8.9 \pm 2.2 \text{ mg/dl}$ ; p = 0.01), insulin levels (delta:  $3.7 \pm 1.2 \text{ UI/}$ L; p = 0.04) and HOMA-IR (delta:  $1.2 \pm 1.1 \text{ units}$ ; p = 0.02) were higher in non-A allele carriers than A allele carriers. In males without A allele, an increased risk of hyperglycemia (OR = 1.40, 95% CI = 1.09 -2.09, p = 0.04), percentage of central obesity (OR = 4.55, 95% CI = 1.36-15.39, p = 0.01), percentage of low HDL-C (OR = 2.02, 95% CI = 1.02-4.03, p = 0.03) and prevalence of diabetes mellitus (OR = 2.14, 95% CI = 1.03-5.04, p = 0.03) were reported.

*Conclusions:* rs670 of *APOA1* gene has a gender specific influence on serum glucose, insulin, HOMA-IR, fat mass, weight and waist circumference. Males without A allele showed high rates of central obesity, low levels of HDL, hyperglycemia and diabetes mellitus.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd and European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is defined by the clustering of several factors; insulin resistance and/or glucose intolerance, abdominal obesity, dyslipemia, increased blood pressure and proinflammatory state. Dyslipemia related to MetS consisted of two alterations, one is the elevation of plasma triglyceride and the other the decrease of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol concentration (HDL-C), both changes are associated with increased risk of coronary heart event [1]. Apolipoprotein ApoA1 is the most important protein constituent of HDL-C and plays a role in lipid metabolism and transport. Genetics studies have shown that gene encoding ApoA1 is highly polymorphic and common single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of this gene, that maps to the long arm of chromosome 11, have been described in relation to plasma lipoproteins [2]. In vitro studies have shown that overexpression of the human *APOA1* gene in mice increased HDL-C levels and protect mice from the atherosclerosis secondary to a high fat diet [3].

The APOA1 gene polymorphisms have been found to be strongly associated with variation in MetS components such as HDL-C and glucose levels [4,5]. A G-to-A transition located 75 base pairs upstream (rs670) from transcription start site of the APOA1 gene has been evaluated in an important way [6]. In one study [7] a high transcription rate was observed in -75A allele carriers in comparison with non A allele carriers. However in the literature there

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2017.12.007



<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Endocrinology and Nutrition Research Center, School of Medicine, Valladolid University, C/Los perales 16, Simancas, 47130 Valladolid, Spain. Fax: +34 983331566.

E-mail addresses: dadluis@yahoo.es (D.A. de Luis), raller@yahoo.es (R. Aller).

<sup>0261-5614/© 2017</sup> Elsevier Ltd and European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism. All rights reserved.

are conflicting data, with a few studies reporting either negative association [8] or lack of association [9] between rs670 polymorphism and lipid levels. Finally, other studies reported that A allele carriers have been shown to have elevated concentrations of ApoA1 and HDL-C [10–12]. Also, G allele has been reported to be related with hypertension [13], metabolic syndrome components [14]. In other designs, A allele carriers have shown an increased risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus [15].

Finally, adipose tissue is expressed as an endocrine organ. Many proteins, produced by adipose tissue, named adipokines, have been described (leptin, adiponectin and resistin). Besides that, adipose tissue plays a major role in the presence of metabolic syndrome (MetS) [16]. In the literature there is no studies evaluating the relationship of this SNP of *APOA1* gene with serum adipokine levels.

The aim of the present investigation was to describe the association of rs670 with metabolic syndrome, adipokines and metabolic parameters.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Subjects and clinical investigation

For the study one thousand unrelated Caucasian obese subjects (body mass index  $\geq$  30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) were consecutively recruited from Primary Care Physicians of an urban area of Castilla y Leon (Norwest of Spain). All participants underwent a medical evaluation including physical examination and complete medical history. Data on blood pressure, anthropometric parameters (weight, height, body mass index (BMI), fat mass by impedance and central obesity by waist circumference) were collected. Venous blood samples were collected in EDTA-treated and plain tubes after a 10 h overnight fast for analysis of serum adipokine levels (leptin, total adiponectin and resistin), insulin, total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol and triglycerides. To estimate the prevalence of diabetes mellitus was considered American Diabetes Association criteria [17] and the prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome, the definitions of the ATPIII was considered [1]. Subjects need to fulfill at least 3 of the following 5 criteria: elevated fasting glucose or treatment for diabetes, elevated triglycerides (>150 mg/dl) or treatment for dyslipemia, low HDL cholesterol <40 mg/dl (males) or <50 mg/dl (females), elevated systolic or diastolic blood pressure (>130/85 mmHg or antihypertensive treatment) and increased waist circumference (>94 cm (males) or >80 cm (females)).

