scientific reports



OPEN ICF based comparison of musculoskeletal health in regions of Poland and Spain

Agnieszka Wiśniowska-Szurlej^{1,2^{IZI}, Agnieszka Sozańska¹, Sandra Jiménez-del Barrio^{3,4},} Bernard Sozański⁵, Luis Ceballos-Laita^{3,4} & Héctor Hernández-Lázaro^{3,6}

The International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) is the framework for describing disability. The aim of the study was to compare differences in health status between the populations of the Podkarpackie region of Poland and the Castilla y León region of Spain, using the ICF core set for post-acute musculoskeletal conditions. A total of 840 people were included in the study. The brief version of ICF core set for post-acute musculoskeletal conditions was used in the study. Information obtained from semi-structured interviews and a physiotherapy assessment was recoded into ICF qualifiers to obtain comparable data. Significant differences in functioning were found between the two samples, with a higher prevalence in all the ICF entities for the Spanish sample. Similarities were found in the ICF components 'Body Functions' and 'Environmental Factors', with the most prevalent ICF entities being 'b280 Sensation of pain' and 'e225 Climate' respectively. For 'Activities and Participation', differences were observed, with 'd430 Lifting and carrying objects' being the most common for the Spanish sample and 'd450 Walking' for the Polish sample. Significant differences were found between the two samples, indicating better musculoskeletal health in the Polish sample. Future research using the ICF Core Sets in practice should be expanded and carried out in other countries to improve the ability to make comparisons between populations and to develop policies for territorial equity in health.

Trial registration: NCT06283407.

Keywords International classification of functioning, Disability and health, Musculoskeletal diseases, Crosssectional studies

Abbreviations

ICF	The international classification of functioning, disability and health
WHO	The World Health Organization
ICD	The international classification of diseases
STROBE	The strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology

Approximately 1.71 billion people worldwide suffer from musculoskeletal disorders. With a growing and ageing population, the number of people suffering from musculoskeletal disorders and related functional limitations is increasing rapidly¹. Currently, they are the leading cause of disability worldwide².

Disability is part of a person's existence and an integral part of the human experience. It results from the interaction between the physical consequences associated with an individual's health status and their contextual factors, including personal and environmental factors. This understanding of disability is based on the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF)³. The ICF is an international standard for describing, recording and reporting measures of health, functioning, and disability⁴. The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends the combined use of the ICF and the International Classification of Diseases (ICD), which is intended for reporting morbidity and mortality data⁵. The ICD-11 diagnosis does not provide

¹Institute of Health Sciences, College of Medical Sciences of the University of Rzeszow, University of Rzeszow, Rzeszow, Poland. ²Donum Corde Rehabilitation and Medical Care Center, Głogów Małopolski, Poland. ³Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Valladolid, Soria, Spain. ⁴Clinical Research in Health Sciences Group, University of Valladolid, Soria, Spain. ⁵Institute of Medical Sciences, College of Medical Sciences of the University of Rzeszow, University of Rzeszow, Rzeszow, Poland. ⁶Ólvega Primary Care Physiotherapy Unit, Soria Health Care Management, Castilla y León Regional Health Administration (SACYL), Soria, Spain. [⊠]email: agwisniowska@ur.edu.pl

information on the patient's experience of the condition, so the combined use of the ICD and ICF provides a more comprehensive picture of its impact on the patient's daily life⁶.

Developed in 2001, the ICF is a multidimensional and biopsychosocial view of people, regardless of their health status, level or cause of disability⁷. The classification describes the interaction between the changes associated with an individual's condition (impairments of body structures and functions, activity limitations and participation restrictions) and their specific context (personal and environmental factors). One of the purposes of the classification is to serve as a common language for health care professionals to describe the functioning of people with different conditions, thus enabling comparability of research results at national and international levels⁴.

The ICF is very comprehensive, covering over 1400 categories. To facilitate its clinical application, the WHO and the ICF Research Branch introduced the ICF core sets, which are brief lists of ICF entities from the entire classification that are most appropriate for specific conditions and/or clinical contexts⁸. To date, 54 ICF core sets have been developed for various conditions, circumstances and situations⁹. As of 2019, only 23 core sets had been validated¹⁰. In 14 countries from the WHO Collaborating Centres, the current use of the ICF was reported to be mainly in clinical settings (i.e., data recording in rehabilitation settings, assessment of functional status, and description of disability), with Australia and Sweden being the countries with the most widespread use¹¹. Although the framework established by the ICF has been almost universally adopted and some selected entities have become part of the ICD-11, the use of the ICF in primary and secondary care is still limited¹².

In addition to the United States, countries in Europe, Australia, and other regions of the world face significant challenges in generating consistent, high-quality longitudinal data¹³. Electronic health records based on validated and clinically tested ICF Core Sets can serve as a unified registry for collecting population health data and serve as a learning health system that can be used to make strategic decisions within health care systems.

From a public health perspective, a key challenge for policy and clinical practice is to ensure a health care system that is effective, acceptable and sustainable¹⁴. One of the continuing difficulties in using research to achieve public health improvement goals is the lack of comparability of reported results¹⁵. Information on the functioning of people with musculoskeletal conditions is needed for health management and health service planning. WHO has adopted the ICF as a model for structured information on disability and functioning, but there is a need to conduct health assessment analyses using the ICF core sets in different settings and countries¹⁶. To date, no work has been published comparing levels of functioning assessed using the ICF core sets for post-acute musculoskeletal conditions across countries.

The aim of the study was to compare differences in health status between the populations of the Podkarpackie region of Poland and the Castilla y León region of Spain, using the ICF core set for post-acute musculoskeletal conditions.

Methods

Study design

A comparative study was conducted to assess cross-cultural differences in the prevalence of health problems using the ICF entities from the brief ICF core set for post-acute musculoskeletal conditions in patients from Podkarpackie (Poland) and Castilla y León (Spain). Data from two previous studies assessing the content validity of this ICF core set in both countries were used^{17,18}.

The study was published according to the guidelines of the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement¹⁹.

Setting

The study was carried out on population-based samples from regions of Poland and Spain. To ensure an even distribution of institutions in each region, 25 institutions in different parts of south-eastern Poland were selected and invited to participate in the project. Finally, after obtaining consent, the study was conducted in 15 outpatient rehabilitation facilities.

In Spain, the study included adults from the region of Castilla y León (Spain) who were recruited from primary care physiotherapy services belonging to the Regional Healthcare System of Castilla y León (SACYL). These services provide community-based physiotherapy care in both urban and rural areas, and 32 of them participated in patient recruitment. This research was carried out between October 2021 and March 2023.

Participants

This study used two datasets of patients with post-acute musculoskeletal conditions.

A total of 840 people (528 people from Poland and 312 people from Spain) with musculoskeletal conditions were included. All were diagnosed by a general practitioner and confirmed by the ICD-10 diagnosis given at the time of referral to rehabilitation (osteoarthritis M15-M19, rheumatoid arthritis M05-M06, spine diseases M45-54 and other soft tissues disorders M70-M79).

Patients were recruited consecutively from those admitted to outpatient rehabilitation for the treatment of musculoskeletal problems. Inclusion criteria were: (a) 18 years of age or older, (b) musculoskeletal condition diagnosed according to ICD-10, and (c) normal cognitive status allowing reliable research (Abbreviated Mental Test Score > 6). Exclusion criteria were: (a) presence of mental disorders (e.g. depression or personality disorders) and (b) referral from hospital services.

Sample size

The size of the Polish sample was determined on the basis of statistical data on the average registered incidence (number of new patients with a given diagnosis appearing in the public health system) of musculoskeletal diseases in Podkarpackie (Poland) per 100 000 inhabitants²⁰. Based on the incidence of musculoskeletal diseases, the

actual percentage of people with a given disease was calculated in relation to the statistical data from the Central Statistical Office on the number of people in certain age groups in Podkarpackie (Poland), which amounted to 44 643²¹. Due to the possibility of reaching a larger group of patients a sample selection calculator was then used to calculate the number of people needed for the study, assuming a maximum error of 4% and a 95% confidence level, which was 528 people.

In the case of the Spanish region of Castilla y León, data on the prevalence of musculoskeletal conditions were not available, so the sample size was calculated based on the total population (2 308 174 inhabitants), using a confidence level of 90%, a standard deviation (SD) of 0.5 and a margin of error of \pm 5%. Although this calculation resulted in a target sample size of 273 subjects, a total of 312 were recruited.

Outcome measures

Basic socio-demographic data (sex, age, education, occupation) were collected during the study. The number of existing chronic conditions was used to assess general health.

A modified version of the brief ICF core set for post-acute musculoskeletal conditions was used to assess the cultural differences between the Polish and Spanish samples.

The brief ICF core set for post-acute musculoskeletal conditions includes a total of 31 entities. Ten of these entities belong to the component 'Body functions' (b134–b780), 15 to 'Activities and Participation' (d155–d550) and 6 to 'Environmental factors' (e110–e450). According to the Polish version, the ICF entities 'e310 Immediate family', 'e320 Friends' and 'e580 Health services, systems and policies' were added, while 'd415 Maintaining body position' was removed. Thus, a total of were 33 ICF entities were used as outcome measures.

To assess the impact of impairments/limitations or restrictions/barriers on musculoskeletal health in terms of functioning, each ICF entity was assessed using the ICF qualifiers (xxx.0 NO problem; xxx.1 MILD problem; xxx.2 MODERATE problem; xxx.3 SEVERE problem; xxx.4 COMPLETE problem; xxx.8 not specified and xxx.9 not applicable). The assessment of environmental factors, ICF qualifiers were assigned from the respondents' perspective, recording only whether they had a negative impact (barriers) on their condition. These qualifiers were therefore as follows: xxx.0 NO barrier; xxx.1 MILD barrier; xxx.2 MODERATE barrier; xxx.3 SUBSTANTIAL barrier; xxx.4 COMPLETE barrier⁴.

Data collection

A total of 59 collaborating physiotherapists (27 in Poland and 32 in Spain) recruited participants and collected data. They were experienced professionals in the management of musculoskeletal conditions and were trained in the principles and clinical use of the ICF.

In Poland, the methodology for assessing the patient's health status was presented by members of the Polish Council for the Implementation of the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health. Polish physiotherapists carried out interviews and functional assessments of patients and recorded ICF qualifiers in a specific form.

In Spain, collaborating physiotherapists attended a workshop conducted by a researcher trained in the ICF by the ICF Research Branch. They conducted semi-structured interviews to assess the relevance of each ICF entity in relation to the patient's musculoskeletal condition.

Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using the R program, version 4.3.3. First, a descriptive statistical analysis was performed to characterize the sample and qualifier distribution of each ICF entity using absolute and relative frequencies.

Comparison of the values of qualitative variables in groups was performed using the chi-square test (with Yates' correction for 2×2 tables) or Fisher's exact test when the chi-square test assumptions regarding the so-called expected numbers were not met.

