

# Rejuvenation Research

Rejuvenation Research: <http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/rejuvenationresearch>

## Physical Condition and Risk of Hospitalization and Polypharmacy in Older Adults

Journal:	<i>Rejuvenation Research</i>
Manuscript ID	REJ-2021-0030.R1
Manuscript Type:	Research Articles
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Fernández-Araque, Ana; Universidad de Valladolid, Department of Nursery García-de-Diego, Laura; Universidad de Valladolid, Department of Nursery Martínez-Ferran, María; Universidad Europea de Madrid SLU, Department of Sports Díez-Vega, Ignacio; Universidad de León, Departamento de Enfermería y Fisioterapia Yvert, Thomas; Universidad Europea de Madrid SLU, Department of Sports Mingo, Teresa; Universidad de Valladolid, Department of Surgery, Ophthalmology, Otorhinolaryngology and Physiotherapy Santiago, Catalina; Universidad Europea de Madrid SLU, Department of Sports Pareja-Galeano, Helios; Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Department of Physical Education, Sport and Human Movement Verde, Zoraida; Universidad de Valladolid, Department of Biochemistry, Molecular Biology and Physiology
Keyword:	Aging, Disease, Gene Targeting, Quality of Life, Sarcopenia and Muscle Aging
Manuscript Keywords (Search Terms):	Aging, activities of daily living, quality of life, exercise, health status, prevention

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

REPLY TO REVIEWER  
REJ-2021-0030

Comments to the Author. The authors have presented data on a topic of relevance on the effect of being in good physical condition and the risk of hospitalization and polypharmacy in older persons. However, some areas of the manuscript could be improved upon the addition of the below mentioned suggestions.

Reply: All the authors are grateful for the helpful and constructive criticisms of this reviewer. We feel the comments made have certainly helped us improve the description of our work. We have applied all your recommendations with effort. We hope they meet the quality standards that this reviewer aspires to.

**Point 1.** Page 4. "However, few studies have examined the relationship between physical function and polypharmacy.<sup>8,9</sup>"

Comment: Does this statement still hold true since there are more recent literature on polypharmacy and frailty [<https://www.hindawi.com/journals/jar/2020/6759521/>]?

Reply: The frailty phenotype defines frailty as a distinct clinical syndrome meeting three or more of five phenotypic criteria: weakness, slowness, low level of physical activity, self-reported exhaustion, and unintentional weight loss. The frailty index defines frailty as cumulative deficits identified in a comprehensive geriatric assessment. In most studies found in the literature, handgrip strength is considered the only biomarker for frailty [1]. In fact, it is associated with overall health status, physical function decline, malnutrition and is a relevant predictor of all-cause mortality [2]. Several studies show that low HGS is associated with various indicators of frailty [3-4] since it is a sign of overall muscle weakness and sarcopenia [5-6], an age-related decline in muscle mass that leads to the loss of muscle fibers [7]. However, we have included other markers of physical function in addition to HGS. This is why we considered only few studies have examined the relationship between physical function and polypharmacy.

1. Bohannon, R.W. Grip Strength: An Indispensable Biomarker For Older Adults. *Clin. Interv. Aging* **2019**, *14*, 1681–1691. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Soysal, P.; Hurst, C.; Demurtas, J.; Firth, J.; Howden, R.; Yang, L.; Tully, M.A.; Koyanagi, A.; Ilie, P.C.; Lopez-Sanchez, G.F.; et al. Handgrip strength and health outcomes: Umbrella review of systematic reviews with meta-analyses of observational studies. *J. Sport Health Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 290–295. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

REPLY TO REVIEWER  
REJ-2021-0030

3. Coelho, T.; Santos, R.; Paúl, C.; Gobbens, R.J.; Fernandes, L. Portuguese version of the  
Tilburg Frailty Indicator: Transcultural adaptation and psychometric validation. *Geriatr. Gerontol. Int.* **2015**, *15*, 951–960. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Reeve, T.E.t.; Ur, R.; Craven, T.E.; Kaan, J.H.; Goldman, M.P.; Edwards, M.S.; Hurie, J.B.; Velazquez-Ramirez, G.; Corriere, M.A. Grip strength measurement for frailty assessment in patients with vascular disease and associations with comorbidity, cardiac risk, and sarcopenia. *J. Vasc. Surg.* **2018**, *67*, 1512–1520. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Sousa-Santos, A.R.; Amaral, T.F. Differences in handgrip strength protocols to identify sarcopenia and frailty—A systematic review. *BMC Geriatr.* **2017**, *17*, 238. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
6. Dodds, R.M.; Syddall, H.E.; Cooper, R.; Kuh, D.; Cooper, C.; Sayer, A.A. Global variation in grip strength: A systematic review and meta-analysis of normative data. *Age Ageing* **2016**, *45*, 209–216. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
7. Siparsky, P.N.; Kirkendall, D.T.; Garrett, W.E., Jr. Muscle changes in aging: Understanding sarcopenia. *Sports Health* **2014**, *6*, 36–40. [CrossRef]

**Point 2.** “in older people, the present study was designed to explore the possible modulating role of physical fitness, its associated polymorphisms, as well as nutrition status, quality of life and”

Comment: What is meant by “associated polymorphism”? I think the above statement could be written more clearly.

Reply: Thank you for the comment. We have added the information.

**Point 3.** Page 5. How were participants recruited and what is the baseline statistics. Were participants from diverse ethnicity and race? Otherwise, it would be nice to examine how these differences affected the study and if it was skewed towards a particular ethic group or race.

Reply: Thank you for the comment. We have added the information. All recruited participants were Caucasian descendent from three or more generations.

**Point 4.** It would be nice to expatiate on the rationale of some of the test being carried out as not all readers would be familiar with them.

REPLY TO REVIEWER  
REJ-2021-0030

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 Reply: Thank you for the comment. We have added the information.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

FOR Review & Not for Distribution

Reply: Thank you for the comment. We have added the information.

**Point 5.** How long did the study last and did the study have a control group from the start?

Reply: We were recruiting the subjects during 6 months. The objective of the study was not a case control design that is why we had not control group.

**Point 6.** Page 9. From the authors understanding is there a reason why the OR for the Chair stand test result lost its significance after adjusting for sex and age?

Reply: Chair stand test result lost its significance after adjusting for sex and age. The reduction in physical function might also be an indication that inactivity leads to this cut-off point, in accordance with the “use it or lose it” theories, rather than biological phenomena alone. Furthermore, the less active lifestyle and a withdrawal from society might be a result of and related to a complex and difficult environmental context that hinders everyday activities, like walking to the shop or other outdoor activities [8].

8. Spirduso W, Francis KL, MacRae PG. Physical dimensions of aging, 2nd edition. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 2005

**Point 7.** Page 10. Reference needed here - “Having a higher score in the Chair stand test was found to reduce the risk of hospitalization and a need for more medication. This test is considered a key indicator of lower body strength.”

Reply: Thank you for the comment. We have added the information.

**Point 8.** The statement below could be made clearer as its my understanding that “physical fitness polymorphism” is not associated with hospitalization. A distinction between ‘physical condition’ and “physical fitness polymorphism” has to be made to make the discussion clearer.

Reply: Thank you for the comment. We have added the information.

**Point 9.** “Finally, neither was any link observed between dietary habits, nutritional status, and physical fitness related polymorphisms, and the dependent variables polypharmacy or risk of hospitalization.”

