# AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD CHEMISTRY

### Article

Subscriber access provided by INST TECNOLOGICO AGRARIO

## Pea Detection in Food and Feed Samples by a Real-Time PCR Method Based on a Specific Legumin Gene That Allows Diversity Analysis

Sonia Ramos-Go#mez, Lorena Lo#pez-Enri#quez, Constantino Caminero, and Marta Herna#ndez J. Agric. Food Chem., 2008, 56 (23), 11098-11104• DOI: 10.1021/jf8023968 • Publication Date (Web): 06 November 2008 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on February 13, 2009

### **More About This Article**

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML



# AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD CHEMISTRY

## Pea Detection in Food and Feed Samples by a Real-Time PCR Method Based on a Specific Legumin Gene That Allows Diversity Analysis

Sonia Ramos-Gómez,<sup>†,‡,§</sup> Lorena López-Enríquez,<sup>†,§</sup> Constantino Caminero,<sup>‡</sup> and Marta Hernández<sup>\*,†</sup>

Laboratory of Molecular Biology and Department of Plant Production and Agronomy, Subdirección de Investigación y Tecnología, Instituto Tecnológico Agrario de Castilla y León (ITACyL), Valladolid, Spain

Real-time polymerase chain reaction is currently being used for the identification and quantification of plant and animal species as well as microorganisms in food or feed samples based on the amplification of specific sequences of low copy genes. We report here the development of a new real-time PCR method for the detection and quantification of the pea (*Pisum sativum*) based on the amplification of a specific region of the *legS* gene. The specificity was evaluated in a wide range of plant species (51 varieties of *Pisum* sp., and 32 other plant species and varieties taxonomically related or nonrelated). The method allows the detection and quantification of as low as 21.6 pg of DNA, which corresponds to 5 haploid genome copies. The system has been shown to be sensitive, reproducible and 100% specific for the rapid detection and quantification of pea DNA in processed food and feed samples, being therefore suitable for high-throughput analysis.

#### KEYWORDS: Pisum sativum; pea; legumin; polymerase chain reaction; real-time PCR

#### INTRODUCTION

Soybean is the most used and preferred protein source in animal feed due to its high protein content (44-50%) and constantly competitive price (1). However, the investigation of alternative protein-rich crops, including peas, beans and sweet lupins, is being encouraged for many reasons: (i) to satisfy the increased demand for high-quality protein to improve diet quality; (ii) to reduce the use of protein sources from meat and bone meal in animal diets in order to decrease the risk of the spread of bovine spongiform encephalopathy infection, as soybean consumption has also increased; (iii) to increase seed yield and protein content of the succeeding cereal crop when pulses are included in a rotation scheme (2). In this context, the pea could play an important role in the increasing productions of protein-rich material for animal feed as well as a protein source for human consumption as an ingredient of many food products (green peas, pasta, vegetarian meats, salads, soups and sauces, etc.) (3). Many studies have evaluated the nutritional interest of the pea as a beneficial component for its highly digestible protein content (19-27%), important level of essential amino acids (lysine) and low levels of antinutritive factors (4).

It is also profitable when used in animal feed. The current use of the pea at a 15-20% rate of the full animal feed intake, replacing soybean meal or corn grain, revealed an increase of weight gain without negatively affecting ruminal fermentation and digestion (5, 6), and in dairy cow diets no effects on milk yield or composition have been observed (7). Canada, the world's largest pea producer (2,806,300 t in 2006; http:// faostat.fao.org/), presented the positive effects of using peas in feed at inclusion levels of 20% broiler, 25% turkey, 20% grower—finisher pig, 30% sow, 25% cattle, 45% sheep, 30% rabbit and 15% salmonid diets (8). Besides, the pea represents the most important grain legume crop in Europe and is considered a solution for the increasing demand of protein-rich material as a component of animal feed, decreasing the need to import soybean (9).

Moreover, the commercialization of different genetically modified (GM) plant varieties is increasing rapidly, and although there are no currently transgenic peas commercially available, there are already field evaluations of transgenic varieties resistant to pea diseases or to combat infectious diseases in pigs (10). Thus, in the near future, the commercialization of these transgenic lines will happen and it will be necessary to comply with the mandatory rules for the labeling of by-food or feed. Therefore, for quantitative estimation of the relative amounts of GMO components in processed feed or food a species-specific endogenous control is required that estimates the total quantity of specific DNA in samples. Our work satisfies the need to obtain the above-mentioned control for the pea (11, 12).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Mailing address: Instituto Tecnológico Agrario de Castilla y León (ITACyL), Carretera de Burgos, km. 119, 47071 Valladolid, Spain. Phone: +34 983 317542. Fax:+ 34 983 414780. E-mail: ita-herperma@itacyl.es.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Laboratory of Molecular Biology.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Department of Plant Production and Agronomy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup> These authors contributed equally to this paper.