The Mann–Whitney test was used to compare the values of quantitative variables in two groups. The significance level used in the analysis was 0.05. There were no missing data in this study, so data from all participants were included in the analyses.

Sample size calculation and data analysis were performed by an independent biostatistician.

Ethical considerations

The study was designed and conducted in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki 1996 (modified in 2013 at the 64th Assembly of the World Medical Association in Fortaleza, Brazil). All participants provided written an informed consent. Participants were informed that they could withdraw from the study at any stage of the interview. This study was registered on clinicaltrials.gov with the identifier NCT06283407 and was also approved by the Bioethics Committee of the University of Rzeszów (Resolution No. 11/02/2020) and the Ethics Committees for Clinical Research of the Spanish health areas of Burgos-Soria, León-Bierzo, Palencia, Salamanca, Segovia and Valladolid (reference code for the Burgos-Soria area was CEIm 2690).

Results

Sample characteristics

The study included 840 people, 528 from Poland and 312 from Spain. Significant differences were found between the Polish and the Spanish samples for all characteristics except age.

A higher percentage of Spanish women (73.40%) than Polish women (57.39%) participated in the study. Participants had a higher level of education in Poland, with 96.78% of participants having completed secondary education or higher, compared to 60.98% in the Spanish sample. A similar situation was observed in terms of employment status, with 69.51% of participants in Poland being actively working, compared to 56.09% in Spain.

In the Spanish population the average number of chronic conditions was 1.86, while in Poland it was 2.83. Detailed characteristics of the two samples are shown in Table 1.

General overview of differences between Polish and Spanish samples

Statistical analysis revealed significant differences in the level of functioning between the Polish and Spanish samples were found. In the Spanish sample, a higher prevalence of impairments/limitations/barriers was found in all ICF entities analized.

Differences in impairments in body functions

In the ICF component 'body functions', the highest prevalence was found in the ICF entity 'b280 Sensation of pain' for both samples (74.24% in the Polish sample and 86.86% in the Spanish sample). The less prevalent ICF entity was 'b620 Urination functions', which was also consistent across samples (15.72% and 26.60%, respectively). Other categories with similar prevalence were 'b530 Weight maintenance functions' (57.39% and 55.13%) and 'b134 Sleep functions' (64.01% and 78.53%).

The higher difference found for this component corresponded to the ICF entity 'b740 Muscle endurance functions', which was found to be problematic for 75.32% of the Spanish sample but only for 30,87% of the Polish sample (difference of 44.45% between samples). Full details of the differences in prevalence of impairments in body functions are shown in Table 2.

Differences in activity limitations and/or participation restrictions

The analysis of the prevalence of entities belonging to the ICF 'activities and participation' showed that 'd430 Lifting and carrying objects' was the most common entity for the Spanish sample (65.38%) and also showed the highest difference between the samples (38.68%). In the Polish sample, the most common ICF entity was 'd450 Walking' (47.72%), with a similar prevalence as in the Spanish sample (52.57%).

The ICF entity 'd240 Handling stress and other psychological demands' was relevant in both samples (61.86% for Spain and 45.64% for Poland). In the Spanish sample, the ICF entities 'd410 Changing basic body position' and 'd230 Carrying out daily routine' were also important (prevalence of 62.81% and 56.73%, respectively). In the Polish sample, no other ICF entity reached a prevalence of more than 50% (see Table 3 for more details).

Differences in barriers in environmental factors

The most relevant environmental factor in both samples was 'e225 Climate', with a prevalence of 58.01% in the Spanish sample and 31.82% in the Polish sample. The prevalence of the remaining ICF entities was low in both samples, with no other entity exceeding 40% in the Spanish sample or 30% in the Polish sample. The highest difference between the samples for these factors was found for 'e115 Products and technology for personal use in daily living' (29.5%). More detailed information on environmental factors can be found in Table 4.

The distribution of qualifiers for each ICF Core Set entity is shown in Fig. 1. Within each of the ICF entities studied, significant differences were observed between the populations studied for the qualifiers of the ICF components Body Functions, Activities and Participation, and Environmental Factors.

Discussion

The aim of the study was to compare differences in health status between the populations of Podkarpackie region of Poland and the Castilla y León region of Spain, using the ICF core set for post-acute musculoskeletal conditions. Despite the potential of the ICF to provide a gold standard against which to measure different aspects of intergroup functioning, this is the first study conducted for this purpose²².

According to our findings, there were significant differences in musculoskeletal health between the Polish and Spanish populations in all ICF entities analyzed. Regarding the different ICF domains, the main differences were found in the ICF component 'activities and participation'. For the Polish sample, the most frequently reported ICF entity was 'd450 Walking' (47.72%), whereas for the Spanish sample they were 'd430 Lifting and carrying objects' (65.38%). The ability to walk is considered essential for most activities of daily living²³. Typical symptoms of musculoskeletal disorders are pain, joint stiffness and reduced mobility. Pain often increases with distance covered²⁴. Winter et al. showed that patients with musculoskeletal disorders have limited walking ability²⁵. Jun et al. showed that people with musculoskeletal conditions often have problems with upper limb activities²⁶. As activity limitations are largely dependent on the anatomical location of the musculoskeletal injury, a possible explanation for this finding is a different prevalence of such conditions between the two samples. This highlights the importance of activity assessment in clinical practice, providing clinicians with feedback on the functional problems experienced by patients on a daily basis and helping to set therapeutic goals. Regarding more general aspects of this ICF component, both populations showed a high prevalence of ICF entity 'd240 Handling stress and other psychological demands' (61.86% for Spain and 45.64% for Poland). Patients with musculoskeletal pain were reported to have significantly more symptoms of anxiety, depression, fatigue and insomnia. Therefore, primary care providers should consider mental health issues when treating patients with musculoskeletal conditions²⁷

There were fewer differences between the samples in the 'body functions' component. The ICF entity 'b280 sensation of pain' was the most common ICF entity in both populations (prevalence of 86.86% in Spain and 74.24% in Poland). Other ICF entities related to movement, such as 'b755 Involuntary movement reaction functions' and 'b780 Sensations related to muscles and movement functions', were also found to be important, with small differences in prevalence between countries. The musculoskeletal system is primarily involved in locomotion, movement and the performance of physical tasks²⁸. Musculoskeletal pain is a major burden and challenge for patients, families and carers because it is associated with functional limitations and loss of independence^{29,30}. It is considered the main reason for seeking health care from primary care providers³¹. Moreover, pain associated

Feature	Poland (N = 528)	Spain (N=312)	Total (N = 840)	d
	N (%) Mean (SD)			
Gender				
Male	225 (42.61)	83 (26.60)	308 (36.67)	$p < 0.001^{*}$
Female	303 (57.39)	229 (73.40)	532 (63.33)	
Education				
Primary	17 (3.22)	122 (39.10)	139 (16.55)	$p < 0.001^{*}$
Secondary	340 (64.39)	103 (33.01)	443 (52.74)	
University	171 (32.39)	87 (27.88)	258 (30.71)	
Employment status				
Actively working	367 (69.51)	175 (56.09)	542 (64.52)	$p < 0.001^{*}$
Not working	161 (30.49)	137 (43.91)	298 (35.48)	
Age [years]	54.95 (5.64)	55.41 (12.20)	55.12 (8.67)	p = 0.083
Number of comorbidities	2.83 (1.57)	1.86 (1.55)	2.47 (1.63)	$p < 0.001^{*}$
Table 1 . Sociodemographic characteristics of the study samples. p —Quali	tative variables: chi-squared or Fisher's exact test. Quantitative var	iables: Mann–Whitney test. *Statistically significant ($p < 0.05$).		

		Poland (N = 528)	Spain (N = 312)	Total (N = 840)	
ICF entity	Qualifier	N (%)			p
b134 Sleep functions	No problem	190 (35.98)	67 (21.47)	257 (30.60)	<i>p</i> < 0.001*
	Mild problem	162 (30.68)	58 (18.59)	220 (26.19)	
	Moderate problem	112 (21.21)	57 (18.27)	169 (20.12)	
	Severe problem	48 (9.09)	118 (37.82)	166 (19.76)	
-	Complete problem	16 (3.03)	12 (3.85)	28 (3.33)	
b260 Proprioceptive function	No problem	409 (77.46)	157 (50.32)	566 (67.38)	$p < 0.001^{*}$
	Mild problem	77 (14.58)	48 (15.38)	125 (14.88)	
	Moderate problem	23 (4.36)	32 (10.26)	55 (6.55)	
	Severe problem	16 (3.03)	70 (22.44)	86 (10.24)	
	Complete problem	3 (0.57)	5 (1.60)	8 (0.95)	
b280 Sensation of pain	No problem	136 (25.76)	41 (13.14)	177 (21.07)	$p < 0.001^{*}$
	Mild problem	93 (17.61)	41 (13.14)	134 (15.95)	
	Moderate problem	190 (35.98)	59 (18.91)	249 (29.64)	
	Severe problem	106 (20.08)	151 (48.40)	257 (30.60)	
	Complete problem	3 (0.57)	20 (6.41)	23 (2.74)	
b435 Immunological system functions	No problem	185 (35.04)	200 (64.10)	385 (45.83)	$p < 0.001^*$
	Mild problem	240 (45.45)	59 (18.91)	299 (35.60)	
	Moderate problem	80 (15.15)	24 (7.69)	104 (12.38)	
	Severe problem	13 (2.46)	28 (8.97)	41 (4.88)	
	Complete problem	10 (1.89)	1 (0.32)	11 (1.31)	
b530 Weight maintenance functions	No problem	225 (42.61)	140 (44.87)	365 (43.45)	$p = 0.015^*$
	Mild problem	188 (35.61)	59 (18.91)	247 (29.40)	
	Moderate problem	83 (15.72)	38 (12.18)	121 (14.40)	
	Severe problem	27 (5.11)	71 (22.76)	98 (11.67)	
	Complete problem	5 (0.95)	4 (1.28)	9 (1.07)	
b620 Urination functions	No problem	445 (84.28)	229 (73.40)	674 (80.24)	$p < 0.001^*$
	Mild problem	58 (10.98)	40 (12.82)	98 (11.67)	
	Moderate problem	16 (3.03)	20 (6.41)	36 (4.29)	
	Severe problem	5 (0.95)	22 (7.05)	27 (3.21)	
	Complete problem	4 (0.76)	1 (0.32)	5 (0.60)	
b730 Muscle power functions	No problem	305 (57.77)	62 (19.87)	367 (43.69)	$p\!<\!0.001^{\star}$
	Mild problem	161 (30.49)	75 (24.04)	236 (28.10)	
	Moderate problem	48 (9.09)	37 (11.86)	85 (10.12)	
	Severe problem	12 (2.27)	128 (41.03)	140 (16.67)	
	Complete problem	2 (0.38)	10 (3.21)	12 (1.43)	
b740 Muscle endurance functions	No problem	365 (69.13)	77 (24.68)	442 (52.62)	$p < 0.001^{*}$
	Mild problem	103 (19.51)	59 (18.91)	162 (19.29)	
	Moderate problem	31 (5.87)	39 (12.50)	70 (8.33)	
	Severe problem	16 (3.03)	125 (40.06)	141 (16.79)	
	Complete problem	13 (2.46)	12 (3.85)	25 (2.98)	
b755 Involuntary movement reaction functions	No problem	158 (29.92)	181 (58.01)	339 (40.36)	$p < 0.001^{*}$
	Mild problem	131 (24.81)	51 (16.35)	182 (21.67)	
	Moderate problem	125 (23.67)	25 (8.01)	150 (17.86)	
	Severe problem	66 (12.50)	54 (17.31)	120 (14.29)	
	Complete problem	48 (9.09)	1 (0.32)	49 (5.83)	
b780 Sensations related to muscles and movement functions	No problem	226 (42.80)	74 (23.72)	300 (35.71)	$p < 0.001^*$
	Mild problem	198 (37.50)	55 (17.63)	253 (30.12)	
	Moderate problem	83 (15.72)	55 (17.63)	138 (16.43)	
	Severe problem	15 (2.84)	122 (39.10)	137 (16.31)	
	Complete problem	6 (1.14)	6 (1.92)	12 (1.43)	

Table 2. Prevalence of impairments in body functions in the Polish and Spanish samples. *Statistically
significant (p < 0.05).