REPLY TO REVIEWER  
REJ-2021-0030

Reply: It is traditionally assumed that successful aging results from the combination of genetic and environmental factors. In particular, the existence of SNPs linked with physical performance suggests the intriguing possibility of identifying individuals at risk of functional impairment, hospitalization or polypharmacy, at an early stage, therefore allowing for interventions to be implemented in a timely manner. It should be considered that complex age-related phenotypes, such as those investigated in the present work, are influenced by a wealth of biological pathways involving multiple genes. The individual effects of SNPs may therefore be expected to be very small and difficult to identify. To further complicate the matter, the impact of genetic factors is likely modulated by social and environmental factors or may be mediated by some other endophenotypes, including inflammation. As such, the association of genetic variants with certain phenotypes may be environment-specific and therefore hardly replicable across cohorts [9].

9. Heckerman, D., Traynor, B.J., Picca, A. et al. Genetic variants associated with physical performance and anthropometry in old age: a genome-wide association study in the iSIRENTE cohort. *Sci Rep* 7, 15879 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-13475-0>

**Point 10.** Page 10. The user to expatiate on terms used such as “instrumental ADL” for more understanding to a wider audience. Also, it may be helpful to offer suggestions on why associations were found with physical conditions but not with ADL and why better physical conditions may not translate to links with ADL.

Reply: Thank you for the comment. We have added the information.

**Point 11.** Were there any limitations of the study?

Reply: Thank you for the comment. We have added the information.

**Point 12.** Overall, the authors should provide more explanation on the novelty of this study since previous studies have alluded to the benefits of physical condition on the risk of hospitalization and polypharmacy.

Reply: We hypothesized that some genetic variants could also be an important factor influencing the quality of life and the functional autonomy of older people. Only a few studies have addressed the association between physical performance measures and polypharmacy in the elderly. Moreover, we have included genetic factors in our analysis.

REPLY TO REVIEWER  
REJ-2021-0030

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 It is traditionally assumed that successful aging results from the combination of genetic and  
6 environmental factors. In particular, the existence of SNPs linked with physical performance  
7 suggests the intriguing possibility of identifying individuals at risk of functional impairment,  
8 hospitalization or polypharmacy, at an early stage, therefore allowing for interventions to be  
9 implemented in a timely manner. It should be considered that complex age-related phenotypes,  
10 such as those investigated in the present work, are influenced by a wealth of biological pathways  
11 involving multiple genes. The individual effects of SNPs may therefore be expected to be very  
12 small and difficult to identify. To further complicate the matter, the impact of genetic factors is  
13 likely modulated by social and environmental factors or may be mediated by some other  
14 endophenotypes, including inflammation. As such, the association of genetic variants with certain  
15 phenotypes may be environment-specific and therefore hardly replicable across cohorts.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Physical Condition and Risk of Hospitalization and Polypharmacy in Older Adults

Ana Fernández-Araque (PhD)<sup>1</sup>, Laura García-de-Diego (MSc)<sup>1</sup>, María Martínez-Ferrán (MSc)<sup>2</sup>, Ignacio Diez-Vega (PhD)<sup>3</sup>, Thomas Yvert (PhD)<sup>2</sup>, Teresa Mingo (PhD)<sup>4</sup>, Catalina Santiago (PhD)<sup>2</sup>, Helios Pareja-Galeano (PhD)<sup>5\*</sup>, Zoraida Verde (PhD)<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Nursing, Universidad de Valladolid, Soria, Spain.

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Sports Sciences, Universidad Europea de Madrid, Madrid, Spain.

<sup>3</sup>Departamento de Enfermería y Fisioterapia, Facultad de Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad de León, León, Spain.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Surgery, Ophthalmology, Otorhinolaryngology and Physiotherapy, Universidad de Valladolid, Soria, Spain.

<sup>5</sup>Department of Physical Education, Sport and Human Movement, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain.

<sup>6</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Molecular Biology and Physiology, Universidad de Valladolid, Soria, Spain.

**Word count: 2,851**

**Running title:** Physical condition and hospitalization risk

1  
2  
3 **Correspondence:** Dr. Helios Pareja-Galeano. Department of Physical Education, Sport and  
4  
5 Human Movement. Universidad Autónoma de Madrid. Av. Francisco Tomás y Valiente 3,  
6  
7 Madrid, Spain 28049. Email: [helios.pareja@uam.es](mailto:helios.pareja@uam.es)  
8  
9  
10  
11

12 **Key words:** Aging, activities of daily living, quality of life, exercise, health status,  
13  
14 prevention  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**ABSTRACT**

Hospitalization in older population leads to a decline in physical function, physical condition and independency. However, a scarce number of studies has addressed the effect of being in good physical condition on the risk of hospitalization and polypharmacy in older people. Therefore, this study aims to examine the relationship between physical condition and other health factors, and the incidence of hospitalization and polypharmacy in Spanish older persons. For this cross-sectional study 102 community-dwelling persons aged 80 years or older who were being treated at three primary care centers. The data collected were number of hospitalizations and medications, dietary habits, nutrition status, quality of life, independence in activities of daily life, physical performance and associated genotype data. Scoring higher in the tests Chair stand and 8-Foot Up-and-go was found associated with reduced risks of hospitalization [OR = .45 (95% CI = .2, .99); OR .32 (95% CI = .12, .86)] and polypharmacy [OR = .36 (95% CI = .16, .8); OR= .28 (95% CI = .1, .78)]. The number of medications was also lower in individuals with a greater aerobic capacity and activities of daily life independence [OR = .28 (95% CI = .1, .78); OR = .37 (95% CI = .16, .82)]. No associations were found with the remaining physical performance tests or other factors assessed. Our findings point to benefits of greater strength, balance and aerobic capacity in terms of reducing the risk of hospitalization and polypharmacy.

## INTRODUCTION

Ageing is accompanied by a gradual decline in physiological reserves that leads to an increased risk of adverse health outcomes. The term physical condition, also referred to as physical functioning, is used to describe an individual's capacity to undertake the physical tasks of everyday living.<sup>1</sup> Today there is evidence to suggest that certain measures of physical capability may be predictive of subsequent health problems in older adults.<sup>2,3</sup> In a systematic review, Cooper et al.<sup>1</sup> identified 24 studies examining correlation between specified measures of physical capacity and the risk of specific health outcomes. These authors concluded that lower levels of physical activity were associated with a greater risk of having health problems. In addition, a weaker grip strength and slower walking speed were found associated with an increased risk of future fractures and cognitive decline. Physical condition in older people has been also directly linked to health-related quality of life.<sup>4</sup>

Hospitalization in older population leads to a decline in physical function, physical condition and independency.<sup>5</sup> Thus, several studies have found that older patients hospitalized because of acute illness will show reduced independence in activities of daily living (ADL) and health related quality of life (HRQL) after hospital discharge.<sup>6,7</sup> However, few studies have examined the relationship between physical function and polypharmacy.<sup>8,9</sup> Functional capacity is an example of a complex trait (those under the influence of many genes, environmental factors, and gene–environment interactions).<sup>10–12</sup> It is important to understand how ageing and its interactions with lifestyle and genetic factors affect physical fitness in diverse set of populations.