	(A) Pisum sp. Species				(B) Other Related and Nonrelated Plant Species		
	scientific name	ITACyL AN <sup>a</sup>	Other AN <sup>b</sup>	cultivar		scientific name	common name
1	P. abyssinicum	ZP1237	JI0130		1	Allium cepa	onion
2	P. abyssinicum	ZP1246	JI1640		2	Brassica napus	rapeseed
3	P. abyssinicum	ZP1254	JI2202		3	Brasssica oleracea	collards
4	P. fulvum		JI1010		4	Capsicum annuum	sweet pepper
5	P. sativum subsp. elatius var. pumilio		JI0241		5	Cicer arietinum	chickpea
6	P. sativum subsp. elatius var. pumilio		JI1794		6	Daucus carota	carrot
7	P. sativum subsp. elatius		JI2078		7	Fragaria vesca	strawberry
8	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP0028		Gracia	8	Glycine max	soyabean
9	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP0044	JI2732	Australian Winter	9	Helianthus annuus	sunflower
10	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP0058		Lincoln	10	Hordeum vulgare	barley
11	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP0071	JI0619	Alderman	11	Ipomoea batatas	sweet potato
12	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP0092	JI2208	Melrose	12	Lactuca sativa	lettuce
13	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP0501	JI0502	Rondo	13	Lathyrus cicera	red pea
14	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP1010	JI0347	Kelvendon Wonder	14	Lathyrus sativus	grass pea
15	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP1136	JI0516	Maro	15	Lens culinaris	lentil
16	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP1226		Gloton	16	Medicago truncatula	medic
17	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP1231		Nela	17	Nicotiana tabacum	tobacco
18	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP1406		Coomonte	18	Phaseolus vulgaris	common bean
19	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP1414		Ucero	19	Solanum lycopersicum	tomato
20	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP1456		Cheyenne	20	Solanum tuberosum	potato
21	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP1457		Baccara	21	Triticum aestivum	wheat
22	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP1458		lceberg	22	Vicia articulata ZU116	single-flowered vetch
23	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP1463		Ideal	23	Vicia ervilia	bitter vetch
24	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP1465		Victor	24	Vicia ervilia	bitter vetch
25	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP1468		Messire	25	Vicia faba var. equina	broad bean
26	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP1617		Dove	26	Vicia faba var. mayor	broad bean
27	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP1672		Blizzard	27	Vicia faba var. minor	broad bean
28	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP1677		Cherokee	28	Vicia articulata 20116	single-flowered vetch
29	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP1678		Corallo	29	Vicia narbonensis	purple broad vetch
30	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP1683		Attika	30	Vicia sativa	common vetch
31	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP1687	110017	Cartooche	31	Vicia villosa	winter vetch
32	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP1688	JI0617	Arthur	32	Vigna radiata	mung bean
33	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP1/16		Paunee	33	vitis vinifera	common grape vine
34	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP1/1/		Medora			
35	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP1/19		Specter			
30	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZP1720					
3/	P. salivum subsp. salivum	201720		Astriu			
30 20	P. salivum subsp. salivum			Nielaxa			
39	P. salivum subsp. salivum			Snawna			
40 41	P. salivum subsp. salivum	704006		CD4006			
41	P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZF4200		GF4200 DM22			
42	P. sativum subsp. sativum	FIVIOZ DM22		FINIOZ DM22			
43	P. sativum subsp. sativum	70104	PC1024	Popolo			
44 15	r . sauvum subsp. sauvum P. sativum subsp. sativum	ZF0104	BG1004	Titoe			
40	r . sauvun subsp. sauvun P sativum subsp. sativum	ZF0109	BG1100	Negrer			
40	P sativum subsp. sativum	720168	DGTIZT	BC3033			
40	P sativum subsp. sativum	ZP0344		Pi23			
40	P sativum suben sativum	700944		FelaDuara DVA			
49 50	r . sauvun subsp. sauvun P sativum subsp. sativum	ZF 0004 7D10/0	110250	P iomardii			
51	P sativum subsp. sativum	LI 1242	112605	P speciosum-libus			
51	r. oauvani sabop. sauvani		012000	г. эрсоюзин-сюуа			

<sup>a</sup> AN, accession number, ZP, Germoplasm Bank of ITACyL (Instituto Tecnológico Agrario de Castilla y León); PM, Breeding Program of ITACyL. <sup>b</sup> JI, Germoplasm Bank of John Innes Centre; BG, Germoplasm Bank of INIA-Spain (origin Portugal).

 Table 2. Quantities of P. sativum ZP1458 and Soy Flour Used To Prepare

 Experimental Feeds

pea percentage in the feed (%)	P. sativum ZP1458 (g)	soy flour (g)
0	0	20
0.1	0.02	19.98
0.5	0.1	19.90
1	0.2	19.80
5	1	19
15	3	17
25	5	15
50	10	10
100	20	0

The detection of plant species is mostly being performed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR), particularly by real-time PCR,

which allows quantification (13, 14). Brezna et al. (2006) (15) described a method for the qualitative detection or semiquantitative determination of pea in food products based on a chloroplast gene which is in high-copy number so the achieved detection limit corresponds to less than 0.1 genome copies of pea. Therefore this method is not advisable for quantification as the copy number may not be stable within the different varieties. Furthermore, we have checked specificity of this system and found unspecific amplifications in accordance to their published record that stated unspecific amplifications over cycle 29, but particularly their system amplifies *Vicia articulata* DNA in the same manner as pea DNA, so the system is therefore not 100% pea specific.