NetworkNotional NetworkNotional NetworkNotional NetworkNotional NetworkNotional Network135 Acquiring skillsMidd problem46 (13.3)46 (13.3)45 (13.3)47 (13.3)Maderate problem46 (14.4)28 (18.7)47 (13.3)47 (13.3)47 (13.3)Severe problem100 (14.4)40 (13.5)40 (13.5)40 (13.5)40 (13.5)All droblem20 (16.6)31 (16.9)42 (16.6)32 (16.9)42 (16.6)Severe problem100 (16.6)31 (16.9)42 (16.6)32 (16.9)42 (16.7)All droblem20 (16.7)40 (16.7)40 (16.7)40 (16.7)40 (16.7)Severe problem100 (16.7)40 (16.7)40 (16.7)40 (16.7)40 (16.7)20 Carrying out duly notaineNetwork12 (25.8)63 (13.6)10 (12.7)10 (13.7)40 (16.7)All droblem12 (16.7)10 (16.7)10 (16.7)10 (16.7)10 (16.7)10 (16.7)10 (16.7)20 Carrying out duly notaineNetwork12 (12.7)63 (13.0)10 (13.7			Poland (N = 528)	Spain (N = 312)	Total (N = 840)	
distangeNote of the set of the	ICF entity	Qualifier	N (%)	•		p
Mid problemMid problemMode (19.89)A (31.83)A (31.8	d155 Acquiring skills	No problem	375 (71.02)	178 (57.05)	553 (65.83)	$p < 0.001^*$
Index and the set of the set		Mild problem	105 (19.89)	48 (15.38)	153 (18.21)	
Sever polse101.81%8107.30%8107.		Moderate problem	34 (6.44)	28 (8.97)	62 (7.38)	
Image: stateComplete pools(0.72)(1.20)80.09)(0.00)107 Making decisionsNo problem80(76.70)15(8.33)57(.60)(0.00)104 Marking Marking80(16.80)51(.61.90)142(.60.90)142(.61.90)10.00104 Marking Marking126(.37)65(.33.90)61(.30.90)10.00120.0010.00		Severe problem	10 (1.89)	54 (17.31)	64 (7.62)	
dl? Making decisionsNo problem450 (76.00)12 (0.80)32 (0.80)92 (0.00)Mider problem104.68033 (16.90)142 (16.90)10Moderat problem10.75045 (15.30)95 (0.55)10200 Carrying out daily contineNo problem12 (0.70)15 (0.150)77 (0.57)10d230 Carrying out daily contineNo problem12 (0.70)15 (0.150)78 (0.70)1010d230 Carrying out daily contineNo problem12 (0.70)12 (0.151)78 (0.70)10 <td></td> <td>Complete problem</td> <td>4 (0.76)</td> <td>4 (1.28)</td> <td>8 (0.95)</td> <td></td>		Complete problem	4 (0.76)	4 (1.28)	8 (0.95)	
Mid probNotage <td>d177 Making decisions</td> <td>No problem</td> <td>405 (76.70)</td> <td>182 (58.33)</td> <td>587 (69.88)</td> <td>p<0.001*</td>	d177 Making decisions	No problem	405 (76.70)	182 (58.33)	587 (69.88)	p<0.001*
Network <t< td=""><td></td><td>Mild problem</td><td>89 (16.86)</td><td>53 (16.99)</td><td>142 (16.90)</td><td></td></t<>		Mild problem	89 (16.86)	53 (16.99)	142 (16.90)	
Sever poils40.7640.7691.0791.07200 Carrying out daily routineNo problem34 (64.77)15 (43.27)87 (56.78)\$0.001*210 Carrying out daily routineMid problem34 (64.77)15 (43.27)87 (82.9)101.051210 Carrying out daily routineNo darbar proble12.03070.124.0481 (9.61)101.051210 Carrying out daily routineNo problem12.03070.124.0481 (9.61)101.051210 Carrying out daily routineNo problem12.03010.123.0112.030.0112.030.01210 Carrying out daily routineNo problem12.030.0112.030.0112.030.0112.030.01210 Carrying out daily routineNo problem12.030.0112.030.0112.030.0112.030.01210 Carrying objectsNo problem12.030.0112.030.0112.030.0112.030.0112.030.01210 Carrying objectsNo problem12.040.0112.030.0112.030.0112.030.0112.030.01210 Carrying objectsNo problem12.030.0112.030.0112.030.0112.030.0112.030.0112.030.0112.030.01210 Carrying objectsNo problem12.030.0112		Moderate problem	26 (4.92)	26 (8.33)	52 (6.19)	
1Complexplem6.0mploym6.0mploym5.0mploym7.0mploym7.0mploymd200 Carrying out daily routingNo problem125 (3.5m)6.0mploy10.0mploym1		Severe problem	4 (0.76)	46 (14.74)	50 (5.95)	
d230 Carrying out laily routineNo problemS2 (23.7)S1 (32.7)97 (30.7)97 (30.7)Mid problem12 (23.7)65 (23.8)19 (23.2)10 (23.7) <t< td=""><td></td><td>Complete problem</td><td>4 (0.76)</td><td>5 (1.60)</td><td>9 (1.07)</td><td></td></t<>		Complete problem	4 (0.76)	5 (1.60)	9 (1.07)	
Inder part of the server port of th	d230 Carrying out daily routine	No problem	342 (64.77)	135 (43.27)	477 (56.79)	<i>p</i> < 0.001*
Noderate problem2(7 95)36 (11.54)78 (9.29)8(1.52)Complete problem8(1.52)0(1.92)14 (1.67)2400 Handling stress and other psychological demandNor problem287 (54.36)119 (38.14)40 (64.33) $p < 0.001'$ 2404 Handling stress and other psychological demandNor problem287 (54.36)119 (38.14)40 (1.63.01) $p < 0.001'$ 2401 Handling stress and other psychological demandNoderate problem14 (2.65)113 (31.54) $p < 0.001'$ 2401 Changing basic body positionNo problem365 (69.13)116 (37.14)481 (32.60) $p < 0.001'$ 2401 Changing basic body positionNo problem365 (69.13)116 (37.14)481 (32.60) $p < 0.001'$ 2403 Lifting and carrying objectsNo problem13 (2.46)88 (2.72.40)96 (1.67) $p < 0.001'$ 2404 Lifting and carrying objectsNo problem37 (33.01)108 (34.62)49 (1.63.01) $p < 0.001'$ 2415 Hand and arm useNo problem41 (1.77.84)16 (53.21)57 (68.69) $p < 0.001'$ 245 Hand and arm useNo problem21 (35.03)13 (1.61.01)12 (1.61.01) $p < 0.001'$ 245 Hand and arm useNo problem21 (35.03)13 (1.61.01)12 (1.21.04) $p < 0.001'$ 245 Hand and arm useNo problem21 (35.03)13 (1.61.01)12 (1.61.01) $p < 0.001'$ 245 Hand and arm useNo problem21 (35.03)13 (1.61.01)12 (1.61.01) $p < 0.001'$ 245 Hand and arm useNo problem21 (35.		Mild problem	125 (23.67)	65 (20.83)	190 (22.62)	
Severe problem11 (200)70 (22.44)81 (96.4)81 (0.4)Complete problem81 (51.2)61.02)141 (0.4)140 (0.4)d20 Handling stress and other psychological damadsNo problem287 (54.30)19 (34.14)406 (48.33)p< 0.012*		Moderate problem	42 (7.95)	36 (11.54)	78 (9.29)	
Complete problem8 (1.52)6 (1.92)14 (1.67)9 (0.01)2400 Handling stress and other psychological demashNo problem147 (22.44)60 (22.12)21.6 (23.5.7)7 (0.01)21.00Midd problem17 (1.14.50)42 (1.34.60)11.3 (1.34.5)7 (1.14.50)12 (2.13.60)11.6 (1.3.1.50)7 (2.0.20)21.00Severe problem17 (1.0.45)14 (2.15.20)8 (2.15.60)17 (2.0.20)10 (2.1.5.1)14 (1.1.5.1)12 (2.1.5.1)14 (1.1.5.1)12 (2.1.5.1)14 (1.1.5.1)12 (2.1.5.1)14 (1.1.5.1)12 (2.1.5.1)14 (1.1.5.1)12 (2.1.5.1)12		Severe problem	11 (2.08)	70 (22.44)	81 (9.64)	
d240 Handling stress and other psychological demandNo problem287 (54.30)119 (38.14)406 (48.33)\$<\$		Complete problem	8 (1.52)	6 (1.92)	14 (1.67)	
Mild problem Hif (27.84) 69 (22.12) 216 (25.71) Moderate problem I (13.45) 4 (13.46) 11 (13.45) Severe problem 14 (25.5) 74 (23.72) 88 (10.48) Complete problem 9 (17.07) 8 (25.6) 17 (20.2) d410 Changing basic body position No problem 365 (69.13) 116 (37.18) 481 (57.36) \$\$ constant Moderate problem 15 (02.23.7) 61 (19.35) 181 (1.57.8) \$\$ \$\$ 430 Lifting and carrying objects No problem 37 (2.44) 16 (37.8) \$\$ <td< td=""><td>d240 Handling stress and other psychological demands</td><td>No problem</td><td>287 (54.36)</td><td>119 (38.14)</td><td>406 (48.33)</td><td><i>p</i> < 0.001*</td></td<>	d240 Handling stress and other psychological demands	No problem	287 (54.36)	119 (38.14)	406 (48.33)	<i>p</i> < 0.001*
Moderate problem 71 (13.45) 42 (13.46) 113 (13.45) Severe problem 14 (2.55) 74 (23.72) 88 (0.48) Complete problem 9(1.70) 8 (2.56) 17 (2.02) d410 Changing basic body position No problem 36 (6.13) 116 (37.18) 481 (57.36) \$\$ <td< td=""><td></td><td>Mild problem</td><td>147 (27.84)</td><td>69 (22.12)</td><td>216 (25.71)</td><td></td></td<>		Mild problem	147 (27.84)	69 (22.12)	216 (25.71)	
Severe problem 14 (2.65) 74 (23.72) 88 (10.48) Complet problem 9(1/0) 8 (2.50) 17 (2.02) d410 Changing basic body position No problem 156 (60.13) 116 (37.18) 181 (21.50) Midd problem 120 (2.73) 61 (19.55) 181 (21.50) 116 (7.18) 116 (7.18) Moderate problem 13 (2.46) 85 (27.24) 12 (1.43) 116 (7.18) d430 Lifting and carrying objects No problem 387 (73.30) 108 (34.62) 495 (58.93) \$ d430 Lifting and carrying objects No problem 448 (33) 46 (14.74) 90 (10.11) 110 d430 Lifting and carrying objects No problem 41 (17.84) 15 (4.81) 17 (2.02) 12 (1.43) d445 Hand and arm use No problem 41 (17.84) 15 (4.81) 17 (2.02) 13 (1.607) 13 (1.607) d445 Hand and arm use No problem 9 (1.03) 5 (4.81) 17 (2.02) 13 (1.607) 13 (1.607) 13 (1.607) 13 (1.607) 13 (1.607) 13 (1.607) 13 (1.617) 14 (1.202) 13 (1.617)		Moderate problem	71 (13.45)	42 (13.46)	113 (13.45)	
Complete problem9(1.70)8 (2.56)17 (2.02) $P < 0.001^*$ d410 Changing basic body positionNo problem365 (69.13)116 (37.18)481 (57.26) $p < 0.001^*$ Midd problem120 (22.73)61 (19.55)181 (21.55)181 (21.55) $P < 0.001^*$ Moderate problem51 (27.24)61 (19.55)71 (2.44)12 (1.43) $P < 0.001^*$ d430 Lifting and carrying objectsNo problem84 (15.91)88 (58.95)12 (1.43) $P < 0.001^*$ d430 Lifting and carrying objectsModerate problem44 (15.91)88 (58.95)12 (1.43) $P < 0.001^*$ d445 Hand and arm useNo problem84 (15.91)85 (27.24)96 (11.43) $P < 0.001^*$ d445 Hand and arm useNo problem11 (7.84)166 (33.21)57 (68.69) $P < 0.001^*$ d445 Hand and arm useNo problem21 (3.53)53 (16.97)135 (16.07)100d450 WalkingNo problem21 (5.53)53 (16.91)135 (16.07)100d450 WalkingNo problem27 (52.27)148 (47.44)424 (50.48) $P < 0.001^*$ d450 WalkingNo problem27 (52.27)148 (47.44)424 (50.48) $P < 0.001^*$ d450 WalkingNo problem21 (27.84)51 (16.35)198 (23.57)100d450 WalkingNo problem21 (27.84)51 (16.35)198 (23.57)100d450 Walking around using equipmentModerate problem11 (20.81)51 (16.35)198 (23.57)d460 Storing around using equipmentNo problem		Severe problem	14 (2.65)	74 (23.72)	88 (10.48)	
4410 Changing basic body position No problem 365 (69.13) 116 (37.18) 481 (57.26) $p < 0.001^*$ Midd problem 120 (22.73) 61 (19.55) 181 (21.55) 1 Moderate problem 35 (27.44) 98 (16.7) 1 1 Severe problem 36 (30.3) 106 (34.62) 45 (52.74) 98 (16.7) 1 d430 Lifting and carrying objects No problem 387 (73.30) 108 (34.62) 495 (58.93) $p < 0.001^*$ d430 Lifting and carrying objects No problem 48 (15.91) 58 (18.59) 14 (2 (16.90) 1 Moderate problem 11 (2.08) 85 (27.24) 96 (11.43) 1 1 Severe problem 11 (2.08) 85 (15.91) 17 (2.02) 1		Complete problem	9 (1.70)	8 (2.56)	17 (2.02)	
Mild problem 120 (22.73) 61 (19.55) 181 (21.55) Moderate problem 25 (4.73) 43 (13.78) 68 (8.10) Severe problem 13 (2.46) 85 (27.24) 98 (11.67) Complete problem 30(5)5 7 (2.24) 12 (1.43) d430 Lifting and carrying objects No problem 387 (73.30) 108 (34.62) 495 (58.93) $p < 0.001^+$ Mild problem 84 (15.91) 58 (18.59) 142 (16.90) 11 d430 Lifting and carrying objects No problem 84 (15.91) 58 (18.59) 142 (16.90) Midd problem 84 (15.91) 58 (18.59) 142 (16.90) 11 d455 Hand and arm use No problem 411 (77.84) 166 (53.21) 577 (68.69) $p < 0.001^+$ Midd problem 44 (2.53) 53 (16.90) 135 (16.07) 12 d454 Hand and arm use No problem 210.38) 4 (1.28) 6 (0.71) d454 Hand and arm use No problem 216 (32.0) 136 (16.07) 12 d450 Walking No problem 216 (32.9) 148 (47.44) </td <td>d410 Changing basic body position</td> <td>No problem</td> <td>365 (69.13)</td> <td>116 (37.18)</td> <td>481 (57.26)</td> <td>p<0.001*</td>	d410 Changing basic body position	No problem	365 (69.13)	116 (37.18)	481 (57.26)	p<0.001*