The recruited subjects fulfilled the following criteria; body mass index  $\geq$ 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, had no a history of coronary events, thyroid disease, renal or hepatic disorders, had no history of alcoholism, malignant tumor, and within the 6 months before the study were not receiving medications known to influence lipid levels (hormonal therapy, glucocorticoids and anti-inflammatory drugs). The Ethics Committee (HCUVA Committee) approved the study and was in accordance with the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki. An ethical approval for genetic research was obtained, too. All participants provided written informed consent.

#### 2.2. Blood pressure and anthropometric parameters

Mean systolic and diastolic blood pressures were calculated by averaging three measurements (Omrom, LA, CA), after the subjects sat for 10 min. Body weight were determined in the morning while the subjects were minimally unclothed and not wearing shoes. They were measured using digital scales (Omrom, LA, CA) and recorded to the nearest 50 g. Height was measured with a tape measure (Omrom, LA, CA) while patients were standing with shoulders in normal alignment and no wearing shoes. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as body weight (in kg) divided by height (in m<sup>2</sup>). Waist circumferences (WC) was measured at the umbilical level with the use of an upstretched tape measure. Bio impedance was used to determine body composition with an accuracy of 5 g [18] (EFG BIA 101 Anniversary, Akern, It). This equation was used (0.756Height<sup>2</sup>/ Resistance) + (0.110Body mass) + (0.107Reactance) - 5.463.

#### 2.3. Biochemical procedures

Fasting venous blood samples were taken for measurements of fasting glucose, total cholesterol, triglyceride, low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL) and high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) using a biochemical auto-analyzer (Hitachi 7060, Tokyo, Japan). LDL cholesterol was determined using Friedewald formula [19]. Fasting glucose was determined by the enzymatic colorimetric method using glucose oxidase. Fasting insulin was measured by radio-immunoassay method (RIA) (RIA Diagnostic Corporation, Los Angeles, CA) with a sensitivity of 0.5 mUI/L (normal range 0.5–30 mUI/L) [20]. We calculate the homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) (HOMAIR = (insulin × glucose)/22.5) [21]. C-reactive protein (CRP) was determined by immunoturbimetry (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany), with a normal range of (0-7 mg/dl) and analytical sensitivity 0.5 mg/dl.

Leptin was determined by ELISA (Diagnostic Systems Laboratories, Inc., Texas, USA) (DSL1023100); sensitivity of 0.05 ng/ml, a normal range of 10–100 ng/ml and a CV% 3.5% [22]. Adiponectin was determined by ELISA (R&D systems, Inc., Minneapolis, USA) (DRP300); sensitivity of 0.246 ng/ml, a normal range of 8.65–21.43 ng/ml and a CV% 3.8% [23]. Resistin was determined by ELISA (Biovendor Laboratory, Inc., Brno, Czech Republic) (RD191016100); sensitivity of 0.2 ng/ml, a normal range of 4–12 ng/ml [24] and a CV% 3.2%.

#### 2.4. Genotyping of APOA1 gene polymorphism

For genotyping the AOA1 polymorphism, buffy coats were separated from non-coagulated whole blood samples and stores at -70 °C until processing. Genomic DNA was extracted by using commercial kit extraction (Biorad, LA, CA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Primers were realized with the Sequenom Assay Design v4 (SEQUENOM, Inc. San Diego, California CA). Genotyping for the rs670 polymorphism was performed by polymerase chain reaction real time analysis. This polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was carried out with 30 ng of genomic DNA, 0.1-0.15 µl each of oligonucleotide primer for rs670 (primer forward: 5'- ACGTTG-GATGAAGTTCCACATTGCCAGGAC -3' and reverse 5'- ACGTTGGATG-CAGGGCCTATTTATGTCTGC -3' in a 2.5-µl final volume (Termociclador Lifetechnologies, LA, CA)). DNA was denatured at 85 °C for 5 min; this was followed by 45 cycles at 65 °C for 15 s, and annealing at 58.1 °C for 45 s. The PCRs were run in a 2-µl final volume containing 0.1 µl of iPLEx Termination mix (Bio-Rad<sup>®</sup>, San Diego, CA) with hot start Tag DNA polymerase. Hardy Weinberg equilibrium was calculated with a statistical test (Chi-square). The variant of *ApoA1* gene was in Hardy Weinberg equilibrium (p = 0.36).