We report the development of a real-time PCR method for the identification and quantification of the pea based on the

	PslegS Forward Primer	PslegS Probe	PslegS Reverse Primer
<i>legS</i> - X67424	cgaaacacagcaaaaacaacatg	aacctcgtcaacaaaggtacagtttctt	ggttggacgtagaggtggacaac
1egA2- X17193	acgataccagcatcaacaaggag	<pre>saagcaagaacaagaaaatgaaggcaacaacattttcagtggcttcaagagggatttcttggaagatgcttt</pre>	caacgtgaacaggcatatagtag

legA - X02982

legK - X07015

legJ - X07014

Figure 1. Alignment of *PslegS* system amplicon in the different *legumin* genes.

\*\*\*\*

cgaaacacaggaggaacaacaaggaaggcatcggcaaaagcat---

Table 3.	Oligonucleotides	Used in	1 This	Study
				Olda

target	name	type	sequence	amplicon size
rbcL gene	RbcL-F	forward primer	5'-TTGGCAGCATTCCGAGTAAC-3'	484 bp
-	RbcL-R	reverse primer	5'-AAGTCCACCGCGAAGACATT-3'	
P. sativum legK gene	PSlegK-F	forward primer	5'-AAGGAGTCAAATTGTGCGAGTTG-3'	103 bp
0 0	PSlegK-R	reverse primer	5'-TCTGTGAGAGTGAGAATGACTCTGTTC-3'	
P. sativum legJ gene	PSlegJ-F	forward primer	5'-CGAAACACAGCAAAAACAACATG-3'	91 bp
0 0	PSlegJ-R	reverse primer	5'-TCCCCTTGGGTTTGATAATGC-3'	I I
P. sativum legS gene	PSlegS-F	forward primer	5'-CGAAACACAGCAAAAACAACATG-3'	75 bp
0 0	PSleaS-R	reverse primer	5'-TGTTGTCCACCTCTACGTCCAA-3'	I I
	PSlegS-P	TaqMan probe	5'-FAM-ACCTCGTCAACAAAGGTACAGTTTCTT-3'TAMRA	

Table 4. Maxted and Ambrose (2001) Pisum sp. Classification

species	subspecies	varieties
P. sativum	sativum	sativum arvense
	elatius	elatius brevipedunculatum pumilio
P. fulvum P. abyssinicum		,

amplification of a specific region of the *legS* gene which encodes a subunit of legumin. This hexameric protein is one of the major storage pea proteins and source of sulfur aminoacids, encoded by a minimum of 11 genes (*16*, *17*). Among those genes, *legS* represented a good candidate to develop specific primers for *Pisum sativum* because it was shown to be species-specific and was conserved among the genus. The reported RTi-PCR method is therefore suitable for the detection and quantification of pea DNA for either GMO analysis or as a marker of the nutritive and protein content of processed food and feed samples.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Materials.** *Plant Species.* Eighty four different varieties from 33 plant species related and nonrelated to the *Pisum* genus were taken from the germplasm banks of ITACyL and kindly provided by the John Innes Centre (Norwich, U.K.) and the Estaçao Nacional de Melhoramento de Plantas (Elvas, Portugal) (**Table 1**). The pea population included 51 *Pisum* sp.: one *P. fulvum*, three *P. abyssinicum*, three lines of *P. sativum* subsp. *elatius* (two being var. *pumilio* and one being var. *elatius*) and 44 lines of *P. sativum* subsp. *sativum*, 33 of them being commercial cultivars and 11 landraces lines from different regions. All the samples were grown in greenhouses to collect young leaf tissue that was rapidly frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until DNA isolation.

*Food.* Thirty nine food products which included pea among their ingredients and two foodstuffs without peas were purchased at the local market: natural peas (2), "ready to eat" food (14) (cooked peas, peas with cooked ham, peas with Spanish cured ham, pea with ham accompaniment, stewed peas with carrots, tuna and sweet pea salad, Russian salad, Mediterranean salad, beans with vegetables, lentils with vegetables, chickpeas with vegetables, pea purée with rice and hake, vegetable stew, vegetable hamburger), baby food (7) (tender peas with cooked ham, vegetables with rice, turkey with vegetables, timbale of rice with vegetables, vegetable stew, veal with vegetables, garden veal), creamed vegetables (5), dehydrated products (5) (vegetable soup, rice soup in a parsley sauce, rice with codfish and vegetables, three-jewel

rice, Basque sauce), frozen meals (5) (peas, rice Milanese style, mayonnaise salad with potatoes carrots peas, vegetable salad, meat balls garden sauce) and prepared meatballs (3). The food materials were homogenized in a grinder prior to DNA extraction.

*Feed.* Feed was elaborated in our laboratory from soy flour and seeds of *P. sativum* ZP1458 in order to know precisely the pea concentration in the mixture. Pea seeds were powdered with a homogenizer and mixed with the soy flour to obtain the following pea percentages, expressed as grams of powered pea per 100 g of flour: 0%, 0.1%, 0.5%, 1%, 5%, 15%, 25%, 50% and 100% (**Table 2**).