Moderate problem 25 (4.73) 43 (13.78) 68 (8.10) Severe problem 13 (2.46) 85 (27.34) 98 (11.67) Complete problem 50.95) 7 (2.24) 12 (1.43) d430 Lifting and carrying objects No problem 387 (73.30) 108 (34.62) 495 (58.93) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem 64 (15.91) 58 (18.59) 142 (16.90) 142 (16.90) Complete problem 11 (2.08) 85 (27.24) 96 (11.43) 16 Moderate problem 44 (8.33) 46 (14.74) 90 (10.71) 16 Complete problem 11 (2.08) 85 (27.24) 96 (11.43) 16 d455 Hand and arm use No problem 81 (7.784) 166 (53.21) 57 (68.69) $p < 0.001^*$ d445 Hand and arm use No problem 24 (4.55) 30 (9.62) 54 (6.43) 16 Gomplete problem 12 (0.38) 41 (1.28) 68 (8.10) 16 16 Gomplete problem 147 (27.84) 51 (16.35) 198 (23.57) 18 23.57) Gomplete problem <		Mild problem	120 (22.73)	61 (19.55)	181 (21.55)	
Severe problem 13 (2.46) 85 (27.24) 98 (11.67) Complete problem 5(0.95) 7 (2.24) 12 (1.43) d430 Lifking and carrying objects No problem 387 (73.30) 108 (34.62) 495 (58.33) $p < 0.001^*$ Midd problem 84 (15.91) 58 (18.59) 142 (16.90) Moderate problem 11 (2.08) 85 (27.24) 96 (11.43) Gevere problem 11 (2.08) 85 (27.24) 96 (11.43) d45 Hand and arm use No problem 411 (77.84) 166 (53.21) 577 (68.69) $p < 0.001^*$ d454 Hand and arm use No problem 82 (15.53) 53 (16.97) 135 (16.07) d450 Walking Severe problem 9 (1.70) 59 (18.91) 68 (8.10) d450 Walking No problem 276 (52.27) 148 (47.44) 424 (50.48) $p < 0.01^*$ d450 Walking No problem 28 (5.30) 72 (23.08) 100 (11.90) d450 Walking No problem 12 (2.73) 51 (16.35) 156 (4.31) <t< td=""><td></td><td>Moderate problem</td><td>25 (4.73)</td><td>43 (13.78)</td><td>68 (8.10)</td><td></td></t<>		Moderate problem	25 (4.73)	43 (13.78)	68 (8.10)	
Complete problem 5 (0.95) 7 (2.24) 12 (1.43) P d430 Lifting and carrying objects No problem 387 (73.30) 108 (34.62) 495 (58.93) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem 84 (15.91) 58 (18.59) 142 (16.90) Severe problem 11 (2.08) 85 (27.24) 90 (10.71) Gomplete problem 11 (2.08) 85 (27.24) 96 (11.43) d445 Hand and arm use No problem 411 (77.84) 166 (53.21) 577 (68.69) $p < 0.001^*$ d445 Hand and arm use No problem 82 (15.53) 53 (16.90) 154 (.643) d445 Hand and arm use No problem 82 (15.53) 59 (18.91) 68 (8.10) d450 Walking No problem 27 (32.87) 148 (47.44) 424 (50.48) $p < 0.01^*$ d450 Walking No problem 27 (52.27) 148 (47.44) 142 (10.48) d450 Walking No problem 27 (32.84) 100 (11.90) d450 Solving around using equipment No problem		Severe problem	13 (2.46)	85 (27.24)	98 (11.67)	
Add 30 Lifting and carrying objects No problem 387 (73.30) 108 (34.62) 495 (58.93) \$\$\$\$ Mild problem 84 (15.91) 58 (18.59) 142 (16.90) Moderate problem 44 (8.33) 46 (14.74) 90 (10.71) Severe problem 11 (2.08) 85 (27.24) 96 (11.33) d445 Hand and arm use Complete problem 2 (0.38) 15 (4.81) 17 (2.02) d445 Hand and arm use No problem 411 (77.84) 166 (53.21) 577 (68.69) \$\$ \$\$ d445 Mand and arm use No problem 82 (15.53) 53 (16.99) 135 (16.07) d450 Walking No problem 27 (52.27) 148 (47.44) 64 (6.43) \$\$ d450 Walking No problem 147 (27.84) 51 (16.35) 198 (23.57) \$\$ d450 Walking No problem 28 (53.00) 72 (23.08) 100 (11.90) \$\$ d450 Walking Complete problem 14 (2.08) 5 (16.02) 67 (60.71) \$\$ d465 Moving around using equipmen		Complete problem	5 (0.95)	7 (2.24)	12 (1.43)	
b 1	d430 Lifting and carrying objects	No problem	387 (73.30)	108 (34.62)	495 (58.93)	p<0.001*
Moderate problem 44 (8.33) 46 (14.74) 90 (10.71) Image: state problem Severe problem 11 (2.08) 85 (27.24) 96 (11.43) Image: state problem Complete problem 2 (0.38) 15 (4.81) 17 (2.02) Image: state problem d445 Hand and arm use No problem 411 (77.84) 166 (53.21) 57 (68.69) $p < 0.01^{11}$ d445 Mand arm use No problem 24 (4.55) 30 (9.62) 54 (64.30) Image: state problem Moderate problem 9 (1.70) 59 (18.91) 68 (8.10) Image: state problem 12 (1.53) 58 (16.43) p < 0.001*		Mild problem	84 (15.91)	58 (18.59)	142 (16.90)	1
Severe problem 11 (2.08) 85 (27.24) 96 (11.43) Complete problem 2 (0.38) 15 (4.81) 17 (2.02) I d445 Hand and arm use No problem 411 (77.84) 166 (53.21) 577 (68.69) $p < 0.001^*$ d445 Hand and arm use Mild problem 82 (15.53) 53 (16.99) 135 (16.07) I d405 Moderate problem 24 (4.55) 30 (9.62) 54 (6.43) I I d450 Walking 60 (71) E 68 (8.10) I <td< td=""><td></td><td>Moderate problem</td><td>44 (8.33)</td><td>46 (14.74)</td><td>90 (10.71)</td><td></td></td<>		Moderate problem	44 (8.33)	46 (14.74)	90 (10.71)	
Complete problem 2 (0.38) 15 (4.81) 17 (2.02) P<0001* d445 Hand and arm use No problem 411 (77.84) 166 (53.21) 577 (68.69) $p < 0.001*$ Mild problem 82 (15.53) 53 (16.99) 135 (16.07) Image: Secce problem 24 (4.55) 30 (9.62) 54 (6.43) Image: Secce problem 24 (4.55) 30 (9.62) 54 (6.43) Image: Secce problem 20 (0.38) 44 (1.28) 6 (0.71) Image: Secce problem 2 (0.38) 4 (1.28) 6 (0.71) Image: Secce problem 2 (0.38) 4 (1.28) 6 (0.71) Image: Secce problem 2 (0.38) 4 (1.28) 6 (0.71) Image: Secce problem 2 (0.52) 148 (47.44) 424 (50.48) p < 0.01*		Severe problem	11 (2.08)	85 (27.24)	96 (11.43)	
d445 Hand and arm use No problem 411 (77.84) 166 (53.21) 577 (68.69) p <0.001* Mild problem 82 (15.53) 53 (16.99) 135 (16.07) Moderate problem 24 (4.55) 30 (9.62) 54 (6.43) Severe problem 9 (1.70) 59 (18.91) 68 (8.10) Complete problem 2 (0.38) 4 (1.28) 6 (0.71) d450 Walking No problem 276 (52.27) 148 (47.44) 424 (50.48) $p < 0.001*$ d450 Walking No problem 66 (12.50) 36 (11.54) 102 (12.14) d450 Walking No problem 28 (53.00) 72 (23.08) 100 (11.90) d450 Walking around using equipment No problem 482 (91.29) 196 (62.82) 678 (80.71) $p < 0.001*$ d465 Moving around using equipment No problem 17 (3.22) 28 (8.97) 45 (5.36) d4510 Washing oneself No problem 17 (3.22) 28 (8.7) 45 (5.36) d510 Washing oneself No problem 1		Complete problem	2 (0.38)	15 (4.81)	17 (2.02)	
Mild problem 82 (15.53) 53 (16.99) 135 (16.07) Moderate problem 24 (4.55) 30 (9.62) 54 (6.43) 1 Severe problem 9 (1.70) 59 (18.91) 68 (8.10) 1 Complete problem 2 (0.38) 4 (1.28) 6 (0.71) 1 d450 Walking No problem 276 (52.27) 148 (47.44) 424 (50.48) p <0.001*	d445 Hand and arm use	No problem	411 (77.84)	166 (53.21)	577 (68.69)	<i>p</i> < 0.001*
Moderate problem 24 (4.55) 30 (9,62) 54 (6.43) Severe problem 9 (1.70) 59 (18.91) 68 (8.10) Complete problem 2 (0.38) 4 (1.28) 6 (0.71) d450 Walking No problem 276 (52.27) 148 (47.44) 424 (50.48) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem 147 (27.84) 51 (16.35) 198 (23.57) Moderate problem 66 (12.50) 36 (11.54) 102 (12.14) Moderate problem 28 (5.30) 72 (23.08) 100 (11.90) Complete problem 11 (2.08) 5 (1.60) 16 (1.90) d455 Moving around using equipment No problem 482 (91.29) 196 (62.82) 678 (80.71) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem 20 (3.79) 34 (10.90) 54 (6.43) Moderate problem 17 (3.22) 28 (8.97) 45 (5.36) Complete problem 20 (3.79) 34 (10.90) 54 (6.43) Moderate problem 17 (3.22) 28 (8.97) <td></td> <td>Mild problem</td> <td>82 (15.53)</td> <td>53 (16.99)</td> <td>135 (16.07)</td> <td>-</td>		Mild problem	82 (15.53)	53 (16.99)	135 (16.07)	-
Severe problem9 (1.70)59 (18.91)68 (8.10)Complete problem2 (0.38)4 (1.28)6 (0.71)d450 WalkingNo problem276 (52.27)148 (47.44)424 (50.48) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem147 (27.84)51 (16.35)198 (23.57)1Moderate problem66 (12.50)36 (11.54)102 (12.14)1Moderate problem28 (5.30)72 (23.08)100 (11.90)1Complete problem11 (2.08)5 (1.60)16 (1.90)1d455 Moving around using equipmentNo problem482 (91.29)196 (62.82)678 (80.71) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem20 (3.79)34 (10.90)54 (6.43)1111Moderate problem17 (3.22)28 (8.97)45 (5.36)1111Complete problem7 (1.33)53 (16.99)60 (7.14)111 </td <td></td> <td>Moderate problem</td> <td>24 (4.55)</td> <td>30 (9.62)</td> <td>54 (6.43)</td> <td></td>		Moderate problem	24 (4.55)	30 (9.62)	54 (6.43)	
Complete problem2 (0.38)4 (1.28)6 (0.71)d450 WalkingNo problem276 (52.27)148 (47.44)424 (50.48) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem147 (27.84)51 (16.35)198 (23.57)1Moderate problem66 (12.50)36 (11.54)102 (12.14)1Complete problem28 (5.30)72 (23.08)100 (11.90)1d465 Moving around using equipmentNo problem482 (91.29)196 (62.82)678 (80.71) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem20 (3.79)34 (10.90)54 (6.43)1111Miderate problem17 (3.22)28 (8.97)45 (5.36)11 <td></td> <td>Severe problem</td> <td>9 (1.70)</td> <td>59 (18.91)</td> <td>68 (8.10)</td> <td></td>		Severe problem	9 (1.70)	59 (18.91)	68 (8.10)	
d450 WalkingNo problem276 (52.27)148 (47.44)424 (50.48) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem147 (27.84)51 (16.35)198 (23.57)1Moderate problem66 (12.50)36 (11.54)102 (12.14)1Severe problem28 (5.30)72 (23.08)100 (11.90)1d465 Moving around using equipmentNo problem482 (91.29)196 (62.82)678 (80.71) $p < 0.001^*$ d465 Moving around using equipmentNo problem20 (3.79)34 (10.90)54 (6.43)1Mild problem20 (3.79)34 (10.90)54 (6.43)11Moderate problem17 (3.22)28 (8.97)45 (5.36)11Moderate problem7 (1.33)53 (16.99)60 (7.14)111Mild problem20 (3.8)1 (0.32)3 (0.36)111		Complete problem	2 (0.38)	4 (1.28)	6 (0.71)	
NoNo147 (27.84)51 (16.35)198 (23.57)Mild problem66 (12.50)36 (11.54)102 (12.14)Severe problem28 (5.30)72 (23.08)100 (11.90)Complete problem11 (2.08)5 (1.60)16 (1.90)d465 Moving around using equipmentNo problem482 (91.29)196 (62.82)678 (80.71) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem20 (3.79)34 (10.90)54 (643)1Moderate problem17 (3.22)28 (8.97)45 (5.36)1Moderate problem7 (1.33)53 (16.99)60 (7.14)1Complete problem2 (0.38)1 (0.32)3 (0.36)1d510 Washing oneselfNo problem444 (84.09)225 (72.12)669 (79.64) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem57 (10.80)37 (11.86)94 (11.19)1Moderate problem18 (3.41)23 (7.37)41 (4.88)1Severe problem5 (0.95)26 (8.33)31 (3.69)1Gating for body partsNo problem445 (84.28)215 (68.91)660 (78.57) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem58 (10.98)44 (14.10)102 (12.14)11Mild problem58 (10.98)44 (14.10)102 (12.14)1Gating for body partsNo problem445 (84.28)215 (68.91)660 (78.57) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem58 (10.98)44 (14.10)102 (12.14)1111Gating for body partsNo problem18 (0.76)29 (9.29)33 (3.93)	d450 Walking	No problem	276 (52.27)	148 (47.44)	424 (50.48)	p<0.001*