1  
2  
3 Understanding the genetic influences on functional capacities should be done in a  
4 diverse set of populations. Studies assessing the contribution of genetic polymorphisms to  
5 age-related diminished physical fitness and subsequent loss of independence are of broad  
6 interest owing to our ever-increasing longevity and accompanying health problems.  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12

13 Given the scarcity of studies that have addressed the effect of being in good physical  
14 condition on the risk of hospitalization and polypharmacy in older people, the present study  
15 was designed to explore the possible modulating role of physical fitness, genetic variants  
16 related to functional capacities, as well as nutrition status, quality of life and ADL  
17 independence on the incidence of hospital admissions and polypharmacy in community-  
18 dwelling octogenarians.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

## 30 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 31

#### 32 Participants

33 The study protocol adhered to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki 2008<sup>13</sup> and  
34 received local review board approval (Ref. CEIC 1446). The use of retrospective data from  
35 the primary care patient database was approved by each institution's ethics committee. All  
36 participants provided their written informed consent following the Strengthening the  
37 Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) recommendations.<sup>14</sup>  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50 For this cross-sectional study, we recruited 102 (58 women) institutionalized  
51 octogenarians ( $85.7 \pm 3.9$  years). All recruited participants were Caucasian descendants from  
52 three or more generations. Inclusion criteria were: women and men aged over 80 years of age  
53 who were clinical stable (i.e. no hospitalization within the last 6 months). Subjects were  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 excluded if they had dementia or restricted mobility. To assess cognitive status, the mini  
4  
5 mental status examine was used.<sup>15</sup> Mobility was assessed through the ability to walk without  
6  
7 any aid for more than 2 minutes.<sup>16</sup>  
8  
9

## 10 Measures 11

12  
13 In each participant, number of hospitalizations for any cause in the last year and number of  
14  
15 drugs taken were collected from their medical records. Then, each subject was examined by  
16  
17 a research nurse who collected the quality of life measures Barthel Index (BI), EuroQol 5-  
18  
19 Dimensions (EQ-5D), and EuroQol Visual Analogue scale (EQ-VAS). BI is used to assess  
20  
21 independence in ADL.<sup>17</sup> The EQ-5D questionnaire evaluates HRQL including mobility, self-  
22  
23 care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression. The results are combined in a  
24  
25 unique parameter corresponding to the participant's health state and then a final EQ-5D index  
26  
27 is calculated, it is validated in Spanish population and can be applied for a wide range of  
28  
29 health conditions and treatments.<sup>18</sup> EQ-VAS is a quantitative measure of HRQL judged by  
30  
31 the individual.<sup>18</sup> The advantages of this questionnaire are that it is short, easy to complete,  
32  
33 and simple to understand.<sup>19</sup>  
34  
35

36  
37 Physical function was assessed through the Senior Fitness test<sup>20</sup> including six tests of  
38  
39 strength, muscle endurance, aerobic endurance, flexibility, agility and balance. A more  
40  
41 detailed description of the items in the Senior Fitness Test is as follows: upper and lower  
42  
43 body strength were assessed using the biceps curl and 30-second Chair stand test  
44  
45 respectively. For aerobic endurance, we used the Two-minute step test. The Chair sit-and-  
46  
47 reach was used for lower body flexibility and the Back scratch for upper body flexibility.  
48  
49 Agility and dynamic balance were measured through the 8-Foot Up-and-go test. The Senior  
50  
51

1  
2  
3 Fitness test can be very useful in the work of primary prevention as motivator for physical  
4 activity in risk groups of elderly people and is tested for reliability, with an intra-class  
5 correlation coefficient (ICC) ranging from 0.8 to 0.98 on the different items.<sup>21,22</sup> Muscle  
6 strength was measured as hand grip strength (HGS) after adjustment for hand size, HGS was  
7 measured in the dominant hand (the average score of three measures was used in the analyses)  
8 by a maximal isometric test using a hand dynamometer.<sup>23</sup>  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

17 Adherence to the Mediterranean diet was assessed through a 14-item questionnaire  
18 validated by PREDIMED (PREvención con DIeta MEDiterránea).<sup>24</sup> Nutrition status was  
19 assessed through the Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA).<sup>25</sup>  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25 For genetic testing, genomic DNA was extracted from buccal cells according to  
26 standard phenol/chloroform procedures followed by alcohol precipitation. Allelic  
27 discrimination analysis was performed with pre-designed Life Technologies TaqMan® SNP  
28 Genotyping Assays on demand for the polymorphisms: **ACTN3** rs1815739 (ID:  
29 C\_590093\_1\_), **PPARGC1A** rs8192678 (ID: C\_1643192\_20), **BDNF** rs6265 (ID:  
30 C\_11592758\_10), and **CKM** rs8111989 (ID: C\_3145002\_10). For **APOE** genotyping, we  
31 considering two SNPs: Cys112Arg (rs429358) (ID: C\_3084793\_20) and Arg158Cys  
32 (rs7412) (ID: C\_904973\_10). Individuals designated ε2 were carriers of alleles 112Cys and  
33 158Cys, those designated ε3 were carriers of alleles 112Cys and 158Arg, and those  
34 designated ε4 were carriers of alleles 112Arg and 158Arg. PCR amplifications were  
35 performed using a StepOne™ Real-Time PCR System (Life Technologies, Foster City, CA)  
36 with a denaturation stage at 95°C for 10 min, 50 cycles of denaturation at 92°C for 15 s,  
37 annealing/extension at 60°C for 1 min, and a final extension stage of 30 s at 60°C. Allelic  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 discrimination analysis for the **ACE I/D** polymorphism was performed by PCR followed by  
4 electrophoresis on a 1.5% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide. The primers used were:  
5  
6

7 5'- CTGGAGAGCCACTCCCATCCTTCT and 5'-  
8

9  
10 GACGTGGCCATCACATTCTCAGAT.<sup>26</sup> The fragments amplified were a 190 bp product  
11 for allele D (allele without insertion) and a 490 bp product for allele I (allele with insertion).  
12  
13

14 To avoid misclassification of ID heterozygotes as DD homozygotes, a second PCR reaction  
15 was performed in all samples initially classified as DD with the insertion-specific primer  
16 pair:  
17 5'-TGGGACCACAGCGCCCGCCACTAC and 5'-  
18

19 TCGCCAGCCCTCCCATGCCATAA.<sup>27</sup> Only allele I produced a 335 bp fragment  
20 identified on a 1.5% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide.  
21  
22

## 23 Statistics

24  
25

26 Some of variables were recoded or dichotomized due to the data distribution and limited  
27 sample size. Dependent variables were grouped using the median as the cut-off. In the case  
28 of hospitalizations, the median was 0.5, so participants were classified as those not  
29 hospitalized in the last year and those hospitalized 1 or more times during the last year. For  
30 the number of drugs prescribed to each participant, the median was 5, so individuals were  
31 grouped into those taking fewer than 5 drugs a day and those taking 5 drugs or more. The  
32 results of the physical and functional exams were recoded into 2 groups: poor physical  
33 condition (cannot perform the exam, very poor or poor result) or good physical condition  
34 (medium, good or very good result).  
35  
36

37 To explore relationships among variables, binomial logistic regression models were  
38 constructed using hospitalizations and drugs taken as the dependent variables. First,  
39  
40

1  
2  
3 uncorrected regression models were built reporting the odds ratio (OR) and p-value. Then,  
4 models adjusted for sex and age were constructed. Significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ . All  
5  
6 statistical tests were performed using the software package SPSS v.21.0.  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13