DNA Isolation. DNA extraction from 100 mg of leaves of plant material was performed using the DNAeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. DNA extractions from food and feed materials were carried out from 100 mg of material using a cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB)based method (18) adding a final DNA purification step using silica columns (QIAquick kit, QIAGEN). The DNA samples were eluted in 100  $\mu$ L of 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0. The DNA quantity and quality were determined with the ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Inc., Wilmington, DE). DNA purified from leaves or food and feed samples was tested using a PCR system based on RuBisCo gene reported by Lin et al. (2000) (24) which amplifies a 484-fragment which is bigger than our amplicon, meaning that any amplicon smaller is susceptible to being amplified, so samples are not degraded. Moreover, we have used the commercially available 18S RTi-PCR system (Eukaryotic 18S Endogenous Control Cat. No. 4310893E, Applied Biosystems) which allowed us (i) to demonstrate that there is not even slight inhibition in the RTi PCRs and (ii) to normalize different extractions by using the  $C_{\rm T}$  values obtained as a reference value to compare.

**Oligonucleotides.** Primers and probe (**Table 3**) were designed using the Primer Express version 2.0 software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and synthesized by MWG-Biotech AG (Ebensburg, Germany). *Taq*Man probe was labeled on the 5' end with the FAM (6carboxyfluorescein) reporter dye and on the 3' end with the TAMRA (tetra-methylcarboxyrhodamine) quencher dye.

**PCR Conditions.** Conventional PCR. Amplifications were performed in a final reaction volume of 20  $\mu$ L containing GeneAmp 1× PCR Buffer II, 3 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.8 mM dNTP, 0.6  $\mu$ M each primer, 0.8 U Ampli*Taq* Gold DNA Polymerase (Applied Biosystems) and 50 ng of DNA sample. PCRs were run on an Applied Biosystems 9800 Fast PCR System using the following program: 10 min at 95 °C, 40 cycles of 20 s at 95 °C, 30 s at 60 °C and 20 s at 72 °C, and a final extension of 7 min at 72 °C. Amplicons were resolved in 3% agarose gels and visualized after ethidium bromide staining.

*Real-Time Quantitative PCR.* PCR conditions and reagent concentrations were optimized to obtain the final parameters described below. Each reaction contained:  $1 \times Taq$ Man Universal PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems), 0.3 mM of each primer, 0.15 mM of *Taq*Man

--aqttaccctqttqqacqtaqqaqtqqacatca

-agttaccctgttggacgtaggagtggacatca



Figure 2. Amplification plot generated by different species of Pisum genus using the PslegS RTi-PCR system.

 
 Table 5. Detection and Quantification Limits of the RTi-PCR Assay with Genomic DNA from *Pisum sativum* "Dove" Entry ZP1617

approx haploid genome copies/reaction	signal ratio <sup>a</sup>	$C_{T}{}^{b}$
0.5	13/30	$40.49\pm0.27$
1	16/30	$40.98\pm1.18$
5	30/30	$38.01\pm0.14$
10	9/9	$36.99\pm0.45$
50	9/9	$34.01 \pm 0.21$
100	9/9	$33.13\pm0.04$
250	9/9	$31.50\pm0.07$
500	9/9	$30.44\pm0.02$
2500	9/9	$28.08\pm0.11$
5000	9/9	$26.95\pm0.07$

<sup>*a*</sup> Positive results in total number 9 reactions; positive results in 30 reactions for the samples that contains 5, 1 and 0.5 haploid copies. <sup>*b*</sup> C<sub>T</sub> refers to the mean threshold cycle value  $\pm$  standard error of the mean. Non Template Controls (NTC) were negative (C<sub>T</sub> values >50 in all the replicates). These values allow to construct a standard curve described by the equation y = -3.6686x + 40.438,  $R^2 = 0.9982$ .

probe and 50 ng of DNA template, in a final volume of 20  $\mu$ L. RTi-PCR reactions were run on a 7500 Real-Time PCR System platform (Applied Biosystems) using the following standard program: 2 min at 50 °C (for the AmpErase uracil N-glycosylase reaction), 10 min at 95 °C, and 50 cycles of 15 s at 95 °C and 1 min at 60 °C. RTi-PCR products were analyzed using Sequence Detection System software version 1.2.3 (Applied Biosystems). In all PCR experiments an amplification positive control (pea DNA), a Non Template Control (NTC) (soybean DNA) and a reagent control (water) have been included to test PCR performance.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Selection of a Gene Fragment Suitable for Specific Detection and Quantification of Pea. Selection of suitable candidate genes was performed from public genetic sequence databases (GenBank). We looked for genes conserved within the *Pisum* genus but without homologies with other species. Among others, genes encoding storage proteins LEGUMINS were selected because of their specificity and conserved sequence within the genus. LEGUMIN constitute the major storage 11S globulins of mature pea seed (75–80%) together with the 7S vicilin. This family is encoded by a minimum of 11 genes (*19*) having heterogeneous sequence identities between