#### 2.5. Statistical analysis

All the data were analyzed using SPSS for Windows, version 19.0 software package (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL). Sample size was calculated to detect differences over 3 mg/dl of HDL-C levels with 90% power and 5% significance. All analysis were performed under a dominant genetic model with rs670 A-allele as the risk allele (AA + AG vs. GG). The results were expressed as average  $\pm$  standard deviation. Variables were analyzed with ANOVA test (for normally-distributed variable) or Kruskal–Wallis test (for non-normally-distributed variable). Logistic regression analyses adjusted by age, gender and BMI were used to calculated odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence

ladie I				
Anthropometric	variables	and	blood	pressure.

Parameters	Total group ( $n = 1000$ )		
	Male (n = 267)	Female $(n = 733)$	
BMI	36.3 ± 5.1	36.1 ± 5.0	
Weight (kg)	$107.4 \pm 10.1$	$90.1 \pm 10.2^*$	
Height (m)	$1.72 \pm 0.3$	$1.57 \pm 0.2^{*}$	
Fat mass (kg)	$34.8 \pm 5.0$	$41.6 \pm 4.1^{*}$	
WC (cm)	$119.4 \pm 7.1$	$109.1 \pm 7.1^*$	
SBP (mmHg)	132.8 ± 9.1	$126.1 \pm 8.0$	
DBP (mmHg)	83.5 ± 5.1	81.8 ± 4.2	
Fasting glucose (mg/dl)	$109.4 \pm 10.1$	$100.9 \pm 6.1$	
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	$205.5 \pm 21.8$	196.9 ± 12.1	
LDL-cholesterol (mg/dl)	132.4 ± 10.9	125.2 ± 21.1	
HDL-cholesterol (mg/dl)	$49.2 \pm 5.5$	52.1 ± 8.1	
Triglycerides (mg/dl)	147.1 ± 31.1	119.0 ± 32.1*	
Insulin (mUI/l)	$18.8 \pm 7.9$	$12.7 \pm 8.2^{*}$	
HOMA-IR	5.3 ± 1.1	$3.1 \pm 0.9^{*}$	

BMI: body mass index DBP, diastolic blood pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; WC, waist circumference; HOMA-IR (homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance). \*p < 0.05 between genders.

interval (CI) to estimate the association of the rs1670 SNP with the risk of Metabolic syndrome, components of MetS and diabetes mellitus. A p-value under 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### 3. Results

The sample comprised of 1000 obese subjects. The mean age was  $46.3 \pm 9.1$  years (range: 25-65) and the mean body mass index (BMI)  $36.3 \pm 5.0$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> (range: 31.3-41.4). Gender distribution was 733 women (73.3%) and 267 men (26.7%). Table 1 shows the clinical and biochemical characteristic of the sample by gender. The distribution of the rs670 polymorphism in this adult population was 67.1% (n = 671) (GG), 29.9% (n = 299) (GA) and 3% (n = 30) (AA). The allele frequency was G (0.82) and A (0.18). The subjects were grouped into two groups (GG vs GA + AA). Age was similar in both

Table 2
---------

Anthropometric variables and blood pressure.

genotype groups (GG;  $46.3 \pm 9.1$  years vs GA + AA;  $46.2 \pm 10.1$  years: ns). Gender distribution was similar in both genotype groups (GG 25.9% males vs 74.1% females vs GA + AA; 28.8% males vs 71.2% females).

Applying a dominant genetic model, we did not found a significant association between rs670 A-allele and fat mass, weight, waist circumference, blood pressure and BMI in the total and female groups. In males, weight (delta:  $3.3 \pm 1.2$  kg; p = 0.01), fat mass (delta:  $2.7 \pm 1.1$  kg; p = 0.01) and waist circumference (delta:  $2.8 \pm 1.1$  cm; p = 0.02) were higher in non-A allele carriers than A allele carriers (Table 2). BMI, weight, and waist circumferences were higher in males than females in both genotypes. Fat mass was higher in females than males.