## RESULTS

### Participant characteristics

19 Most subjects lived in urban areas (62%) in nursing homes (55%). Most were agricultural  
20 workers (50%) and most of them had not gone to school (50%). At the time of this study,  
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 157 158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279 280 281 282 283 284 285 286 287 288 289 290 291 292 293 294 295 296 297 298 299 299 300 301 302 303 304 305 306 307 308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 332 333 334 335 336 337 338 339 3310 3311 3312 3313 3314 3315 3316 3317 3318 3319 3320 3321 3322 3323 3324 3325 3326 3327 3328 3329 3330 3331 3332 3333 3334 3335 3336 3337 3338 3339 33310 33311 33312 33313 33314 33315 33316 33317 33318 33319 33320 33321 33322 33323 33324 33325 33326 33327 33328 33329 33330 33331 33332 33333 33334 33335 33336 33337 33338 33339 33340 33341 33342 33343 33344 33345 33346 33347 33348 33349 33350 33351 33352 33353 33354 33355 33356 33357 33358 33359 33360 33361 33362 33363 33364 33365 33366 33367 33368 33369 33370 33371 33372 33373 33374 33375 33376 33377 33378 33379 33380 33381 33382 33383 33384 33385 33386 33387 33388 33389 33390 33391 33392 33393 33394 33395 33396 33397 33398 33399 333100 333101 333102 333103 333104 333105 333106 333107 333108 333109 333110 333111 333112 333113 333114 333115 333116 333117 333118 333119 333120 333121 333122 333123 333124 333125 333126 333127 333128 333129 333130 333131 333132 333133 333134 333135 333136 333137 333138 333139 333140 333141 333142 333143 333144 333145 333146 333147 333148 333149 333150 333151 333152 333153 333154 333155 333156 333157 333158 333159 333160 333161 333162 333163 333164 333165 333166 333167 333168 333169 333170 333171 333172 333173 333174 333175 333176 333177 333178 333179 333180 333181 333182 333183 333184 333185 333186 333187 333188 333189 333190 333191 333192 333193 333194 333195 333196 333197 333198 333199 333200 333201 333202 333203 333204 333205 333206 333207 333208 333209 333210 333211 333212 333213 333214 333215 333216 333217 333218 333219 333220 333221 333222 333223 333224 333225 333226 333227 333228 333229 3332210 3332211 3332212 3332213 3332214 3332215 3332216 3332217 3332218 3332219 33322110 33322111 33322112 33322113 33322114 33322115 33322116 33322117 33322118 33322119 333221110 333221111 333221112 333221113 333221114 333221115 333221116 333221117 333221118 333221119 3332211110 3332211111 3332211112 3332211113 3332211114 3332211115 3332211116 3332211117 3332211118 3332211119 33322111110 33322111111 33322111112 33322111113 33322111114 33322111115 33322111116 33322111117 33322111118 33322111119 333221111110 333221111111 333221111112 333221111113 333221111114 333221111115 333221111116 333221111117 333221111118 333221111119 3332211111110 3332211111111 3332211111112 3332211111113 3332211111114 3332211111115 3332211111116 3332211111117 3332211111118 3332211111119 33322111111110 33322111111111 33322111111112 33322111111113 33322111111114 33322111111115 33322111111116 33322111111117 33322111111118 33322111111119 333221111111110 333221111111111 333221111111112 333221111111113 333221111111114 333221111111115 333221111111116 333221111111117 333221111111118 333221111111119 3332211111111110 3332211111111111 3332211111111112 3332211111111113 3332211111111114 3332211111111115 3332211111111116 3332211111111117 3332211111111118 3332211111111119 33322111111111110 33322111111111111 33322111111111112 33322111111111113 33322111111111114 33322111111111115 33322111111111116 33322111111111117 33322111111111118 33322111111111119 333221111111111110 333221111111111111 333221111111111112 333221111111111113 333221111111111114 333221111111111115 333221111111111116 333221111111111117 333221111111111118 333221111111111119 3332211111111111110 3332211111111111111 3332211111111111112 3332211111111111113 3332211111111111114 3332211111111111115 3332211111111111116 3332211111111111117 3332211111111111118 3332211111111111119 33322111111111111110 33322111111111111111 33322111111111111112 33322111111111111113 33322111111111111114 33322111111111111115 33322111111111111116 33322111111111111117 33322111111111111118 33322111111111111119 333221111111111111110 333221111111111111111 333221111111111111112 333221111111111111113 333221111111111111114 333221111111111111115 333221111111111111116 333221111111111111117 333221111111111111118 333221111111111111119 3332211111111111111110 3332211111111111111111 3332211111111111111112 3332211111111111111113 3332211111111111111114 3332211111111111111115 3332211111111111111116 3332211111111111111117 3332211111111111111118 3332211111111111111119 33322111111111111111110 33322111111111111111111 33322111111111111111112 33322111111111111111113 33322111111111111111114 33322111111111111111115 33322111111111111111116 33322111111111111111117 33322111111111111111118 33322111111111111111119 333221111111111111111110 333221111111111111111111 333221111111111111111112 333221111111111111111113 333221111111111111111114 333221111111111111111115 333221111111111111111116 333221111111111111111117 333221111111111111111118 333221111111111111111119 3332211111111111111111110 3332211111111111111111111 3332211111111111111111112 3332211111111111111111113 3332211111111111111111114 3332211111111111111111115 3332211111111111111111116 3332211111111111111111117 3332211111111111111111118 3332211111111111111111119 33322111111111111111111110 33322111111111111111111111 33322111111111111111111112 33322111111111111111111113 33322111111111111111111114 33322111111111111111111115 33322111111111111111111116 33322111111111111111111117 33322111111111111111111118 33322111111111111111111119 333221111111111111111111110 333221111111111111111111111 333221111111111111111111112 333221111111111111111111113 333221111111111111111111114 333221111111111111111111115 333221111111111111111111116 333221111111111111111111117 333221111111111111111111118 333221111111111111111111119 3332211111111111111111111110 3332211111111111111111111111 3332211111111111111111111112 3332211111111111111111111113 3332211111111111111111111114 3332211111111111111111111115 3332211111111111111111111116 3332211111111111111111111117 3332211111111111111111111118 3332211111111111111111111119 33322111111111111111111111110 33322111111111111111111111111 33322111111111111111111111112 33322111111111111111111111113 33322111111111111111111111114 33322111111111111111111111115 33322111111111111111111111116 33322111111111111111111111117 33322111111111111111111111118 33322111111111111111111111119 333221111111111111111111111110 333221111111111111111111111111 333221111111111111111111111112 333221111111111111111111111113 333221111111111111111111111114 333221111111111111111111111115 333221111111111111111111111116 333221111111111111111111111117 333221111111111111111111111118 333221111111111111111111111119 3332211111111111111111111111110 3332211111111111111111111111111 3332211111111111111111111111112 3332211111111111111111111111113 3332211111111111111111111111114 3332211111111111111111111111115 3332211111111111111111111111116 3332211111111111111111111111117 3332211111111111111111111111118 3332211111111111111111111111119 33322111111111111111111111111110 33322111111111111111111111111111 33322111111111111111111111111112 33322111111111111111111111111113 33322111111111111111111111111114 33322111111111111111111111111115 33322111111111111111111111111116 33322111111111111111111111111117 33322111111111111111111111111118 33322111111111111111111111111119 333221111111111111111111111111110 333221111111111111111111111111111 333221111111111111111111111111112 333221111111111111111111111111113 333221111111111111111111111111114 333221111111111111111111111111115 333221111111111111111111111111116 333221111111111111111111111111117 333221111111111111111111111111118 333221111111111111111111111111119 3332211111111111111111111111111110 3332211111111111111111111111111111 3332211111111111111111111111111112 3332211111111111111111111111111113 3332211111111111111111111111111114 3332211111111111111111111111111115 3332211111111111111111111111111116 3332211111111111111111111111111117 3332211111111111111111111111111118 3332211111111111111111111111111119 33322111111111111111111111111111110 33322111111111111111111111111111111 33322111111111111111111111111111112 33322111111111111111111111111111113 33322111111111111111111111111111114 33322111111111111111111111111111115 33322111111111111111111111111111116 33322111111111111111111111111111117 33322111111111111111111111111111118 33322111111111111111111111111111119 333221111111111111111111111111111110 333221111111111111111111111111111111 333221111111111111111111111111111112 333221111111111111111111111111111113 333221111111111111111111111111111114 333221111111111111111111111111111115 333221111111111111111111111111111116 333221111111111111111111111111111117 333221111111111111111111111111111118 333221111111111111111111111111111119 3332211111111111111111111111111111110 3332211111111111111111111111111111111 3332211111111111111111111111111111112 3332211111111111111111111111111111113 3332211111111111111111111111111111114 3332211111111111111111111111111111115 3332211111111111111111111111111111116 3332211111111111111111111111111111117 3332211111111111111111111111111111118 3332211111111111111111111111111111119 33322111111111111111111111111111111110 33322111111111111111111111111111111111 33322111111111111111111111111111111112 33322111111111111111111111111111111113 33322111111111111111111111111111111114 33322111111111111111111111111111111115 33322111111111111111111111111111111116 33322111111111111111111111111111111117 33322111111111111111111111111111111118 33322111111111111111111111111111111119 333221111111111111111111111111111111110 333221111111111111111111111111111111111 333221111111111111111111111111111111112 333221111111111111111111111111111111113 333221111111111111111111111111111111114 333221111111111111111111111111111111115 333221111111111111111111111111111111116 333221111111111111111111111111111111117 333221111111111111111111111111111111118 333221111111111111111111111111111111119 3332211111111111111111111111111111111110 3332211111111111111111111111111111111111 3332211111111111111111111111111111111112 3332211111111111111111111111111111111113 3332211111111111111111111111111111111114 3332211111111111111111111111111111111115 3332211111111111111111111111111111111116 33322111111111111