50-95% which allowed us to design the PCR system in specific and low copy regions. Initially, we chose 3 genes (legJ, AN X07014; legK, AN X07015; legS, AN X67424) to design three individual sets of primers (Table 3). The comparison of the whole sequences by using BLASTN software v2.2.14 (National Center for Biotechnology Information) showed a maximum identity apart from the respective in (i) legJ of 2071/2515 nt (69.6%) with legumin genes of Vicia faba and 282/2515 nt (0.09%) with Nicotiana tabacum; (ii) legK of 1305/2783 nt (46.9%) with legumin genes of Vicia faba and 478/2783 nt (17.2%) with Lotus japonicus; (iii) legS of 1674/3321 nt (50.4%) with legumin genes of Vicia faba and 966/3321 nt (29.1%) with Glycine max. Based on previous alignment results, primers were designed in regions which exhibit fewer similarities. Then, all PCR systems were tested experimentally in 10 species related to the Pisum genus by conventional PCR (Lathyrus cicera, Lathvrus sativus, Vicia faba, Vicia sativa, Vicia articulata, Vicia villosa, Vigna radiata, Cicer arietum, Lens culinaris), including a Pisum sativum sample as positive control in all the assays. Two of the PCR systems (PslegJ and PslegK) showed the presence of amplification in at least one out of 10 non-Pisum species tested. The system based on legJ gene amplified the 10 species except Vicia villosa and the PslegK system showed an unspecific band using Lathyrus cicera DNA. However, the PslegS primer set resulted totally specific to the Pisum genus (data not shown). Using this result as a basis, a TaqMan probe was also added to the PslegS RTi-PCR system, thus allowing the identification and further quantification. The selected PSlegS system gave rise to a PCR product of 75 bp for Pisum sp. No similarities of the amplicon were found in silico by using BLASTN software with any other plant species, nor were other legumins of pea recognized, the system being fully specific to pea and to that particular *legumin* gene (Figure 1).

**Specificity.** Pea refers to the genus *Pisum*, a member of the Fabaceae family which includes several species, subspecies and varieties. Maxted and Ambrose (2001) (20) proposed a modified classification from Davis (1970) (21) based on breeding and crossing experiments (**Table 4**). This classification divides the *Pisum* genus into three species, one of them subdivided in several subspecies and varieties. *P. fulvum* is considered the

pea rate in feed (%)	pea DNA/ reaction (ng) <sup>a</sup>	estimated haploid genome copies/ reaction <sup>b</sup>	$C_{T}{}^{c}$	calculated haploid genome copies/ reaction	relative accuracy <sup>d</sup>	CV <sup>e</sup>
0	0.00	0.00	>50	0		
0.1	0.01	1.51	$38.87 \pm 0.82$	1.65	121.78	0.58
0.5	0.03	5.82	$36.96 \pm 0.73$	5.84	100.18	0.76
1.0	0.06	14.17	$35.89 \pm 0.52$	11.83	93.18	0.70
5.0	0.29	67.31	$33.01 \pm 0.24$	79.45	103.94	0.94
15.0	0.92	212.82	$31.71 \pm 0.30$	187.19	97.61	0.73
25.0	1.67	385.55	$30.71 \pm 0.22$	364.05	99.04	1.46
50.0	3.22	745.64	$29.58 \pm 0.22$	766.50	100.42	1.98
100.0	6.35	1470.14	$28.48\pm0.17$	1592.65	101.10	2.11

<sup>a</sup> Quantity of DNA in the PCR reaction after the 50-fold dilution and 5  $\mu$ L used in the mix. <sup>b</sup> The standard curve is described by the equation y = -3.4814x + 39.625,  $R^2 = 0.9978$ . <sup>c</sup>  $C_T$  refers to the mean threshold cycle value  $\pm$  standard error of the mean. Non Template Controls (NTC) were negative ( $C_T$  values >50 in all the replicates). <sup>d</sup> Relative accuracy is defined as the degree of correspondence between the response obtained by the reference method and the response obtained by the alternative method on identical samples (ratio of the Logarithm Base-10 of haploid genome copies detected by PCR and the Logarithm Base-10 of haploid genome copies estimated by measuring the absorbance of DNA by using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer multiplied by 100). <sup>e</sup> CV: Coefficient of variance is the ratio of the standard deviation of each  $C_T$  value to the mean of  $C_T$  values.

wild relative and it is not commonly cultivated, P. abyssinicum is a wild species but only cultivated in Ethiopia (22). Most of the current cultivated peas are Pisum sativum which are garden (P. sativum var. sativum) and field peas (P. sativum var. arvense) and in both cases there are worldwide commercial lines devoted to different end-uses (23). To satisfy the specificity criteria, the selected *PslegS* system was tested in 51 *Pisum* sp. that included 3 different species (P. sativum, P. abyssinicum and P. fulvum) and 28 non-Pisum species related and nonrelated with the genus. The amplifiability of the DNA samples was checked by conventional PCR, using a highly conservative sequence of the ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase large subunit (rbcL) gene (24), all samples showing positive amplification, thus being suitable for RTi-PCR assays. We defined the system 100% specific based on the negative results of all the non-Pisum species, and positive results of all Pisum sp. cultivars from different origins. The statistical analysis of the C<sub>T</sub> Pisum sp. values, by ANOVA and LSD post hoc, showed no significant differences (p < 0.05) between the 3 species (threshold = 0.05). In this context, the system is fully specific for the *legS* gene and suitable for detection of all pea genus, regardless of the origin, the varieties, the subsp. and the sp.