Biochemical characteristics according to genotype are shown in Table 3. HDL-c levels was higher in A allele carriers (delta total group:  $5.4 \pm 1.1 \text{ mg/dl}$ : p = 0.02), (delta male group:  $7.2 \pm 1.2 \text{ mg/dl}$ : p = 0.01) and (delta female group:  $6.1 \pm 1.3 \text{ mg/dl}$ : p = 0.02) than non A allele carriers. In males, fasting glucose (delta:  $8.9 \pm 2.2 \text{ mg/dl}$ ; p = 0.01), insulin levels (delta:  $3.7 \pm 1.2 \text{ UI/L}$ ; p = 0.04) and HOMA-IR (delta:  $1.2 \pm 1.1 \text{ units}$ ; p = 0.02) were higher in non-A allele carriers than A allele carriers (Table 2). These differences were not detected in female subjects. In both genotypes, HOMA-IR and insulin levels were higher in males than females. All previous statistical analysis were realized after exclusion of patients using lipid lowering drugs (n = 31), antidiabetic drugs (n = 37) and antihypertensive drugs (n = 98).

Serum adipokine levels and C reactive protein are shown in Table 4. No differences were detected between genotype groups. In both genotypes, leptin levels were higher in females than males.

According to the results of demographic and metabolic characteristics, the percentage of individuals who had metabolic syndrome (MetS) was 53.8% (n = 540) and 46.2% patients without MetS (n = 462). The percentage of subjects with metabolic syndrome, some components of MetS and diabetes mellitus (central obesity, hypertriglyceridemia, hypertension or hyperglycemia) are shown in Table 5. In both genotypes, metabolic syndrome rate,

Parameters	Total group ( $n = \frac{1}{2}$	Total group ( $n = 1000$ )		Male (n = 267)		Female $(n = 733)$	
	GA + AA n = 329	$\begin{array}{c} GG\\ n=671 \end{array}$	GA + AA n = 93	$\begin{array}{l} GA + AA \\ n = 329 \end{array}$	GA + AA n = 236	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GG} \\ n=497 \end{array}$	
BMI Weight (kg) Height (m) Fat mass (kg) WC (cm) SBP (mmHg) DBP (mmHg)	$\begin{array}{c} 36.1 \pm 5.8 \\ 94.3 \pm 11.1 \\ 1.61 \pm 0.3 \\ 39.3 \pm 5.1 \\ 111.4 \pm 8.1 \\ 127.8 \pm 9.8 \\ 82.1 \pm 5.0 \end{array}$	$36.5 \pm 5.3$ $95.1 \pm 10.7$ $1.62 \pm 0.2$ $39.4 \pm 4.0$ $111.1 \pm 9.0$ $129.7 \pm 8.1$ $82.4 \pm 4.8$	$\begin{array}{c} 36.2 \pm 5.1 \\ 105.3 \pm 13.1 \\ 1.72 \pm 0.2 \\ 32.6 \pm 8.0 \\ 117.6 \pm 8.1 \\ 131.2 \pm 7.8 \\ 83.7 \pm 5.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 36.6\pm5.0^{*}\\ 108.8\pm12.7^{*}\\ 1.73\pm0.3\\ 35.3\pm7.0^{*}\\ 120.4\pm9.1^{*}\\ 133.3\pm8.1\\ 82.9\pm4.7 \end{array}$	$35.6 \pm 5.8\$$ $90.6 \pm 9.1\$$ $1.58 \pm 0.1\$$ $41.7 \pm 8.1\$$ $109.0 \pm 8.0\$$ $126.7 \pm 9.8$ $81.4 \pm 5.0$	$\begin{array}{c} 36.1 \pm 5.1\$ \\ 89.9 \pm 10.7\$ \\ 1.57 \pm 0.2\$ \\ 41.1 \pm 9.0\$ \\ 108.9 \pm 9.1\$ \\ 125.8 \pm 8.1 \\ 82.3 \pm 4.2 \end{array}$	

BMI: body mass index DBP, diastolic blood pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; WC, waist circumference; \*p < 0.05, in GG vs GA + AA genotypes. \$p < 0.05 between genders.

Table	3
-------	---

Biochemical parameters (mean  $\pm$  SD).