### Physical fitness, quality of life and polypharmacy

Some of the physical fitness test results were also correlated with a reduced likelihood of polypharmacy (**Table 2**). This meant that a good result in the Chair stand test reduced the possibility of having a high medication burden by .36 (95% CI = .16, .8) times, and a poor result increased this possibility by 2.77 times. Also, a high score in the 8-Foot Up-and-go test reduced the likelihood of polypharmacy burden by 0.28 (95% CI = .1, .78) times and a low score increased this risk 3.514-fold. Having a high aerobic capacity lowered by .24 (95% CI = .1, .62) the probability of polypharmacy and a low capacity increased this probability by 4.166 times. In addition, polypharmacy was related to a lower quality of life according to the EQ-5D questionnaire (OR = .37; 95% CI = .16, .82), such that the likelihood of having a better quality of life was reduced by 2.702 times.

The results of the remaining physical fitness tests and BI could not be related to polypharmacy.

### No impacts of survival, physical fitness-related polymorphisms, and nutritional habits on hospitalization and medication burden

No effects were observed between the different physical fitness-related polymorphisms examined and the probability of hospitalization or polypharmacy (**Supplementary Table 1**).

Having a normal nutritional status or risk of malnutrition as assessed with MNA was not associated with hospitalization risk or with the probability of a high medication burden. Neither was showing better adherence to the Mediterranean diet linked to a greater risk of hospitalization or polypharmacy (**Supplementary Table 2**).

## DISCUSSION

Our study reveals an existing relationship between certain measures of physical performance and the prevalence of hospitalization and a higher drug burden in octogenarians. Having a higher score in the Chair stand test was found to reduce the risk of hospitalization and a need for more medication. This test is considered a key indicator of lower body strength.<sup>28</sup>

Maintaining lower body strength during ageing is important to prevent or delay dependency, physical fragility and disability.<sup>29</sup> In addition, having a good score in the 8-Foot Up-and-go test was also associated here with a lower prevalence of hospitalization and polypharmacy. Further, aerobic endurance, measured through the Two-minute step test, was inversely associated with drug use, but not with hospitalization prevalence. Further, a worse HRQL, assessed using the EQ-5D questionnaire, was associated with polypharmacy, but not with BI-assessed ADL independence. No associations with hospitalization risk were detected for quality of life or independence in ADL. Finally, neither was any link observed between dietary habits, nutritional status, and the dependent variables polypharmacy or risk of hospitalization. In addition, we didn't observe any relationship between genetic polymorphisms related to physical fitness and polypharmacy or risk of hospitalization.

So far, there is evidence to suggest that polypharmacy may be related to an older age, socio-economic factors, institutionalization and a poor health status.<sup>30</sup> However, the relationship between physical condition and polypharmacy has been hardly explored.<sup>8,9,30</sup> Here, we noted that older adults showing worse lower body strength, agility and aerobic capacity have a greater risk of polypharmacy. In agreement with this finding, Sganga et al.<sup>8</sup>

1  
2  
3 found a significant association between muscular strength and polypharmacy in hospitalized  
4 patients who were under treatment with more than 10 different drugs.  
5  
6

7  
8 The ability to perform ADL is affected by the functional decline that older individuals  
9 experience. However, in our study no association was observed between ADL and  
10 hospitalization risk or polypharmacy. Other authors have nevertheless reported this variable  
11 –ADL function– is a risk factor for hospitalization in older people. Thus, the worse stage of  
12 ADL and instrumental ADL have been linked to a risk of first hospitalization,<sup>31</sup> and also with  
13 hospital admittance days and visits to the family doctor in the past months.<sup>32</sup> Moreover,  
14 instrumental ADL (activities that allow an individual to live independently in a community  
15 as cooking, cleaning, transportation or laundry) has been linked to both polypharmacy and  
16 excessive polypharmacy.<sup>33</sup> Dwyer et al.<sup>34</sup> found that older persons needing assistance in five  
17 ADLs had a lower risk of polypharmacy than those receiving assistance in four or fewer  
18 ADLs. Another investigation was unable to find differences in the number of impaired ADLs  
19 between individuals requiring polypharmacy (>5 drugs) or not.<sup>8</sup>  
20  
21

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36 Despite the fact that we were unable to detect any association between nutritional  
37 status and polypharmacy or hospitalization risk, currently there is evidence to show that these  
38 variables are related. Several factors can cause malnutrition such as illness, hospitalization  
39 or drug intake.<sup>35</sup> Remarkably, ageing is a cause of malnutrition.<sup>36</sup> Moreover, the prevalence  
40 of malnutrition in hospitalized older people is increasing all over the world<sup>36</sup> and this will  
41 have several consequences such as increasing morbidity and mortality from disease,  
42 impairing recovery, increasing treatment costs and prolonging treatment duration.<sup>35</sup> In a  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 systematic review of six longitudinal studies conducted in 2016, polypharmacy emerged as  
4 a risk factor for malnutrition in older women but not in men.<sup>37</sup>  
5  
6