Moreover, in the analysis of the specificity results, we observed different amplification patterns for the species, subspecies or varieties of *Pisum* genus assayed (Figure 2) which are in agreement with previous taxonomic works that reported differences between the three species of the genus (20). The crossing point of each amplification curve with the threshold was analyzed, and we found significant differences from cycle 30 to 36. These differences in the amplification curves could be attributed to slight polymorphisms in the sequences and allow the samples to be classified in five groups corresponding to: P. fulvum and P. abbysinicum as two species, and P. sativum subdivided into three groups P. sativum subsp. sativum, P. sativum subsp. elatius var. elatius and P. sativum subsp. elatius var. pumilio. Regarding the latest, several papers have already reported previously (25-29) that the pumilio variety shows a pattern closer to P. fulvum and P. abyssinicum but is not related to the other varieties of *P. sativum*. We have supported this classification with evidence based on a coding region of legumin gene which encodes a storage protein, that in legumes are clearly associated to the evolution of plant species and varieties from the wild cultivars as the P. sativum subsp. elatius. var. pumilio (JI1794). Therefore, the results indicate that the system offers the possibility of grouping by taxon.

Sensitivity and Quantification Accuracy of the System. Pisum sp. has an approximately 4,172 Mbp/1C genome (which weigh 4.32 pg) stable in size between species (30, 31). To test the sensitivity of the PslegS system, serial dilutions of P. sativum genomic DNA ZP1617 ranging between 21.6 ng and 2.16 pg, which corresponded to 5,000, 2,500, 500, 250, 100, 50, 10, 5, 1 and 0.5 haploid copies, were analyzed. The assay was carried out by three independent experiments which included 9 replicates for each dilution, except the dilutions that contained 5, 1 and 0.5 haploid copies, which were assayed 30-fold. The results (Table 5) allowed us to construct a standard curve defined by the equation y = -3.6686x + 40.438, with a regression coefficient close to 1 ( $R^2 = 0.9982$ ), which indicates a highly linear assay and a system efficiency of 0.873, which is consistent with other previously published work (32, 33). The detection limit was defined as the minimum level at which the analyte can reliably be detected with a probability of 95% (34), being in our system 5 haploid genome copies per reaction, which corresponds to 21.6 pg of pea genome. On the other hand, the quantification limit is the lowest concentration at which there is some confidence of the accuracy of the reported measurement (35). Based on this definition and the variance analysis, ANOVA, LSD (least significant difference), Duncan and Student-Newman-Keuls, post hoc analysis, we did not find  $C_{\rm T}$  overlaps in the range from 5000 to 5 haploid copies and we determined that the quantification limit is also 5 haploid copies.

**Implementation of the Assay to Processed Samples.** *Food.* Thirty-nine processed food products containing pea within the ingredients and two more samples containing other vegetables but not peas were analyzed with the *PslegS* system to detect the presence of pea. The system was able to detect the presence of pea DNA in 38 samples, only one meatball sample out of 3 samples tested showed no amplification and neither did the food containing other vegetables (2 samples) but no pea.

*Feed.* Animal feed was prepared with different percentages of pea and analyzed to determine the accuracy of the system applied to feed analysis. The DNA samples obtained were diluted 50-fold and 5  $\mu$ L was used as DNA template in the PCR. Two PCR assays were made individually. In each experiment all the percentages were tested 5-fold (**Table 6**). The variance analysis of the  $C_{\rm T}$  from different feed and post hoc tests defined the detection limit of pea in feed at 21.6 ng of pea genomic DNA in the reaction, so the system allows quantification as low as 0.5% of pea in animal feed and, furthermore, allows pea traces to be detected in animal feed with a detection probability of

#### Real-Time PCR System for Pea Detection and Quantification

70%. All the percentages analyzed presented significant differences, and the homogeneous subsets distributed all the percentages in different groups according to the results. A non-pea feed (0%) was simultaneously analyzed as negative control obtaining a  $C_{\rm T} > 50$ , demonstrating the absence of unspecific amplifications. Thus, the *PslegS* system was shown to work in the range of optimal percentages suggested in several reported feed studies for different animals (poultry, cattle, pigs or fish).

In conclusion, a Pisum sp. real-time PCR system has been designed and shown to be specific for the Pisum genus, and it does not amplify any other related or nonrelated plant species. The sensitivity of the system has been tested, and the post hoc analysis determined a limit of detection and quantification of 5 haploid copies (21.6 pg) per PCR reaction. In this sense, the system allows the presence of pea to be detected and quantified in the percentages used in feed, and moreover, we have shown that the system allows the presence of traces to be detected in both food and feed materials. The PslegS RTi-PCR is a reproducible and fully specific method for the detection and quantification of pea in processed food and feed samples, which can be carried out in a short time and being suitable for highthroughput analysis. This reported system is therefore available for further reduction to nanolitre quantities to be used in miniaturized platforms as "lab-on-a-chip" analysis that could be easily implemented in routine diagnosis laboratories.