Parameters	Total group ( $n = 9$	902)	Male (n = 239)		Female ( $n = 663$ )	
	GA + AA n = 297	$\begin{array}{l} GG\\ n=605 \end{array}$	GA + AA n = 84	GG n = 155	GA + AA n = 213	$\begin{array}{l} \text{GG} \\ n=450 \end{array}$
Fasting glucose (mg/dl) Total cholesterol (mg/dl) LDL-cholesterol (mg/dl) HDL-cholesterol (mg/dl) Triglycerides (mg/dl) Insulin (mUI/l) HOMA-IR	$101.4 \pm 12.1$ $199.6 \pm 22.8$ $126.4 \pm 11.9$ $52.3 \pm 7.5$ $125.1 \pm 60.1$ $13.8 \pm 7.0$ $3.4 \pm 1.0$	$\begin{array}{c} 103.9 \pm 9.1 \\ 196.8 \pm 19.1 \\ 126.1 \pm 22.1 \\ 46.9 \pm 8.0^{*} \\ 125.5 \pm 39.1 \\ 14.4 \pm 9.0 \\ 3.5 \pm 1.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 103.5 \pm 11.1 \\ 208.8 \pm 30.6 \\ 134.4 \pm 16.1 \\ 50.6 \pm 8.1 \\ 143.1 \pm 50.1 \\ 17.0 \pm 7.1 \\ 4.5 \pm 1.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 112.4 \pm 10.1^{*} \\ 204.8 \pm 28.1 \\ 129.4 \pm 20.1 \\ 43.4 \pm 8.1^{*} \\ 149.9 \pm 37.1 \\ 20.7 \pm 9.2^{*} \\ 5.8 \pm 1.1^{*} \end{array}$	$99.8 \pm 9.1 \\197.8 \pm 30.8 \\124.4 \pm 20.1 \\54.1 \pm 8.1 \\119.1 \pm 40.1\$ \\12.7 \pm 7.0\$ \\3.1 \pm 0.2\$$	$\begin{array}{c} 101.0 \pm 10.1 \\ 192.6 \pm 28.1 \\ 125.4 \pm 22.1 \\ 48.1 \pm 7.1^* \\ 118.4 \pm 36.1\$ \\ 12.7 \pm 8.0\$ \\ 3.2 \pm 1.1\$ \end{array}$

HOMA-IR (homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance). p < 0.05, in GG vs GA + AA genotypes. p < 0.05 between genders.

#### Table 4

Serum adipokine levels and C reactive protein (mean  $\pm$  SD).

Parameters	Total group $(n = 902)$		$Male \ (n=239)$	Male (n = 239)		Female ( $n = 663$ )	
	GA + AA n = 297	$\begin{array}{c} GG \\ n = 605 \end{array}$	GA + AA n = 84	$\begin{array}{c} GG \\ n=155 \end{array}$	GA + AA n = 213	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GG} \\ n=450 \end{array}$	
Resistin (ng/dl) Adiponectin (ng/dl) Leptin (ng/dl) CRP (ng/dl)	$5.2 \pm 1.1 \\ 20.7 \pm 8.0 \\ 63.1 \pm 11.4 \\ 5.4 \pm 1.4$	$5.3 \pm 1.0 \\ 22.5 \pm 5.0 \\ 62.8 \pm 12.2 \\ 5.6 \pm 1.3$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.7 \pm 1.1 \\ 10.8 \pm 8.9 \\ 28.1 \pm 10.4 \\ 4.9 \pm 1.8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.8 \pm 1.4 \\ 13.8 \pm 5.5 \\ 32.8 \pm 9.4 \\ 5.0 \pm 1.9 \end{array}$	$5.3 \pm 1.1$ 23.6 ± 1.9 74.1 ± 8.2\$ 5.6 ± 1.4	$5.6 \pm 1.2$ 26.4 ± 5.0 75.8 ± 12.4\$ 5.8 ± 1.2	

CRP: C reactive protein. No statistical differences between genotypes. p < 0.05 between genders.

#### Table 5

Metabolic syndrome, components of MetS and diabetes mellitus.