7 This study has several limitations. For example, muscle strength is a complex trait,  
8 which is likely influenced by numerous genes and genetic variants, as well as other  
9 environmental factors that may be interacting with these genes in several pathways. In  
10 addition, the sample group was small, which may have reduced the statistical power. On the  
11 other hand, despite the small sample size of the current study, our population was  
12 homogeneous and well defined in terms of phenotype assessment.  
13  
14

15 Genetic variants associated with aging may help identify factors amenable to  
16 interventions aimed at improving the quality of life of older adults. In particular, the existence  
17 of SNPs linked with physical performance suggests the intriguing possibility of identifying  
18 individuals at risk of functional impairment at an early stage, therefore allowing for  
19 interventions to be implemented in a timely manner.  
20  
21

22 We hypothesized that some genetic variants could also be an important factor  
23 influencing the quality of life and the functional autonomy of older people. The genetic  
24 polymorphisms selected for our study affect genes described to play an important role in  
25 traits related to physical performance (ACTN3, PGC1A, CKM and ACE) and in conditions  
26 associated with ageing such as dementia and a worse HRQL (APOE and BDNF).<sup>38</sup> However,  
27 our results did not serve to identify any significant relationships between these genetic  
28 variants and the likelihood of requiring hospitalization or polypharmacy. This finding could  
29 perhaps be explained by the multifactorial nature of these variables, which could involve a  
30 greater number of genes, or genes with more impacts than the few examined here.  
31  
32

## CONCLUSIONS

In conclusions, our findings indicate that the octogenarians examined here performing better at the Chair stand and 8-Foot Up-and-go test showed a lower risk of hospitalization and polypharmacy. In addition, the risk of needing more drugs was also reduced by an improved aerobic capacity and independence in activities of daily living. No associations were, nevertheless, found with the remaining physical performance tests, dietary habits, nutritional status, quality of life and physical fitness-related genetic polymorphisms.

In prior work, we reported that physical training may benefit older adults by improving their physical condition, HRQL and preventing a wide range of chronic diseases.<sup>39</sup> Thus, we could speculate that improving the physical fitness of our older people could offer numerous benefits for everybody. It is traditionally assumed that successful aging results from the combination of genetic and environmental factors. In particular, the existence of SNPs linked with physical performance suggests the intriguing possibility of identifying individuals at risk of functional impairment, hospitalization or polypharmacy, at an early stage, therefore allowing for interventions to be implemented in a timely manner. It should be considered that complex age-related phenotypes, such as those investigated in the present work, are influenced by a wealth of biological pathways involving multiple genes. The individual effects of SNPs may therefore be expected to be very small and difficult to identify. To further complicate the matter, the impact of genetic factors is likely modulated by social and environmental factors or may be mediated by some other endophenotypes, including

1  
2  
3 inflammation. As such, the association of genetic variants with certain phenotypes may be  
4 environment-specific and therefore hardly replicable across cohorts.<sup>40</sup>  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

10  
11 **Disclosure statement:** The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be  
12 perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported.  
13  
14

15 **Author contribution:** A.F.A., C.S., H.P.G. and Z.V. conceived and designed the  
16 investigation; A.F.A., L.G.D., M.M.F., T.Y., and T.M. collected the data; I.D.V., C.S.,  
17 H.P.G. and Z.V. performed the analysis and interpreted the data; A.F.A., L.G.D., M.M.F.,  
18 H.P.G. and Z.V. drafted the paper; All authors have approved the final version and agreed  
19 to publish this version of the manuscript.  
20  
21

22 **Funding information:** No funding.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
**REFERENCES**

1. Cooper R, Kuh D, Cooper C, et al. Objective measures of physical capability and subsequent health: A systematic review. *Age Ageing*. 2011;40(1):14-23. doi:10.1093/ageing/afq117
2. Bohannon RW. Hand-grip dynamometry predicts future outcomes in aging adults. *J Geriatr Phys Ther*. 2008;31(1):3-10. doi:10.1519/00139143-200831010-00002
3. Moreland JD, Richardson JA, Goldsmith CH, Clase CM. Muscle weakness and falls in older adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2004;52(7):1121-1129. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52310.x
4. Hörder H, Skoog I, Frändin K. Health-related quality of life in relation to walking habits and fitness: A population-based study of 75-year-olds. *Qual Life Res*. 2013;22(6):1213-1223. doi:10.1007/s11136-012-0267-7
5. Volpato S, Onder G, Cavalieri M, et al. Characteristics of nondisabled older patients developing new disability associated with medical illnesses and hospitalization. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2007;22(5):668-674. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0152-1
6. Covinsky KE, Palmer RM, Fortinsky RH, et al. Loss of independence in activities of daily living in older adults hospitalized with medical illnesses: increased vulnerability with age. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2003;51(4):451-458. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12657063>
7. Hill AM, Hoffmann T, Haines TP. Circumstances of falls and falls-related injuries in a cohort of older patients following hospital discharge. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2013;8:765-774. doi:10.2147/CIA.S45891
8. Sganga F, Vetrano DL, Volpato S, et al. Physical performance measures and polypharmacy among hospitalized older adults: Results from the crime study. *J Nutr Health Aging*.

1  
2  
3      *Aging*. 2014;18(6):616-621. doi:10.1007/s12603-014-0029-z

4  
5      9. Volaklis KA, Thorand B, Peters A, et al. Physical activity, muscular strength, and  
6      polypharmacy among older multimorbid persons: Results from the KORA-Age study.  
7  
8      *Scand J Med Sci Sport*. 2018;28(2):604-612. doi:10.1111/sms.12884

9  
10  
11      10. Garatachea N, Lucia A. Genes, physical fitness and ageing. *Ageing Res Rev*.  
12  
13      2013;12(1):90-102. doi:10.1016/j.arr.2012.09.003

14  
15  
16      11. Foebel AD, Pedersen NL. Genetic Influences on Functional Capacities in Aging.  
17  
18      *Gerontologist*. 2016;56(Suppl 2):S218-S229. doi:10.1093/geront/gnw006

19  
20  
21      12. Dato S, Soerensen M, Lagani V, et al. Contribution of genetic polymorphisms on  
22      functional status at very old age: A gene-based analysis of 38 genes (311 SNPs) in the  
23      oxidative stress pathway. *Exp Gerontol*. 2014;52:23-29. doi:10.1016/j.exger.2014.01.014

24  
25  
26      13. Association WM. World Medical Association declaration of Helsinki: Ethical principles  
27      for medical research involving human subjects. *JAMA - J Am Med Assoc*.  
28  
29      2013;310(20):2191-2194. doi:10.1001/jama.2013.281053

30  
31  
32      14. Welch V, Jull J, Petkovic J, et al. Protocol for the development of a CONSORT-equity  
33      guideline to improve reporting of health equity in randomized trials. *Implement Sci*.  
34  
35      2015;10(1):1. doi:10.1186/s13012-015-0332-z

36  
37  
38      15. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for  
39      grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res*. 1975;12(3):189-  
40      198. doi:0022-3956(75)90026-6 [pii]

41  
42  
43      16. Connelly DM, Thomas BK, Cliffe SJ, Perry WM, Smith RE. Clinical utility of the 2-  
44      minute walk test for older adults living in long-term care. *Physiother Canada*.  
45  
46      2009;61(2):78-87. doi:10.3138/physio.61.2.78