#### **ABBREVIATIONS USED**

%, percentage;  $\mu$ L, microliters;  $\mu$ M, micromolar; AN, accession number; ANOVA, analysis of variance; BLASTN, Nucleotide Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (NCBI);  $C_T$ , threshold cycle; *E*, efficiency; F, forward; FAM, 6'-carboxyfluorescein; GMO, genetically modified organism; g, grams; LSD, least significant difference; *M*, mean values; Mbp, million base pairs; mg, milligrams; min, minutes; mM, millimolar; ng, nanograms; °C, degrees Celsius; P, probe; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; pg, picograms; R, reverse;  $R^2$ , regression coefficient; RTi-PCR, real time polymerase chain reaction; s, seconds; SD, standard deviation; TAMRA, tetramethylcarboxyrhodamine.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Noemí Carrillo-Villalba and Marta Fernández-Araguz for kind technical support to this work.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- (1) Harrigan, G. G.; Ridley, W. P.; Riordan, S. G.; Nemeth, M. A.; Sorbet, R.; Trujillo, W. A.; Breeze, M. L.; Schneider, R. W. Chemical composition of glyphosate-tolerant soybean 40-3-2 grown in Europe remains equivalent with that of conventional soybean (*Glycine max* L.). J. Agric. Food Chem. 2007, 55, 6160– 6168.
- (2) Rowland, I. C.; Mason, M. G.; Pritchard, I. A.; French, R. J. Effect of Field Peas and Wheat on the Yield and Protein-Content of Subsequent Wheat Crops Grown at Several Rates of Applied Nitrogen. Aust. J. Exp. Agric. 1994, 34, 641–646.
- (3) Santalla, M.; Amurrio, J. M.; De Ron, A. M. Food and feed potential breeding value of green, dry and vegetable pea germplasm. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 2001, *81*, 601–610.
- (4) Petterson, D. S.; Sipsas, S.; Mackintosh, J. B. *The chemical Composition and Nutritive Value of Australian Pulses*; GRCD: Canberra, Australia, 1997.
- (5) Gilbery, T. C.; Lardy, G. P.; Soto-Navarro, S. A.; Bauer, M. L.; Anderson, V. L. Effect of field peas, chickpeas, and lentils on rumen fermentation, digestion, microbial protein synthesis, and feedlot performance in receiving diets for beef cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.* 2007, 85, 3045–3053.