Parameters	Total group (n	Total group (n = 1000)		Male (n = 267)		Female $(n = 733)$	
	GA + AA n = 329	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GG} \\ n=671 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} GA + AA \\ n = 93 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GG} \\ n=174 \end{array}$	GA + AA n = 236	GG n = 497	
Percentage of MetS	53.6%	53.9%	57.1%	59.2%	46.6%\$	46.5%\$	
Percentage of central obesity	80.9%	80.3%	90.0%	97.7%*	73.6%\$	75.2%\$	
Percentage of hypertriglyceridemia	10.6%	12.5%	12.0%	14.0%	10.1%	12.0%	
Low HDL cholesterol	10.5%	12.1%	9.9%	19.3%*	11.6%	11.9%	
Percentage of hypertension	43.2%	45.8%	40.1%	52.1%*	46.1%	45.5%	
Percentage of hyperglycemia	24.2%	24.1%	24.9%	36.3%*	19.5%\$	21.1%\$	
Diabetes mellitus	6.2%	7.0%	6.9%	13.8%*	6.0%	6.6%	

The cutoff points for the criteria of; central obesity (waist circumference >88 cm in female and >102 in male), hypertension (systolic BP >130 mmHg or diastolic BP >85 mmHg or specific treatment), hypertriglyceridemia (triglycerides >150 mg/dl or specific treatment) or hyperglycemia (fasting plasma glucose >110 mg/dl or drug treatment for elevated blood glucose). Diabetes mellitus by American diabetes Association (Ref. [10])\*p < 0.05, in GG vs GA + AA genotypes. \$p < 0.05 between genders.

percentage of central obesity, percentage of hyperglycemia and diabetes mellitus prevalence were higher in males than females.

In males non carriers of A allele, logistic regression analysis showed an increased risk of hyperglycemia (OR = 1.40, 95% CI = 1.09-2.09, p = 0.04), percentage of central obesity (OR = 4.55, 95% CI = 1.36-15.39, p = 0.01), percentage of low HDL-C (OR = 2.02, 95% CI = 1.02-4.03, p = 0.03) and prevalence of diabetes mellitus (OR = 2.14, 95% CI = 1.03-5.04, p = 0.03), after adjusting by BMI and age.

#### 4. Discussion

The main finding of this cross sectional study was the fact that males with the GG genotype of SNP (rs670) of the *APOA1* gene showed high values of fasting glucose levels, insulin, HOMA-IR, waist circumference, weight, fat mass, and low values of HDL-C than A allele carriers. Obese males without A allele showed a high risk of diabetes mellitus, hyperglycemia and central obesity.

The relationship of A allele with HDL-C concentrations, confirmed in most of studies with different populations [10–12,25,26], was also reported in our sample of Caucasian. However, some studies [8] have reported an inverse association of this allele and HDL-C levels. A possible explanation for these contradictory results in the literature is due to the influence of other genes (ApoA4, ApoA5, ApoC3), which could be in linkage disequilibrium [26]. Other potential hypothesis to explain this fact may be secondary to differences in study design, sample size, genetic background, and level of BMI, gender or dietary environment.

A allele frequency of the ApoA1 polymorphism in our sample (0.18) was similar to that previously showed in Caucasian population (0.11-0.15) [10-12] and Asiatic population (0.13-0.15) [27,28]. Moreover, the frequency of A allele has been higher in some populations such as Taiwanese (0.32) [29]. These differences in allele's frequencies have been recognized in different populations.

Our study is the second to show a relationship between gender and rs670 of *APOA1* gene with respect to criteria of Metabolic Syndrome or metabolic variables such as glucose, insulin and insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) in as much as only men displayed this association. Coban et al. [27] reported an interaction between this SNP and risk of atherogenic dyslipemia in males. Moreover, other authors have been reported significant associations of rs670 in both gender with hypertension [13], body mass index [14], diabetes mellitus [15] and metabolic syndrome [16]. We explain this gender interaction as a gene environment interaction, the environmental factor could be the diet or the hormonal status. For example, in the Philips et al. [30] study, the risk of developing MetS appeared to be modified by dietary fat intake, so the metabolic negative effect conferred by rs670 were worsened among individuals taking highfat diet. And genotype did not affect phenotypes among subjects who habitually consumed a low-fat diet.

An important finding in our study is the relationship of rs670 with hyperglycemia and diabetes in males. The A allele has been associated with phenotypes related to reduce risk of diabetes such as higher HDL-C concentrations [31]. In other study, increased risk of impaired diabetes mellitus type 2 and impaired glucose tolerance have been reported in subjects with AA genotype, showing allele A as a metabolic risk factor [15]. APOA1 gene is hypothesized to be stimulated by insulin through SP-1 binding elements [32] and ApoA1 rs670 as lying in a sequence homologous to the binding site for this nuclear factor SP-1. Such differences among studies may reflect genetic heterogeneity, differences in study designs, and other uncontrolled factors. Diabetes mellitus has a multifactorial polygenic origin, so that an abnormality in the promotor region of ApoA1 such as rs670 may act or interact with other environmental or genetic factors and induce to development diabetes. The potential effect of adipokines can be ruled out because we did not detect any relationship between this polymorphism and circulating adipokine levels. Only we reported the well-known gender interaction with leptin levels [33].