47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 17. Williams EI, Wallace P. Health checks for people aged 75 and over. *Occas Pap R Coll*  
4 *Gen Pract*. 1993;(59):1-30. Accessed May 21, 2021.  
5  
6 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2560933/>  
7  
8 18. EuroQol Group. EuroQol - a new facility for the measurement of health-related quality of  
9 life. *Health Policy (New York)*. 1990;16(3):199-208. doi:10.1016/0168-8510(90)90421-9  
10  
11 19. Herdman M, Badia X, Berra S. EuroQol-5D: a simple alternative for measuring health-  
12 related quality of life in primary care. *Aten Primaria*. 2001;28(6):425-430.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
30 20. Rikli RE, Jones CJ. *Senior Fitness Test Manual*. Human Kinetics; 2001.  
31  
32 21. Rikli R, Jones J. Translated by Hansen T. Senior Fitness Test. Physical fitness of older  
33 adults – Manual and reference values (Fysisk formåen hos eldre-manual og reference  
34 værdie). *København FADL's Forl*. Published online 2004.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
40 22. Jones C, Rikli R. Measuring functional fitness of older adults. *J Act Aging*. 2002;1:24-30.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
40 23. Liu C ju, Marie D, Fredrick A, Bertram J, Utley K, Fess EE. Predicting hand function in  
41 older adults: evaluations of grip strength, arm curl strength, and manual dexterity. *Aging*  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
40 24. Martínez-González MA, García-Arellano A, Toledo E, et al. A 14-Item Mediterranean  
41 Diet Assessment Tool and Obesity Indexes among High-Risk Subjects: The PREDIMED  
42 Trial. Peiró C, ed. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(8):e43134. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043134  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
40 25. Guigoz Y, Vellas B, Garry PJ. Assessing the Nutritional Status of the Elderly: The Mini  
41 Nutritional Assessment as Part of the Geriatric Evaluation. *Nutr Rev*. 2009;54(1):S59-S65.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
40 26. Rigat B, Hubert C, Corvol P, Soubrier F. PCR detection of the insertion/deletion

1  
2  
3 polymorphism of the human angiotensin converting enzyme gene (DCP1) (dipeptidyl  
4 carboxypeptidase 1). *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1992;20(6):1433. doi:10.1093/nar/20.6.1433-a  
5  
6  
7  
8 27. Lindpaintner K, Pfeffer MA, Kreutz R, et al. A prospective evaluation of an angiotensin-  
9 converting-enzyme gene polymorphism and the risk of ischemic heart disease. *N Engl J  
10 Med.* 1995;332(11):706-711. doi:10.1056/NEJM199503163321103  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15 28. Langhammer B, Stanghelle JK. Functional fitness in elderly Norwegians measured with  
16 the Senior Fitness Test. *Adv Physiother.* 2011;13(4):137-144.  
17  
18 doi:10.3109/14038196.2011.616913  
19  
20  
21 29. Mehmet H, Yang AWH, Robinson SR. What is the optimal chair stand test protocol for  
22 older adults? A systematic review. *Disabil Rehabil.* Published online March 2019:1-8.  
23  
24 doi:10.1080/09638288.2019.1575922  
25  
26  
27  
28 30. Husson N, Watfa G, Laurain MC, et al. Characteristics of polymedicated ( $\geq 4$ ) elderly: A  
29 survey in a community-dwelling population aged 60 years and over. *J Nutr Heal Aging.*  
31  
32 2014;18(1):87-91. doi:10.1007/s12603-013-0337-8  
33  
34  
35 31. Na L, Pan Q, Xie D, et al. Activity Limitation Stages Are Associated With Risk of  
36 Hospitalization Among Medicare Beneficiaries. *PM R.* 2017;9(5):433-443.  
37  
38 doi:10.1016/j.pmrj.2016.09.008  
39  
40  
41  
42 32. Millán-Calenti JC, Tubío J, Pita-Fernández S, et al. Prevalence of functional disability in  
43 activities of daily living (ADL), instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) and  
44 associated factors, as predictors of morbidity and mortality. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.*  
45  
46 2010;50(3):306-310. doi:10.1016/j.archger.2009.04.017  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51 33. Jyrkkä J, Enlund H, Lavikainen P, Sulkava R, Hartikainen S. Association of  
52 polypharmacy with nutritional status, functional ability and cognitive capacity over a  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 three-year period in an elderly population. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* 2011;20(5):514-  
4 522. doi:10.1002/pds.2116

5  
6  
7 34. Dwyer LL, Han B, Woodwell DA, Rechtsteiner EA. Polypharmacy in nursing home  
8 residents in the United States: Results of the 2004 National Nursing Home Survey. *Am J*  
9  
10 *Geriatr Pharmacother.* 2010;8(1):63-72. doi:10.1016/j.amjopharm.2010.01.001

11  
12  
13 35. Norman K, Pichard C, Lochs H, Pirllich M. Prognostic impact of disease-related  
14 malnutrition. *Clin Nutr.* 2008;27(1):5-15. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2007.10.007

15  
16  
17 36. Shuhada NA, Aziz A, Mohd NI, et al. Assessing the nutritional status of hospitalized  
18 elderly. *Clin Interv Aging.* 2017;12:1615-1625. doi:10.2147/CIA.S140859

19  
20  
21 37. Fávaro-Moreira NC, Krausch-Hofmann S, Matthys C, et al. Risk Factors for Malnutrition  
22 in Older Adults: A Systematic Review of the Literature Based on Longitudinal Data. *Adv*  
23  
24 *Nutr.* 2016;7(3):507-522. doi:10.3945/an.115.011254

25  
26  
27 38. Santos-Lozano A, Santamarina A, Pareja-Galeano H, et al. The genetics of exceptional  
28 longevity: Insights from centenarians. *Maturitas.* 2016;90:49-57.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
doi:10.1016/j.maturitas.2016.05.006

39. Garatachea N, Pareja-Galeano H, Sanchis-Gomar F, et al. Exercise attenuates the major  
40 hallmarks of aging. *Rejuvenation Res.* 2015;18(1):57-89. doi:10.1089/rej.2014.1623

41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
40. Heckerman D, Traynor BJ, Picca A, et al. Genetic variants associated with physical  
50 performance and anthropometry in old age: A genome-wide association study in the  
51 ilSIRENTE cohort. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7(1):1-9. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-13475-0

1  
2  
3 **Table 1.** Logistic regression analysis of the association between risk of hospitalization and the  
4 results of physical fitness and quality of life tests  
5

	n (%)	Model 1 (crude)		Model 2 (adjusted for sex and age)		
		OR (CI95%)	p	OR (CI95%)	p	
<i>Physical performance</i>						
<i>Chair stand</i>						
<b>Good</b>	46 (45)	.45 (.2-.99)	.048	.45 (.2-1)	.050	
<b>Poor</b>	56 (55)	ref.		ref.		
<i>8-Foot Up-and-go</i>						
<b>Good</b>	23 (24)	.32 (.12-.86)	.024	.32 (.12-.89)	.029	
<b>Poor</b>	74 (76)	ref.		ref.		
<i>Aerobic capacity</i>						
<b>Good</b>	31 (34)	.61 (.25-1,45)	.262	.64 (.26-1,55)	.319	
<b>Poor</b>	59 (66)	ref.		ref.		
<i>Biceps curl</i>						
<b>Good</b>	73 (72)	.62 (.26-1,47)	.274	.66 (.27-1,6)	.354	
<b>Poor</b>	29 (28)	ref.		ref.		
<i>Sit-and-reach</i>						
<b>Good</b>	61 (60)	.69 (.31-1,55)	.372	.69 (.31-1,56)	.373	
<b>Poor</b>	40 (40)	ref.		ref.		
<i>Back scratch</i>						
<b>Good</b>	8 (8)	.59 (.13-2,6)	.483	.52 (.11-2,45)	.408	
<b>Poor</b>	93 (92)	ref.		ref.		
<i>Hand grip</i>						
<b>Good</b>	47 (51)	.52 (.23-1,19)	.121	.44 (.14-1,35)	.150	
<b>Poor</b>	46 (49)	ref.		ref.		
<i>Quality of life</i>						
<i>Barthel</i>						
<b>Independent or low dependence</b>	77 (75)	1,11 (.45-2,74)	.818	1,17 (.52- 2,62)	.702	
<b>Dependence</b>	25	ref.		ref.		