Moderate problem 66 (12.50) 36 (11.54) 102 (12.14)Severe problem 28 (5.30) 72 (23.08) 100 (11.90) 100 420 (5.30) 72 (23.08) 100 (11.90) 100 100 4465 Moving around using equipmentNo problem 482 (91.29) 196 (62.82) 678 (80.71) $p < 0.001^*$ 4465 Moving around using equipmentMild problem 20 (3.79) 34 (10.90) 54 (6.43) 100 4465 Moving around using equipmentModerate problem $7(3.22)$ 28 (8.97) 45 (5.36) 100 410 Moderate problem $7(3.22)$ 28 (8.97) 45 (5.36) 100 100 410 Moderate problem $7(3.22)$ 28 (8.97) 45 (5.36) 100 410 Moderate problem $7(3.33)$ 53 (16.99) 60 (7.14) 100 50 Severe problem $7(3.33)$ 53 (16.99) 60 (7.14) 100 450 Mashing oneselfNo problem 444 (84.09) 225 (72.12) 69 (97.64) $p < 0.001^*$ 450 Mashing oneselfNo problem 51 (0.80) 37 (11.80) 94 (11.19) 100 410 Moderate problem 50.95 26 (8.33) 31 (3.69) 100 100 4520 Caring for body partsNo problem 445 (84.28) 215 (68.91) 60 (678.57) $p < 0.001^*$ 4520 Caring for body partsNo problem 40.76 29 (9.29) 33 (3.93) 100 450 Maderate problem $10.22.7$ 23 (7.37) 35 (4.17) 100 <tr< td=""><td></td><td>Mild problem</td><td>147 (27.84)</td><td>51 (16.35)</td><td>198 (23.57)</td><td>1</td></tr<>		Mild problem	147 (27.84)	51 (16.35)	198 (23.57)	1
Severe problem28 (5.30)72 (23.08)100 (11.90)d465 Moving around using equipmentNo problem482 (91.29)196 (62.82)678 (80.71) $p < 0.001^*$ d465 Moving around using equipmentNo problem482 (91.29)196 (62.82)678 (80.71) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem20 (3.79)34 (10.90)54 (6.43)Moderate problem17 (3.22)28 (8.97)45 (5.36)Complete problem7 (1.33)53 (16.99)60 (7.14)Complete problem2 (0.38)1 (0.32)3 (0.36)d510 Washing oneselfNo problem444 (84.09)225 (72.12)669 (79.64) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem57 (10.80)37 (11.86)94 (11.19)Moderate problem18 (3.41)23 (7.37)41 (4.88)Complete problem5 (0.95)26 (8.33)31 (3.69)d520 Caring for body partsNo problem445 (84.28)215 (68.91)660 (78.57) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem58 (10.98)44 (14.10)102 (12.14)Moderate problem12 (2.27)23 (7.37)35 (4.17)Severe problem12 (2.27)23 (7.37)33 (3.93)Complete problem9 (1.70)1 (0.32)10 (1.19)		Moderate problem	66 (12.50)	36 (11.54)	102 (12.14)	
Complete problem11 (2.08)5 (1.60)16 (1.90)d465 Moving around using equipmentNo problem482 (91.29)196 (62.82) $678 (80.71)$ $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem20 (3.79)34 (10.90)54 (6.43)Moderate problem17 (3.22)28 (8.97)45 (5.36)Complete problem7 (1.33)53 (16.99)60 (7.14)Complete problem2 (0.38)1 (0.32)3 (0.36)d510 Washing oneselfNo problem444 (84.09)225 (72.12)669 (79.64) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem57 (10.80)37 (11.86)94 (11.19)Moderate problem18 (3.41)23 (7.37)41 (4.88)Moderate problem5 (0.95)26 (8.33)31 (3.69)Gomplete problem40.76)1 (0.32)5 (0.60)Mild problem58 (10.98)44 (14.10)102 (12.14)Mild problem58 (10.98)44 (14.10)102 (12.14)Mild problem58 (10.98)44 (14.10)102 (12.14)Moderate problem12 (2.27)23 (7.37)35 (4.17)Severe problem12 (2.27)23 (7.37)35 (3.93)Gomplete problem9 (1.70)1 (0.32)10 (1.19)Complete problem9 (1.70)1 (0.32)10 (1.19)		Severe problem	28 (5.30)	72 (23.08)	100 (11.90)	
d465 Moving around using equipmentNo problem482 (91.29)196 (62.82)678 (80.71) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem20 (3.79)34 (10.90)54 (6.43)Moderate problem17 (3.22)28 (8.97)45 (5.36)Severe problem7 (1.33)53 (16.99)60 (7.14)Complete problem2 (0.38)1 (0.32)3 (0.36)d510 Washing oneselfNo problem444 (84.09)225 (72.12)669 (79.64) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem57 (10.80)37 (11.86)94 (11.19)Moderate problem18 (3.41)23 (7.37)41 (4.88)Complete problem5 (0.95)26 (8.33)31 (3.69)Complete problem445 (84.28)215 (68.91)660 (78.57) $p < 0.001^*$ d520 Caring for body partsNo problem445 (84.28)215 (68.91)660 (78.57) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem58 (10.98)44 (14.10)102 (12.14)Moderate problem12 (2.27)23 (7.37)35 (4.17)Complete problem9 (1.70)1 (0.32)10 (1.19)Complete problem9 (1.70)1 (0.32)10 (1.19)		Complete problem	11 (2.08)	5 (1.60)	16 (1.90)	
No problem20 (3.79) 34 (10.90) 54 (6.43)Mild problem20 (3.79) 34 (10.90) 54 (6.43)Moderate problem17 (3.22) 28 (8.97) 45 (5.36)Severe problem7 (1.33) 53 (16.99) 60 (7.14)Complete problem2 (0.38)1 (0.32) 3 (0.36)d510 Washing oneselfNo problem 444 (84.09) 225 (72.12) 669 (79.64) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem57 (10.80)37 (11.86) 94 (11.19) $p < 0.001^*$ Moderate problem18 (3.41) 23 (7.37) 41 (4.88) $p < 0.001^*$ Complete problem5 (0.95) 26 (8.33) 31 (3.69) $p < 0.001^*$ d520 Caring for body partsNo problem 445 (84.28) 215 (68.91) 660 (78.57) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem58 (10.98) 44 (14.10) 102 (12.14) $p < 0.001^*$ Moderate problem12 (2.27) 23 (7.37) 35 (4.17) $p < 0.001^*$ Complete problem 10.76 29 (9.29) 33 (3.93) $p < 0.001^*$ Complete problem $p < 0.70$ $1 (0.32)$ $10 (1.19)$ $p < 0.001^*$	d465 Moving around using equipment	No problem	482 (91.29)	196 (62.82)	678 (80.71)	p < 0.001*
Image: Constrained by the second s		Mild problem	20 (3.79)	34 (10.90)	54 (6.43)	-
Severe problem7 (1.33)53 (16.99)60 (7.14)Complete problem2 (0.38)1 (0.32)3 (0.36)d510 Washing oneselfNo problem444 (84.09)225 (72.12)669 (79.64) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem57 (10.80)37 (11.86)94 (11.19)Moderate problem18 (3.41)23 (7.37)41 (4.88)Severe problem5 (0.95)26 (8.33)31 (3.69)Complete problem5 (0.95)26 (8.33)31 (3.69)Complete problem445 (84.28)215 (68.91)660 (78.57) $p < 0.001^*$ d520 Caring for body partsNo problem445 (84.28)215 (68.91)660 (78.57) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem58 (10.98)44 (14.10)102 (12.14)Moderate problem12 (2.27)23 (7.37)35 (4.17)Complete problem4 (0.76)29 (9.29)33 (3.93)Complete problem9 (1.70)1 (0.32)10 (1.19)		Moderate problem	17 (3.22)	28 (8.97)	45 (5.36)	
Complete problem2 (0.38)1 (0.32)3 (0.36)d510 Washing oneselfNo problem444 (84.09)225 (72.12) 669 (79.64) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem57 (10.80)37 (11.86)94 (11.19)Moderate problem18 (3.41)23 (7.37)41 (4.88)Severe problem5 (0.95)26 (8.33)31 (3.69)Complete problem440.76)1 (0.32)5 (0.60)d520 Caring for body partsNo problem445 (84.28)215 (68.91) 660 (78.57) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem58 (10.98)44 (14.10)102 (12.14)Moderate problem12 (2.27)23 (7.37)35 (4.17)Severe problem4 (0.76)29 (9.29)33 (3.93)Complete problem9 (1.70)1 (0.32)10 (1.19)		Severe problem	7 (1.33)	53 (16.99)	60 (7.14)	
d510 Washing oneself No problem 444 (84.09) 225 (72.12) 669 (79.64) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem 57 (10.80) 37 (11.86) 94 (11.19) Moderate problem 18 (3.41) 23 (7.37) 41 (4.88) Severe problem 5 (0.95) 26 (8.33) 31 (3.69) Complete problem 445 (84.28) 215 (68.91) 660 (78.57) $p < 0.001^*$ d520 Caring for body parts No problem 445 (84.28) 215 (68.91) 660 (78.57) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem 58 (10.98) 44 (14.10) 102 (12.14) Moderate problem 12 (2.27) 23 (7.37) 35 (4.17) Severe problem 4 (0.76) 1 (0.32) 10 (1.19) Complete problem 12 (2.77) 23 (7.37) 35 (4.17) </td <td></td> <td>Complete problem</td> <td>2 (0.38)</td> <td>1 (0.32)</td> <td>3 (0.36)</td> <td></td>		Complete problem	2 (0.38)	1 (0.32)	3 (0.36)	
Nild problem 57 (10.80) 37 (11.86) 94 (11.19) Mild problem 57 (10.80) 37 (11.86) 94 (11.19) Moderate problem 18 (3.41) 23 (7.37) 41 (4.88) Severe problem 5 (0.95) 26 (8.33) 31 (3.69) Complete problem 4 (0.76) 1 (0.32) 5 (0.60) d520 Caring for body parts No problem 445 (84.28) 215 (68.91) 660 (78.57) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem 58 (10.98) 44 (14.10) 102 (12.14) 102 Moderate problem 12 (2.27) 23 (7.37) 35 (4.17) 10 Severe problem 4 (0.76) 29 (9.29) 33 (3.93) 10 Complete problem 9 (1.70) 1 (0.32) 10 (1.19) 10	d510 Washing oneself	No problem	444 (84.09)	225 (72.12)	669 (79.64)	p<0.001*
Moderate problem 18 (3.41) 23 (7.37) 41 (4.88) Severe problem 5 (0.95) 26 (8.33) 31 (3.69) Complete problem 4 (0.76) 1 (0.32) 5 (0.60) d520 Caring for body parts No problem 445 (84.28) 215 (68.91) 660 (78.57) $p < 0.001^*$ Mild problem 58 (10.98) 44 (14.10) 102 (12.14) 102 (12.14) Moderate problem 12 (2.27) 23 (7.37) 35 (4.17) 10 Severe problem 4 (0.76) 29 (9.29) 33 (3.93) 10 (1.19) Complete problem 9 (1.70) 1 (0.32) 10 (1.19) 10		Mild problem	57 (10.80)	37 (11.86)	94 (11.19)	
X X		Moderate problem	18 (3.41)	23 (7.37)	41 (4.88)	
Complete problem 4 (0.76) 1 (0.32) 5 (0.60) d520 Caring for body parts No problem 445 (84.28) 215 (68.91) 660 (78.57) p < 0.001*		Severe problem	5 (0.95)	26 (8.33)	31 (3.69)	
d520 Caring for body parts No problem 445 (84.28) 215 (68.91) 660 (78.57) p < 0.001* Mild problem 58 (10.98) 44 (14.10) 102 (12.14) Moderate problem 12 (2.27) 23 (7.37) 35 (4.17) Severe problem 4 (0.76) 29 (9.29) 33 (3.93) Complete problem 9 (1.70) 1 (0.32) 10 (1.19)		Complete problem	4 (0.76)	1 (0.32)	5 (0.60)	
Mild problem 58 (10.98) 44 (14.10) 102 (12.14) Moderate problem 12 (2.27) 23 (7.37) 35 (4.17) Severe problem 4 (0.76) 29 (9.29) 33 (3.93) Complete problem 9 (1.70) 1 (0.32) 10 (1.19)	d520 Caring for body parts	No problem	445 (84.28)	215 (68.91)	660 (78.57)	<i>p</i> < 0.001*
Moderate problem 12 (2.27) 23 (7.37) 35 (4.17) Severe problem 4 (0.76) 29 (9.29) 33 (3.93) Complete problem 9 (1.70) 1 (0.32) 10 (1.19)	U / I ** **	Mild problem	58 (10.98)	44 (14.10)	102 (12.14)	*
Severe problem 4 (0.76) 29 (9.29) 33 (3.93) Complete problem 9 (1.70) 1 (0.32) 10 (1.19)		Moderate problem	12 (2.27)	23 (7.37)	35 (4.17)	
Complete problem 9 (1.70) 1 (0.32) 10 (1.19)		Severe problem	4 (0.76)	29 (9.29)	33 (3.93)	
Continued		Complete problem	9 (1.70)	1 (0.32)	10 (1.19)	
Continued	Continued		1 . ,	1	1 . ,	1