Limitations of our study are; one is, that the study has been realized in obese subjects, so the data are not generalizable to the entire population. The second might be the lack of determination of subclasses of HDL-C. The third, the design as a cross-sectional design does not allow to extract causality. The fourth, the lack of assessing the combined effect of other genetic factors on lipid levels and metabolic parameters.

In conclusion, rs670 of *APOA1* gene has a gender specific influence on serum glucose, insulin, HOMA-IR, fat mass, weight and waist circumference. Males without A allele carriers showed high rates of central obesity, low levels of HDL, hyperglycemia and diabetes mellitus. Further designs are needed to study the role of these associations with possible diagnostic and therapeutic measures in obese patients at risk of metabolic syndrome.

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### References

- Expert panel on detection, evaluation and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). Executive summary of the third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP). J Am Med Assoc 2001;285:2486–97.
- [2] Holleboom AG, Vergeer M, Hovingh GK, Kastelein JJ, Kuivenhoven JA. The value of HDL genetics. Curr Opin Lipidol 2008;19:385–94.
- [3] Rubin EM, Krauss RM, Spangler EA, Verstuyft JG, Clift SM. Inhibition of early atherogenesis in transgenic mice by human apolipoprotein AI. Nature 1991;353:265–7.
- [4] Lind L, Vessby B, Sundstrom J. The apolipoprotein B/AI ratio and the metabolic syndrome independently predict risk for myocardial infarction in middleaged men. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2006;26:406–10.
- [5] Sierra-Johnson J, Romero-Corral A, Somers VK, Lopez-Jimenez F, Walldius G, Hamstenl A, et al. ApoB/apoA-I ratio: an independent predictor of insulin resistance in US non-diabetic subjects. Eur Heart J 2007;28:2637–43.
- [6] Larson IA, Ordovas JM, Barnard JR, Hoffmann MM, Feussner G, Lamon-Fava S, et al. Effects of apolipoprotein A-I genetic variations on plasma apolipoprotein, serum lipoprotein and glucose levels. Clin Genet 2002;61:176–84.
- [7] Wang XL, Badenhop RB, Sim AS, Wilcken DE. The effect on transcription efficiency of the apolipoprotein AI gene of DNA variants at the 5' untranslated region. Int J Clin Lab Res 1998;28:235–41.
- [8] Xu CF, Angelico F, Del Ben M, Humphries SE. Role of genetic variation at the apoAlCIII-AIV gene cluster in determining plasma apoA-I levels in boys and girls. Genet Epidemiol 1993;10:113–22.
- [9] de Franca E, Alves JG, Hutz MH. APOA1/C3/A4 gene cluster variability and lipid levels in Brazilian children. Braz J Med Biol Res 2005;38:535–41.
- [10] Talmud PJ, Ye S, Humphries SE. Polymorphism in the promoter region of the apolipoprotein AI gene associated with differences in apolipoprotein AI levels: the European Atherosclerosis research study. Genet Epidemiol 1994;11:265–80.
- [11] Jeenah M, Kessling A, Miller N, Humphries SE. G to A substitution in the promoter region of the apolipoprotein AI gene is associated with elevated serum apolipoprotein AI and high density lipoprotein cholesterol concentrations. Mol Biol Med 1990;7:233-41.
- [12] Sigurdsson GJ, Gudnason V, Sigurdsson G, Humphries SE. Interaction between a polymorphism of the apoA-I promoter region and smoking determines plasma levels of HDL and apoA-I. Arterioscler Thromb 1992;12:1017–22.
- [13] Chen ES, Mazzotti DR, Furuya TK, Cendoroglo MS, Ramos LR, Araujo LQ, et al. Apolipoprotein A1 gene polymorphisms as risk factors for hypertension and obesity. Clin Exp Med 2009;9:319–25.
- [14] Phillips CM, Goumidi L, Bertrais S, Field MR, McManus R, Hercberg S, et al. Gene-nutrient interactions and gender may modulate the association

between ApoA1 and ApoB gene polymorphisms and metabolic syndrome risk. Atherosclerosis 2011;214:408-14.