	(25)					
<i>EuroQol</i>						
<b>Good</b>	54 (53)	.73 (.33-1,59)	.428	.8 (.36-1,78)	.582	
<b>Poor</b>	48 (47)	ref.		ref.		

10 OR odds ratio, CI confidence interval, p probability of significance. In bold: significant OR, CI  
11 or p-values  
12

**Table 2.** Logistic regression analysis of the association between polypharmacy and the results of physical fitness and quality of life tests

	n (%)	Model 1 (Crude)		Model 2 (Adjusted for sex and age)	
		OR (CI95%)	p	OR (CI95%)	p
<i>Physical performance</i>					
<i>Chair stand</i>					
Good	46 (45)	.36 (.16-.8)	.013	.36 (.16-.8)	.013
Poor	56 (55)	ref.		ref.	
<i>8-Foot Up-and-go</i>					
Good	23 (24)	.28 (.1-.78)	.015	.28 (.1-.78)	.015
Poor	74 (76)	ref.		ref.	
<i>Aerobic capacity</i>					
Good	31 (34)	.24 (.1-.62)	.003	.24 (.09-.62)	.003
Poor	59 (66)	ref.		ref.	
<i>Arm Curl</i>					
Good	73 (72)	.76 (.32-1,81)	.529	.77 (.32-1,86)	.554
Poor	29 (28)	ref.		ref.	
<i>Sit and Reach</i>					
Good	61 (60)	.68 (.3-1,53)	.350	.68 (.3-1,53)	.352
Poor	40 (40)	ref.		ref.	
<i>Back scratch</i>					
Good	8 (8)	.33 (.06-1,74)	.192	.31 (.06-1,69)	.175
Poor	93 (92)	ref.		ref.	
<i>Hand grip</i>					
Good	47 (51)	.5 (.22-1,14)	.099	.36 (.11-1,16)	.086
Poor	46 (49)	ref.		ref.	
<i>Quality of life</i>					
<i>Barthel</i>					
Independent or low dependence	77 (75)	.93 (.37-2,31)	.868	.67 (.3-1,51)	.336

1	Dependence	25 (25)	ref.		ref.	
2	<i>EuroQol</i>					
3	Good	54 (53)	<b>.37 (.16-.82)</b>	<b>.014</b>	<b>.36 (.16-.82)</b>	<b>.015</b>
4	Poor	48 (47)	ref.		ref.	

11 OR odds ratio, CI confidence interval, p probability of statistical significance. In bold: significant  
12 OR, CI or p-values

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

**Supplementary Table 1.** Logistic regression analysis of the association between the likelihood of hospitalization or polypharmacy and nutrition status

		<i>Likelihood of hospitalization</i>			<i>Likelihood of polypharmacy</i>		
		Model 1 (Crude)	Model 2 (Adjust by sex and age)	Model 1 (Crude)	Model 2 (Adjust by sex and age)		
	n (%)	OR (CI95%)	p	OR (CI95%)	p	OR (CI95%)	p
<b>PREDIMED</b>							
<b>Medium</b>	63 (68)	.73 (.25- 2,09)	.553	.73 (.25- 2,12)	.563	.92 (.31- 2,68)	.875
<b>High</b>	18 (18)	1,26 (.33- 4,74)	.735	1,46 (.38- 5,67)	.581	.57 (.15- 2,17)	.406
<b>Poor</b>	18 (18)	ref.		ref.		ref.	
<b>MNA</b>							
<b>Normal</b>	76 (81)	.57 (.21- 1,55)	.270	.59 (.21- 1,63)	.307	1,38 (.5- 3,8)	.539
<b>Risk of malnutrition</b>	20 (40)	ref.		ref.		ref.	

OR odds ratio, CI confidence interval, p probability of statistical significance, PREDIMED PREvención con DIeta MEDiterránea, MNA Mini Nutritional Assessment. In bold: significant OR, CI or p-values.

1  
2  
3 **Supplementary Table 2.** Logistic regression analysis of the association between the likelihood of hospitalization or  
4 polypharmacy and physical fitness-related polymorphisms

		Probability of hospitalization				Probability of high medication intake			
		Model 1 (Crude)		Model 2 (Adjusted for sex and age)		Model 1 (Crude)		Model 2 (Adjusted for sex and age)	
		n (%)	OR (CI95%)	p	OR (CI95%)	p	OR (CI95%)	p	OR (CI95%)
<b><i>ACTN3</i> (rs1815739)</b>									
<b>RR+RX</b>	79	1.32 (.49- 3.53)	.582	1.38 (.51- 3.75)	.53	.44 (.16- 1.22)	.113	.45 (.16- 1.24)	.123
<b>XX</b>	20	ref.		ref.		ref.		ref.	
<b><i>ECA</i> (In/Del)</b>									
<b>II+ID</b>	61	1.73 (.76-3.93)	.189	1.55 (.65- 3.68)	.324	1.02 (.45- 2.31)	.959	.93 (.39- 2.22)	.869
<b>DD</b>	38	ref.		ref.		ref.		ref.	
<b><i>BDNF</i> (rs6265)</b>									
<b>MetMet+MetVal</b>	60 (42)	2.08 (.92- 4.76)	.079	2.04 (.89- 4.76)	.090	1.16 (.52- 2.63)	.711	1.15 (.51- 2.56)	.734
<b>ValVal</b>	39 (49)	ref.		ref.		ref.		ref.	
<b><i>PPARGC1A</i> (rs8192678)</b>									
<b>GlyGly+GlySer</b>	88	.55 (.15-2)	.361	.58 (.16- 2.18)	.422	.78 (.22- 2.74)	.696	.82 (.23- 2.96)	.765
<b>GlyGly</b>	11	ref.		ref.		ref.		ref.	
<b><i>APOE</i> (rs429358/rs7412)</b>									
<b>Allele 4</b>	9	.47 (.11- 1.99)	.303	.48 (.11- 2.06)	.323	.84 (.21- 3.33)	.801	.86 (.22- 3.44)	.832
<b>Allele 2</b>	89	ref.		ref.		ref.		ref.	
<b><i>CKM</i> (rs8111989)</b>									
<b>TT+TC</b>	92	1.39 (.3- 6.57)	.676	1.62 (.33- 8.01)	.557	1.3 (.28- 6.16)	.737	1.44 (.29- 7.02)	.654
<b>CC</b>	7	ref.		ref.		ref.		ref.	

41 OR odds ratio, CI confidence interval, p probability of statistical significance. In bold: significant OR, CI or p-  
42 values