		Poland (N = 528)	Spain (N = 312)	Total (N = 840)	
ICF entity	Qualifier	N (%)			p
d530 Toileting	No problem	495 (93.75)	254 (81.41)	749 (89.17)	$p < 0.001^*$
	Mild problem	21 (3.98)	31 (9.94)	52 (6.19)	
	Moderate problem	2 (0.38)	9 (2.88)	11 (1.31)	
	Severe problem	6 (1.14)	17 (5.45)	23 (2.74)	
	Complete problem	4 (0.76)	1 (0.32)	5 (0.60)	
d540 Dressing	No problem	439 (83.14)	198 (63.46)	637 (75.83)	$p < 0.001^*$
	Mild problem	69 (13.07)	52 (16.67)	121 (14.40)	
	Moderate problem	11 (2.08)	28 (8.97)	39 (4.64)	
	Severe problem	5 (0.95)	32 (10.26)	37 (4.40)	
	Complete problem	4 (0.76)	2 (0.64)	6 (0.71)	
d550 Eating	No problem	501 (94.89)	270 (86.54)	771 (91.79)	$p < 0.001^*$
	Mild problem	18 (3.41)	27 (8.65)	45 (5.36)	
	Moderate problem	5 (0.95)	9 (2.88)	14 (1.67)	
	Severe problem	2 (0.38)	5 (1.60)	7 (0.83)	
	Complete problem	2 (0.38)	1 (0.32)	3 (0.36)	