- [15] Morcillo S, Cardona F, Rojo-Martínez G, Esteva I, Ruíz-de-Adana MS, Tinahones F, et al. Association between MspI polymorphism of the APO AI gene and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabet Med 2005;22:782–8.
- [16] Sheng T, Yang K. Adiponectin and its association with insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. J Genet Genomics 2008;35:321-6.
- [17] Standards of medical care in diabetes-2017: summary of revisions. Diabetes Care 2017 Jan;40(Suppl. 1):S4–5.
- [18] Lukaski H, Johson PE. Assessment of fat-free mass using bioelectrical impedance measurements of the human body. Am J Clin Nutr 1985;41:810–7.
- [19] Friedewald WT, Levy RJ, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. Clin Chem 1972;18:499–502.
- [20] Duart MJ, Arroyo CO, Moreno JL. Validation of an insulin model for the reactions in RIA. Clin Chem Lab Med 2002;40:1161–7.
- [21] Mathews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. Diabetologia 1985;28: 412–4.
- [22] Suominen P. Evaluation of an enzyme immunometric assay to measure serum adiponectin concentrations. Clin Chem 2004;50:219–21.
- [23] Meier U, Gressner M. Endocrine regulation of energy metabolism: review of pathobiochemical and clinical chemical aspects of leptin, ghrelin, adiponectin, and resistin. Clin Chem 2004;50:1511–25.
- [24] Pfutzner A, Langefeld M, Kunt T, Lobig M. Evaluation of human resistin assays with serum from patients with type 2 diabetes and different degrees of insulin resistance. Clin Lab 2003;49:571–6.
- [25] Pagani F, Sidoli A, Giudici GA, Barenghi L, Vergani C, Baralle FE. Human apolipoprotein A-I gene promoter polymorphism: association with hyperalphalipoproteinemia. J Lipid Res 1990;31:1371–7.
- [26] Paul-Hayase H, Rosseneu M, Robinson D, Van-Bervliet JP, Deslypere JP, Humphries SE. Polymorphisms in the apolipoprotein (apo) AICIII-AIV gene cluster: detection of genetic variation determining plasma apo AI, apo CIII and apo AIV concentrations. Hum Genet 1992;88:439–46.
- [27] Coban N, Onat A, Guclu-Geyik F, Komurcu-Bayrak E, Can G, Erginel-Unaltuna N. Gender-specific associations of the APOA1 -75G>A polymorphism with several metabolic syndrome components in Turkish adults. Clin Chim Acta 2014 Apr 20;431:244–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2014.01.017 [Epub 2014 Feb 6].
- [28] Bai H, Saku K, Liu R, Jimi S, Arakawa K. Analysis of a new polymorphism in the human apolipoprotein A-I gene: association with serum lipoprotein levels and coronary heart disease. J Cardiol 1996;28:207–12.
- [29] Wu JH, Kao JT, Wen MS, Lo SK. DNA polymorphisms at the apolipoprotein A1-CIII loci in Taiwanese: correlation of plasma APOCIII with triglyceride level and body mass index. J Formos Med Assoc 2000;99:367–74.
- [30] Phillips CM, Goumidi L, Bertrais S, Field MR, McManus R, Hercberg S, et al. Gene-nutrient interactions and gender may modulate the association between ApoA1 and ApoB gene polymorphisms and metabolic syndrome risk. Atherosclerosis 2011 Feb;214(2):408–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.atherosclerosis.2010.10.029.
- [31] Talmud PJ, Ye S, Humphries SE. Polymorphism in the promoter region of the apolipoprotein AI gene associated with differences in apolipoprotein AI levels: the European Atherosclerosis Research Study. Genet Epidemiol 1994;11: 265–80.
- [32] Samson SL, Wong NC. Role of Sp1 in insulin regulation of gene expression. J Mol Endocrinol 2002;29:265–79.
- [33] Hijjawi NS, Al-Radaideh AM, Al-Fayomi KI, Nimer NA, Alabadi HA, Al-Zu'bi RM, et al. Relationship of serum leptin with some biochemical, anthropometric parameters and abdominal fat volumes as measured by magnetic resonance imaging. Diabetes Metab Syndr 2017 Sep 22. pii: S1871-4021.