- (6) Nagra, S. A.; Bhatty, N. In vivo (rat assay) assessment of nutritional improvement of peas (Pisum sativum L.). *East Mediterr. Health J.* 2007, *13*, 646–653.
- (7) Vander Pol, M.; Hristov, A. N.; Zaman, S.; Delano, N. Peas can replace soybean and corn grain in dairy cow diets. *J. Dairy Sci.* 2008, *91*, 698–703.
- (8) Hickling, D. Canadian Feed Peas, Industry Guide; Pulse Canada: Winipeg, MB, Canada, 2003; p 35.
- (9) Bourdillon, A. Advantages and constraints of grain legume for the feed market. Supplements to the proceedings of the 3rd European Confrence on Grain Legumes; AEP: Paris, 1999, pp 9–10.
- (10) McPhee, K. E.; Gollasch, S.; Schroeder, H. E.; Higgins, T. J. V. Gene technology in pea. In *Transgenic Crops of the World -Essential Protocols*; Curtis, I. S., Ed.; Kluwer Academic Publishers: The Netherlands, 2004; pp 351–359.
- (11) Hernández, M.; Río, A.; Esteve, T.; Prat, S.; Pla, M. A rapeseed-specific gene, *acetyl-CoA carboxylase*, can be used as reference for qualitative and real time quantitative PCR detection of transgenes from mixed food samples. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2001, 49, 3622–3627.
- (12) Hernández, M.; Ferrendo, A.; Esteve, T.; Puigdomènech, P.; Prat, S.; Pla, M. Real-Time and convencional polymerase chain reaction on the metallo-carboxypeptidase inhibitor gene for specific detection and quantification of potato and tomato in processed food. J. Food Prot. 2003, 66, 1063–1070.
- (13) Hernández, M.; Duplan, M. J.; Berthier, G.; Vaïtilingom, M.; Hauser, W.; Freyer, R.; Pla, M.; Bertheau, Y. Development and comparision of tour Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction systems for specific detection and quantification of *Zea* mays L. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2004**, *52*, 4632–4637.
- (14) Hernández, M.; Esteve, T.; Pla, M. Real-Time polymerase chain reaction based assays for Quantitive detection of barley, rice, sunflower, and wheat. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2005, 53, 7003–7009.
- (15) Brežna, B.; Hudecová, L.; Kuchta, T. Detection of pea in food by real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR). *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* 2006, 222, 600–603.
- (16) Lycett, G. W.; Croy, R. R. D.; Delauney, A. J.; Shirsat, A. H.; Boulter, D. Molecular Analysis of the Gene Families Coding for the Storage Proteins of Pisum-Sativum-l. *Heredity* **1984**, *53*, 563.
- (17) Stöger, E.; Parker, M.; Christou, P.; Casey, R. Peal legumin overexpressed in wheat endosperm assembles into an ordered paracrystalline matrix. *Plant Physiol.* 2001, *125*, 1732–1742.
- (18) Rogers, S. O.; Bendich, A. J. Extraction of DNA from Milligram Amounts of Fresh, Herbarium and Mummified Plant-Tissues. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **1985**, *5*, 69–76.
- (19) Casey, R.; Domoney, C. Pea globulins In *Seed Proteins*; Shewry, P. R., Casey, R. Eds.; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dorrdrecht, The Netherlands, 1999; pp 171–208.
- (20) Maxted, N.; Ambrose, M. Peas (*Pisum L.*) In *Plant genetic resources of legumes in the Mediterranean*; Maxted N., Bennett, S. J., Eds.; Kluwer Academic Publishers: The Netherlands, 2001; Chapter 10, pp 181–190.
- (21) Davis, P. H. Pisum L In Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands; Davis., P. H., Ed.; Edinburgh University Press: Edinburgh, 1970.
- (22) Ellis, T. H.; Poyser, S. J.; Knox, M. R.; Vershinin, A. V.; Ambrose, M. J. Polymorphism of insertion sites of Ty1-copia class retrotransposons and its use for linkage and diversity analysis in pea1. *Mol. Gen. Genet* **1998**, *260*, 9–19.
- (23) Smartt, J. In *Grain legumes: evolution and genetic resources*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, 1990; pp 190–198.
- (24) Lin, J.-J.; Fleming, R.; Kuo, J.; Matthews, R. F.; Saunders, J. A. Detection of Plant Genes Using a Rapid, Nonorganic DNA Purification Method. *BioTechniques* **2000**, *28* (2), 346–350.
- (25) Jing, R.; Knox, M. R.; Lee, J. M.; Vershinin, A. V.; Ambrose, M.; Ellis, T. H. N.; Flavell, A. J. Insertional Polymorphism and Antiquity of PDR1 Retrotransposon Insertions in Pisum Species. *Genetics* 2005, 171, 741–752.
- (26) Jing, R.; Johnson, R.; Seres, A.; Kiss, G.; Ambrose, M. J.; Knox, M. R.; Ellis, T. H. N.; Flavell, A. J. Gene-Based Sequence

- (27) Knox, M. R.; Ellis, T. H. Stability and inheritance of methylation states at PstI sites in Pisum. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 2001, 265, 497–507.
- (28) Tar'an, B.; Zhang, C.; Warkentin, T.; Tullu, A.; Vandenberg, A. Genetic diversity among varieties and wild species accessions of pea (Pisum sativum L.) based on molecular markers, and morphological and physiological characters. *Genome* 2005, 48, 257–272.
- (29) Vershinin, A. V.; Allnutt, T. R.; Knox, M. R.; Ambrose, M. J.; Ellis, T. H. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2003**, *20* (12), 2067–2075.
- (30) Greilhuber, J.; Ebert, I. Genome Size Variation in Pisum-Sativum. *Genome* 1994, 37, 646–655.
- (31) Baranyi, M.; Greilhuber, J.; Swiecicki, W. K. Genome size in wild Pisum species. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **1996**, *93*, 717–721.
- (32) Chaouachi, M.; Malki, R. E.; Berard, A.; Romaniuk, M.; Laval, V.; Brunel, D.; Bertheau, Y. Development of a real-time PCR method for the differential detection and quantification of four solanaceae in GMO analysis: potato (Solanum tuberosum), tomato (solanum lycopersicum), eggplant (Solanum melongena), and pepper (capsicum annuum). *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2008**, *56*, 1818– 1828.

- (33) Elizaquível, P.; Chenoli, E.; Aznar, R. A TaqMan- based real time PCR assay for the specific detection and quantification of *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* in meat products. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 2007, 278, 62–71.
- (34) Paoletti, C.; Wighart, F. Definition of pre-validation performance requirements, 4th meeting of the European Network of GMO Laboratories-ENGL. Joint Research Centre, Ispra, Italy, 2002, pp 29–30.
- (35) Coleman, D.; Auses, J.; Grams, N. Regulation: From an industry perspective of relationship between detection limits, quantification limitis, and significant digits. *Chemom. Intell. Lab. Syst.* **1997**, *37*, 71–80.

Received for review August 1, 2008. Revised manuscript received September 29, 2008. Accepted October 3, 2008. This work was funded by the Agrarian Experimental Plan of the ITACyL/Junta de Castilla y León and the European Union's Marie-Curie mobility program (Contract MERG-CT-2007-209050). S.R.-G. and L.L.-E. received a Ph.D. studentship from the Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria (INIA), and M.H. holds a contract from INIA-ITACyL cofunded by European Social Fund (ESF).

JF8023968