Table 3. Prevalence of activity limitations and participation restrictions in the Polish and Spanish samples.

.....

with musculoskeletal problems leads to drug dependence, suffering, social isolation and emotional distress³². Another finding was the high prevalence of problems related to 'b134 Sleep functions', which has also been liked to musculoskeletal problems in the literature³³. Finally, ICF entities covering the functioning of other body systems also showed a similar importance, highlighting the multidimensional nature of movement³⁴.

With regard to the ICF component 'environmental factors', it was expected that the main differences between the Polish and Spanish populations would be found here. However, the most relevant ICF entity for both samples was 'e225 Climate' (prevalence was 58.01% in Spain and 31.82% in Poland), a remarkable finding given the marked differences in climate between the two regions. Weather parameters, including changes in temperature, humidity and pressure, are often considered risk factors for the occurrence of chronic diseases or adverse health events³⁵. Changes in weather conditions are often cited by patients with musculoskeletal disorders as an important factor in triggering the onset and development of symptoms³⁶. According to the review by Beukenhorst et al., 67% of studies showed an association between pain and weather variables³⁷. The authors suggested that future research should consider the extent and nature of modifiable risk factors for musculoskeletal pain and strategies for managing them³⁷. In general, environmental factors had a higher prevalence in Spain, but the relative importance of ICF entities was similar in both contexts.

Perhaps the greatest difficulty in conducting a cross-country study of this kind is obtaining comparable data. The ICF provides a definition of the universe of functioning and disability that allows direct comparisons of levels of functioning between groups of people. However, as each population has its own specific background, it was expected that there would be differences in socio-demographic characteristics between the samples. In our study, significant differences were found in all areas except age, which poses a challenge to comparability.

Spanish women had a higher prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders than Polish women (73.40% and 57.39%, respectively). In the data collection for this study, sex was used as a descriptive measure for the samples. This means that only biological differences between men and women were considered, while other multidimensional concepts related to the concept of gender were not taken into account³⁸. Although a high prevalence in women is consistent with previous studies^{39,40}, it doesn't explain the difference found between Poland and Spain.

Another finding related to the context of the two samples was the difference in education and employment status, with the Polish population having higher levels of both. A high level of education has been associated with a protective effect on the incidence of musculoskeletal problems⁴¹ and could explain the greater impact observed in the Spanish population on the ICF entities analyzed. In the case of employment status, its effect is controversial because, although it is recommended to stay active and return to work as soon as possible to reduce chronicity and disability related to musculoskeletal problems⁴², it also implies exposure to ergonomic risk factors that may lead to injury⁴³.

A higher number of comorbidities was observed in the Polish sample. Similar results were found by Souza et al., who analyzed trends in multimorbidity in 15 European countries. The authors pointed out that the incidence of multimorbidity is higher in Poland than in Switzerland, Sweden or Spain, among other countries⁴⁴. Spain's consistency in developing a health care system with primary care at its core differs from Poland's health care system, which is more focused on the hospital sector and limits the development of health promotion and disease prevention activities^{45,46}. Primary care, with its multisectoral approach to population health, can address most of the determinants of health and therefore needs to be sufficiently developed in national health systems⁴⁷.

There are some limitations that should be taking into account when interpretating the findings of this study. The first is that although the data collection took place during the interaction between the physiotherapist and the patient, the Polish sample is dominated by the physiotherapist's perspective, whereas in the Spanish case a more patient-centered perspective was used. In addition, Polish physiotherapists had more experience using the ICF than Spanish physiotherapists. As they all participated in an ICF workshop, we are confident in the

		Poland (N = 528)	Spain (N = 312)	Total (N = 840)	
ICF entity	Qualifier	N (%)			р
	No problem	451 (85.42)	197 (63.14)	648 (77.14)	p < 0.001*
	Mild problem	15 (2.84)	48 (15.38)	63 (7.50)	
e110 Products or substances	Moderate problem	17 (3.22)	16 (5.13)	33 (3.93)	
	Severe problem	25 (4.73)	43 (13.78)	68 (8.10)	
	Complete problem	20 (3.79)	8 (2.56)	28 (3.33)	
	No problem	474 (89.77)	188 (60.26)	662 (78.8)	<i>p</i> < 0.001*
	Mild problem	15 (2.84)	53 (16.99)	68 (8.10)	*
e115 Products and technology	Moderate problem	14 (2.65)	29 (9.29)	43 (5.12)	
for personal use in daily living	Severe problem	20 (3.79)	38 (12.18)	58 (6.9)	
	Complete problem	5 (0.95)	4 (1.28)	9 (1.07)	
	No problem	497 (94.13)	219 (70.19)	716 (85.24)	p<0.001*
e120 Products and technology	Mild problem	6 (1.14)	38 (12.18)	44 (5.24)	_
for personal indoor and	Moderate problem	8 (1.52)	19 (6.09)	27 (3.21)	
transportation	Severe problem	9 (1.70)	32 (10.26)	41 (4.88)	
	Complete problem	8 (1.52)	4 (1.28)	12 (1.43)	
	No problem	360 (68.18)	131 (41.99)	491 (58.45)	<i>p</i> < 0.001*
	Mild problem	85 (16.10)	46 (14.74)	131 (15.60)	-
e225 Climate	Moderate problem	50 (9.47)	43 (13.78)	93 (11.07)	
	Severe problem	21 (3.98)	81 (25.96)	102 (12.14)	
	Complete problem	12 (2.27)	11 (3.53)	23 (2.74)	
	No problem	439 (83.14)	200 (64.10)	639 (76.07)	p<0.001*
	Mild problem	9 (1.70)	37 (11.86)	46 (5.48)	
e310 Immediate family	Moderate problem	22 (4.17)	15 (4.81)	37 (4.40)	
	Severe problem	34 (6.44)	42 (13.46)	76 (9.05)	
	Complete problem	24 (4.55)	18 (5.77)	42 (5.00)	
	No problem	440 (83.33)	207 (66.35)	647 (77.02)	$p < 0.001^*$
	Mild problem	23 (4.36)	39 (12.50)	62 (7.38)	
e320 Friends	Moderate problem	23 (4.36)	18 (5.77)	41 (4.88)	
	Severe problem	28 (5.30)	39 (12.50)	67 (7.98)	
	Complete problem	14 (2.65)	9 (2.88)	23 (2.74)	
	No problem	407 (77.08)	210 (67.31)	617 (73.45)	$p < 0.001^*$
	Mild problem	51 (9.6)	32 (10.26)	83 (9.88)	
e355 Health professionals	Moderate problem	39 (7.39)	10 (3.21)	49 (5.83)	
	Severe problem	28 (5.30)	43 (13.78)	71 (8.45)	
	Complete problem	3 (0.57)	17 (5.45)	20 (2.38)	
	No problem	408 (77.27)	218 (69.87)	626 (74.52)	$p = 0.001^*$
	Mild problem	72 (13.64)	23 (7.37)	95 (11.31)	
e450 Individual attitudes of health professionals	Moderate problem	28 (5.30)	12 (3.85)	40 (4.76)	
fical di professionale	Severe problem	16 (3.03)	47 (15.06)	63 (7.50)	
	Complete problem	4 (0.76)	12 (3.85)	16 (1.90)	
	No problem	415 (78.60)	177 (56.73)	592 (70.48)	$p < 0.001^*$
	Mild problem	50 (9.47)	33 (10.58)	83 (9.88)	
e580 Health services, systems and policies	Moderate problem	35 (6.63)	20 (6.41)	55 (6.55)	
T	Severe problem	22 (4.17)	62 (19.87)	84 (10.00)	
	Complete problem	6 (1.14)	20 (6.41)	26 (3.10)	

Table 4. Prevalence of barriers in Environmental Factors in the Polish and Spanish samples. *Statistically significant (p < 0.05).

orginiteant (p < oroc

reliability of the data collected. Another limitation is the different method of calculating sample sizes for the two populations. Despite this, and given that the samples were population-based in the regions studied, we are moderately confident that this will not bias our results. Finally, the socio-demographic data in the two samples are different, but we believe that this is inherent to this type of study and is part of the process of comparing populations from different countries.



Fig. 1. Distribution of qualifiers for individual categories of the ICF core set. (A) Body function qualifiers, (B) Activity and participation qualifiers, (C) Environmental factors qualifiers.

Conclusions

In summary, among people with musculoskeletal disorders, the highest prevalence of problems in both the Polish and Spanish population samples was found in the area of pain function (b280). In activity and participation, the greatest problems were shown in Handling stress and other psychological demands (d240). When assessing environmental factors, the greatest barrier for people with musculoskeletal problems was Climate (e225). Significant differences were found between the two samples, indicating better musculoskeletal health in the Polish sample. Future studies using ICF Core Sets in practice should be expanded and conducted in other countries to increase the ability to make comparisons between populations and to develop improvements in health care systems and service provision for patients with musculoskeletal problems.

Data availability

The datasets generated and analysed during the current study are available in the University of Rzeszow repository, https://repozytorium.ur.edu.pl/handle/item/10558.

Received: 8 July 2024; Accepted: 22 October 2024 Published online: 12 November 2024

References

- 1. World Health Organization. Musculoskeletal health. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/musculoskeletal-conditions. (Geneva, 2022).
- 2. World Health Organization. Disability. W https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/disability-and-health. (Geneva, 2023).
- World Health Organization. Global report on health equity for persons with disabilities. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item /9789240063600 (Geneva, 2023)
- World Health Organization. The International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health: ICF. https://www.who.int/stan dards/classifications/international-classification-of-functioning-disability-and-health (Geneva, 2001)
- 5. World Health Organization. International Classification of Diseases 11th Revision. https://icd.who.int/en (Geneva, 2024)

- Kim HJ, Kim W, Cho K, Kim J, Koo MJ, Yu J, et al. Development of a Korean version of simple, intuitive descriptions for clinical use of the generic functioning domains in the ICD-11 Chapter V. Disabil. Rehabil. 1–7 (2024).
- Üstün, T., Chatterji, S., Bickenbach, J., Kostanjsek, N. & Schneider, M. The international classification of functioning, disability and health: A new tool for understanding disability and health. *Disabil. Rehabil.* 25, 565–571 (2003).
- Selb, M. et al. A guide on how to develop an international classification of functioning, disability and health core set. Eur. J. Phys. Rehabil. Med. 51, 05–17 (2015).
- 9. Bickenbach J, Cieza A, Selb M, Stucki G. ICF CORE SETS Manual for Clinical Practice. Hogrefe: Göttingen (2020).
- 10. Karlsson, E. & Gustafsson, J. Validation of the international classification of functioning, disability and health (ICF) core sets from 2001 to 2019—A scoping review. *Disabil. Rehabil.* 44(14), 3736–3748 (2022).
- 11. Leonardi, M. et al. 20 Years of ICF-international classification of functioning, disability and health: Uses and applications around the world. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public. Health.* **19**(18), 11321 (2022).
- Habenicht, R. et al. Machine learning based linking of patient reported outcome measures to WHO international classification of functioning, disability, and health activity/participation categories. J. Clin. Med. 12(17), 5609 (2023).
- Franklin, P. D., Lurie, J., Tosteson, T. D. & Tosteson, A. N. A. Task force on musculoskeletal registries. Integration of registries with EHRs to Accelerate Generation of Real-World Evidence for Clinical Practice and Learning Health Systems Research Recommendations from a Workshop on Registry Best Practices. J. Bone Joint Surg. 102(19), e110 (2020).
- 14. Donahue, K. E. et al. Triple aim is triply tough: Can you focus on three things at once?. Fam. Med. 50(3), 179-187 (2018).
- Lokkerbol, J., Wijnen, B. F. M., Chatterji, S., Kessler, R. C. & Chisholm, D. Mapping of the world health organization's disability assessment schedule 2.0 to disability weights using the multi-country survey study on health and responsiveness. *Int. J. Methods. Psychiatr. Res.* 30(3), e1886 (2021).
- Fresk, M. et al. Mapping information regarding the work-related disability of depression and long-term musculoskeletal pain to the international classification of functioning, disability and health and ICF core sets. *Front. Rehabil. Sci.* 4, 1159208 (2023).
- Wiśniowska-Szurlej, A., Sozańska, A., Brożonowicz, J., Wilmowska-Pietruszyńska, A. & Sozański, B. Value of using the ICF core set for patients with musculoskeletal conditions in outpatient rehabilitation facilities in South-Eastern Poland. Ann. Agric. Environ. Med. https://doi.org/10.26444/aaem/187408 (2024).
- Hernández-Lázaro, H. et al. Multicentre cross-sectional study assessing content validity of the International Classification of Functioning, disability and health core set for post-acute musculoskeletal conditions in primary care physiotherapy services. J. Rehabil. Med. 55, jrm11950 (2023).
- 19. STROBE. Switzerland, 2024. https://www.strobe-statement.org. Accessed 17 Feb 2024.
- 20. Ministry of Health. Department of Analysis and Strategie. Maps of Health Needs. https://mpz.mz.gov.pl/wp- content/uploads/site s/4/2019/05/mpz_choroby_ukladu_kostno_miesniowego_woj_podkarpackie.pdf. (Warsaw, 2024).
- 21. Poland in numbers. Podkarpackie Voivodeship in numbers. https://www.polskawliczbach.pl/podkarpackie (Warsaw, 2024).
- Prodinger, B. et al. Towards system-wide implementation of the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) in routine practice: Developing simple, intuitive descriptions of ICF categories in the ICF Generic and Rehabilitation Set. J. Rehabil. Med. 48(6), 508–514 (2016).
- Tudor-Locke, C. E. & Myers, A. M. Challenges and opportunities for measuring physical activity in sedentary adults. Sports. Med. 31, 91–100 (2001).
- 24. Brandes, M., Schomaker, R., Mollenhoff, G. & Rosenbaum, D. Quantity versus quality of gait and quality of life in patients with osteoarthritis. *Gait. Posture.* 28, 74–79 (2007).
- 25. Winter, C. C. et al. Walking ability during daily life in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee or the hip and lumbar spinal stenosis: a cross sectional study. *BMC. Musculoskelet. Disord.* **11**, 233 (2010).
- Jun, D., Zoe, M., Johnston, V. & O'Leary, S. Physical risk factors for developing non-specific neck pain in office workers: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int. Arch. Occup. Environ. Health.* 90(5), 373–410 (2017).
- 27. Garnæs, K. K. et al. Mental health among patients with chronic musculoskeletal pain and its relation to number of pain sites and pain intensity, a cross-sectional study among primary health care patients. *BMC. Musculoskelet. Disord.* 23(1), 1115 (2022).
- Pandey, S., Chouksey, A., Pitakpatapee, Y. & Srivanitchapoom, P. Movement disorders and musculoskeletal system: A reciprocal relationship. *Mov. Disord. Clin. Pract.* 9(2), 156–169 (2021).
- Puntillo, F. et al. Pathophysiology of musculoskeletal pain: A narrative review. Ther. Adv. Musculoskelet. Dis. 13, 1759720X21995067 (2021).
- El-Tallawy, S. N. et al. Management of musculoskeletal pain: An update with emphasis on chronic musculoskeletal pain. *Pain. Ther.* 10(1), 181–209 (2021).
- Kinge, J. M., Knudsen, A. K., Skirbekk, V. & Vollset, S. E. Musculoskeletal disorders in Norway: Prevalence of chronicity and use of primary and specialist health care services. BMC. Musculoskelet. Disord. 16, 75 (2015).
- 32. Salvatori, R. T., Rhon, D. I. & Young, J. L. The relationships between pain-associated psychological distress, pain intensity, patient expectations, and physical function in individuals with musculoskeletal pain: A retrospective cohort study. *PM R.* 15(11), 13 (2023).
- Sørensen, L., Jensen, M. S. A., Rathleff, M. S. & Holden, S. Comorbid insomnia, psychological symptoms and widespread pain among patients suffering from musculoskeletal pain in general practice: A cross-sectional study. BMJ. Open. 9(6), e031971 (2019).
- 34. van Dijk, M. J., Smorenburg, N. T., Visser, B., Nijhuis van der Sanden, M. W. & Heerkens, Y. F. Description of movement quality in patients with low back pain: A qualitative study as a first step to a practical definition. *Physiother. Theory. Pract.* 33(3), 227–237 (2017).
- 35. Abed Al Ahad, M., Sullivan, F., Demsar, U., Melhem, M. & Kulu, H. The effect of air-pollution and weather exposure on mortality and hospital admission and implications for further research: A systematic scoping review. *PLoS ONE*. 15(10), e0241415 (2020).
- Timmermans, E. J. et al. Self-perceived weather sensitivity and joint pain in older people with osteoarthritis in six European countries: Results from the European Project on OSteoArthritis (EPOSA). BMC. Musculoskelet. Disord. 15, 66 (2014).
- Beukenhorst, A. L., Schultz, D. M., McBeth, J., Sergeant, J. C. & Dixon, W. G. Are weather conditions associated with chronic musculoskeletal pain? Review of results and methodologies. *Pain* 161(4), 668–683 (2020).
- Bizzoca, D. et al. Gender-related issues in the management of low-back pain: A current concepts review. Clin. Pract. 13(6), 1360– 1368 (2023).
- Gulati, M., Dursun, E., Vincent, K. & Watt, F. E. The influence of sex hormones on musculoskeletal pain and osteoarthritis. *Lancet. Rheumatol.* 5(4), e225–e238 (2023).
- Overstreet, D. S. et al. A brief overview: Sex differences in prevalent chronic musculoskeletal conditions. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public. Health. 20(5), 4521 (2023).
- Hartvigsen, J. et al. Lancet Low Back Pain Series Working Group. What low back pain is and why we need to pay attention. *Lancet.* 391(10137), 2356–2367 (2018).
- 42. Babatunde, O. O. et al. Effective treatment options for musculoskeletal pain in primary care: A systematic overview of current evidence. *PLoS. One.* **12**(6), e0178621 (2017).
- 43. Hulshof, C. T. J. et al. The prevalence of occupational exposure to ergonomic risk factors: A systematic review and meta-analysis from the WHO/ILO joint estimates of the work-related burden of disease and injury. *Environ. Int.* **146**, 106157 (2021).
- Souza, D. L. B. et al. Trends of multimorbidity in 15 European countries: A population-based study in community-dwelling adults aged 50 and over. BMC Public Health. 21, 76 (2021).
- 45. Bernal-Delgado, E. et al. Spain: Health system review. Health. Syst. Transit. 20(2), 1-179 (2018).

- 46. Sowada, C. et al. Poland: Health system review. Health. Syst. Transit. 21(1), 1-234 (2019).
- van Weel, C. & Kidd, M. R. Why strengthening primary health care is essential to achieving universal health coverage. CMAJ. 190(15), E463–E466 (2018).

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Author contributions

AWS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Validation, Visualization, Roles/Writing—original draft. Prepared Fig. 1 and Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4, Writing—review & editing. AS: Validation, Supervision, Roles/Writing—original draft, Writing—review & editing. SJB: Data curation, Writing—review & editing. BS: Validation, Writing—review & editing. LCL: Data curation, Writing—review & editing. HHL Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Roles/Writing—original draft, Writing—review & editing. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was supported by a grant from the Regional Health Administration of Castilla y León (SACYL) with expedient number GRS 2489/B/22.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was designed and conducted in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki 1996 (modified in 2013 at the 64th Assembly of the World Medical Association in Fortaleza, Brazil). This study was approved by the Bioethics Committee of the University of Rzeszów (Resolution No. 11/02/2020) and the Ethics Committees for Clinical Research of the Spanish health areas of Burgos-Soria, León-Bierzo, Palencia, Salamanca, Segovia and Valladolid (reference code for the Burgos-Soria area was CEIm 2690).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.W.-S.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024